

PRODUCTION NOTE

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Library Large-scale Digitization Project, 2007.



UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

ENGINEERING EXPERIMENT STATION

BULLETIN No. 6

JUNE 1906

HOLDING POWER OF RAILROAD SPIKES

BY ROY I. WEBBER, C. E., INSTRUCTOR IN CIVIL ENGINEERING

The determination of a proper fastening between the rail and the tie has become a matter of considerable importance. During the period when the supply of suitable hard wood timber was sufficient, the ordinary spike satisfactorily fulfilled the requirements of traffic; but with the increase in the amount of traffic handled, and the heavier weights of cars and locomotives, and also with the use of soft deciduous and coniferous woods for ties, the common spike has proved deficient. Variations in the form of the ordinary spike have been developed, and new forms of spikes have been devised in an attempt to overcome the loss of efficiency attendant upon the use of inferior timbers.

In view of these conditions, and the meager supply of published data on the holding power of spikes in ties, the writer has carried out a series of experiments to determine the resistance to withdrawal offered by the same type of spike in different timbers and by different forms of spikes in the same timber, and also to determine whether or not the preservative has any influence upon this resistance.


The writer wishes to express his thanks for the hearty cooperation received from the various persons, firms and corporations mentioned in the text. He wishes also to express his indebtedness for personal aid, to Mr. Robert Trimble, Chief Engineer Maintenance of Way, Pennsylvania Lines; Mr. George E.

ILLINOIS ENGINEERING EXPERIMENT STATION


 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE I} \\ \text{Description of the Ties} \end{array}$

No. of Tie	Kind of Timber	Kind of Treatment	Date Treated	Remarks
1	Blue Ash	Zinc-Creosote	1905	Seasoned; sound
2	Blue Ash	Zinc-Creosote	1905	Seasoned; sound
2 3	Sweet Gum	Zinc-Creosote	1904	Seasoned; sound
4	Water Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1904	Seasoned; sound
5	Water Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1904	Seasoned; sound
6	Red Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1904	Seasoned; sound
7	Red Oak	Zinc-Creosote	1905	Seasoned; sound
8	Red Oak	Zinc-Creosote	1905	Seasoned; sound
9	Red Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1904	Seasoned; sound
10	Rock Elm	Zinc-Creosote	1905	Seasoned; sound
11	Poplar	Zinc-Creosote	1905	Seasoned; sound
12	Elm	Zilic-Creosoce	d (2000)	Seasoned; sound
13	Elm			Seasoned; sound
14	Beech			
15	Elm			Seasoned; sound
16	Black Oak	Zina Chassata	1009	Seasoned; sound
17	Red Oak	Zinc-Creosote	1902	Seasoned
		Zinc-Creosote	1902	Seasoned
18	Black Oak	Zinc-Creosote	1902	Seasoned
19	Poplar	Zinc-Creosote	1902	Seasoned
20	Loblolly	Zinc-Tannin	1905	Treated Decem-
9.1	Pine Labin Pina	Zina Mannin	1005	ber, 1905; sound
21 22	Lob'y Pine	Zinc-Tannin	1905	Treated Dec; '05; sound
22	Red Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1905	Treated Dec; '05; split
23	Black Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1905	Treated Dec; '05'
24	Black Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1905	Treated Dec; '05
25	Water Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1905	Treated Dec; '05
26	Water Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1905	Treated Dec; '05
27	Black Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1905	Treated Dec; '05
28	Red Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1905	Treated Dec; '05
29	Water Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1905	Treated Dec; '05
30	Red Oak	Zinc-Tannin	1905	Treated Dec; '05
31	White Oak			(Seasoned; in track
32	White Oak			two years Indiana Oak; sap wood showed slight decay
33	White Oak			Georgia Oak; seasoned;
34	Water Oak	Creosote	1904	Sound
35	Burr Oak	Creosote	1904	Sound
36	Beech	Creosote	1904	Sound
37	Elm	Creosote	1904	Sound
38	Beech	CICOSOCC	1 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Sound
39	Lob'y Pine			
40	Chestnut	A.S. B. E. A. A. B. B. B.		Seasoned; sound
41	Red Oak	Crossoto	1004	Seasoned; sound
		Creosote	1904	Showed tendency to split
42	Beech			Sound
43	Beech			Sound
44	Beech			Sound

PLATE I



TESTING MACHINE WITH TIE IN POSITION FOR TEST



Boyd, Roadmaster of the Illinois Central Railroad; Mr. A. L. Kuehn, Superintendent of Maintenance of Way, of the Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago and St. Louis Railway; Dr. Octave Chanute, President of the Chicago Tie Preserving Company, Chicago, Illinois; and to Professor Ira O. Baker and Professor C. H. Hurd of the University of Illinois.

THE TIES

The ties used in these experiments were furnished gratuitously as follows: Nos. 1 to 11, and 16 to 30 by the Chicago Tie Preserving Company, Chicago, Illinois; Nos. 12 to 15 by the Illinois Central Railroad Company; Nos. 31 to 41 by the Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago and St. Louis Railroad Company. Table I gives a description of the several ties used. The ties were taken either from the stock pile of the railroad companies or from those of the treating plant. No attempt has been made to trace their history farther back than the place of growth and the date of treatment. Treated ties were used in a majority of the experiments, since in the future, as the inferior grades are pressed into service, the tendency will doubtless be toward the use of preserved timber.

EXPERIMENTS

Two distinct lines of experiments were undertaken: (1) The determination of the resistance to direct pull of several forms of spikes; and (2) An investigation of the resistance to lateral thrust. Therefore the paper naturally divides itself into two parts: Part I, Resistance to Direct Pull; Part II, Resistance to Lateral Displacement.

All of the experiments were made in the Laboratory of Applied Mechanics, University of Illinois.

PART I RESISTANCE TO DIRECT PULL

The experiments were made with a Riehle 100,000-pound testing machine. Plate I shows the machine with a tie in position for a test. The pulling device for ordinary spikes, also shown in Plate I, was a Verona spike-puller threaded into a piece of steel gripped between the lower jaws of the machine; the pulling device for the screw spikes was of the same general pattern and was designed especially for these tests. A scale graduated to 1-16 of an inch was so set that the distance moved through the lower head of the machine could be measured directly. A load of 500

pounds was applied to insure the tie's having a good bearing before any records were taken. The machine was geared to move at the rate of 5-8 of an inch per minute, which allowed time for carefully balancing the machine and for taking the readings of the scales. Five observations were usually taken; viz., when the lower head of the machine had moved through 1-8, 1-4, 1-2 and 3-4 of an inch, and also at the point at which the maximum fiber resistance was developed. No observations were made after the spike had been pulled 3-4 of an inch, as it would have lost its usefulness long before that point had been reached.

Further consideration of this part of the paper will be continued under the following heads: Art. 1, Holding Power of Ordinary Spikes; Art. 2, Holding Power of Screw Spikes without Linings; and Art. 3, Holding Power of Screw Spikes with Helical Linings.

ART. 1 HOLDING POWER OF ORDINARY SPIKES

The ordinary spikes were received from the following companies, the numbers in this list being the designations in the subsequent tables: Nos. 1 and 2 from the Pennsylvania Railroad Company; Nos. 3 and 4 from the American Iron and Steel Manufacturing Company, Scranton, Pennsylvania; Nos. 5 to 10 from Dillworth, Porter and Company, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania; No. 11 from the W. A. Zelnicker Supply Company, St. Louis, Missouri, and Nos. 12 to 14 from the Illinois Steel Company, Chicago, Illinois.

The nominal dimensions of the four sizes of spikes are shown in Table II. The actual lengths varied considerably from the nominal lengths, usually being less. This was particularly true concerning the 6-inch spike. The actual cross sections were nearly the same as the nominal, the variation in thickness rarely being over 1-64 of an inch. As the range in thickness of the spikes was only 1-16 of an inch, some experiments were made with plain, square and chisel-pointed bars 1-2, 3-4, and 7-8 of an inch thick to determine the relation between the holding power and the cross section. The spikes had differently shaped points, as shown in Table II. Three spikes were used for each experiment, and these three were always of the same size and lot number.


The spikes were driven by Mr. M. Flood, an experienced track foreman detailed for this purpose by the division engineer of the Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago and St. Louis Railway.

TABLE II
DESCRIPTION OF THE ORDINARY SPIKES

Record Number	Nominal Length, inches	Section, inches square	Area, square inches	Type of Point	Depth Inserted, inches	Condition of Surface of Spike
1	6	5-8	0.372	Chisel	5	Smooth
$\frac{1}{2}$	5 1-2	5-8	0.372	Chisel	5	Smooth
3	5 1-2	5-8	0.372	Blunt	5	Smooth
4	5 1-2	5-8	0.372	Blunt	5	Smooth
$\frac{4}{5}$	6	5-8	0.372	Sharp	5	Smooth
6	5 1-2	19-32	0.352	Sharp	5	Smooth
7	5 1-2	19-32	0.352	Chisel	5	Smooth
7 8 9	6	5-8	0.372	Blunt	5	Smooth
9	5 1-2	9-16	0.316	Blunt	5	Smooth
10	5 1-2	9-16	0.316	Sharp	5	Smooth
11	5 1-2	9-16	0.316	Chisel	5	Smooth
12	5 1-2	9-16	0.316	Sharp	5	Smooth
13	5 1-2	9-16	0.316	Chisel	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Smooth
14	6	5-8	0.372	Chisel	5	Smooth


Whole ties were used to insure freedom from splitting in driving the spikes, and care was exercised to avoid driving the spike into knots or cracks. The spikes were driven into the tie to a depth of 5 inches. In some instances, as shown in the record, holes were bored for the ordinary spikes, the hole being 1-16 or 1-8 of an inch less in diameter than the cross sectional dimensions of the spike. The depth of boring was not quite as great as the depth of insertion, so that the pointed end of the spike was forced into the undisturbed wood. Table III gives the detailed numerical results of the tests and Plates II and III show graphically the curves of average resistances of the different ties.

PLATE II



Curves Showing Resistance to Withdrawal of the Spike from the Tie.

PLATE III



Curves Showing Resistance to Withdranal of the Spike from the Tie.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{TABLE III} \\ \textbf{DETAILED RECORD OF TESTS OF DIRECT PULL OF ORDINARY SPIKES} \\ \end{tabular}$

				Re	sistance for P	in Poun ull of	ds		mum stance
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn, inches
Blue Ash	1	12	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$1800 \\ 4040 \\ 4270$	4460 5060 4340	5220 4510 3860	4450 3990 3370	6840 7260 6330	3-8 3-16 3-16
	s		Av.	4150	4630	4530	3970	6810	3-16
	2	6	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	2220 3390 2860	4700 6940 5670	5250 4710 4890	5230 4710 4830	8740 8020 8540	3-8 5-16 3-8
			Av.	3000	5770	4890	4830	8640	3-8
Sweet Gum	3	5	$\frac{1}{2}$	2630 3940 5180	1930 4010 3920	2010 3000 4620	2220 2550 4560	4300 5640 5180	3-16 3-16 1-8
			Av.	3920	3960	2690	2470	5040	3-16
	3	14	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	$2900 \\ 3470 \\ 3540$	4030 4100 3580	3260 2750 3030	2720 2780 2500	5610 5370 4900	3-16 3-16 3-16
			Av.	3300	3900	3010	2640	5330	3-16
-	3	5	1 2 3	3030 2690 5030	5100 5570 3400	2930 4040	2930 3100	5100 5570 5700	1-4 1-4 3-16
			Av.	3580	4370	3440	3420	5440	1-4
	3	11	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2110 \\ 2780 \\ 1680 \end{array}$	4030 3190 4100	2340 2320 3730	1680 3340	4030 4810 4980	1-4 3-16 5-16
			Av.	2190	3770	2790	2510	4610	1-4
	3	3	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$	2650 3890 2910	6500 4100 6180	4410 3590 4800	4030 3340 4070	6500 5460 6180	1-4 3-16 1-4
		11.5	Av.	3150	5590	4190	3810	6050	1-4

TABLE III—Continued

				R		e in Pour Pull of	nds		imum stance
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn,
Water Oak	4	14	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	2790 3300 2220	6580 7060 5330	4190 2970 3920	3930 2940 3200	7560 7060 7740	5-16 1-4 5-16
			Av.	2770	6320	3660	3360	7450	5-16
	5	14	1 2 3.	2870 1610	6040 4460	4270 5060	3400 4240	7720 7780	5-16 3-8
			Av.	2240	5250	4660	3820	7750	3-8
	26	14	1 2 3	2560 3440 3160	5430 3340	3610 3050 3200	3530 2590 3210	6150 4960 5810	1-4 3-16 3-16
			Av.	3050	4380	3290	3110	5640	3-16
	29	14	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1580 \\ 1470 \\ 2190 \end{array}$	3900 3550 4070	3970 3450 2990	3160 3090	6000 5110 4070	5-16 5-16 1-4
			Av.	1740	3840	3470	3130	5060	5-16
	4	6	$\frac{1}{2}$	1960 2390 3200	6030 5320 6380	5420 4380	4530 4100	8690 8040 7320	5-16 3-8 5-16
			Av.	2520	5920	4900	4320	8020	5-16
	5	6	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2750 \\ 4330 \\ 1610 \end{array}$	6070 4890 4360	5260 3430 3190	4560 3040 3020	8580 5270 4760	3-8 3-16 1-4
			Av.	2930	5240	3960	3870	6200	1-4
	25	7	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$3370 \\ 1800 \\ 2550$	3860 5440 4490	3380 3370 3680	3180 3130 3230	4910 5440 4490	3-16 1-4 1-4
			Av.	2570	4600	3380	3180	4940	1-4
	26	6	1 2 3	3200 2130 3500	5300 5710 5820	4020 4200 4620	3820 3700 4340	5300 5710 5820	1-4 1-4 1-4
			Av.	2940	5610	4280	3950	5610	1-4

TABLE III—Continued

				Re		in Pour Pull of	nds		imum stance				
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn, inches				
Water Oak	29	6	1 2 3	2810 4620 3720	4480 3450	3750 4070 2910	3160 3720	4480 4760 3720	1-4 3-16 1-8				
			Av.	3720	3970	3240	3440	4320	3-16				
	4	13	1 2 3	2820 3130 3430	5920 5600 6330	4360 4170 4440	4360 3460 4060	9000 7450 9000	3-8 5-16 3-8				
			Av.	3160	5980	3320	4260	8380	3-8				
	5	11	$\frac{1}{2}$	3000 3200 3230	6020 8010 5800	3340 4720 3900	2750 5300 3890	6240 9180 6490	5-16 5-16 5-16				
			Av.	3140	6610	3950	3980	7300	5-16				
	26	26	26	26	26	26 11	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	3080 2270 1990	5090 5420	2650 3360 3610	2270 2940 3000	4240 5090 5420	3-16 1-4 1-4
			Av.	2450	5260	5210	2770	4920	1-4				
	25	13	$\frac{1}{2}$	2440 3440 1840	5100 6680 3710	4230 4000 2830	3640 3980 2540	6450 6680 4550	5-16 1-4 5-16				
			Av.	3570	5160	3680	3380	5860	5-16				
	34	13	1 2 3	2340 1700 3360	5620 3730 6560	5770 2830 3600	5080 2260 3010	9070 4970 6560	3-8 5-16 1-4				
			Av.	2470	5300	4070	3950	6870	5-16				
	34	14	1 2 3	4090 3090 3180	7000 6780 7280	4070 3550 4660	4020 2900 2870	8430 6780 8040	5-16 1-4 5-16				
			Av.	3450	7020	4090	3260	7750	5-16				
	34	6	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	2370 3010 3900	4720 6670 8130	4940 5210 5060	4730 4930 4540	6400 7360 8130	5-16 5-16 1-4				
			Av.		6510	5070	4740	7290	5-16				

TABLE III—Continued

				Re	esistance for F	in Pour Pull of	nds	Max Resis	imum stance
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn,
Black Oak	16	8	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	3010 3880	6880 7220 8500	5950 4620	4930 4380 3180	9000 9100 8700	3-8 3-8 5-16
			Av.	3450	7530	5300	4160	8940	3-8
	16	14	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	3230 2090	6110 6280 4390	3270 4120 3980	2890 3760 3540	6110 6540 7760	1-4 5-16 3-8
			Av.	2660	5590	3790	3390	6810	5-16
	23	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$2980 \\ 3380 \\ 1220$	6740 7940 2920	3460 4290	3290 3850 4050	8210 7940 9060	5-16 1-4 1-2
			Av.	2200	5130	3870	3730	8070	3-8
	27	5	1 2 3	3430 2870	8070 5910 7570	5300 4500 4200	4740 4170 3850	10000 8970 7070	5-16 5-16 1-4
			Av.	3150	7020	4670	4250	8680	5-16
	27	8	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$3510 \\ 2750 \\ 2940$	8470 7130 6690	3370 2900 4480	3370 2930 2940	8470 8780	1-4 5-16 1-4
			Av.	3070	7430	4600	3080	8620	1-4
	18	11	1	2650	5240	3340	2670	7130	5-16
			3	2660	6190	5040	4440	8250	9-16
			Av.	2660	5720	4190	3550	7690	5-16
	18	10	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1700 \\ 2120 \\ 2250 \end{array}$	3410 3900 4000	3330 3170 2830	2570 2900 3090	5860 5660 4000	3-8 3-8 1-4
			Av.	2020	3770	3110	2850	4880	3-8
	16	11	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	3650 4370 2400	5890 4430 6230	4010 3790 5320	3410 3550 4380	5890 6170 8620	1-4 3-16 5-16
			Av.	3470	5520	4370	3780	6890	1-4

TABLE III-Continued

				Re		in Poun	ds		imum st a nce
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn, inches
Black Oak	27	11	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	4900 3780 2730	7070 5740 6550	3890 3670	3140 3260 3440	7070 5740 6550	1-4 1-4 1-4
			Av.	3800	6450	3780	3280	6450	1-4
	24	10	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	$3950 \\ 1810 \\ 2960$	6580 4050 5390	4150 3460 3600	3650 2780 3410	6880 6510 6500	1-4 5-16 5-16
			Av.	2910	5340	3740	3280	- 6530	5-16
	24	4	$\frac{1}{2}$	$2330 \\ 1880 \\ 3450$	5070 5570 6500	5820 4320 4300	5710 3740 3800	7740 7010 7360	3-8 5-16 5-16
	-		Av.	2550	5710	4810	4740	7240	5-16
	23	7	$\frac{1}{2}$	1820 2250 2960	4690 4110 7120	2800 5520 4590	2880 3880 3620	8790 7120	5-16 7-16 1-4
			Av.	2340	5760	3930	3120	7700	3-8
	24	6	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2520 \\ 1810 \\ 3020 \end{array}$	6110 5710 6480	4040 4160 3980	3490 3490 3710	7070 7070 7360	5-16 5-16 5-16
			Av.	2650	6130	4030	3560	7130	5-16
Red Oak	6	8	1 2 3	1870 2320	4750 6750	4410 4150	4190 3760	7190 8300	3-8 5-16
	1		Av.	2050	5750	4280	3980	7750	3-8
	9	8	1 2 3	2210 2940 3170	5460 6840 6570	4310 3730 3410	4100 3370 3360	7300 7200 6570	5-16 5-16 1-4
			Av.	2640	6290	3820	3610	6790	5-16

TABLE III—Continued

				Re		in Pour	nds		imum stance			
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn, inches			
Red Oak	7	1	1 2 3	1450 2030	3300 4200	8700 7780	4920 4220	9210 8800	5-8 7-16			
			Av.	1740	3750	8240	4570	9000	1-2			
	8	8	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1570 \\ 1730 \\ 1950 \end{array}$	3100 3750 4890	2910 3220 4200	2600 2990 3220	7330 7230 8970	7-16 7-16 7-16			
			Av.	1680	3910	3440	2920	7840	7-16			
	22	8	1 2 3 4 5 6	2500 2970 3490 2210 3770 2620	3940 2890 3490 4670 3250 5490	2760 2510 2460 2570 2620 3780	2770 2370 2370 2550 2440 3400	5120 4990 5270 4670 5150 5490	3-16 3-16 3-16 1-4 3-16 1-4			
			Av.	2930	3950	2800	2650	5120	3-16			
	41	1	1 2 3	2170 3900 1930	4400 3650 4300	4540 2230 2690	3630 2420 2530	7040 6040 5650	5-16 3-16 5-16			
			Av.	2660	4110	3150	2860	6240	1-4			
,	17	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1710 \\ 2240 \\ 3280 \end{array}$	5030 5240 6400	5420 9900 7550	6260 6710 7020	9720 11900 10940	3-8 1-2 7-16			
			Av.	2410	5560	7620	6660	10850	3-8			
	6	12	1 2 3 4	3520 3700 3690 3320	4480 4350	3300 3500 3710 3550	2910 3640 3080 2990	5950 6930 4460 6240	3-16 1-4 3-16 3-16			
						Av.	3550	4410	3520	3150	5900	3-16
	6	11	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	2150 2990 3240	5330 7830 7000	4640 4570 4710	3420 3200 3670	7580 7830 8280	5-16 1-4 5-16			
			Av.	2760	6740	4640	3430	7890	5-16			

TABLE III—Continued

				Re		e in Poun Pull of	ds		imum stance
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn, inches
Red Oak	7	12	$\frac{1}{2}$	2430 3430	5010 6110	7120 4930	5630 4300	9080 7020	3-8 5-16
		:11	Av.	2930	5560	6030	4960	8030	3-8
	9.	12	$\frac{1}{2}$	$3530 \\ 3000 \\ 3720$	5410 6280 7140	3790 3950 4350	$3680 \\ 3510 \\ 4300$	5410 6280 7140	1-4 1-4 1-4
	6		Av.	3420	- 6280	4030	3830	6280	1-4
	9	13	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$3270 \\ 3740 \\ 3690$	4600 4610	3790 3730 3540	3630 3110 3180	7030 6660 6130	1-4 3-16 3-16
			Av.	3560	4600	3680	3320	6610	3-16
	17	12	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$			11620* 11230 10630			
			Av.			11490			
	28	12	1 2 3	3910 3810	7150 5000	3430 4410 4270	$\frac{3130}{3480}$ $\frac{3670}{3670}$	6390 7150 6760	1-4 1-4 3-16
			Av.	.3860	6080	4040	3530	6770	1-4
	28	11	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	6250 2880	4000 6870	5200 3280 3740	3710 2600 3280	8200 6250 6870	5-16 1-8 1-4
			Av.	4570	5440	4070	3200	7100	1-4
	8	12	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3030}{2680}$ $\frac{3580}{3580}$	6800 6250	6080 6680	4950 4640	9420 9240	5-16 3-8
			Av.	3060	6530	6380	4790	9330	5-16

^{*}This was the first tie tested, and gave unusually high results.

TABLE III—Continued

				R		e in Pour Pull of	nds		imum stance
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn, inches
Red Oak	22	13	1 2 3	2440 2850 1880	5810 5040 4530	3270 2770 3700	3340 2170 3450	5810 5040 6280	1-4 1-4 3-8
			Av.	2390	5130	3250	2990	5710	1-4
	30	12	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3700}{1700}$	3960 3560	2950 2920	2460 3150	5500 4720	3-16 3-8
			Av.	2700	3760	2940	3010	5110	1-4
	30	10	1 2 3	$\begin{array}{c} 1680 \\ 2020 \\ 2540 \end{array}$	3580 4070 4590	3070 2490 3070	3030 2480 2890	5570 5220 6080	3-8 5-16 3-8
			Av.	2040	4070	2870	2760	5620	3-8
	41	12	$\frac{1}{2}$	4500 4750 1930	7690 5840	3530 3200 3370	3340 3300 3750	7690 7210 7430	1-4 3-16 5-16
			Av.	3730	6760	3360	3460	7440	1-4
	41	9	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$3670 \\ 3760 \\ 4620$	7950 7110 4500	$3100 \\ 3450 \\ 4450$	2420 3300 3960	7950 7110 6230	1-4 1-4 3-16
			Av.	4010	6520	3660	3220	7090	1-4
	28	3	1 2 3			5290 4940 4190	4000 4420 3510	8290 7840 4940	5-16 5-16 1-8
			Av.			4810	3970	7020	5-16
Burr Oak	35	1	1 2 3	$2960 \\ 1410 \\ 3090$	4960 3570 6850	8240 9450 5930	5560 6220 5600	8240 9450 9440	1-2 1-2 3-8
			Av.	2490	5130	7540	5780	9040	1-2
	35	11	1 2 3	4020 2200 2230	8640 3390 5020	6210 9240 6290	5770 4500 5250	10560 9240 9000	3-16 1-2 3-8
			$ _{Av.} $	2820	5680	7250	5170	9600	3-8

TABLE III--Continued

				Re	esistance for 1	e in Pour Pull of	nds		imum tance
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn, inches
Burr Oak	35	8	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2690}{2740}$	7040 5840	4820 4060	4110 3700	10090 7920	3-8 3-16
			Av.	2710	6440	4440	3950	9000	1-4
White Oak	31	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	3240 2430 3700	7030 5870 7500	3400 4390 4180	3140 3850 3330	7030 7580 7500	1-4 3-8 1-4
			Av.	3150	6800	3990	3440	7370	3-16
	31	14	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	3960 2250	4020 7100 5580	3600 4000 3650	3280 3750 3200	7830 7100 8980	3-16 1-4 3-8
			Av.	3110	5560	3750	3410	7940	3-16.
	33	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	4220 1950 3190	3570 3670 5260	3810 4640 3810	3040 3340 3500	7520 6940 6410	3-16 3-8 5-16
			Av.	3120	4160	4090	3290	6990	5-16
	32	7	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$3860 \\ 3460 \\ 1610$	9440 6400 3740	5930 3710 4670	$4650 \\ 3680 \\ 5570$	9440 8650 9360	1-4 5-16 1-2
			Av.	2980	6530	4770	4300	9150	3-8
	33	7	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4790 \\ 4150 \\ 4630 \end{array}$	3910 4930 3840	2860 3510 3070	$\begin{array}{c} 2530 \\ 3270 \\ 2450 \end{array}$	5750 6500 6030	3-16 3-16 3-16
			Av.	4520	4230	3150	2750	6090	3-16
	32	10	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2400 \\ 3100 \\ 2570 \end{array}$	3490 4840 6410	8280 5410 10670	3820 3880 4400	8280 10190 10670	1-2 3-8 1-2
			Av.	2690	4910	8120	4030	9710	1-2
	33	10	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	2930 3080 3890	5490 6360 6810	2390 2860 3540	2330 2460 3360	5490 6360 6810	1-4 1-4 1-4
			Av.	3300	6220	2930	2720	6220	1-4

TABLE III—Continued

				$R\epsilon$		in Pour ull of	ids		mum stance
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn, inches
White Oak	32	9	$\frac{1}{2}$	3630 2960 3490	7500 6760 8270	5340 4250 4810	4650 4500 4590	9640 10650 10750	3-8 3-8 5-16
			Av.	3360	7510	4800	4430	10350	3-8
	31	3	1 2 3	4000 4100	7490 8450	5200 3980 5010	4330 3770 4240	8380 7490 8450	3-8 1-4 1-4
			Av.	4050	7920	4730	4080	8770	3-16
	33	4	1 2 3	$\frac{4200}{4200}$ $\frac{5900}{6}$	7530 3850	4330 4390 3100	3790 3630 2790	7330 7530 6590	3-16 1-4 3-16
			Av.	4830	5690	3940	3410	7150	3-16
Rock Elm	10	5	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2250 \\ 3260 \\ 2910 \end{array}$	6530 7160 5880	4460 4620 4650	4420 3850 4340	8280 7160 7300	5-16 1-4 3-8
			Av.	2810	6520	4580	3210	7910	5-16
	10	2	1 2 3	1920 1960	3770 4510	6060 4420	5310 4160	7410 7730	7-16 3-8
			Av.	1940	4140	5240	4730	7570	3-8
	10	11	1 2 3 4 5	3730 2800 3300 1600	7760 5820 6270 6070 7810	4310 4460 4120 5030 5210	3930 3580 3550 4140 4490	7760 6800 7840 7700 7810	1-4 5-16 5-16 5-16 1-4
Dod Elm			Av.	2800	6950	4620	3340	7600	5-16
Red Elm	13	14	1 2 3	$1240 \\ 3000 \\ 1760$	6500 5970	6430 5080	4380 4960 4040	9230 10040 8810	7-16 7-16 3-8
			Av.	2000	6235	5750	4460	9350	5-16

TABLE III—Continued

				Re		in Pour Pull of	nds		imum stance
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn,
Red Elm	13	2	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	1930 2240 1960	3990 3860 4200	4540 5250 4540	3760 3970 3850	7730 8100 7120	5-16 7-16 3-8
			Av.	2040	4020	4770	3890	7650	7-16
	13	10	1 2 3	$2810 \\ 2450 \\ 2140$	4930 4800 5030	4850 4930 3790	3510 3740 3210	7550 7430 8690	3-8 3-8 7-16
			Av.	2460	4920	4520	3490	7890	3-8
White Elm	12	14	1 2 3	1750 2810 1890	4500 5500 5610	3270 3530 3620	2720 3010 2770	5330 5590 5610	3-8 5-16 1-4
			Av.	2150	5200	3470.	2830	5510	5-16
	12	5	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	2460 2140 1770	5790 5410 5270	3590 2830 2520	2980 2770 2430	6280 5410 5270	5-16 1-4 1-4
			Av.	2120	5490	2980	2720	5650	1-4
	15	5	1 2 3	$\begin{array}{c} 2630 \\ 3810 \\ 2810 \end{array}$	6330 7260 6100	5580 4680 4160	4310 3620	9500 9560 8050	3-8 3-8 5-16
			Av.	. 3080	6560	4770	4000	9030	3-8
	37	5	1 2 3	2490 2790	8130 5760 6540	3900 4040 3770	3660 3330 3420	8130 6650 7460	1-4 5-16 5-16
			Av.	2640	6810	3910	3470 .	7410	5-16
	15	13	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1600 \\ 2230 \\ 1450 \end{array}$	4600 5770 4200	5530 9310	5900 4820 6190	9670 8760 9310	7-16 3-8 1-2
			Av.	1760	4860	7420	5630	9250	7-16
	12	10	1 2 3	1990 1920 1830	3560 4320 3930	3350 3540 2360	2700 2690 1650	5370 5450 4100	3-8 3-8 1-4
			Av.	1910	3970	3080	2010	4970	3-8

TABLE III-Continued

				R		e in Pour Pull of	nds		imum tance
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1.4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn, inches
White Elm	15	12	1 2 3.	2430 2600	5590 5630 5550	4670 3870 3140	3810 3350	7860 6140 5550	3-8 5-16 1-4
			Av.	2510	5920	3890	3580	6520	5-16
	37	2	1 2 3	$2100 \\ 2920 \\ 3150$	4710 5160 5900	3390 4070 4300	3330 3840 3860	7170 6310 7570	3-8 5-16 3-8
			Av.	2390	5290	3920	3680	7020	3-8
Beech	14	6	1 2 3	2870 2230	5150 5660	5390 4870 5310	4670 4320 4940	7680 7190 7820	1-4 3-8 5-16
			Av.	2550	5400	5190	4470	7560	5-16
	36	6	1 2 3	$\frac{4330}{3610}$ $\frac{2640}{2640}$	4740 8100 8120	4400 6230 5470	4510 5320 4640	7120 8560 9080	3-8 1-4 5-16
			Av.	3530	6990	5030	4820	8250	- 5-16
	14	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2550 \\ 2200 \\ 2120 \end{array}$	4740 5570 4910	4570 5690 4800	4100 4190 3970	7670 8170 7860	5-16 3-8 3-8
			Av.	2290	5070	4700	4090	7900	3-8
	36	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3210}{3110}$ $\frac{2120}{2120}$	5940 6900 5440	4010 4170 5240	3840 3900 4130	8460 10400 8270	3-8 3-8 5-16
			Av.	2850	6090	4470	3960	9040	3-8
	14	9	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$2660 \\ 1500 \\ 1490$	5070 2820 3810	9910 8900	3560 5060 5280	8130 9910 9220	3-8 1-2 7-16
	13		Av.	1880	3900	8960	4630	9090	7-16
	36	9	1 2 3	2130 2940 2370	4900 6640 4920	4240 3860 3830	4210 3650 3600	9890 9430 8900	3-8 5-16 3-8
			Av.	2480	5490	3980	3820	9410	3-8

TABLE III-Continued

				Re	sistance for P	in Poun ull of	ds		mum stance
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn, inches
Poplar	11	2	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	2700 4690 3000	4690 5100	3980 3240 3240	3520 2890 2900	4690 4980 5100	1-4 3-16 1-4
			Av.	3460	4890	3490	3100	4920	1-4
	19	2	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2750 \\ 2710 \\ 3100 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4510 \\ 5270 \\ 6050 \end{array}$	4400 2840 4080	3980 2610 3650	6990 5270 6050	3-8 1-4 1-4
			Av.	2850	5240	3760	3410	5900	1-4
	11	12	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2220}{2750}$	5130 4940	2960 3800	2750 3590	5350 5070	5-16 5-16
			Av.	2480	5040	3280	3170	5210	5-16
	19	12	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2610}{2460}$	5670 6220	4400	4170	6250 7040	5-16 5-16
	*		Av.	2530	5990	4400	4170	6650	5-16
Chestnut	40	14	1 2 3	2300 2330 3730	3100 2600 3370	2410 2860 2370	$\begin{array}{c} 2260 \\ 2460 \\ 2100 \end{array}$	4300 4060 5050	3-16 3-16 3-16
			Av.	2490	3060	2540	2270	4470	3-16
	40	5	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	3010 3300	2720 3570	2650 2950	2650 2400	5830 5180 5500	3-16 3-16 3-16
			Av.	3150	3150	2800	2520	5510	3-16
	40	12	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$3320 \\ 5110 \\ 2000$	6230 4000	3050 2490	2270 2430	6230 5110 4000	1-4 1-8 1-4
			Av.	3480	5110	2770	2350	5110	1-4
	40	4	1 2 3	1300 2300 2440	3780 5420 5640	3170 3360 3190	2940 2780 2590	5420 5420 6220	3-16 1-4 5-16
			Av.		4950	3240	2770	5690	1-4

TABLE III—Concluded

				Re		in Poun ull of	ids		imum stance
Kind of Tie	Tie No.	Spike No.	Test No.	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Withdrawn, inches
Loblolly Pine	39	14	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$	3390 3760 4050	2970 3980 2860	2620 2790 2020	2590 2420 1850	3390 3980 4050	1-8 1-4 1-8
			Av.	3730	3270	2480	2290	3810	1-8
	21	14	$\frac{1}{2}$	$2880 \\ 1980 \\ 4510$	4550 2110 3910	2370 1890 3340	1870 1570 2880	4550 3520 5200	1-4 3-16 3-16
			Av.	3120	3520	2560	2110	4420	3-16
	20	5	1 2 3 4	2250 2810 3610 1890	4550 2670 2690	2930 2720 2290	2540 2640 2030	$\begin{array}{c} 4550 \\ 4570 \\ 3610 \\ 3710 \end{array}$	1-4 3-16 1-8 3-16
			Av.	2640	3270	2650	2410	4110	3-16
	21	10	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3570}{2550}$	4450 4890	$\frac{2500}{3400}$	2230 3020	4450 4890	1-4 1-4
			Av.	3060	4670	2950	2630	4670	1-4
	20	3	1 2 3	$3090 \\ 2610 \\ 1870$	$4800 \\ 2330 \\ 3810$	2730 2300 2510	2320 2030 2280	4800 3440 3810	1-4 3-16 1-4
			Av.	2860	3650	2510	2210	4020	1-4
	39	6	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	$3110 \\ 1560 \\ 1630$	2120 3880 3330	2170 3060 2640	1710 2380 2650	3110 3880 3330	1-8 1-4 1-4
			Av.	2100	3110	2960	2250	3440	1-4

A study of the results of Table III has been made to determine: (A) Comparative holding power in untreated ties; (B) Comparative holding power in treated ties; (C) Comparative holding power of the same timber, treated and untreated; (D) Effect of preservative on the holding power; (E) Relation between the cross section of the spike and holding power; (F) Relation between the depth of pene-

tration and the holding power; (G) Effect of the point of the spike on the holding power; (H) Effect of bored holes on the holding power; (I) Effect upon the holding power of re-driving the spike.

A Comparative Holding Power in Untreated Ties

Table IV is compiled from Table III to show the average holding power for different untreated ties. Each result in Table IV is the average of the corresponding results in Table III.

TABLE IV

AVERAGE HOLDING POWER IN UNTREATED TIES

	sts	pikes	Poun	ance in ds for		imum stance	per c	istanc ent of	that
Kind of Tie	Tests	Spi	a Pu	ıll of		9 .	in V	Vhite	Oak
of Tie	No. of	No. of	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Pulled, inches	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	Maxi- mum
White Oak	10	30	3510	3950	7870	5-16	100	100	100
Elm Beech	11 3	33	$\frac{2310}{2240}$	5390 3790	7290 8180	3-8 3-8	66 64	136 96	93
Chestnut Loblolly	4	12	2990	4070	5190	3-16	86	103	66
Pine ·	2	6	2920	3190	3630	3-16	85	81	46

Table IV shows the comparative holding power of five kinds The last three columns show the holding power in terms of that of white oak. It is thought that a pull of 1-4 of an inch gives results which are of more value in comparing the holding power of the different kinds of ties than the results for either greater or less distances, since the results for the 1-4-inch pull represent the resistances of the various timbers to the withdrawal of the spike for a distance which should not be exceeded in practice. and since the maximum resistance and the results for a pull of 1-8 of an inch represent the resistances for distances which are therefore not of so much consequence as the 1-4-inch pull. that with chestnut and loblolly pine the maximum resistance occurs at 3-16 of an inch, which is a reason for comparing their maximum resistance with that of white oak at 1-4 of an inch instead of with its maximum resistance, as in Table IV. If this is done, the efficiencies of chestnut and loblolly pine for a 1-4-inch pull or less are 131 and 85 per cent respectively.

The fact that the maximum resistance did not occur until the spike had been pulled from 3-16 to 3-8 of an inch is interesting. While the spike is being driven the fibers of the wood are bent downward and are pressed outward, and as the spike is withdrawn the friction between the spike and the wood tends to draw the fibers into their original position, which causes them to crowd laterally against the spike and also toward the surface of the tie, until finally the external pull exceeds the internal resistance and the spike slips. When the fiber structure is open, there is considerable cellular space for the displaced fibers to occupy, and therefore the maximum resistance is low, and is quickly attained; but when the fiber structure is compact, the reverse is true.

As the loblolly pine ties should always be preserved, the results in Table IV for this timber are of doubtful value. For the best results elm ties also should be treated; but as some species of elm do not absolutely require treatment, elm is properly included in Table IV. Arranging these timbers in the descending order of their resistances for a 1-4-inch pull, we have elm, chestnut, white oak, beech and loblolly pine.

The maximum holding power for the first three timbers in Table IV is satisfactory, but that for the last two is quite low. The last fact indicates that when timber of the softer varieties or timber having loose fiber structure is used for ties, some more efficient form of fastening should be devised.

B Comparative Holding Power in Treated Ties

Table V is compiled from Table III to show the average holding power obtained with various treated ties, each result in this table being the mean of the corresponding values in Table III. The average results obtained with untreated white oak are also included so that comparisons can be made.

The average for the resistances for all of the treated timbers is shown at the foot of the table. Excluding the last two timbers, the average resistance for the 1-4-inch pull is 5690 pounds. The maximum resistance of the last two timbers should be averaged with the resistances of the others for the 1-4-inch pull, in which case the average resistance for all of the timbers for a 1-4-inch pull or less is 5400 pounds.

Table V shows that the resistances of the several timbers do not differ widely, and that the soft timbers give results which

TABLE V

AVERAGE HOLDING POWER IN TREATED TIES

	sts	Spikes	Poun	ance in ds for		mum stance		istance	
Kind of Tie	Tests		a Pu	ıll of		e	of W	Thite	Oak
Tie	No. of	No. of	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	Pounds	Distance Pulled, inches	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	Maxi- mum
White Oak									
(Untreated)	10	30	3510	3950	7870	5-16	100	100	100
Water Oak	16	48	2870	5730	6780	5-16	82	145	86
Black Oak	13	39	2910	5890	7230	5-16	83	149	92
Red Oak	20	60	2950	5350	7730	5-16	84	135	98
Burr Oak	3	9	2670	5750	9210	3-8	76	145	117
Ash	, 2	6	3570	5200	7730	5-16	101	131	98
Elm	5	15	2590	5940	7500	5-16	74	150	96
Beech	3	9	2950	6190	8900	3-8	84	157	113
Poplar	4	12	2830	5290	5670	5-16	81	134	72
Loblolly Pine	4	12	2920	3780	4310	1-4	83	109	55
Sweet Gum	5	15	3230	5320	5300	3-16	92	96	67
Av.			2950	5320	7040		84	135	89

compare favorably with those obtained for the hard woods. This table also shows that the range for the maximum resistances is much greater than that for either the 1-8-or the 1-4-inch pull. The resistances for the different species of oak are very nearly the same, the mean for a 1-8 inch pull being 2850 pounds, for a 1-4-inch pull 5680 pounds and for the maximum 7740 pounds. Notice that with nearly all of the timbers the maximum resistance was obtained after the spike was pulled more than 1-4 of an inch, but there is no apparent relation between the amount of the holding power and the distance through which the spike has been pulled.

Comparing the resistances of treated timbers with that of untreated white oak, we see that the initial resistance of the white oak is higher than any of the other woods except one; while on the other hand, the resistance at 1-4 of an inch in white oak is less than in any of the other woods save one. The maximum resistances of all but the last three timbers are practically the same.

Considering the uniformity of the results obtained with a pull of 1-4 of an inch in the few timbers which were available, there appears to be no strong reason for much discrimination between the different treated timbers.

C Comparative Holding Power of the Same Timber, Treated and Untreated

Table VI has been compiled from Table III for the purpose of studying the effect of the treatment upon the holding power of a timber.

TABLE VI
RELATIVE HOLDING POWER IN TREATED AND UNTREATED TIES

		ses		Res		ice and ue to I		in Poun ient	ids
Kind of Tie	No. of Ties	No. of Spikes	Condition of Tie	1-8 in. Pull	Gain	1-4 in. Pull	Gain	Maximum Resistance	Gain
Elm	3 2	27 15	Untreated Treated	2310 2590	280	5390 5940	550	7290 7500	210
Beech	1	9	Untreated Treated	2240 2950	710	3790 6190	2400	8180 8900	820
Loblolly Pine	$\frac{1}{2}$	6 12	Untreated Treated	2920 2920	000	3190 3730	640	$\frac{3630}{4310}$	680
Red Oak	3 4	15 21	Untreated Treated					$\frac{6460}{7730}$	1270

Table VI shows that higher resistances are developed in treated than in untreated ties. The average increase due to treatment for a 1-8 inch pull was 330 pounds; for a 1-4 inch pull, excluding the seemingly unreasonable increase in beech, 685 pounds; and for the maximum resistance 747 pounds.

Considerable reliance is placed upon the conclusions drawn from Table VI, inasmuch as the methods of making the tests were exactly the same for the treated and untreated ties, and since the same number of spikes, fifty-seven, was used in both cases, and also since the preserved ties were treated by different processes and at different plants.

The increased resistance due to treatment has two causes: (1) The presence of the preservative in the cells, thus reducing the space into which the fibers can crowd as the spike is withdrawn; and (2) The hardening of the fibers by the steaming, preparatory to treatment, which renders them less pliable.

The movement which took place among the fibers near the surface of the tie is interesting. In the untreated ties there was a crumpling of the fibers close to the spike, while the fibers in the treated ties were torn out in deep slivers extending from the spike to the blocks which supported the tie.

D Effect of the Preservatives on the Holding Power

Three distinct kinds of preserving solutions were used in the ties tested,—creosote, zinc-creosote and zinc-tannin.

Table VII has been compiled from Table III to study the effect produced by the treating solution upon the holding power of the tie.

Table VII does not show any marked difference between the resistances in ties treated with the different preservative solutions. For example, the maximum resistance of the red oak is lower when treated with zinc-tannin than when treated with zinc-creosote, but the reverse is true of the initial resistance of the red oak and also of the maximum resistance of black oak. With elm the initial resistance is higher in creosoted ties than in those treated with zinc-creosote, but the maximum resistance is lower. If any rating were made in order of efficiency, it would appear about as follows: (1) creosote, (2) zinc-creosote, and (3) zinc-tannin. However, there are too many uncertain quantities involved to make such a rating reliable; and morever, the effect of the treating solution upon the holding power is only one of the many elements which must be considered when choosing between the different treating solutions.

E Relation between the Cross Section of the Spike and the Holding Power

The question to be answered here is, which size of spike will develop the highest holding power. To answer this question, Table VIII showing the relation between the cross section and the holding power has been compiled from Table III.

From a study of the results of Table VIII it will be noticed that no general rating can be made for the various sized spikes in order of the resistances developed, since the spike which develops the lowest holding power for the 1-8-inch or the 1-4-inch pull seldom develops the highest maximum resistance. For example, in white oak, the 19-32-inch spike developed the highest resistance for the

 ${\bf TABLE~VII}$ Effect of Different Preservatives on the Holding Power

Kind of	Tie No.	Preservative	Poun	ance in ds for all of	Maximum Resistance
Tie			1-8 inch	1-4 inch	Pounds
	Comparison	of Zinc-Tannin a	nd Creoso	ote	
Water Oak	4, 5, 25, 26, 29	Zinc-Tannin Creosote	2380 3020	$\frac{5010}{6270}$	6260 7310
Red Oak	6, 9, 22, 28, 30 41	Zinc-Tannin Creosote	3170 3120	5470 5800	6580 6920
	Comparison	of Zinc-Creosote :	and Creos	sote	
Red Oak	7, 8 41	Zinc-Creosote Creosote	2350 3120	4940 5800	8500 6920
Elm	10 37	Zinc-Creosote Creosote	2520 2600	5870 6350	7690 7210
	Comparison	of Zinc-Tannin ar	nd Zinc-C	reosote	
Red Oak	6, 7, 8, 9, 22 28, 30	Zinc-Creosote Zinc-Tannin	2350 3170	4940 5470	8500 6580
Black Oak	16, 18 23, 24, 27	Zinc-Creosote Zinc-Tannin	2850 2830	5620 5620	7040 7550

1-8-inch pull, but the 9-16-inch spike developed the highest resistance for the 1-4-inch pull, and also the highest maximum resistance. In black oak the highest resistance for the 1-8-inch pull was developed by the 9-16 spike, but that for the 1-4-inch pull was developed by the 19-32-inch size and the maximum resistance by the 5-8-inch spike. Averaging all of the resistances for the 1-8-inch pull, the 1-4-inch pull and the maximum resistance collectively, we see that the average holding power of the 9-16-inch spike is 4990 pounds, for the 19-32-inch spike 5420 pounds and for the 5-8-inch spike 5290 pounds. Because of the large number of spikes tested, seventy-two 9-16-inch, thirty-six 19-32-inch, and one hundred and two 5-8-inch, and the irregularity of the results, it was decided that no conclusions could be drawn from Table VIII as to the relative holding power of the different sizes of spikes. However, the thick-

TABLE VIII

RELATION BETWEEN THE CROSS SECTION OF THE SPIKE AND ITS HOLDING POWER

		ses		ke,		ance to wal, Pou	
Kind of Tie	No. of Ties No. of Spikes		Condition of Tie	Size of Spike, inches	1-8 in. Pull	1-4 in. Pull	Maximum Resistance
White Oak	2 2 3	9 6 15	Seasoned	9-16 19-32 5-8	3110 3750 3650	6280 5380 6030	8760 7620 7620
Black Oak	4 2 4	15 6 18	Treated	9-16 19-32 5-8	2910 2650 2550	5340 6130 5710	6530 7130 7240
Water Oak	5 6 5	15 18 15	Treated	9-16 19-32 5-8	2960 2970 2650	5560 5310 5360	6670 6010 6730
Red Oak	7 9	21 36	Treated	9-16 5-8	2300 3260	4760 5990	7650 6780
Beech	1 1 1	3 3 3	Seasoned	9-16 19-32 5-8	1880 2550 2290	3900 5400 5070	9410 7660 7900
	1 1 1	3 3 3	Treated	9-16 19-32 5-8	2480 3530 2850	5490 6990 6090	9410 8250 9040
Sweet Gum	1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 12 \end{array}$	Treated	9-16 5-8	2190 3490	3770 4450	4610 5460

ness of the spikes varied by only $1\cdot16$ of an inch or about 10 per cent, and their areas by only 0.075 of a square inch or about 20 per cent.

To test still further the relationship between the size of the spike and the holding power, a series of experiments was made with plain square rods with the results shown in Table IX. Each result is the mean of fifteen tests in a single kind of timber.

TABLE IX

EXPERIMENTS WITH PLAIN SQUARE RODS IN BEECH TIMBER

				Increa	se for ea	ch Increm	ent
	Size of Rod	Area,		si se Area		Resist	stance
	Rod	sq. m.	Avera Max Resu pour	square inches	per cent	pounds	per cent
	Success	sive incren	nents in the	e size of th	ne rod=	1-8 inch	
1-2	inch square	0.250	6280	11111	::		
5-8	inch square	0.391	6970	0.141	53	690	11
5-8 3-4	inch square inch square	$0.391 \\ 0.562$	6970 9070	$0.141 \\ 0.171$	53 44	690 2600	$\frac{11}{37}$
5-8	inch square	0.391	6970	0.141	53	690	11
5-8 3-4	inch square inch square inch square	$0.391 \\ 0.562 \\ 0.765$	6970 9070	0.141 0.171 0.203	53 44 35	690 2600 310	$\frac{11}{37}$
5-8 3-4 7-8	inch square inch square inch square	$0.391 \\ 0.562 \\ 0.765$	6970 9070 9380	0.141 0.171 0.203	53 44 35 e rod =	690 2600 310	11 37 3
5-8 3-4 7-8	inch square inch square inch square Success	0.391 0.562 0.765 sive incren	6970 9070 9380 nents in the	0.141 0.171 0.203 e size of th	53 44 35 e rod =	690 2600 310	$\frac{11}{37}$

It will be seen from the results in Table IX that there is an irregular increase in the holding power as the size of the rod is increased. Notice that with increments of 1-8-inch, the successive increments in the resistance are at first large, but with the last rod this increment suddenly falls to practically nothing. This drop in the increment is principally due to the tendency of the large rod to split the tie. The results with 1-16 inch increments do not differ materially from those in the first part of the table.

The deduction for Table IX is that the holding power will be increased as the size of the rod is increased, but that it is not expedient to use rods (or spikes) larger than 3-4 of an inch unless holes are bored for them.

F Relation between the Depth of Penetration and Holding Power


A series of experiments was made to determine the relation . between the depth of penetration and the holding power. The results are given in Table X.

TABLE X $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}$

	Resistance, Pounds									
Depth of Penetration	Test Number									
	1	2	3	4	5	Average				
1-2 in.	150	150	140	160	170	150				
1 in.	480		500	510	490	500				
1 1-2 in.	1440	1000	1760	1320	950	1290				
2 in.	2250	2250	2050	2900	2760	2450				
2 1-2 in.	3430	3840	3050	2940	3570	3360				
3 in.	3710	3800	4200	4220	4810	4210				
3 1-2 in.	4760	5980	4210	4500	5860	5060				
4 in.	5950	7190	6310	5850	6080	6270				
4 1-2 in.	7510	7510	7720	7340		7520				
5 in.	8380	9070	8540	7790	7900	8340				

The spikes had a taper point approximately 1 inch long. Plate IV shows that the holding power varies directly with the penetration, not counting the taper point. It is impracticable to use a spike longer than 5 1-2 inches in a 6-inch tie, since a longer spike would either pass entirely through the tie or sliver it on the under side. In either case the fiber adjacent to the spike would quickly decay owing to the access of water. In a thicker tie, however, a longer spike could be used advantageously. The main precaution is to keep the spike from damaging the under surface of the tie, otherwise the longer the spike the greater the holding power.

PLATE IV



G Effect of the Point of the Spike on the Holding Power

There were three distinct types of points on the spikes,—blunt-point, chisel-point and bevel-point.





Fig. 1 Forms of Points of Spikes

The average results obtained with spikes having these types of points have been compiled from Table III, and are shown in Table XI. The average and relative resistances of each type of spike for all timbers are shown at the foot of the table. These averages show that both the blunt-pointed and the bevel-pointed spike are higher in holding power than the chisel-pointed spike. Since the average resistances of the blunt and the bevel-pointed spikes are practically the same, and since the blunt-pointed spike develops the highest resistance for the 1-8-inch and the 1-4-inch pull the greatest number of times, the blunt-pointed spike is first in point of efficiency, although the bevel-pointed spike is a close competitor under all conditions. The chisel-pointed spike is last.

The two upper figures of Plate V are the two halves of a redoak tie showing the position of the fibers adjacent to the spike; and the lower figure is a portion of the other end of the same tie split after the spikes had been pulled out. The photograph was taken immediately after the tie had been split. The figures are too small to show details clearly, but an examination of the tie showed that the blunt-pointed spike disturbed more fiber than either the chisel or the bevel-pointed spikes, the last two disturbing about the same amount. The examination also showed that the blunt-pointed spike tore rather than cut the fibers, and deposited them in unequal bundles along its faces, while the chisel-pointed spike cut the fibers and deposited them quite uniformly both across and

PLATE V



EFFECT OF SPIKES IN DISPLACING THE FIBERS OF THE TIE




TABLE XI $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Effect of the Form of the Point of the Spike on the Holding} \\ \textbf{Power} \end{tabular}$

	ses		Resist	ance i	n Pounds	for	Maxim	
Kind of Tie	of Spikes	Type of Point	1-8 in.	Pull	1-4 in.	Pull	Resist	ance
	No.		Pounds	Rela- tive	Pounds	Rela- tive	Pounds	Relative
Water Oak	33	Chisel	2780	100	5520	100	6540	100
	15	Bevel	3050	110	5440	98	6330	97
Black Oak	9	Blunt	3020	106	6890	121	8280	119
	18	Chisel	2850	100	5690	100	-6930	100
	12	Bevel	2680	91	5560	98	-6800	98
Red Oak	18 21 21	Blunt Chisel Bevel	2220 2880 3100	77 100 107	4400 5350 5580	100 104	5760 7630 7370	76 100 97
White Oak	10	Blunt	4080	117	7040	135	8760	123
	12	Chisel	3490	100	5190	100	7090	100
	6	Bevel	2990	86	5610	108	8010	113
Elm	21	Chisel	2150	100	5240	100	7710	100
	21	Bevel	2500	116	5740	109	7050	92
Beech	6	Blunt	2180	85	4670	84	9250	109
	6	Chisel	2570	100	5580	100	8470	100
	6	Bevel	3040	118	6190	111	7900	93
Chestnut	3	Blunt	2850	114	4950	162	5690	127
	3	Chisel	2490	100	3060	100	4470	100
	6	Bevel	3320	133	4130	135	5310	119
Loblolly Pine	3 6 9	Blunt Chisel Bevel	2860 3420 2800	84 100 82	3650 3390 5010	118 100 148	4020 4120 5520	97 100 134
Average		Blunt	2870	101	5340	112	6960	105
for all		Chisel	2840	100	4810	100	6610	100
Timbers		Bevel	2930	103	5490	114	6800	103

in front of each face. The bevel-pointed spike forced a majority of the fibers to the front face and toward the corners. The relatively high holding power of both the blunt and the bevel-pointed spikes is due to this unequal concentration of the fibers.

H Effect of Bored Holes on the Holding Power

A series of tests was made to study the effect of boring holes for the spike. The first step was to determine the proper size of the hole. Table XII shows the summary of a series of tests made at the University of Illinois in 1891* to determine the relationship between the holding power and the "drift".

TABLE XII

RESULTS OF EXPERIMENTS WITH SQUARE DRIFT-BOLTS IN PINE TIMBER

	Size of	Drift,	Holding Power, Pounds			
Size of Drift-Bolt	Hole, inches	inches	6-inch depth	Per inch depth		
1 inch square 1 inch square 1 inch square 1 inch square	16-16 15-16 14-16 13-16	1-16 1-8 3-16	3972 4260 4660 4050	662 710 777 675		

This table shows that with 1 inch square drift-bolts a drift of 1-8 of an inch gives a maximum holding power, but that a drift of 1-16 of an inch gives nearly as much resistance. It is not known that this relation holds with bolts less than 1 inch square, but the author assumed that this was sufficient reason for using a drift of 1-16 and 1-8 of an inch in this investigation, which conclusion is in accord with the usual railroad practice.

The second step was to determine the resistance to the different sized spikes in different kinds of ties. The detailed results for these experiments are given in Table XIII. Notice that the results are arranged according to the drift. The average results from Table XIII are shown in Table XIV along with the results from Table III for the same spike driven in the ordinary way.

The average resistances for all timbers, recorded at the foot of Table XIV, show that for a pull of 1-4 of an inch or less the spike driven into a bored hole develops higher holding power than one driven in the ordinary way. For a 1-4-inch pull or less the relative resistances show a marked increase in a majority of cases, but the maximum resistance for spikes driven into bored holes is usually the lowest.

^{*} Technograph No. 5, 1891, University of Illinois

TABLE XIII
HOLDING POWER OF ORDINARY SPIKES IN BORED HOLES

		fole,	Re	sistance for P	in Pour ull of	nds	Maxi Resis	
Kind of Tie	Size of Spike, in. sq.	Diameter of Hole, inches	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Pull, inches
			Hole 1-1	6 in. Sm	aller th	an Spike		
Water Oak	9-16	1-2	2330 2050 2020 1660 2500 3250 2390	3860 3860 6470 4450 6400 3750 4890	3660 3970 4740 4090 4120 3440 3930	3180 3320 4010 3890 3600 3120 3080	5740 5730 6750 6460 6400 4940 6740	5-16 3-8 5-16 5-16 1-4 3-16 5-16
		Av.	2310	4810	3990	3410	6110	1
Black Oak	9-16	1-2	3460 3000 4590 2670 2910 2260	6770 7120 6810 6350 6710 6720	3570 3810 3550 3850 3390 3810	2850 3360 3350 3560 2970 3270	7190 8190 6810 6350 6710 8630	5-16 5-16 1-4 1-4 1-4 1-2
		Av.	3150	6750	7310	3660	3230	
Red Oak	9-16	1-2	3970 3920 2180 2830 2660 2870 2900 3950 2700 2680	6550 6930 5920 6900 4310 5710 6100 6680 7430 7410	3500 3250 4590 3770 3440 4090 3380 4690 3410 3950	3140 3720 3900 3320 2720 3410 3100 4040 3420	6830 6930 6990 6990 5320 5710 6100 6680 7480 7410	5-16 1-4 5-16 1-4 5-16 1-4 1-4 1-4 1-4
		Av.	3070	6390	3810	3420	6640	
	5-8	9-16	3000 3300 3130 2710 2600 2850 3130	5380 5010 6240 6530 5460 5810 6800	3610 3360 3540 4070 5160 4860 6080	3510 3600 4170 4400 4950	5380 5010 6240 7040 6990 8800 9420	1-4 1-4 1-4 5-16 5-16
		Av.	2950	5890	4390	4140	6960	

TABLE XIII—Continued

		Hole,	Re	esistance for P	in Pour ull of	nds	Maxi Resis	mum tance
Kind of Tie	Size of Spike, in. sq.	Diameter of Hole, inches	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Pull, inches
Ash	9-16	1-2	4080 2510 1980 2850 2530	7210 6540 4850 5840 5760	4720 3360 4380 3220 3510	3300 3180 4050 2290 2730	8180 8380 8830 6180 5760	5-16 5-16 7-16 5-16 1-4
		Av.	2790	6040	3840	3090	7460	
	5-8	9-16	3920 2840 1660 2100	4700 6300 5100 6340	3860 4070 5300 5150	3280 3600 4370 4540	6460 6480 8510 8760	3-16 5-16 5-16 5-16
		Av.	2630	5610	4590	3950	7550	
Beech	9-16	1-2	2960 2910 2890 2830 3360 3770 2870 3540	6820 5710 5610 2900 5450 6610 6780 6930 5110	3820 4010 3240 2800 2940 3680 3470 4740 5060	3790 3550 2850 2690 2620 3210 2890 4360 4010	7100 7270 5610 6000 5450 6610 8200 6930 7640	3-10 5-10 1-4 3-10 1-4 1-4 3-8 1-4 3-8
		Av.	3150	5770	3750	3330	6750	
Sweet Gum	9-16	1-2	2850 2530 2250 2630 2790 2610	5840 5760 6210 3940 5220 6300	3220 3510 4640 3350 4220 3900	2290 2730 3570 2870 3680 3370	6180 5760 7170 4940 6010 6300	5-16 1-4 5-16 3-16 3-16 1-4
ET FU		Av.	2610	5550	3810	3080	6060	
	5-8	9-16	3030 2620 2850	3080 5760 3840	2740 3560 3290	2320 2940 2730	4370 5760 5500	3-16 1-4 3-16
		Av.	2830	4230	3200	2660	5210	

TABLE XIII—Concluded

		Hole,	Re		in Poun ull of	ds	Maxi Resis	mum tance
Kind of Tie	Size of Spike, in. sq.	Diameter of I	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	Pounds	Pull, inches
			Hole 1-	8 in. Sm	aller tha	n Spike		
Red Oak	5-8	1-2	1800 2340 2630 3170 4070 4720	5710 6860 5850 4410 3000 4220	5000 4490 4010 2570 2600 2550	4190 3950 3440 2100 2190 2500	$\begin{array}{c} 7270 \\ 6860 \\ 5850 \\ 4410 \\ 4410 \\ 6030 \end{array}$	5-16 1-4 1-4 1-4 3-16 3-16
		Av.	3270	5010	3540	3060	5800	
Beech	9-16	7-16	1340 2540 4000 3560 3580 3240 2510 2290 2790 1900	4560 5620 4720 7280 5270 6900 6150 4620 6380 3790	3530 4720 3000 3800 3800 4020 3710 5410 4630 5010	3620 4100 2640 3360 3250 3810 3630 4150 3420 4360	7080 6920 6000 7280 6940 7830 6150 7950 8230 7660	3-8 5-16 3-16 1-4 3-16 5-16 7-16 7-16
Sweet Gum	5-8	Av. 1-2	2180 2400 2850 2950	5530 3180 3700	2710 2920 3300	3630 2320 2540 2240	7200 3980 4750 5200	3-16 3-16 3-16
		Av.	2730	3430	2980	2370	4640	

TABLE XIV AVERAGE RESISTANCE OF SPIKES WITH AND WITHOUT BORED HOLES

	in. sq.	70			sistance ounds f			Relati esista	
Kind of Tie	Size of Spike,	No. of Spikes	How Driven	1-8 in. Pull	1-4 in. Pull	Maximum Resistance	1-8 in. Pull	1-4 in. Pull	Maximum Resistance
1			Drift	1-16 of	f an inc	ch			
Water Oak	9-16	7 15	Hole No Hole	2310 2960	4810 5660	6110 6670	78 100	85 100	92 100
Black Oak	9-16	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 15 \end{array}$	Hole No Hole	3300 2970	6750 5320	7310 6490	110 100	$\frac{122}{100}$	113 100
Red-Oak	9-16	10 36	Hole No Hole	$\frac{3070}{3260}$	6390 5450	6640 6820	111 100	112 100	97 100
	5-8	7 21	Hole No Hole	2950 2310	5890. 4760	6960 7660	127 100	123 100	91 100
Beech	9-16	9	Hole No Hole	3150 2180	5770 4700	$6760 \\ 9410$	145 100	123 100	72 100
Ash	9-16	5 6	Hole No Hole	2790 4150	6040 4630	7460 6810	67 100	130 100	110 100
Sweet Gum	9-16	6 6	Hole No Hole	$\frac{2610}{2190}$	5550 3730	$\frac{6060}{4610}$	119 100	149 100	131 100
	5-8	4 9	Hole No Hole	2830 3460	4230 4450	5210 5460	82 100	95 100	96 100
Av. for all Timbers	 		Hole No Hole	2930 2880	5680 4840	6570 6740	102 100	117 100	98 100
			Drift	1-8 of	an inc	h			
Red Oak	5-8	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 21 \end{array}$	Hole No Hole	$\frac{3270}{2310}$	5010 4760	5800 7660	141 100	105 100	75 100
Beech	9-16	10 9	Hole No Hole	2780 2180	5530 4700	7200 9410	122 100	118 100	77 100
Sweet Gum	5-8	3 9	Hole No Hole	2730 3460	34 30 4450	4640 5460	79 100	77 100	85 100
Av. for all Timbers			Hole No Hole	2930 2650	4660 4640	6550 7510	111 100	100 100	87 100

As far as conclusions can be drawn from these experiments, the spike driven into a bored hole is superior to one driven in the ordinary way.

I Effect upon the Holding Power of Re-driving the Spike

In practice, when the spike is pulled out of the tie a moderate distance, it is driven back, provided the hole is not greatly enlarged. If the hole is much enlarged the spike is driven at another point. This constant re-spiking rapidly ruins the tie. A series of tests was made to determine the effect upon the holding power of re-driving the spike. The average maximum holding power of the re-driven spikes is shown in Table XV along with the original maximum holding power of the same spike.

It will be seen that the holding power of the re-driven spike is very much less than that of the newly-driven spike. The resistance is affected so much in some woods as to make the practice of

 ${\bf TABLE~XV}$ Relative Holding Power of Newly-driven and Re-driven Spikes

Kind of	of	Average Ma sistance	Per cent of	
Tie	No. of Spikes	Original	After Re- driving	Original
Ash	6	8640	6490	75
Water Oak	6	8020	5760	72
Red Oak	6	8030	5230	65
Elm	6	7910	4840	61
Poplar	6	4920	3980	81
Sweet Gum	6	5040	4150	82

re-driving the spike a questionable procedure if the holding power alone is considered; but as the practice of re-driving the spike helps to lengthen the life of the tie, the practice can not be justly condemned so long as the holding power is not excessively reduced.

Art. 2 Holding Power of Screw Spikes Without Linings

A series of tests was made to determine the holding power of screw spikes. The tests were conducted in the same manner as those with the ordinary spikes. The screw spikes were received from the following companies: No. 1 from the Illinois Central Railroad Company; No. 2 from the American Iron and Steel Manufacturing Company, Scranton, Pennsylvania; No. 3 from the South Side Elevated Railroad Company, Chicago, Illinois; No. 4 from the Oliver Steel and Iron Company, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania; and No. 5 from the Pennsylvania Railroad Company.

A description of the different spikes is given in Table XVI.

TABLE XVI
DESCRIPTION OF SCREW SPIKES

Spike No.	Length, inches	Diameter of Core, inches	Projection of Thread, inches	Pitch, inches	Depth of Insertion, inches	Diameter of Bored Hole, inches
1	5	21-32	3-16	1-2	4 1-2	11-16
2	5	11-16	1-8	1-2	4 1-2	11-16
3	5 1-4	11-16	1-8	1-2	4 3-4	11-16
4	5 1-2	11-16	1-8	1-2	5	11-16
5	5	21-32	3-16	1-2	4 1-2	11-16

The shank or threaded portion of the spike was usually 7-8 of an inch in diameter, and approximately one inch of the upper portion of the core tapered from the diameter of the core to that of the shank. The hole bored for the spike was not reamed, and the result was a tight fit between the wood and the spike. This tight contact is gained in practice by the head of the spike bearing against the base of the rail. The spike was driven by means of a wrench, the thread cutting its own path. The number of screw spikes obtainable was not sufficient to make as long a series of tests as with the ordinary spikes.

A study of the results with this spike has been made to determine: (A) Relation between the depth of penetration and the holding power; (B) Relation between the holding power of the screw and of the ordinary spikes; and (C) Influence of certain details of the screw spike upon its holding power.

The detailed results of the tests with screw spikes are given in Table XVII, and the average results are shown in Plates II and III.

TABLE XVII
DETAILED RECORD OF TESTS WITH SCREW SPIKES

	0	Spike	Test	1	Resistan	ce in Po Pull o		or		imum stance			
Kind of Tie	No. of Tie	No. of Sp	No. of Te	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	1 inch	Pounds	Distance Pulled, inches			
Blue Ash	2	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	7350 5080 7520	10900 9930 11650	11650 13470 12300	6270 6010 6220	3370 3190 3030	13360 13470 12300	7-16. 1-2 1-2			
			Av.	6650	10830	12470	6160	3190	13040	1-2			
	1	4	$\frac{1}{2}$	$3320 \\ 3740 \\ 4350$	7480 7570 9200	10840 9410 6800	6520 5940 4870	5000 4560 3260	10840 9410 9700	1-2 1-2 3-8			
	þ.		Av.	3800	8080	9010	5780	3940	9980	1-2			
Sweet Gum	3	2	1 2 3	$3810 \\ 5790 \\ 4270$	4940 7100 6030	4870 4900 4620	2420 3280 2820	1900 3770 3450	5980 7100 6590	7-16 1-4 3-8			
			Av.	4620	6060	4790	2840	3040	6560	3-8			
	3	4	1 2 3	5920 4550 4780	9000 7400 7120	6000 5600 5090	4000 3410 3290	2900 2300 1800	9720 8100 7870	7-16 3-8 3-8			
	34					Av.	5080	7840	5560	3560	2330	8560	3-8
Water Oak		3	1 2 3	$\frac{4820}{4670}$ $\frac{4680}{4680}$	$\begin{array}{c} .10230 \\ 9170 \\ 7030 \end{array}$	14530 12140 14360	9630 10000 9660	4600 6260 4490	14530 12640 14360	1-2 5-8 1-2			
			Av.	4720	8810	13680	9800	5100	13840	7-16			
	26	2	1 2 3	$\frac{4110}{3670}$ 5270	8010 7420 7790	7190 7850 5190	3490 3970 3540	2150 2790 2600	9620 8900 8060	7-16 3-8 7-16			
			Av.	4350	8290	6740	3660	2510	8860	7-16			
Black Oak	16	3	1 2 3	5520 4860 4260	12370 11410 9870	16930 13100 9760	10720 7390 7690	6200 4050 3970	16930 14350 12160	1-2 7-16 3-8			
•	23	2	Av. 1 2 3	5850 4910 1090	11220 10290 10780 6370	9460 8590 10400	8600 6600 6000 7100	4740 4200 2500 6000	12500 12570 10400	7-16 3-8 5-8 1-2			
			Av.	3950	9150	9380	6560	4230	11820	5-8			

TABLE XVII—Continued

		ke	t,	R		e in Pou Pull of	nds for		Maxim		
Kind of Tie	No. of Tie	No. of Spi	No. of Spike	No. of Test	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	1 inch	Pounds	Distance Pulled, inches
Red Oak	9	4	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	2720 6390 4240	7810 11440 9770	12720 11590 11130	7970 7050 9160	3710 3600 4970	12720 12770 11790	1-2 3-8 3-8	
			Av.	4450	9670	11810	8060	4130	12430	3-8	
	7	4	1 2 3	3940 7890 4220	9780 13860 9780	12780 14430 14800	9560 7990 12350	$\begin{array}{c} 4880 \\ 4530 \\ 6500 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13590 \\ 15200 \\ 14800 \end{array}$	7-16 3-8 1-2	
			Av.	5350	11140	12670	9960	6300	14860	7-16	
Beech	36	2	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	2610 8320 5190	8400 12370 11880	8320 10820 11270	4170 6130 6880		14560 13180 14310	5-16 3-8 3-8	
			Av.	5040	10850	10140	5730		14020	3-8	
	14	3	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	6330 6130 8240	11980 12980 15620	10240 17360 14700	4480 9930 8900	3230 3900 5890	$\begin{array}{c} 14550 \\ 17360 \\ 16450 \end{array}$	7-16 1-2 7-16	
		100	Av.	6900	13530	14000	7770	4340	16120	7-16	
White Oak	31	4	1 2 3	3010 7950 8210	9340 12490 12080	8180 9390 7560	6390 5350 4950	4530 2880 3290	$\begin{array}{c} 11630 \\ 13200 \\ 12740 \end{array}$	7-16 5-16 5-16	
	1500		Av.	6390	11300	8380	5230	3570	12520	5-16	
	31	3	$\frac{1}{2}$.5000 4600 5880	8290 8030 9370	5450 6600	2960 3340		8290 8700 10530	1-4 5-16 3-8	
	18		Av.	5160	8560	5530	3150		9150	5-16	
	32		1 2 3	6420 8590 4420	11300 14190 13000	16450 11370 Broke	9590 5490	4360 3190	16450 15580 13000	1-2 5-16 1-4	
			Av.	6480	12830	13910	7040	3780	15010	3-8	
Elm	10	3	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	4310 5040 4200	8290 10920 9130	14190 13200 13230	6340 7950 7350	2780 3100 3460	14190 14400 13230	1-2 7-16 1-2	
			Av	4520	9450	13540	7210	3110	13940	1-2	

TABLE XVII—Concluded

		ke	st	I		ce in Pou a Pull of	ands fo	r		mum
Kind of Tie	No. of Tie	No. of Spike	No. of Test	1-8 inch	1-4 inch	1-2 inch	3-4 inch	1 inch	Pounds	Distance Pulled, inches
	13	1	1 2 3	6090 5220 4570	11560 10400 9890	9920 11440 12400	4400 6450 7990	2420 3200 4000	$\begin{array}{c} 11560 \\ 12740 \\ 14390 \end{array}$	1-4 7-16 7-16
			Av.	5290	10280	11250	6260	3200	12890	7-16
	12	4	$\frac{1}{2}$	6830 3270 3700	11280 8650 7840	10080 9570 12480	5280 6350 7110	2340 3450 3360	$\begin{array}{c} 12840 \\ 11610 \\ 12480 \end{array}$	3-8 7-16 1-2
			Av.	4570	9260	10680	6250	3050	12310	7-16
Poplar	11	4	$\frac{1}{2}$	4130 2960 4760	7980 6200 7970	8000 8910 10130	4790 4820 7210	3300 2130 4480	10120 9610 10130	7-16 7-16 1-2
			Av.	3950	7380	9010	5610	3270	9960	7-16
	11	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$3450 \\ 3300 \\ 2640$	6300 6550 5260	9340 8490 8060	5250 3860 2710	2940 1620 1520	9340 8490 8060	1-2 1-2 1-2
			Av.	3130	6040	8290	3940	2030	8290	1-2
Chestnut	40	4	$\frac{1}{2}$	5200 2750 3240	6950 6210 6260	6400. 8250 6160	3340 3800 4580		7610 8250 7290	3-8 1-2 5-16
		-	Av.	3730	6480	6940	3910		7720	3-8
	40	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	3070 3960 3940	5460 3270 5630	5680 5310 5580	$3570 \\ 2820 \\ 2510$	1930 1140 1400	7010 6470 6300	7-16 7-16 7-16
			Av.	3660	5450	5520	2960	1490	6590	7-16
Loblolly Pine	20	1	1 2 3	5260 3840 4830	7610 6270 7780	5510 6210 7360	2670 3630 3060	1460 2120 2390	9340 7550 8190	3-8 7-16 3-8
		- 8	Av.	4640	7270	6390	3120	2320	8690	3-8
	39	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	6180 5350	10220 8260	7590 9060 8200	4070 5060 5520	1720 2460 3400	11840 11190 9850	3-8 3-8 7-16
			Av.	5820	9240	8280	4880	2530	10630	3-8

A Relation between Depth of Penetration and the Holding Power

A series of tests was made to determine the relation between the depth of penetration and the holding power of the screw spikes. The experiments consisted of pulling spikes driven to depths of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 inches into a beech tie, three spikes being used for each depth. The numerical results are shown in Table XVIII, and their averages are shown graphically in Plate VI together with some additional matter which is shown for the sake of comparison.

 ${\bf TABLE~XVIII} \\ {\bf Results~Obtained~from~Experiments~on~Depth~of~Penetration}$

Test	F	Resistance in	Pounds for a	Penetration	of
Number	1 inch	2 inches	3 inches	4 inches	5 inches
1 2 3	2770 2760 2790	4560 6000 4940	9610 10000 8490	13100 14330 13330	17360 17500 16840
Av.	2770	5170	9360	13590	17230


The results in Plate VI can be quite closely represented by two intersecting straight lines. The probabilities are that the actual resistances would be more nearly represented if the two straight lines were joined by a short curve near their intersection. Only the upper portion of the diagram is of interest, since penetrations of less than four inches should never be used, at least on heavy traffic railroads, the only roads likely to use screw spikes.

The diagram shows that the resistance varies directly with the depth of penetration.

B Relative Holding Power of Screw Spikes and Ordinary Spikes

Table XIX has been prepared from Table XVII and from Table III, to determine the relation between the holding power of the screw spike and that of the ordinary spike. As previously stated, the ordinary spikes were driven into the tie to a uniform depth of 5 inches, while the screw spikes, being of different lengths, necessarily were inserted to unequal depths. On account of the relation existing between the depth of penetration and the holding power, the resistance for the screw spikes, shown in Table XIX, is based upon a penetration of 5 inches.

PLATE VI



From Table XIX it will be seen that the holding power of the screw spike is always greater than that of the ordinary spike, and that the relation between the two varies in the several timbers. For a pull of 1-4 of an inch in the hard woods the holding power of the screw spike is from 167 to 221 per cent of that of the ordinary spike, and in the soft woods the range is from 117 to 258 per cent: or the average gain in the hard woods is 76 per cent, and in the soft woods 98 per cent. It is interesting to note that the resistances in the several timbers for the 1-8-inch pull with the screw spike are in eight out of eleven instances nearly the same as, or greater than, the resistances for the 1-4-inch pull with the ordinary This signifies that the screw spike is about twice as efficient as the ordinary spike for a pull of 1-4 of an inch or less. The curve in Plates II and III show graphically the relative efficiency of the two forms of spikes with some information to be referred to later.

C Effect of Certain Details of the Screw Spike upon Its Holding Power

In countries where the screw spike is extensively used it has been perfected in detail until it nearly fulfills the requirements of practice. In North America the screw spike will probably be the successor to the ordinary spike, and it may again be necessary to adjust the details to suit local conditions. Therefore a few observations on the relation of some of the details of this spike to its holding power come within the scope of this paper. The details to be discussed are the diameter of the core, the projection and pitch of the thread and the length of the thread. These details being interdependent will be discussed collectively.

The soft steel from which the screw spike is made has an ultimate strength of about 66,000 pounds per square inch, so that the tensile strength of a spike 11-16 of an inch in diameter is approximately 24,000 pounds. The ultimate compressive resistance across the grain of well-seasoned white oak is about 4,000 pounds per square inch, and experiments demonstrate that the thread of the spike in compacting the wood fibers increases the resistance about 40 per cent.* Therefore, taking 5,600 pounds as the ultimate compressive strength of compacted white oak, and taking 17 3-4 inches and 1-8 of an inch respectively as the length and projection of the

^{*}Bulletin No. 50, U. S. Dept. of Agriculture.

TABLE XIX

RELATIVE HOLDING POWER OF THE SCREW SPIKE AND OF THE

ORDINARY SPIKE IN SEVERAL TIMBERS

		Resist	ance in I	Pounds		Relative tesistanc	
Kind of	Kind of	1-8-in.	1-4-in.	Max.	1-8-in.	1-4-in.	Max.
Tie	Spike	Pull	Pull	Resist.	Pull	Pull	Resist.
Water Oak	Ordinary	2870	5730	6780	100	100	100
	Screw	4888	9180	12190	170	160	179
Black Oak	Ordinary	2910	5890	7230	100	100	100
	Screw	4760	10420	14110	164	177	203
Red Oak	Ordinary	2950	5350	7730	100	100	100
	Screw	4900	10400	13560	166	194	176
White Oak	Ordinary	3510	5950	7870	100	100	100
	Screw	6250	11900	12630	178	200	188
Ash	Ordinary	3570	5200	7730	100	100	100
	Screw	5700	10470	12760	162	200	165
Beech	Ordinary	2600	5490	8840	100	100	100
	Screw	6450	13140	16230	248	221	238
Elm	Ordinary	2380	5580	7500	100	100	100
	Screw	5120	10090	13690	215	181	183
Poplar	Ordinary	2830	5290	5670	100	100	100
	Screw	3880	6210	7490	137	117	132
Chestnut	Ordinary	2850	4070	5200	100	100	100
	Screw	3690	6340	8700	129	155	167
Sweet Gum	Ordinary	3230	4120	5300	100	100	100
	Screw	5430	7710	8280	167	162	156
Loblolly	Ordinary	2920	3500	4300	100	100	100
Pine	Screw	5750	9050	10620	197	258	247

thread on the 5-inch spike, and making no allowance for frictional resistance between the core of the spike and the wood, the theoretical resistance would be

5,600 x 17 3-4 inches x 1-8 inches=12,430 pounds.

The average actual resistance obtained in white oak ties as shown in Table XIX is 12,630 pounds which agrees closely with the theoretical resistance. The tensile strength of the screw spike is

about 12,000 pounds greater than the maximum resistance of white oak, which difference is greater than necessary and indicates an uneconomical use of metal in the spike. Since the ties tested are representative of American practice, there is no apparent reason for not having the ultimate strength of the two materials in contact more nearly equal than at present, and by some slight change in the detail of the spike this could readily be accomplished. Three ways in which the ultimate strength of the materials may be made more nearly equal are: (1) increase in length of threaded portion; (2) increase in projection of thread, the length and the diameter of the core remaining the same; (3) increase in projection of thread at the expense of the core, the length remaining the same. The pitch is assumed to be 1-2 inch in all cases, since it has been found in practice that this pitch gives better results than either a greater or smaller pitch.*

- (1) The length of the thread on the 5-inch spike is 17 3-4 inches and the width is 1-8 of an inch; therefore, the bearing area is 2.22 square inches. If the spike is made 6 inches long two convolutions of the thread will be added, the bearing area will become 2.71 square inches, and the holding power will be increased from 12,630 pounds to 15,180 pounds. This leaves a difference of only 8,900 pounds between the ultimate strength of the wood and that of the spike.
- (2) If the length of the spike and the diameter of the core are not changed, and if the projection of the thread is increased 1-32 of an inch, the total resistance would amount to 15,510 pounds, leaving the ultimate strength of the spike only 8,500 pounds greater than that of the wood.
- (3) If the length of the threaded portion of the spike remains unchanged and if the projection of the thread is increased 1-32 of an inch at the expense of the core, the maximum resistance would amount to 15,510 pounds, while the ultimate strength of the spike would be reduced to 20,200 pounds.

The diameter of the shank of the spike would have to be increased with some of the changes in the detail of the lower portion, and when the resistance to lateral displacement is taken into account, we see that this change also would be beneficial.

The conclusion is that the screw spike in its present form is

^{*}Bulletin No. 50, U. S. Dept. of Agriculture.





PLATE VII



SCREW SPIKES AND TOOLS FOR INSERTING THEM

about twice as efficient as the ordinary spike; and that this efficiency could be increased by some slight change in the detail of the screw spike.

ART. 3 HOLDING POWER OF SCREW SPIKES WITH HELICAL LININGS

A few experiments were made with screw spikes having helical linings. On account of the small number of linings obtainable the tests were limited; as this lining, being a foreign invention, is not yet used by the railroads of this country except for experimental purposes. The tests were still further limited since the linings could not be used a second time; and further since all of the linings could not be driven successfully, as the friction between the metal and the wood sometimes caused the driver to loosen its hold, which could not be regained even after carefully following printed instructions. This accounts for the use of only two linings in some of the timber. The linings together with a set of special tools for inserting them in the tie were furnished by Mr. Robert Trimble, Chief Engineer Maintenance of Way, Pennsylvania Lines, (see Plate VII).

The linings were made by Mr. J. Thiollier of Paris, France, and are described by him as being 0.33 inch by 0.17 inch in section, and also as being of the class which he calls P. M. or small sized linings. They were 4 inches long with a 1-2-inch pitch. The total diameter was 1 5-16 inches, the diameter inside of the spiral band slightly over 11-16 of an inch, and the thickness and width of the metal band 1-8 and 1-4 of an inch, respectively. The linings were evidently designed to be used with the screw spike of the French Eastern Railway, No. 1, Table XVI, and hence they were tested with this spike only.

The method of fixing the lining in place was as follows: A hole having the same diameter as the core of the spike was bored in the tie; the hole was tapped, and the lining inserted by means of special tools designed for the purpose; the spike was inserted in the usual manner.

The detailed results of these tests are shown in Table XX, and the average results are shown graphically in Plates II and III. The relative holding power of the several kinds of spikes in different timbers is shown in Table XXI. The results of this table and the diagrams in Plates II and III show that in hard woods

the resistances for a 1-8-inch pull are usually greater for the spike and lining than for the naked screw spike, but for pulls greater than 1-8 of an inch the reverse is true. In soft woods the spike and lining gave greater resistances than the naked screw spike except in sweet gum. The lower resistance in the hard woods is accounted for by the fact that the spike begins to move before the lining, and the fibers, being hard, are bent slightly upward so that the bearing surfaces of the wood and the spike are only partially in contact. Moreover, the fibers probably slip over the rounded edge of the lining, which tends to lower the resistance. In the soft woods more than in the hard woods, the fibers mash together as the spike is pulled out, consequently the bearing surfaces of the wood and the spike have full contact and the resistance is greater than with the naked screw spike.

In justice to Mr. Thiollier it is only right to say that he claims no more for the P. M. lining than is set forth in these experiments. He says that the P. M. lining will offer no more resistance than a naked screw spike. The principal claims for the P. M. lining are that it can be placed on the track without removing either the rail or the tie, and that it forms an advantageous substitute for the square wooden dowel used on some railways.

As a repair measure this lining is of doubtful value, for it extends only about 1-8 of an inch beyond the thread of the spike; and when the spike has been pulled even a small distance the adjacent wood is badly damaged, so that the wood which remains after the hole is tapped for the lining can offer but slight resistance. Moreover, it is not certain that the extreme fibers reached by the lining are not somewhat affected, hence it would be better to ream the hole, cutting out all damaged wood and to introduce a threaded hard wood dowel, or to use a lining of larger size.

The writer claims that the use of the small lining is impracticable for the following reasons: (1) It is designed to be put in place with the tie in the track; (2) The lining cannot always be inserted into the wood to its full length by means of hand tools, even with utmost precaution; (3) At best the holding power is not increased to any marked degree over that of the naked screw spike; and (4) The labor involved is more than double that required to drive the naked screw spike, and the cost is increased.

TABLE XX
RESISTANCE OF SCREW SPIKES WITH HELICAL LININGS

Kind of	No.	of Tests	, 1	Resistan	ce in Po Pull of	unds for		Maxin Resist	
Tie	Tie N	No. 0	1-8 in.	1-4 in.	1-2 in.	3-4 in.	1 in.	Pounds	Pull in.
Ash	1	1	8410	11380	10150	7570	6480	12160	1-4
		2	5830	8670	9410	6590	5630	10500	3-8
		3	5670	8070	7930	4690	4200	8750	3-8
		Av.	6640	9370	9160	6280	5440	10470	3-8
Sweet Gum	3	1	6010	9100	7750	5380	5150	9510	1-4
		2	4830	6440	7650	6270	4380	7970	3-8
		3	4270	6250	8600	6130	4410	8600	1-2
		Av.	5030	7260	8000	5930	4650	8690	3-8
Water Oak	26	1	3420	7100	11080	8290	8740	11080	1-2
Water Oak	20	2	2970	6460	12080	9250	9170	12080	1-2
		Av.	3190	6780	11580	8780	8960	11580	1-2
White Oak	32	1	5810	10740	8420	6890	7120	12900	3-8
white Oak 32	02	2	7070	11020	6650	6170	6340	11020	1-4
		Av.	6440	10880	7530	6530	6750	11960	3-8
Black Oak	23	1	5960	11130	9810	8560	7520	12550	3-8
Diack Oak		2	5420	9710	10770	8470	7960	12460	3-8
		Av.	5690	10420	10290	8510	7740	12500	3-8
Beech		1	10830	10120	8070	7320	5390	10830	1-8
Decour		2	8610	11600	11850	10350	6280	13480	3-8
		Av.	9720	10860	9960	8830	5830	12150	1-4
Poplar	11	1	3970	8860	9900	5880	5300	9920	3-8
	1000000	2	4080	9470	10550	5940	5110	11140	3-8
		3	3670	8260	9910	6030	5250	9910	1-2
		Av.	3910	8860	10120	5950	3220	10320	3-8
Chestnut		1	7020	9600	8230	6920	6120	9770	3-8
		2	5750	7010	8890	8180	6730	8890	1-2
		3	6300	7240	9280	7660	6860	9280	1-2
		Av.	6390	7950	8810	7590	6900	9150	1-2

TABLE XXI

RELATIVE HOLDING POWER OF THE ORDINARY SPIKE, THE SCREW SPIKE, AND THE SCREW SPIKE WITH HELICAL LINING IN SEVERAL TIMBERS

		Resistar	nce in Po	ounds for	Relat	ive Resi	stance
Kind of	Kind of	1-8-in.	1-4-in.	Max.	1-8-in.	1-4-in.	Max.
Tie	Spike	Pull	Pull	Resist.	Pull	Pull	Resist
White Oak	Ordinary	3510	5950	7870	100	100	100
	Screw*	6250	11900	12630	178	200	188
	Lining	6440	10880	11960	183	183	152
Water Oak	Ordinary Screw* Lining	$2870 \\ 4880 \\ 3190$	5730 9180 6780	$\begin{array}{c} 6780 \\ 12190 \\ 11580 \end{array}$	100 170 111	100 160 118	100 179 171
Black Oak	Ordinary	2910	5890	7230	100	100	100
	Screw*	4760	10420	14110	164	177	203
	Lining	5690	10420	12500	195	177	173
Ash	Ordinary	3570	5200	7730	100	100	100
	Screw*	5700	10470	12760	162	200	165
	Lining	6640	9370	10470	186	180	135
Beech	Ordinary	2600	5490	8840	100	100	100
	Screw*	6450	13140	16230	248	221	238
	Lining	9720	10860	12150	373	198	138
Poplar	Ordinary	2830	5290	5670	100	100	100
	Screw*	3850	6210	7490	137	117	132
	Lining	3910	8860	10320	138	162	182
Chestnut	Ordinary	2850	4070	5200	100	100	100
	Screw*	3690	6340	8700	129	155	167
	Lining	6390	7950	9150	224	195	176
Sweet Gum	Ordinary	3230	4120	5300	100	100	100
	Screw*	5430	7710	8280	167	162	156
	Lining	5030	7260	8690	136	176	164

^{*} Screw spike with helical lining.

The * belongs after "Lining."





PLATE VIII



IMPACT APPARATUS

PART II RESISTANCE TO LATERAL DISPLACEMENT

The railroad spike is subjected not only to a direct pull by the undulation of the rail, but also to a horizontal thrust due to the lateral movement of the rail. On roads having a large amount of curvature the lateral resistance is of more importance than that of direct pull.

To determine the amount of the resistance to lateral displacement which is developed by various forms of spikes the writer made a series of tests in which the lateral thrust was produced by the blows of a heavy hammer. The hammer consisted of a castiron weight suspended by a wooden rod from the joists of the floor above.

The place in which the apparatus was used was such that a good photograph could not be taken. Plate VIII is a view of the apparatus set up in a light suitable for photographing. All essential features are correctly represented. Fastened to the joists were metal strips upon which the knife edges of the rocking arm rested. These strips were 6 feet long, and were notched along the entire upper edge to permit the placing of the rocking arm in different positions. The length of the suspending rod was 9 feet.

The weight of the hammer was 100 lb. and the distance through which it was allowed to fall was 1 1-2 feet, so that the amount of the impact for each blow was 150 ft. lb. The hammer delivered its blow on the end of a tool-steel bar which projected beyond the end of the tie, the other end of the bar being shaped to fit under the head of the spike.

The spikes used in this series of tests were 9-16 inch and 5-8 inch ordinary spikes and screw spikes. Each spike was subjected to five blows and the displacement produced by each blow was carefully measured. Usually four or five spikes of each kind were tested, but when there was much lack of uniformity in the results a larger number were tested.

All of the spikes were bent to a curve, the central point of which was about 1 1-2 inches below the surface of the tie. The ordinary spikes were pulled from the tie a short distance, but the thread of the screw spikes gripped the wood so as to prevent the spike from being pulled out even a perceptible amount.

ART. 3 LATERAL RESISTANCE OF ORDINARY SPIKES

The detailed results of the experiments with ordinary spikes are given in Table XXII and the average movement of the spike for each of the several blows is shown in Table XXIII. The average total movement of the 5-8 inch spikes in the first seven timbers was 0.65 inch, and that of the 9-16 inch spikes was 0.75 inch. In the last four timbers the average total movement of the 5-8 inch spikes was 0.74 inch, and that of the 9-16 inch spikes was 0.94 inch.

The total deflection of the 9-16 inch spikes was usually sufficient to allow a rail to clear the head of the spike if it were overturned. The corresponding movement of the 5-8 inch spikes was not usually sufficient to allow a like clearance, although it was considerably more than would be allowed in practice.

The first blow is of more importance than the succeeding blows in testing the efficiency of a spike. While the distances through which the different sized spikes were deflected by the first blow differ but a small amount, this difference is sufficient to show that the deflection is less for the 5-8 inch spikes than for the 9-16 inch.

These results, together with the fact that the 5-8 inch spikes were bent less by the impact than the 9-16 inch spikes, indicate that the 5-8 inch spike is more efficient in resisting lateral displacement than the 9-16 inch spike.

ART. 4 LATERAL RESISTANCE OF SCREW SPIKES

The method of determining the lateral resistance of screw spikes was the same as that used for ordinary spikes. The results for this set of tests are given in Table XXIV. The screw spikes used were all practically alike except that they were of various lengths. In making the tests the spikes were used indiscriminately, but since they were not all of the same length some tests were made to determine the effect of impact upon spikes which were driven into the tie to different depths. The spikes used for the latter tests were all of the same make, and were cut to lengths of 3, 31-2, 4, 41-2 and 5 inches, and were all driven into a single kind of timber. The results of these tests are shown in Table XXV. While the results for the 4- and 41-2-inch spikes are the same, the

 ${\bf TABLE~XXII} \\ {\bf DETAILED~RESULTS~OF~IMPACT~TESTS~OF~ORDINARY~SPIKES}$

		Total	Lateral M	ovement o	f Spikes in	n Inches
Kind of Tie	Size of Spike, in. sq.		Nu	umber of B	lows	
	III oqi	1	2	3	4	5
White Oak	9-16	0.27	0.35	0.48	0.65	0.81
White Oak	3-10	.18	.35	.56	.67	.73
		.10	.22	.33	.45	.54
		.30	.35	.50	.52	.60
		.21	.35	.60	.74	.93
		.21	.56	00		.00
	Av.	0.21	0.32	0.49	0.61	0.70
	5-8	0.11	0.20	0.26	0.30	0.39
		.15	.30	.41	.50	.57
		.19 ·	.36	.50	.60	.68
		.21	.36	.49	.65	.74
		.20	.34	.42	.50	.57
	Av.	0.17	0.31	0.42	0.51	0.59
Water Oak	9-16	0.23	0.34	0.52	0.60	0.75
	0.20	.20	.33	.56	.73	.88
		.14	.42	.53	.68	.75
		.20	.35	.48	.54	.65
		.19	.39	.63	.72	.78
	Av.	0.19	0.37	0.54	0.65	0.76
	5-8	0.12	0.25	0.36	0.48	0.55
	9-0	.20	.37	.54	.63	.69
		.15	.25	.31	.39	.50
		.19	.28	.43		.65
		.20	.37	.53	.65	.69
	Av.	0.17	.30	0.43	0.53	0.61
Black Oak	9-16	0.25	0.40	0.56	0.70	0.75
Juon Oan	0-10	.13	.30	.41	.58	.72
		.16	.32	.49	.58	.70
		.24	.44	.62	.71	.80
	-	.23	.35	.56	.65	.69
		.26	.39	.59	.67	.78
	Av.	0.21	0.37	0.54	0.65	0.71
Pleals Oals	5.0		0.20	0.50	0.50	
Black Oak	5–8	0.23	0.38	0.50	0.58	0.65
		.17	.30	.42	.53	.64
		.17	.35	.50	.61	.77
		.15	.32	.40	.49	.55
		$.11 \\ .22$.35	.37	.41	.45
		0.17	-		-	

TABLE XXII—Continued

		Total	Lateral Mo	vement o	of Spikes i	n Inches
Kind of Tie	Size of Spike,		Nun	ber of B	lows	
	in. sq.	1	2	3	4	5
Red Oak	9-16	0.21	0.35	0.51	0.61	0.73
red Oak	5-10	.19	.30	.46	.57	.75
		.20	.37	.55	.64	.77
	9.76	.22	.41	.49	.61	.72
	Av.	0.21	0.36	0.50	0.61	0.74
	5-8	0.12	0.21	0.32	0.42	0.49
	0.0	.15	.24	.34	.43	.50
		.12	.25	.35	.49	.53
		.18	.42	.55	.72	.85
	Av.	0.14	0.28	0.39	0.52	0.60
Ash	9-16	0.24	0.45	.057	0.68	0.80
		.24	.43	. 53	.65	.74
		.20	.33	.52	.65	.75
		.25	.41	.60	.72	.83
	Av.	0.23	0.41	0.56	0.68	0.78
	5-8	0.19	0.37	0.55	0.73	0.84
		.19	.33	.48	.64	.75
		.18	.31	.44	.60	.69
		.15	.30	.39	.54	.63
	Av.	0.18	0.33	0.47	0.63	0.73
Elm	9-16	0.22	0.33	0.50	0.67	0.78
		.21	.30	. 39	.56	.70
	-	.25	.37	.49	.58	.66
		.18	.30	.43	.54	.67
	Av.	0.22	0.33	0.45	0.59	0.70
	5-8	0.20	0.38	0.50	0.61	0.71
	1000	.21	.35	.48	.60	.72
	1 1000	.20	.35	.49	.61	.70
		.21	.32	.44	.55	.66
	Av.	0.21	0.35	0.48	0.59	0.70
Beech	9-16	0.28	0.30	0.58	0.72	0.87
		.26	.46	.57	.75	.86
		.21	.32	. 53	.65	.75
		.30	.54	.63	.71	.89
		.19	.37	.55	.70	.80
		.27	.46	.61	.72	.86
	Av.	0.25	0.41	0.58	0.71	0.84

TABLE XXII—Continued

		Total	Lateral M	ovement o	of Spikes in	Inches
Kind of Tie	Size of Spike, in. sq.		Nu	umber of I	Blows	
	m. sq.	1	2	3	4	5
	5-8	0.15	0.23	0.33	0.46	0.53
	0.0	.13	.20	.29	.41	.49
		.16	.27	.36	.49	.58
		.12	.26	.43	.50	.57
		.12	.30	.37	.46	.62
		.14	.25	.31	.39	.50
	Av.	0.14	0.25	0.35	0.45	0.55
Poplar	9-16	0.27	0.41	0.59	0.75	0.88
	1	.22	.40	.54	.67	.74
		.30	.45	.60	.68	
		.27	.41	.54	. 75	.84
Av. 5-		.27	.40	.52	.61	.76
	Av.	0.27	0.41	0.56	0.69	0.81
	5-8	0.10	0.29	0.41	0.50	0.63
		.16	.28	.41	.51	.60
		.20	.39	.50	.66	.75
		.17	.39	.39	.46	.57
	Av.	0.16	0.34	0:43	0.53	0.64
Chestnut	9-16	0.35	0.65	0.90	1.06	1.40
		.35	.60	.80	.97	1.10
	1 4 5	.35	.60	.90	1.12	1.35
		.31	.62	.91	1.01	1.19
		.29	.52	.75	.93	1.18
		.30	.50	.73	.93	1.19
	Av.	0.32	0.58	0.83	1.00	1.23
	5–8	0.17	0.40	0.60	0.78	0.85
		.10	.30	.67	.88	1.05
		.27	.45	.63	.80	.92
		.25	.48	.70	.91	1.03
		. 24	.40	.57	.75	.90
		.28	.42	.53	.65	.84
	Av.	0.22	0.41	0.61	0.79	.93
weet Gum	9–16	0.29	0.51	0.60	0.78	0.95
		.23	.40	.66	.75	.88
		.30	.51	.67	.75	.92
	7 1	.31	.54	.72	.97	1.10
	Av.	0.28	0.49	0.66	0.81	0.96

TABLE XXII-Concluded

		Total I	ateral M	ovement o	of Spikes in	n Inches			
Kind of Tie	Size of Spike,	Number of Blows							
	in. sq.	1	2	3	4	5			
Sweet Gum	5-8	0.14 .18 .16 .14	0.28 .35 .33 .38	0.45 .54 .46 .42	0.62 .62 .62 .50	0.78 .75 .70 .61			
	Av.	0.16	0.34	0.47	0.59	0.17			
Loblolly Pine	9–16	0.22 .23 .12 .24 .26 .23	0.33 .38 .23 .37 .42 .45	0.50 .65 .35 .58 .53 .64	0.61 .76 .42 .71 .70 .72	0.70 .81 .50 .88 .75			
	Av.	0.22	0.36	0.54	0.65	0.74			
	5-8	0.16 .17 .17 .15 .23 .12 .23	0.30 .42 .22 .23 .38 .19 .39	0.40 .63 .30 .40 .46 .29	0.50 .72 .51 .52 .61 .36	0.65 .85 .55 .59 .71 .41			
	Av.	0.18	0.30	0.43	0.56	0.65			

averages in the last column of the table show that the amount of the lateral movement decreases as the depth of penetration increases. Also, the difference between the deflections of the 4-, 41-2-, and 5-inch spikes is practically negligible, but for shorter lengths the difference in the deflections becomes greater.

Table XXVI gives the lateral movement of the screw spikes for each of the several blows for which the total movements were given in Table XXIV. The number of spikes used in each kind of timber was usually three; but in case there was considerable variation in the results, more spikes were tested. By a study of this table the effect of impact upon screw spikes in different kinds of timber may be determined.

 ${\bf TABLE~XXIII} \\ {\bf LATERAL~MOVEMENT~OF~ORDINARY~SPIKES~FOR~EACH~BLOW}$

Kind of Tie	of Spike, sq.	Mov	Movement for Each of the Several Blows, inches							
01 110	Size c in. s	1	2	3	4	5	Average Movement, inches			
White Oak	9-16 5-8	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.21 \\ 0.17 \end{bmatrix}$	$0.11 \\ 0.14$	0.17 0.11	$0.12 \\ 0.09$	$\frac{0.09}{0.08}$	0.136 0.118			
Water Oak	9-16 5-8	$0.19 \\ 0.17$	$0.18 \\ 0.13$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17 \\ 0.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}0.11\\0.10\end{smallmatrix}$	$\substack{0.11\\0.08}$	$0.152 \\ 0.122$			
Black Oak	9-16 5-8	$0.21 \\ 0.17$	$0.16 \\ 0.16$	$0.17 \\ 0.12$	$\frac{0.11}{0.08}$	$0.06 \\ 0.09$	$0.142 \\ 0.124$			
Red Oak	9-16 5-8	$0.21 \\ 0.14$	$0.15 \\ 0.14$	$0.14 \\ 0.11$	0.11 0.14	$\frac{0.13}{0.08}$	$0.148 \\ 0.122$			
Ash	9-16 5-8	$0.23 \\ 0.18$	$0.18 \\ 0.15$	$0.15 \\ 0.14$	0.12 0.16	$0.10 \\ 0.10$	$0.156 \\ 0.146$			
Elm	9-16 5-8	$0.22 \\ 0.21$	$\substack{0.11\\0.14}$	$0.12 \\ 0.13$	$0.13 \\ 0.11$	$0.11 \\ 0.11$	0.138 0.140			
Beech	9-16 5-8	$0.25 \\ 0.14$	$0.16 \\ 0.11$	0.17 0.10	0.13 0.10	$0.13 \\ 0.10$	$0.168 \\ 0.110$			
Poplar	9-16 5-8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27 \\ 0.16 \end{array}$	$0.14 \\ 0.18$	0.15 0.09	0.14 0.10	$\substack{0.12\\0.11}$	$0.164 \\ 0.128$			
Chestnut	9-16 5-8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.32 \\ 0.22 \end{array}$	$0.26 \\ 0.19$	0.25	0.17 0.18	$0.23 \\ 0.14$	$0.246 \\ 0.186$			
Sweet Gum	9-16 5-8	$0.28 \\ 0.16$	$\frac{0.21}{0.18}$	$0.17 \\ 0.13$	$0.15 \\ 0.12$	$0.15 \\ 0.12$	$0.192 \\ 0.142$			
Loblolly Pine	9-16 5-8	0.22 0.18	$0.14 \\ 0.12$	0.18 0.13	$0.11 \\ 0.13$	$\frac{0.04}{0.09}$	$0.148 \\ 0.128$			

TABLE XXIV

DETAILED RESULTS OF IMPACT TESTS OF SCREW SPIKES

		Total 1	Lateral Me	ovement of	f Spike, in	Inches
Kind of Tie			Nu	mber of B	lows	
		1	2	3	4	5
White Oak		0.09 .10 .07	0.16 .20 .14	0.23 .24 .21	0.30 .32 .28	0.38 .41 .40
	Av.	0.09	0.17	0.23	0.30	0.40
Black Oak		$0.11 \\ .10 \\ .11$	0.21 .19 .18	0.26 .25 .24	0.36 .33 .31	0.40 .44 .42
	Av.	0.11	0.19	0.25	0.33	0.42
Water Oak		0.09 .11 .08	0.13 .17 .18	0.22 .23 .26	0.33 .34 .35	0.42 .45 .41
	Av.	0.09	0.16	0.24	0.34	0.43
Red Oak		$0.12 \\ .11 \\ .17$	0.21 .20 .23	0.35 .34 .33	0.45 .44 .46	0.54 .52 .52
	Av.	0.13	0.21	0.34	0.45	0.53
Ash		$0.17 \\ .18 \\ .12$	0.23 .27 .25	0.34 .35 .33	0.47 .46 .45	0.54 .55 .53
	Av.	0.16	0.25	0.34	0.46	0.54
Elm		0.11 $.12$ $.21$ $.25$	0.30 .22 .40 .40	0.38 .37 .58 .52	0.48 .49 .85 .63	0.56 .53 .96 .75
	Av.	0.17	0.33	0.46	0.61	0.70
Beech		0.10 .11 .12 .16 .17 .20	0.18 .18 .19 .28 .31 .40	0.23 .26 .25 .38 .52 .52	0.28 .31 .32 .49 .58 .60	0.36 .37 .42 .58 .65
	Av.	0.14	0.26	0.36	0.43	0.51

TABLE XXIV—Concluded

		Total I	Lateral Mo	vement of	Spike, in	Inches
Kind of Tie			Nui	mber of B	lows	
		1	2	3	4	5
Poplar		0.09 .10 .09 .19	0.16 .16 .15 .35	0.32 .27 .34 .44	0.60 .40 .39 .61	0.78 .61 .49
		.18 .17 .16	.40 .27 .30	.53 .40 .39	.62 .63 .51	.75 .71 .62
	Av.	0.17	0.24	0.38	0.54	0.67
Chestnut		0.16 .13 .12 .20 .19	0.23 .22 .24 .31 .28	0.38 .37 .33 .39 .39	0.43 .52 .42 .51 .48	0.56 .56 .51 .59
184	Av.	0.16	0.26	0.37	0.47	0.56
Sweet Gum		0.20 .26 .30 .18 .25	0.38 .46 .48 .32 .38	0.52 .60 .51 .40 .47	0.68 .71 .74 .49 .59	0.78 .79 .8g .61
	Av.	0.24	0.40	0.50	0.64	0.74
Loblolly Pine		0.20 .21 .21 .23	0.41 .39 .32 .37	0.62 .58 .48 .56	0.72 .69 .64 .66	0.88 .78 .81
	Av.	0.21	0.37	0.56	0.68	0.82

Table XXVII is given to facilitate the comparison of the relative lateral resistance of ordinary and screw spikes. The data were collected from Tables XXIII and XXVI. The average total deflection of the screw spike in the first seven timbers is 0.50 inch which is 0.15 inch less than that of the 5-8-inch ordinary spike and 0.25 inch less than that of the 9-16-inch ordinary spike. In the

TABLE XXV

RELATION BETWEEN THE DEPTH OF PENETRATION AND THE RESISTANCE
TO LATERAL DISPLACEMENT

D (1)		Deflec	tion in In	ches		e e
Depth of Insertion		Num	ber of Blo	ows		Average for Five Blows
Insertion	1	2	3	4	5	Ay
3 in.	0.24	0.46	0.64	0.78	0.87	
	.22	.41	.55	.69	.84	
	.24	.43	.67	.76	.98	
Av.	0.23	0.43	0.62	0.73	0.90	0.582
3 1-2 in.	0.24	0.46	0.62	0.77	0.80	
	.24	.39	.53	.69	.80	
	.19	.34	.49	.63	.74	
Av.	0.22	0.40	0.55	0.70	0.78	0.530
4 in.	.20	0.39	0.49	0.60	0.71	
	.21	.40	.57	. 63	.77	
	.23	.33	.57	.62	.72	5
Av.	0.21	0.37	0.54	0.62	0.73	0.494
4 1-2 in.	0.24	0.30	0.50	0.65	0.74	
2 2 2 2 2 2	.20	.34	.53	.68	.73	
	.22	.36	.54	.62	.79	
Av.	0.22	0.33	0.52	0.65	0.75	0.494
5 in.	0.22	0.38	0.49	0.61	0.71	
	.23	.40	55	.67	.75	
	.15	.34	.48	.57	.69	
Av.	0.20	0.34	0.51	0.62	0.72	0.478

last four kinds of timber the average total deflection of the screw spike was 0.70 inch, which is practically the same as that of the 5-8-inch ordinary spike, but which is 0.24 inch less than that of 9-16-inch common spike. The results in the last two columns of Table XXVII show that the screw spike is superior to the 9-16-inch ordinary spike in all but two kinds of timber, and that the screw spike has a higher efficiency than the 5-8-inch ordinary spike in all but three kinds of timber.

TABLE XXVI

LATERAL MOVEMENT OF THE SCREW SPIKE FOR EACH BLOW

Kind of Tie		A verage Move- ment, inches				
or the	1	2	3	4	5	Average Move- ment, inches
White Oak	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.078
Black Oak	0.11	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.082
Water Oak	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.086
Red Oak	0.13	0.08	0.13	0.12	0.08	0.108
Ash	0.16	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.08	0.108
Elm	0.17	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.09	0.140
Beech	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.102
Poplar	0.17	0.07	0.12	0.16	0.13	0.130
Chestnut	0.16	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.132
Sweet Gum	0.24	0.16	0.10	0.14	0.10	0.148
Loblolly Pine	0.21	0.13	0.19	0.12	0.14	0.154

The last two columns in Table XXVII show that the ordinary spike was usually displaced more than the screw spike by each blow. This should be expected since the common spike was smaller in cross section than the screw spike, and also since the latter had better bond with the wood. While the use of the screw spike is recommended to the American railroads, it is thought that the practice of Bavarian railroads could be followed to advantage. These roads have adopted the use of the screw spike on the gage side of the rail to resist overturning, but use two square spikes on the outside to resist lateral movement. practice has been found to give very beneficial results. The figures in the last two columns of Table XXVII show that the lateral resistance of two ordinary spikes is considerably more than that of one screw spike, and therefore if two spikes are considered as resisting the impact instead of one, the results will be in favor of the ordinary spikes. Not only is this true, but the first cost for spikes would be reduced. since the screw spike costs about four cents at

TABLE XXVII

RELATIVE LATERAL DISPLACEMENT OF ORDINARY AND SCREW SPIKES

Kind of Tie	Movement of Ordi- nary Spikes		Average Movement of Screw Spike,	Average Movement of Ordinary Spikes in Terms of per cent of Move- ment of Screw Spike	
	9-16 in.	5-8 in.	inches	9-16 in.	5-8 in.
White Oak	0.136	0.118	0.078	175	152
Black Oak	0.152	0.122	0.082	186	149
Water Oak	0.142	0.124	0.086	165	145
Red Oak	0.148	0.122	0.108	137	115
Ash	0.156	0.146	0.108	144	135
Elm	0.138	0.140	0.140	.99	100
Beech	0.168	0.110	0.102	165	108
Poplar	0.164	0.128	0.130	126	99
Chestnut	0.246	0.186	0.132	186	141
Sweet Gum	0.192	0.142	0.148	129	96
Loblolly Pine	0.148	0.128	0.154	96	83

the present time, whereas the ordinary spike costs much less. The maintenance cost of either form of spike is almost negligible.

An item of interest which is properly beyond the limits of this article is that of the ninety screw spikes used in making these tests only two were broken. One was broken under a tension of 14,000 pounds, the break being caused by an incipient crack just under the head of the spike. The other spike broke under the fourth blow of the hammer, this break being due to uncombined graphite in the metal. As the spikes were obtained from different sources, and were of different manufacture, it is thought that the test was sufficiently severe to show that the screw spike, as manufactured at present, will successfully withstand the shocks of passing trains. As the spikes were used several times during the tests, the percentage of spikes broken is very low.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- (1) The maximum resistance to direct pull varies from 6,000 to 14,000 pounds for screw spikes, from 3,000 to 8,000 pounds for ordinary spikes when driven into untreated timbers, and from 4,000 to 9,000 pounds for ordinary spikes when driven into treated timbers.
- (2) The direct pull required to withdraw ordinary spikes 1-8-inch varies from 2,000 to 3,500 pounds for untreated timbers, and from 2,500 to 3,500 pounds for treated timbers.
- (3) The direct pull required to withdraw ordinary spikes 1-4-inch varies from 3,000 to 5,400 pounds for untreated timbers and from 3,800 to 5,900 pounds for treated timbers.
- (4) Timbers having loose fiber structures have lower resistances to direct pull than timbers having compact fiber structures.
- (5) The amount of withdrawal which must occur for ordinary spikes to develop the maximum resistance is less for soft woods than for hard woods.
- (6) Spikes driven into treated timber offer a greater resistance to direct pull than spikes in untreated timbers, and the difference between this resistance for treated and untreated timbers is greater for soft woods than for hard woods.
- (7) The difference in the resistance to direct pull for the different sized spikes in use (9-16 inch, 19-32 inch, and 5-8-inch) is very small.
- (8) The resistance of ordinary spikes to direct pull varies directly as the depth of penetration, neglecting the tapering point.
- (9) Blunt-pointed and bevel-pointed spikes have a slightly greater resistance to direct pull than chisel-pointed spikes.
- (10) For withdrawals less than 1-4 inch, ordinary spikes which are driven into bored holes have a little greater resistance to direct pull than spikes driven in the ordinary way.
- (11) The resistance to direct pull for re-driven spikes is from 60 to 80 per cent of the resistance of newly driven spikes.
- (12) The efficiency of screw spikes to resist withdrawal is nearly twice as great as that of common spikes.
- (13) The resistance of 5-8-inch spikes to lateral displacement is slightly greater than that of 9-16-inch spikes.
 - (14) The resistance to lateral displacement increases with

the depth of penetration, but the increase is negligible for depths of penetration greater than 4 inches.

(15) Screw spikes are more efficient than ordinary spikes in resisting lateral displacement.

Publications Of The Engineering Experiment Station

Bulletin No. 1. Tests of Reinforced Concrete Beams, by A. N. Talbot. 1904.

Circular No. 1. High-Speed Tool Steels, by L. P. Breckenridge. 1905.

Bulletin No. 2. Tests of High-Speed Tool Steels on Cast Iron, by L. P. Breckenridge and Henry B. Dirks. 1905.

Circular No. 2. Drainage of Earth Roads, by Ira O. Baker. 1906.

Bulletin No. 3. The Engineering Experiment Station of the University of Illinois, by L. P. Breckenridge. 1906.

Bulletin No. 4. Tests of Reinforced Concrete Beams, series of 1905, by A. N. Talbot. 1906.

Bulletin No. 5. Resistance of Tubes to Collapse, by A. P. Carman. 1906.

Bulletin No. 6. Holding Power of Railroad Spikes, by R. I. Webber. 1906.