

Durability of New Zealand grown timbers

David Page and Tripti Singh

Data collected over many years and from field sites throughout New Zealand were used to classify the durability of timber from locally grown species. The durability classifications were based on in-ground tests of small stakes. The suitability of individual species for a range of end uses has been measured using a combination of field and 'in-service' tests around the country. These data support the use of naturally durable species as an alternative to preservative treated radiata pine. Suggested uses for the species, their suitability to meet the requirements of NZS 3602 (2003) and likely limitations are discussed.

While the in-ground durability classification provides a useful guide for above-ground uses other factors such as coatings, orientation and exposure to rainfall have a major influence on the durability of components in above-ground situations. Timber from naturally durable species exhibits more variable resistance to decay organisms than that from radiata pine treated commercially with preservatives. We found that the durability classification of some locally grown timbers may be different to that of imported timber of the same species.

There are very few species currently grown in New Zealand that will consistently meet the durability requirements for use as house piles or marine timbers. Hardwoods, particularly eucalyptus species, dominate the list of species suitable for use in moderate-high decay hazard situations such as fence posts and decking where a minimum service life of less than 50 years is required. Timber stability is important in low decay hazard uses such as weatherboards and joinery. Hence softwoods such as cypresses may be preferred for that type of use.

Durability testing

Naturally durable timber is an attractive alternative to replace or minimise the use of toxic chemical-based biocides for wood protection. Testing of the durability of locally grown timber species and their suitability for various uses in buildings has been carried out at Scion for the past 60 years and is ongoing (FRI, 1982, 1997). Originally samples for testing were taken from the general population of individual species, but more recently some selection has started in an effort to find clones with above-average durability.

Classification system

Natural durability rating refers to the timber performance both in contact with the ground and above-ground. Durability in New Zealand is measured using the Australasian natural durability classification

system (AS 5604-2003), which classifies timbers into four durability classes:

- Class 1 – very durable
- Class 2 – durable
- Class 3 – moderately durable
- Class 4 – non-durable.

The benchmark testing system used is ground contact stakes, usually 50 or 20 millimetres square. All species are classified on the basis of the heartwood durability because sapwood, regardless of tree species, is non-durable. The proportion of sapwood in a tree may also influence the suitability of a species for particular end uses. For example, Robinia (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) usually has very little sapwood whereas pine species normally have a high sapwood proportion and heartwood of low to moderate durability. Robinia does not therefore require preservative treatment, but timber from pine species requires it before use in outdoor environments.

Field and in-service tests

In tests conducted by Scion, suitability for various end uses has been measured using a combination of field and in-service tests around the country. These take into account various factors as well as durability such as stability, strength, wear resistance and compatibility with coatings.

Field tests are simulated commodity tests established at Scion field test sites and may include timber from experimental or commercial production. In-service tests involve commercially produced timber components installed in buildings and other structures throughout the country. These field and in-service tests have been used to confirm the suitability of the species for above-ground end uses, as shown in Table 1.

While a particular species may perform well in these tests, other factors such as susceptibility of the growing tree to fungal and insects attack or specific site and establishment requirements could well restrict planting and subsequent utilisation. The list of species tested for durability does not include many timber species grown in this country.

There are many species in parks and small woodlots that are occasionally sawn locally or have yet to become available in commercial quantities. These include *Eucalyptus bosistoana* and some of the other species being grown under the Dryland Forests Initiative – see www.info@nzdfir.org.nz. Many of the indigenous species listed here are now seldom available. Beech species from managed forests and totara from managed woodlots in the far north may be available in small quantities.

Recycled timber from both locally grown and imported species is available sporadically, but when used in exterior situations may be less reliable than freshly cut timber.

Supplies of species other than Douglas fir and radiata pine are often localised and of variable quality. This means that heartwood of a suitable quality for

uses such as joinery, weatherboards and decking is not always available. Territorial authorities may also regard these species as an 'alternative solution' under the building code and require documentation from local tests of strength and durability before they will permit their use.



Far left and above: Field test site located at Whakarewarewa, Scion, Rotorua. Far right: Service test for a locally grown western red cedar building with split shakes on the roof and gable ends

Table 1: In-ground natural durability classification for the heartwood of NZ-grown species (50 mm square stakes)

Class 1 (very durable) >25 years	Class 2 (durable) 15-25 years	Class 3 (moderately durable) 5-15 years	Class 3 (moderately durable) 5-15 years	Class 4 (non-durable) <5 years
Hardwoods	Hardwoods	Hardwoods	Softwoods	Hardwoods
<i>Eucalyptus cladocalyx</i>	<i>E. amygdalina</i>	Black beech ²	Japanese cedar ²	<i>Paulownia elongata</i>
Robinia	<i>E. botryooides</i>	Blackwood ²	Kaikawaka ²	<i>Paulownia tomentosa</i>
	<i>E. cornuta</i>	<i>E. globulus</i> ²	Kauri ²	Poplar
	<i>E. globoidea</i>	<i>E. sieberi</i> ²	Larch ²	Tawa
	<i>E. muelleriana</i>	Gleditsia ²	Lawson cypress ²	Silver wattle
	<i>E. pilularis</i>	Southern rata ²	Lusitanica ²	
Softwoods	<i>E. radiata</i> (p)	<i>E. fastigata</i> ¹	Macrocarpa ²	Softwoods
Silver pine	<i>E. saligna</i>	<i>E. delegatensis</i> ¹	Matai ²	<i>P. nigra</i>
Totara	European oak	<i>E. fraxinoides</i> ¹	Redwood ²	
	Hard beech	<i>E. obliqua</i> ¹	Rimu ²	
	Mountain beech	<i>E. pyrocarpa</i> ¹	Tanekaha ²	
	Red beech	<i>E. viminalis</i> ¹	Western red cedar ²	
	Sweet chestnut	<i>E. regnans</i> ¹	Douglas fir ¹	
		Hinau ¹	Leyland cypress ¹ (p)	
		Mangeao ¹	Miro ¹	
		Pukatea ¹	<i>Pinus contorta</i> ¹	
		Silver beech ¹	<i>P. muricata</i> ¹	
			<i>P. radiata</i> ¹	
			<i>P. strobus</i> ¹	
			<i>P. ponderosa</i> ¹	

¹ Species with durability towards the lower end of the range.
² Species with durability towards the upper end of the range.
(p) Provisional classification, species still in test.

Variability associated with exposure conditions

Ground contact durability is influenced by climate and soil conditions – decay is faster in warmer, moist environments. Hence many of the stake durability tests have been duplicated at several sites around the country and results are combined for durability classification.

In above-ground situations there is usually less of a decay hazard than within-ground, but exposure conditions can vary widely. For example, unshaded north-facing walls are exposed to more temperature variations than south-facing walls. Also exposed decking has wide horizontal surfaces that have the potential to absorb and trap more water than the near-vertical surfaces of weatherboards.

The rule of thumb is that a species placed in Class 3 on the basis of stake test results may have an average life similar to Class 2 stakes when used away from ground contact, but this will depend very much on exposure conditions, as shown in Table 2. Coatings, particularly paint coatings, can have a major influence on durability by preventing wetting of the wood. They can have the reverse effect if not well maintained because water

penetrating through breaks in a coating may remain trapped in the wood, increasing the potential for decay.

Table 2: Expected life (years) of naturally durable timbers based on 50 mm or 20 mm square testing samples

Durability Class	Ground contact stakes		Exposed above ground	
	50 mm	20 mm	50 mm	20 mm
Class 1	> 25	> 10	> 40	> 25
Class 2	15 – 25	6 – 10	20 – 40	15 – 25
Class 3	5 – 15	2 – 6	10 – 20	7 – 15
Class 4	0 – 5	0 – 2	0 – 10	0 – 7

Most Class 3 species are only suitable for use away from ground contact. Species in that durability class are loosely divided into two groups, having a durability towards the top or the bottom of the range. Species towards the top of the range are generally suitable for non-structural exterior uses, but those towards the bottom are only suitable for exterior use where they receive some protection from the weather and are in low decay hazard situations.

Table 3: In-ground stake durability variation for three Class 2 eucalyptus species

Species	Tree 1	Tree 2	Tree 3	Tree 4	Tree 5	Average
<i>E. pilularis</i>	13.3 (5 – 21)	6.6 (3 – 13)	10.9 (3 – 17)	5.7 (1 – 12)	10.4 (5 – 12)	9.9
<i>E. muelleriana</i>	10.7 (6 – 20)	6.2 (2 – 16)	6.6 (2 – 15)	8.2 (3 – 13)	9.4 (4 – 18)	9.2
<i>E. globoidea</i>	12.4 (6 – 20)	14.3 (5 – 24)	12.0 (3 – 32)	9.4 (3 – 18)	14.3 (5 – 21)	9.8

Variability within species

Durability is variable from tree-to-tree of the same species and may be influenced by genetics, growing conditions, climate and wood age, among other factors. An illustration of the type of variation that can be expected within and between species in the same durability class is given in Table 3.

The average life in years for 20 millimetre square heartwood stakes from each tree is shown, with the overall average for the species in this test in the last column. Note that the number of stakes from each tree varied. Figures in parenthesis show the range of durability (in years) for stakes from each tree. For a species to be classified as of Class 2 durability the average life of 20 millimetre square stakes would need to be six to 10 years. The stakes used in this test were all heartwood and were installed in the same plot at the same time. Hence exposure conditions were similar for all groups.

The average life for each species was at the upper end of the range for Class 2 durability, but *E. pilularis* tree 4 was only of Class 3 durability. *E. pilularis* tree 2 and *E. muelleriana* trees 2 and 3 were at the lower end of the Class 2 durability range, whereas three of the *E. pilularis*, one of the *E. muelleriana* and four of the *E. globoidea* trees were of Class 1 durability.

The figures in parenthesis probably best illustrate the variation in durability with some stakes failing after only one or two years. At the other end of the scale, at least one stake from each tree lasted 12 years or more and one *E. globoidea* stake survived for 32 years.

Suitability for various end uses

Regulations require that timber used in building structural situations should have a minimum life of 50 years. Timber used in non-structural situations should have a minimum life of 15 years if used externally and five years if used internally.

Ground contact posts, piles and poles

Very few species, including those in the Class 1 durability range, would consistently have a minimum life of 50 years when used in ground contact situations in New Zealand unless they are of large cross-sectional dimensions (more than 200 millimetres). The Australian Timber Service Life Design Guide indicates

that 200 millimetre diameter Class 1 durability poles would have an expected life of 30 to 45 years in south-eastern Australia, an area where the climatic conditions are similar to those in New Zealand. Hence naturally durable species are now seldom used for house piles or poles unless they are supported on steel brackets away from ground contact.

Fence posts have no regulatory minimum life requirement, but 75 to 100 millimetre thick heartwood posts would be expected to last at least 15 years with an average life of 25 to 30 years. Generally Class 1 and Class 2 species will meet this requirement, although occasional failures of Class 2 species inside 15 years are likely.

Split or round posts from young trees are likely to be less reliable than split or sawn posts from mature trees, and in all cases sapwood on posts is likely to rot away within five to 10 years. Species towards the top of the Class 3 range, such as macrocarpa, are often used in ground contact situations. The average life of these is likely to be 15 to 20 years, with early failures occurring in five to 10 years.

Decking and above-ground fence components

These end uses often include moderate-severe decay hazards where timber components are in close contact and in frequently damp situations. The minimum durability requirement for decking is 15 years, but there is no minimum requirement for fence materials. Class 2 species are generally satisfactory for this, although some decay is likely within that time. Thickness may be important because thin (19 millimetre finished thickness) decking of Class 2 species may have an average life of less than 15 years in wetter shaded areas where there is a higher decay hazard.

Class 2 species should be suitable for fence rails and battens, although some decay is likely to develop at rail/post and rail/batten joins in 10 to 15 years. Upper range Class 3 species, such as macrocarpa, may be satisfactory as decking in partly protected situations. However occasional failures of individual boards are likely in 10 to 15 years in more exposed situations. Lower range Class 3 species used in these situations, such as Douglas fir, are often unreliable and likely to fail in the five to 15-year timeframe.

Framing for decking is required to have a 50-year minimum life. In situations where it is partly protected

from the weather, framing of Class 2 durability may meet this requirement but earlier failures could be expected where the framing is fully exposed to the weather. Class 1 durable species are more reliable in these situations and in other external structures, such as pergolas, if 50-year durability is a minimum requirement.

Weatherboards and exterior finishing timbers

These are usually exposed to relatively low decay hazards and are often partly protected by paint or other building elements such as eaves. Stability and appearance are often more important to the eventual service life of these timbers than the durability of the wood. Note that:

- Species in the upper durability range within Class 3 such as the cypresses, western red cedar and redwood are traditional timbers for this type of end use and are relatively stable. Hence heartwood can be used uncoated or stain-coated where a more rustic or 'natural' appearance is desired
- Species in the lower end of the Class 3 range, such as Douglas fir and larch, are less reliable in damp areas and require protection such as paint. Boards containing sapwood should not be used externally unless completely protected from the weather.

External windows and doors

These are usually exposed to low or moderate decay hazards and are commonly protected by paint coatings. Stability and durability are equally important. Hence species such as imported western red cedar and redwood have often been used for this purpose. Species in the upper section of Class 3, western red cedar, redwood and the cypresses are all suitable for this purpose where timber of a suitable grade is available.

Specialty uses

- **Marine piles** – timber immersed in saltwater is subject to damage from a variety of marine boring organisms and no locally grown species have shown prolonged resistance to them (Carr, 1953). There are reports of totara and silver pine having a life of more than 10 years in areas where marine borers are less active. Specially preservative treated (Treatment hazard class H6) and a few naturally resistant imported species, for example turpentine (*Syncarpia glomifolia*) from Australia and greenheart (*Ocotea rodiaei*) from tropical America, are the only suitable species for this use.
- **Freshwater timbers** – timber immersed in freshwater usually reaches a moisture content above that at which most decay fungi will attack it. For this reason, sunken logs of non-durable species have remained sound for many years in lakes and rivers. The heartwood of Class 1 and 2 durable species is adequate for most freshwater situations. The section out of the water remains vulnerable to damage by decay fungi. Structural components therefore probably require Class 1

durability if a 50-year life is required and non-structural components Class 2 durability to meet a 15-year minimum requirement.

- **Roof shingles and shakes** – the local traditional species for wooden roof shingles and shakes were totara, kaikawaka and kauri (they were largely replaced by imported western red cedar and Alaskan yellow cedar shingles). Locally grown western red cedar and some of the more durable eucalyptus species, for example *E. saligna*, have been tested as shingles but are less durable and not as stable as the imported species. Quarter-sawn heartwood of locally grown cypresses gave slightly better results, and would probably meet the minimum durability requirement of 15 years on steeper pitched roofs when combined with a well-designed installation system.

Conclusion

Classification and predication of in-service performances of naturally durable timber is much more difficult than preservative treated timber due to the variability of wood properties within and between trees of the same species and the variable nature of the hazard to which the timber will be exposed. A combination of field test and in-service testing has been used to classify the suitability of locally grown timber for various end-uses and to show that they are a suitable substitute for preservative treated timber.

Acknowledgements

This is an update of earlier *What's New in Forest Research* FRI Bulletins 112 (1982) and 245 (1997). It is based on data collected by Jackie van-der Waals, Ian Simpson and many earlier members of the Scion Wood Preservation Group.

References

- Carr, D.R. 1953. Marine Borers in Timber Structures. *New Zealand Engineering*, (Nov): 389-398.
- Forest Research Institute. 1982. The Natural Durability of Untreated Timbers. *What's New in Forest Research*. FRI Bulletin No. 112.
- Forest Research Institute. 1997. Naturally Durable Wood – Is it a Practical Alternative to Preservative Treated Pine? *What's New in Forest Research*. FRI Bulletin No. 245.
- Forest and Wood Products Australia. 2010. *Timber Service Life Design: Design Guide for Durability*. Queensland, Australia.
- Standards Australia. 2003. AS 5604. *Timber – Natural Durability Ratings*. Sydney, Australia: SA.
- Standards New Zealand. 2003. NZS 3602. *Timber and Wood-Based Products for Use in Building*. Wellington, NZ: SNZ.
- Dave Page is Technical Officer and Tripti Singh is Scientist/Project Leader (Bioactives and Wood Preservation) at Scion in Rotorua.*