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30 Years Ago in the ERA

DON'T MINE THE FARM

Farming is not mining. A miner is not expected to put anything back. A real farmer puts back whatever is required for soil improvement. He does whatever is needed to strengthen the weakest link. Even if the nitrogen content should remain stationary as a result of fixation by bacteria on the roots of alfalfa, selling the foliage off the farm in the form of hay removes other plant foods. That which should be returned goes to enrich the farms of others. Feeding crops on the farm retards depletion. Whether manures are a food or a health restorer, they are necessary, and they turn the trick when they become incorporated with the soil.
THE YEAR 1954 DAWNED bright and clear in northern Colorado; and it continued that way, day after day, week after week, month after month.

Northern Colorado was in the withering embrace of its first serious drought in 20 years. Hundreds of thousands of acres of one of Colorado’s most important agricultural areas lay sweltering under the constant sun. The towering Rockies loomed dry and snowless over the sunbaked prairies below. Streams normally fed by the mountains’ melting snowpack dwindled to trickles.

There might have been disaster, but there wasn’t because a dream of 75 years had come true. The Colorado-Big Thompson Project, dramatic transmountain water diversion project, 60 years a hope and a dream, and more than 16 years in the building, was ready to deliver water to the bone-dry farms in the huge Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District extending from the foothills of the Rockies eastward through the South Platte River Basin to the Colorado-Nebraska State line.

Into this drouth-wracked area, the Colorado-Big Thompson Project poured 300,352 acre-feet of water—water which had been stored in project reservoirs, transported beneath the Continental Divide through a 13-mile-long tunnel, three-quarters of a mile below the crest of the Rockies, and finally distributed to the lands through a system of canals interconnected with the privately developed and privately operated irrigation systems spider-webbing northern Colorado.

The Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District served under the Colorado-Big Thompson Project comprises an area half as large as the entire State of Delaware—615,000 acres of cultivated land.

Across this broad expanse flow the streams which give it life, the river and creeks to which early settlers turned for water when they found that precipitation was too limited to provide for the optimum production of crops. The land is rich and fertile, but to pour forth its bounty it must have irrigation.

Through the latter part of the 19th century, scores of private irrigation systems were developed, depending upon natural stream flow for supplies. It soon became apparent, however, that under the extensive development, additional supply was needed during the mid- and late-season period when spring runoff was over and the streams were running low. This led to a period of reservoir construction to hoard the heavy spring flows for use later in the growing season.

Even this was not the answer, however. The natural water supply was overappropriated. Shortages of irrigation water supplies frequently limited crop production to a serious degree. The
more farsighted residents of the area looked westward toward the mountains and believed they saw in that direction the answer to their water problems: Just across the Continental Divide on Colorado’s Western Slope water was plentiful, actually in surplus.

But the granite peaks of the Rockies lay as a 14,000-foot barrier to tapping the Western Slope waters. This was the problem the Colorado-Big Thompson Project was designed and built to solve. A system was constructed on the Western Slope to collect surplus waters from the upper Colorado River Basin and to guarantee water rights and needs of Western Slope users.

The Alva B. Adams Tunnel was driven under the Divide to bring the water from west to east. And then a series of hydroelectric generating plants were constructed to use the more than 3,000 feet of natural head between the east portal of the tunnel and the farmlands below.

These plants pour out the energy needed by farms, cities, industries, and other users in a wide section of Colorado. Revenues from sale of this energy are paying a major portion of the $159.8 million dollars the project will cost when it is finally completed a year or two hence.

This is the project then which had its baptism by fire in 1954, even before all the physical works were completed. Soil moisture content was shrunk to only about 15 percent of normal when the 1954 planting season began. Precipitation over the broad area served by the project had dwindled for months so that records showed it was down to less than 40 percent of normal in some sections and, at best, 50 to 60 percent in others. Natural runoff during the spring of 1954 was only 40 percent of the normal.

The one brightening factor as the drought-dominated spring season began was the presence of a near-capacity supply of water in the project’s storage reservoirs. In storage in the project system on May 1 were 532,572 acre-feet of water, exclusive of the Green Mountain replacement reservoir which then held 45,856 acre-feet to guarantee Western Slope needs.

In private storage in the service area there were 115,200 acre-feet additional, somewhat under the 20-year record average of 126,000 acre-feet. These were the reserves upon which the farmers and the communities of northeastern Colorado had to
MORE DRY YEARS AHEAD

By I. R. TANNEHILL

THE AUTHOR—Mr. I. R. Tannehill was formerly Assistant Chief of the U. S. Weather Bureau, a position from which he retired last November 1, and is a recognized world authority on weather. He is the author of "Drought, Its Causes and Effects," an outstanding work on the subject, as well as several other important books on weather. He was asked by "Country Gentleman" to outline the long-range prospects for rainfall in the United States. In this article he gives his ideas regarding the general weather outlook for the next 20 years in attention-compelling terms. His statements are his own and are not offered as an official opinion of the U. S. Weather Bureau.

The unusually hot and dry weather of the past 3 years has raised a number of questions in the minds of farmers and a widespread concern over our water supply. Does it indicate that this country is in for a hotter and drier climate as some say? Will the recent droughts be repeated? Or will you have abundant moisture for good crops in the next several years? And what are the longer-range prospects for the future? Here are your answers in general terms:

To put it in a few words, the country is now in a dry cycle that is likely to hold on for 7 or 8 years longer. Not all of these years will be dry, and none of them is apt to be dry in all parts of the country. Two or three of them are apt to be fairly wet in most of the States but, as a whole, the block of years extending to 1962 will average considerably drier than normal. In several of these years there will be dust blowing again in the Southwest, especially in the late winter and early spring. Many parts of the country will continue to be plagued by drought at one season or another, most likely in the warmer part of the year.

How do we come to these conclusions?
What we know about the weather of the past is an index to the weather of the future. Year by year we add to this knowledge. A few of our weather records run back into the first quarter of the 19th century, but these records are mostly in the East. It was not until 1886 that we had a suf-
sufficient number of observers around the country to give a reliable picture of the national rainfall.

As this record gets longer—it now exceeds two-thirds of a century—we begin to see the pattern of changes around the seasons, through the years and across the great length and breadth of our country. In this pattern there are broad swings up and down in the national rainfall. Each time the curve changes you read stories, as you probably have lately, about a permanent change in our climate.

After the droughts in and for some time after the Civil War period there was more abundant rainfall, especially in the years from about 1875 to 1885. This led many people to believe that increasing cultivation of the soil in the Prairie States had changed the climate. But a big disappointment came with the dry cycle, which began in 1886 and became disastrous in the 1890's. Since that time these great swings in the national rainfall have continued and are plainly evident in the records of the 20th century. What do they look like?

The records show that dry cycles began in 1886, 1910, and 1930. Another one started late in 1951. Wet cycles began in 1898, 1919, and 1941. These are averages, of course, and in each of these cycles there were some wet and some dry years. But in the dry cycles there were more dry years and the droughts were worse than in the years of the wet cycles.

This period of years from wet to dry and back to wet again ranges from 20 to 24 years, but averages 22 years. It corresponds with what is known as the “Hale double sunspot cycle,” after its discovery in 1908 by an astronomer named George Hale. Just how this solar influence causes these changes in our weather no one at present knows for sure. But it is a curious fact that these changes to dry and back again to wet start about 3 years before each low point in sunspot activity.

For example, the dry cycles began a few years before the sunspot minima of 1889, 1913, and 1933. The present one is not definitely determined yet, but it is likely that 1954 will prove to be the minimum sunspot year.

In these dry cycles in the United States, the rainfall follows a complicated pattern, but it has certain broad features that are just the reverse of the variations in the wet cycle. The dry cycle begins rather abruptly—as it did in late 1951—and continues off and on for 2 to 4 years.

This is followed by one or two wet years and then in the sixth or seventh year another drought of about 2 years sets in. Looking back through the records, we see that 7 years after the first dry spell began in 1886, a second drought came in 1893 and 1894. Actually, the dust began to blow in the summer of 1892.

The driest year of record, for the United States as a whole, was 1910, and it was followed by a drought that began in 1916. The big droughts of the 1930's began in the eastern half of the country in 1930, and 6 years later we had one of the worst drought years in the Middle West.

On this basis, we can look for another drought to develop in 1957 or 1958 and continue for about 2 years.

Between these pairs of droughts in the dry cycles, there has been one or two wet years. On the whole, the years 1891 and 1892 were wet; 1915 was very wet. You’ll recall that 1935 was a wet year, and it should be wet again in 1956.

On the other hand, the wet cycles have few droughts and they are rather mild. We can look for them about midway of the wet cycle. You remember that we had such a mild drought in 1946 and into 1947. In the next wet cycle, beginning in the 1960's, a moderate drought is likely near 1969.

These calculations are quite generalized, but they indicate in a broad way the prospects ahead in regard to water supply, soil moisture, and farm production. They will help to point up for you the relation between variations in the sun’s radiation and rainfall, which was discussed by Dr.  

Continued on page 12
GROWING PAINS

SCHOOL NEEDS OF AN IRRIGATED AREA

by RUSSELL M. ESVELT and JOHN A. PORTER, Graduate Students in School Administration at the State College of Washington, engaged in Research Studies on the Columbia Basin Project under a Kellogg Foundation Grant

The "Jackrabbit Derby" is the feature event of an annual celebration staged in Quincy, Wash., a mushrooming community in the Columbia Basin Project area. It commemorates the time, just a few years ago, when these racehorse bunnies were the most numerous inhabitants of the semiarid land. Their presence is a reminder of the great change taking place with the development of an irrigation project.

Now that people are replacing jackrabbits, the character of social problems in the Columbia Basin is changing as rapidly as the landscape. The irrigation farmer's young progeny, within a few years, will outnumber the young jackrabbits according to present indications. And therewith lies our story, of people working together to insure their children in a pioneer area of the same educational opportunities offered in stabilized, well-developed communities.

The Columbia Basin Project is judged the most extensive ever attempted by the Bureau of Reclamation. The first major phase of the project is currently converting 500,000 acres of sparsely populated land into an area of intensive farming and thriving communities. The development schedule calls for this accomplishment by 1960. Taking place in the relatively short space of 10 years, the rapid pace of development poses problems that require unusual attention and effort. Where arrangements and facilities existed for community services in prereclamation days, the growing needs of expanding communities quickly make them totally inadequate to handle the load.

Schools for the new crop of youngsters have been a critical problem since early in the development. Rapidly rising enrollments demand a series of expansions in school districts that as yet have received little increase in taxable wealth.
In 1946 the total area had less than 1,700 pupils in its schools. An enrollment of over 20,000 is predicted by 1962. The Moses Lake schools have already grown from 500 to 2,700 pupils, and expect to reach 5,300; Lower Crab Creek, with a 1950 enrollment of 15 children, estimates 2,400.

School districts were poorly equipped to cope with such a growth problem. The public school is hardly noted for its surplus of ready cash for emergencies. The horde of youngsters knocking at the door has cleaned the cupboard in a hurry. There is little possibility of replenishment as the children keep right on coming.

To their everlasting credit, school officials at an early date recognized the need for cooperative action. In 1947, they met and formed a federation called the Columbia Basin School Development Association. This organization, whose membership includes all school board members and superintendents in the affected area, has remained active ever since, and has been the coordinating force for nearly all action taken. An executive council consisting of school superintendents meets monthly, with meetings of the entire membership called as needed.

During the early years of its existence the group concerned itself largely with organizing support from outside agencies. The Bureau of Reclamation, of course, figured to a considerable degree in all aspects of planning. Bureau officials are fully aware of the importance of school facilities to the orderly settlement of the irrigation project. Their cooperation has been invaluable in providing data for growth patterns and total effect on each school district, as well as the entire project area. They also granted, under provisions of Federal law, considerable financial assistance for school building and operating costs attributable to the construction and operation phase.

With first water delivery scheduled for 1952, only a small proportion of the ultimate population was on the scene by 1951. These folks, the long-time residents and first newcomers, needed real help to plan for and provide schools for the greatly increased enrollments just around the corner.

The State College of Washington recognized the impact of the Columbia Basin Project on the schools of the Basin. For assistance in the problem, it made application to participate in the Cooperative Program in Educational Administration, a project endowed by the Kellogg Foundation and intended to provide research and in-service training in school administration. Under the direction of Dr. Zeno B. Katterle of the college, a Kellogg project was instituted, entitled "School Administration and Community Planning Technique in Rapidly Growing Areas." In November of 1951 the Columbia Basin School Development Association formally requested the assistance of the Kellogg project in the school districts' efforts to keep pace with their school needs. Since that time the association and Kellogg project representatives have met regularly and worked closely together.

From its beginning, this cooperative project has sought to bring local people and groups into the study of and planning for their school needs. The leaders have tried to emphasize participation and responsibility by the community as an important factor in the development of the irrigation project. However, outside help and support are needed. Cooperating agencies include Bureau of Reclamation personnel, particularly Jim Berkey (now with the Atomic Energy Commission at Rich-

land, Wash.), Herb Simison of the Project organization at Ephrata; the office of the State Superintendent of Public Instruction; county officials, especially county school superintendents; and on occasion various other local and regional groups.

Local responsibility has been encouraged by the formation of lay committees within the individual school districts. These committees are made up of citizens representing a cross-section of the com-

This EPHRATA SCHOOL housed all grades before Reclamation development. Photo on preceding page shows EPHRATA'S NEW HIGH SCHOOL already overcrowded.
munity. Their purpose is to assist the school board by interesting as many people as possible in studying the needs of the local schools. The committees serve to develop among more people an understanding of school problems, and to enlist the support and cooperation of all the people in building and improving the school program.

The Kellogg project is providing the services of two graduate students at the State College of Washington, working as interns in school administration. Their program of work, which will be continued until 1955, has provided information as follows:

1. School enrollment projections for each district, including farm-child and rural-urban ratios.
2. Assessed valuation estimates, with techniques for working them out.
3. Surveys of community attitudes toward schools.
4. Community participation in planning techniques and long-range programs.
5. Analyses and studies of school site locations.
6. Continuing studies for refinement of estimates of enrollment and financial ability.

The underlying purpose of the work of the Kellogg project has not been to furnish answers to the many school problems of the Basin school districts. Instead, it has tried to provide information and suggest methods whereby districts may work out their own solutions. People who are interested in their schools will, if given encouragement, take time to find out what is needed to have good schools, and then will work to get them. State and Federal agencies have responded to applications for financial assistance—applications backed by factual data and united support. Community understanding has resulted in excellent local support for the school program.

Despite the enormity of the task of keeping up with their school needs, Columbia Basin communities show promise of leaving no stone unturned to get it done. Already they have provided for over 4,000 new pupils, tripling their original school facilities. Latest estimates indicate a need for 500 more classrooms during the next 8 years to care for 15,000 additional pupils.

The outcome may well be modern, well-equipped school systems, adapted to the needs of an agricultural economy. The American tradition of equal educational opportunity for all, in the pioneering frontier as well as in its productive aftermath, is being recreated in the public schools of the Columbia Basin Project. The important thing to remember, though, is that it isn’t just “happening.” Planning, determination, and effort on the part of many people are the factors that give an excellent prospect for success.

---

Below: BEFORE and AFTER—Lower left, abandoned dry-land farmstead on Columbia Basin Project (H. E. Foss photo). Lower right, typical farmstead under irrigation on the project. Immediate right: map of SCHOOL DISTRICTS on the project and farms.
Much has been said about the rapid increase in the use of electricity on the farms and ranches in the United States during the last two decades or so. Electric power lines are now making possible the advantages of electric power for lighting, cooking, heating, and countless motor driven devices increasing the efficiency of the available manpower. However, there are new fields opening up for the use of electric power in connection with agricultural activities. One of these is the application of electric current to the soil in different ways for the purpose of securing many varied and useful results.

Some work, especially in Europe, has been done in this field dating back several years. However, the work undertaken during the past three years by personnel connected with the Bureau of Reclamation, Billings, Mont., has greatly increased the interest in the possible practical use of these processes. Although this work has been encouraged by Supervising Engineer C. T. Hinze as far as his authority permitted, much of the investigations has been done on the off time of the interested employees. Some work has been conducted by the Soils Laboratory under the Assistant Commissioner and Chief Engineer in Denver.

The purposes for which an electric current has been passed through soil by these Bureau of Reclamation employees are many and may be divided as follows:

1. The reclaiming of bogged and salted agricultural areas.
2. Increased plant growth.
3. The stabilization of soil for such purposes as canal lining, roadways, excavation activities.
4. The control of animal life within the soil.

**Reclaiming of Agricultural Land**

Some of the most amazing results were secured in the reclaiming of bogged and salted areas. Figure 1 is a photograph of a bogged area covered by white and black alkali. This photograph was taken on June 19, 1952. The area had not produced any crop for 19 years. Figure 2 shows the type of cover on this area about 50 days later. This cover consists of a heavy growth of grasses and sweet clover. The cost of the current required was $2.40 per acre at retail rates.

The sodium content of the drain water increased over 20 times, or from 1,518 p. p. m. to 37,092 p. p. m., after the current had been applied for a short time and the sodium content of the soil was reduced enough to warrant the placing of excluded land in payclass according to Bureau of Reclamation standards. One arrangement used for applying current is shown in figure 3. The effects on the sides of the drain ditch caused by more water being
Anodes packed in proper chemical for soil treated

3. Stabilization of soil along open drain

Source of Direct Current

Cathode in bottom of open drain

5. An arrangement of electrodes used for canal lining

Electrodes of aluminum wire or other metals packed in proper chemicals.
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The loss of water through the wetted perimeter of irrigation canals and laterals is always a matter of concern not only on account of water shortage but also the seepage problem that is created. The lining of these canals is a costly process and a constant effort is being carried on by the Bureau of Reclamation and other interested parties toward reducing this cost.

Some work had been done in the past in connection with stabilizing soils around piling in order to increase their bearing power by using electric current and aluminum electrodes. An application of this theory, with modification, has been used by Bureau of Reclamation personnel for pulled through are shown in figure 4. The cathode consisting of a copper wire is in the bottom of the drain for a distance of about 500 feet in the foreground and is pulling water through the right-hand bank. The alfalfa in the area treated averaged about 8 inches higher than that in the untreated areas and matured a week earlier.

Other areas treated did not show such outstanding results. More research is needed regarding methods, costs and results before the practical applicability of such treatment can be determined.
lining canals. Some promising results have been obtained at low costs.

Several arrangements of electrodes have been tried with various spacings. Different materials have been used for cathodes in combination with the aluminum anodes. The electrodes used have been in such forms as sheets, wire, rods, etc. The type used depends largely upon the conditions encountered and the results desired. Various chemicals such as sodium chloride or common salt were placed in the water and definite results were obtained. An arrangement of electrodes that has given satisfactory results is shown in figure 5. Different types and arrangements of electrodes and chemicals were tried in the laboratory, one set of which is shown in figure 6. Unit 6A was a check unit and received no treatment. The location of the first field experiment is shown in figure 6A where a small ditch was treated. The permeability was reduced from one foot per hour to less than one inch per hour using a small battery charger. Figure 7—Alkali field after treatment.

**Drainage Construction**

Various systems are being tried at the present time for expediting the construction of deep, subsurface drains through bogged and unstable soils. Electrodes of various types have been placed on the center line or on either side of the proposed drain. Care must be taken in this operation not to permanently close the soil pores. The results obtained show promise, but a system has not been developed that can be recommended for field construction.

**Control of Life in the Soil**

The effect of an electric current upon fish in the water or angle worms in the soil is well known. The Bureau of Reclamation people at Cody, Wyo. have carried this process a step further. Recent work in connection with the control of insect and microbiological life within the soil has proven to be interesting and perhaps of some significance if time proves that the effect upon such pests as nematodes and maggots is of importance. The

Continued on page 23
COMMISSIONER DEXHEIMER REPORTS TO THE CONGRESS

At the request of the Chairman of the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, Reclamation Commissioner W. A. Dexheimer recently made a report to the Congress on The Growth and Contribution of Federal Reclamation to an Expanding National Economy. A limited number of copies of this report, printed by the Interior and Insular Affairs Committee, and identified as Committee Print No. 27, are available upon request to the Commissioner of Reclamation (Attention Code 910), Washington 25, D. C.

In brief this report shows in part that—

1. Reclamation has constructed or rebuilt facilities to furnish a full or supplemental irrigation water supply for 7.1 million acres of irrigable land. This translates into 125,000 family-size farms and an additional 125,000 suburban units.

2. Crop production in 1953 from 69 reclamation projects or major divisions was valued at $785.9 million and the cumulative value of crops produced from 1906 to 1953 totals almost $10 billion.

3. Net power revenues in fiscal year 1954 from 29 power plants now in operation, after deductions for annual operation, maintenance, and replacements, totaled $33.9 million, and the total of all net power revenues returned to the Federal Treasury through June 30, 1953, amounted to about $225.9 million. Net power revenues during the next 50 years from 1953 at the level of fiscal year 1954 could return an additional $1,692.5 million to the Treasury.

4. Irrigation and municipal water repayment contracts will return $691 million, of which $108.9 million had been paid to June 30, 1953. While making construction account payments, the water users have paid operation and maintenance charges as they have become due. This will continue. Additional returns will accrue through water-sales-type contracts.

5. Potential returns to the United States Treasury from irrigation and municipal water users during the contract repayment period, plus the net power revenues from the presently operating facilities at the fiscal year 1954 level for the next 50 years will aggregate $2.6 billion, of which $334.8 million had been paid in on June 30, 1953. Power plants under construction will, when completed, add materially to these potential returns.

6. Federal tax revenues since 1916 from reclamation areas which may be attributed to Federal reclamation developments now stand at more than $3 billion. This sum alone exceeds by 25 percent the total cost of all Bureau-constructed projects to date.

7. Income to reclamation-project farmers and farmworkers totaled $550 million in 1953, or a cumulative income since 1906 of $6.8 billion.

8. Income to nonfarm urban areas in the environs of reclamation projects in 1953 amounted to about $786 million and aggregates almost $10 billion.

9. Annual business activity attributed to fishing, hunting, and other recreation at reclamation res-
10. Western population has increased at a much greater rate during the last decade than has the population of the Eastern States. For example, the population of the 17 Western States increased 25.8 percent between 1940 and 1950 while the 31 Eastern States gained only 11.5 percent.

11. Per capita retail trade in the Western States exceeds that of the Eastern States.

12. Reclamation project construction results in increased shipments of materials, supplies, and equipment from east to west during construction.

13. With reclamation-project development, business activity as reflected by railway freight shipments increases from east to west and from west to east.

14. The value of plant, property, and equipment of all Reclamation projects, both completed and under construction to serve irrigation, power, municipal water, flood control, fish and wildlife, pollution abatement, salinity control, navigation, and other public uses totaled $2.4 billion on June 30, 1953. This resource development expenditure over a period of more than 50 years is less than 4 percent of a single year's national budget. The fiscal year 1954 appropriation for reclamation construction was 1/4 of 1 percent of the national budget.

KLAMATH TRANSFER EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1

Members of the Klamath Irrigation District on November 11, by a majority vote, agreed to assume responsibility for the operation and maintenance of seven main canals, the drainage system, and several pumping plants within the District.

J. P. Elmore, the Project Manager, explained that of the original $2 million-plus owed by the Klamath District to the Bureau of Reclamation, less than $450,000 remains to be paid. The Bureau will continue the administration of the District and Bureau personnel will continue to inspect the transferred facilities. The costs for these services will be borne by the District.

This latest transfer of project facilities is in keeping with the policy of the Department of the Interior and the Bureau of Reclamation. This policy has been and continues to be one of encouraging the water users to operate and maintain their own distribution system as soon as possible.

Charles G. Abbot in the article “Another Drought Year Ahead?” in the September 1953 issue of Country Gentleman.

Moreover, they have an interest beyond this country, for it is a further curious fact that when wet cycles begin in the United States, the reverse or drought starts in the equatorial regions or in the Southern Hemisphere, and tends to spread northward into India, China, and Southeast Europe, sometimes including the Russian Ukraine.

Droughts in the years 1919 and 1921, beginning in Africa, culminated in a famine in the Ukraine in 1921. Also, there was a great Southern Hemisphere drought in the early 1940's at a time when the sunspot minimum was approaching and a wet cycle was becoming established in the United States.

The really significant thing is that these records indicate a definite alternation between wet and dry periods, and not a gradual drying out of the climate of the United States. Looking forward, we can expect in this same pattern a wet cycle to begin early in the 1960's—likely 1963—and at the same time a drought in the southern part of the world. Similarly, another dry cycle in this country should begin near the middle of the 1970's, probably in 1975.

While there is no need to be unduly alarmed because we are in a dry cycle, since a period of years with more rain will most certainly follow in the 1960's, there is one fact we should keep in mind. The population continues to increase and the use of water, in the home and for industrial and farm uses, climbs steadily and rapidly. So each dry cycle finds us in a worse predicament.

The most dangerous feature of these broad variations in the rainfall is the reassuring effect the intervening wet periods have upon us. Unless this pattern runs out, we will come into the early 1970's with frequent floods in the rivers, high water in the Great Lakes, and good rainfall in the high plains and marginal lands, with little thought about the problems another dry cycle will bring. Then will be when real trouble is just around the corner.

By that time our water demands may make the supply critical even in good rain years. What will we do when the great drought of 1975 settles down upon us? That's your question.

THE RECLAMATION ERA
Weeds on irrigation systems in the 17 Western States cost the farmer $25,000,000 annually. How do weeds cause such a tremendous loss? . . . They use water, increase operation and maintenance costs, reduce crop yields, and increase the cost of farming. Let's glance at the culprit at work . . .

(1) WATER CONSERVATION. Weed control achieves water conservation. 150,000 acre-feet of water are consumed by weeds annually on Reclamation-built irrigation systems. Look at the contrast . . . shallow-rooted grasses use small amounts of water in comparison to the cattails and willows on the weedy ditchbank. Old root channels provide a fast getaway for the water in the canal. Other water losses occur because the water weeds increase the height of water in the canal. This action increases the evaporation loss and causes more water to percolate through the less compacted upper section of the ditchbank.

(2) BETTER DELIVERY. A weed-free ditchbank allows a smooth flow of water through the ditch, assuring delivery of the correct head of water to the irrigator. In the weedy ditchbank, water velocities are slower. Enroute to the irrigator, water is used by the weeds. As a result, it takes longer to make deliveries and he gets less water for his crops.
3. BETTER OPERATION

(3) BETTER OPERATION. It costs more money to operate a weedy ditchbank. Proper inspection of the system cannot be made to prevent serious damages and more labor is required to operate the system.

4. ACCURATE MEASUREMENT

(4) ACCURATE MEASUREMENT. A weedy weir pool prevents accurate measurement of water. Are you getting your dollar’s worth of water through your turnout?

(5) PREVENTION OF DITCH BREAKS. A weed-free ditchbank is less likely to fail than a weedy ditchbank. To guard against costly breaks, clean up the ditchbank and plant adaptable grasses.

(6) (7) (8) (9) BENEFITS FARMERS. Weed control on the irrigation system pays off on
8. SEEDS SPREAD

7. HARBOR INSECTS

6. INCREASE COST

produces yield

The farm. It pays off by preventing reduction in yields, spread of weeds, harboring of insects, and preventing increases in production costs. You can't lose with a good ditchbank weed-control program. It benefits both the water user and his irrigation district.
HOW LIME AFFECTS the GROWTH of YOUR PLANTS

RICHARD B. WALKER, Ph. D.
Botany Department, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

We wish to express our appreciation to Professor Vernon A. Mund, also of the University of Washington, who cooperated in making this article available for the Era.—Ed.

How does lime or calcium in the soil affect the growth of plants?

The answer to this question is not simple, since it involves consideration of the forms in which this element occurs in the soil, how the plant absorbs and uses it, and how calcium may affect the other elements which plants absorb and use in their growth.

Some soils contain calcium in the form of free limestone (calcium carbonate), which is sometimes in the surface layer but more often in the subsoil. Whether or not free limestone is present, all fertile soils contain large amounts, several tons per acre, of calcium in a form known as exchangeable calcium. The particles of clay and humus have the ability to hold tightly mineral elements on their surfaces, from which these minerals are removed only very slowly by the washing action of water but from which they may be readily absorbed by plant roots. In neutral or only slightly acid soils these exchangeable bases are mostly calcium, magnesium, and potassium; in acid soils there is present a large amount of hydrogen with a corresponding reduction in the calcium, magnesium, and potassium; while in alkali soils much sodium is present.

A particular soil is able to hold a certain total amount of these bases in the exchangeable form, and this amount is called the base exchange capacity. Soils which are high in clay and organic matter contents have higher base exchange capacities than the lighter more sandy soils. The exchangeable form of the various bases is of special interest because this is a form which is readily absorbed by plants.

BALANCE IS IMPORTANT

The total amount of the exchangeable bases which a soil contains is important, but often the balance or relative proportions of these bases is more vital. If the soil is very acid it has a large amount of exchangeable hydrogen and often a deficiency of the nutrient bases, calcium, magnesium, and potassium. If the soil has a large amount of exchangeable sodium, it is an alkali soil and is infertile, probably because the excess of sodium keeps the plant from absorbing enough calcium and magnesium. In a similar way, the amount of magnesium may be high enough in unusual soils that it prevents the plant from absorbing enough calcium, and occasionally potassium is high enough to disturb the normal absorption of magnesium. Only under rare conditions, to be described later, has calcium been found high enough to keep plants from getting the required amounts of potassium or magnesium. calcium
is usually present in greater amount than the other bases in soils, and plants have apparently become adapted to this during ages of evolution. Often the amount of the various bases present is expressed in terms of the percentage of the base exchange capacity, and in these terms Dr. Firman Bear, of Rutgers University, has described the bases of an “ideal soil” as being 65 percent calcium, 10 percent magnesium, 5 percent potassium, and the other 20 percent mostly in hydrogen. Of course, fertile soils may vary considerably from these percentages, but the ideal values emphasize the requirements for a large proportion of calcium along with smaller but substantial amounts of magnesium and potassium.

**ABSORPTION OF SOIL BASES BY PLANTS**

Although a number of factors, such as light, temperature, water supply, and soil type, may have modifying effects, the amounts and proportions of the exchangeable bases have much influence on the growth and mineral composition of the crop plants. Generally speaking, the greater the total amount of soil bases, and especially the less acid or more saturated with bases the soil is, the greater will be the absorption of these bases by the plant. In a practical way, this means that if a soil is acid, we can add bases by liming or other fertilization, and thus improve the vegetable foods which furnish to animals and human beings the majority of their minerals. This ties in with the fact that often quality as well as quantity of plant products depends upon adequate mineral absorption.

Species of plants vary in their absorption of minerals even from the same soil, but often the total absorption of calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium (in some crops) will stay nearly constant for a particular kind of plant. If there is an excess of one element in the soil, the plant will absorb extra large amounts of this element, and the absorption of one or more of the other bases will drop. If this effect becomes extreme, the plant may become deficient in an element which is “crowded out.”

If unbalance in the soil bases causes serious lack of balance in plant absorption, yields may be affected. This is illustrated in figure 1, in which the growth of Romaine lettuce was successively reduced as excess magnesium in the soil prevented the proper absorption of calcium by the plants. If the unbalance in uptake of calcium is not so severe, or is just starting, deficiency symptoms such as are pictured in figure 2 may be the warning. Although these examples show the production of calcium deficiency by the excess of magnesium, similar effects on plant calcium have been shown when sodium or potassium were too high in the soil. The overabundance of potassium may also lower magnesium absorption enough to reduce yields in some cases. In rare instances, calcium may be in excess, as exemplified by some high-lime soils in which the potassium supply would normally be adequate, but proves to be insufficient because the high calcium concentration depresses potassium absorption; this depression can be corrected by heavy potash fertilization. Perhaps more common are cases in which both calcium and potassium are high, causing a reduction of magnesium absorption to deficiency levels. Really a subject in itself is the fact that excessive natural lime or overliming may reduce the availability of phosphates or of micronutrients such as iron, zinc, manganese, and boron to levels that are insufficient for the best plant growth, especially in soils in which the humus contents are low.

**SOIL AND TISSUE ANALYSES GIVE CHECKS ON NUTRIENT BALANCE**

It is uneconomical for the grower to wait until poor growth, low yields, or deficiencies tell him that plants are not absorbing the essential minerals from his soil in balanced quantities. Heavy cropping, emphasis upon only nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in fertilizer programs,
overliming, buildup of sodium from irrigation waters, leaching of light-textured soils by irrigation, or other factors may have reduced the yields on formerly productive land. Through county extension agents and other agricultural specialists, the farmer can usually arrange to have soil tests made which give much information on the balance of elements in the soil. Unfortunately, it is not always possible to predict from such soil analyses just how a particular crop will absorb minerals from the soil. Often soil tests can be followed up by testing or analysis of the plant leaves to find out what the plant has actually absorbed. Field kits are available to make tests for some nutrients, while other elements can only be determined in private or experiment station laboratories.

Care exercised in maintaining balance in the soil bases, and in insuring that the particular crops being grown are absorbing adequate and balanced amounts of the various elements (there is considerable information available in this connection for specific crops) will pay off in greater yields and higher quality of produce.

Taliaferro Resigns to Accept New York State Power Authority Post

Commissioner W. A. Dexheimer announced that Henry B. Taliaferro, Chief of the Power Division since 1952, resigned effective December 21 to accept a key position with the New York State Power Authority which is developing the St. Lawrence Power Project.

Mr. Taliaferro, who transferred to Washington from Sacramento, Calif., where he had been Regional Power Manager in charge of production, distribution, and marketing power from the Central Valley Project, became Assistant Director of the Division in 1951.

Previously, he was employed by private and public agencies, including work with the Potomac Electric Power Co. in Washington, D. C., as Assistant to the Chief Engineer from 1931 to 1935. He served as Assistant Electrical Engineer for the District of Columbia during the period 1937–39.

Mr. Taliaferro is a graduate of the United States Naval Academy and saw active duty in the Tunisian, Sicilian, and Italian campaigns as commander of landing craft with a complement of 300 men and 30 officers. He was also in command of a LSM flotilla at Okinawa and Japan.

call in 1954 to avoid utter economic and agricultural disaster.

In a normal year, the Colorado-Big Thompson Project is expected to deliver some 250,000 acre-feet of supplemental irrigation water. In drought year 1954 it delivered 300,352 acre-feet—about 120 percent of normal. Project water represented well over half of the year's total supply from all sources of 592,000 acre-feet, slightly less than the normal average of 595,000 acre-feet. This water reached an area of 400,000 of the 615,000 acres ultimately to be served. Day and night the Alva B. Adams tunnel flowed at top capacity to move the much-needed water to the East Slope. The results were inspiring.

The best proof of what might have been had not the Colorado-Big Thompson Project been able to meet the situation is obtained by comparing 1954 with 1934, the last serious drought year and the worst on record before 1954. Actually, in most respects, 1954 presented greater handicaps to crop production than did 1934. Therefore, estimate based upon a comparison of the 2 years provides conservative conclusions. But here, translated into tons and bushels, and sacks of farm produce are the figures:

### Additional yields per acre realized through use of Colorado-Big Thompson Project Water, 1954

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>With project water</th>
<th>Without project water</th>
<th>Difference due to project water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>Bu.</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>Bu.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oats</td>
<td>Bu.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>Bu.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar beets</td>
<td>T.</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potatoes</td>
<td>B.</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beans</td>
<td>B.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa</td>
<td>T.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Based upon 1934 data.

Allowing for abandonment resulting from water shortages, where water was not available, the value of project water, based upon the above yield differential, is $22 million for the 1954 season. In recent years, gross crop production has averaged $46 million in the area served by the project in 1954. This year the total was $41 million. Without project water, the total would have plunged to $19 million. Thus, project water was worth $2 million directly to the farmers. In the 400,000 acre area receiving direct service, nearly every
farm used project water. Partial credit for saving crops on about 15 percent of the acreage may be attributed, however, to irrigation from wells.

Testimonials volunteered by merchants, bankers, and other businessmen from throughout the project area have revealed unanimous agreement that the project in 1954 was the keystone in the area's economic stability, despite the fact the adverse conditions recognized early in the crop year resulted in a general tightening of belts and momentary slacking in business activity. Later in the season, however, when the farmers saw that they were going to have a good crop, business was restored to normal levels and the overall year's business results were about normal.

Bankers report no cases of foreclosure or forced liquidation. Other indicators of financial distress, such as repossession of equipment, extensive refinancing or growing delinquencies in credit accounts, are not in evidence.

These benefits are not entirely limited to the service area alone. Their impact is felt throughout the State of Colorado and the Nation. The economic benefits of irrigation development have been said to spread outward across the nation like the circling ripples spread from a stone tossed into a quiet millpond. This has definitely been proven in the Colorado-Big Thompson service area during 1954.

The favorable economic effects of the high-stable level of farming activity in northern Colorado are felt by the eastern, midwestern and far western manufacturers of the farm machinery and equipment, fertilizers, foods, automobiles, clothing, furniture, appliances and other commodities purchased and used by the farmers throughout the Northern Colorado Conservancy District and by their urban neighbors. The tax dollars paid by these same farmers and their urban neighbors have contributed materially to fulfillment of the missions of government, public education, health services, transportation and communications. It is estimated that Federal tax revenues, alone, arising out of the project area in fiscal year 1954 amounted to $41,763,000, with income taxes constituting the major share of that total—some $37,324,000.

Thus it was that the drought of 1954 in northern Colorado not only might have spelled disaster for the immediate area and its people, but it also could have touched adversely upon the well-being of persons in many walks of life throughout the entire State of Colorado and in far-distant sections of the Nation.

The 75-year-old dream which came true in 1954 thus proved the wisdom and the foresight of those early settlers who dared to dream such a grandiose dream and had the courage to work and struggle for its realization.

# # #

We want to take this opportunity to extend our appreciation to MR. MARTIN for his continued assistance and cooperation during his tour of duty with the Bureau.

We also extend to him congratulations and best wishes for success in his new position as Public Relations Manager for the Western Beet Sugar Producers.—Ed.
Starting in 1950, the irrigation facilities of the Salt River Project in Central Arizona have been undergoing the biggest overhaul and improvement program since the Project was started in 1903.

With a backlog of deferred replacement and repair work which had accumulated because of labor and material shortages during and after World War II, the Salt River Valley Water Users' Association was faced with a job beyond its ability to finance from current operating funds.

Many miles of canals and laterals needed lining. Hundreds of deteriorated wood structures and several thousand obsolete wood gates needed replacing. Over 150 of the Project's 251 pumps required overhaul or conversion from 25- to 60-cycle operation. Dams needed repairs and a 40-year-old pumping plant boosting water to the Highline Canal required replacement.

Having experienced the spiral of rising labor costs after the war, and facing a future of increasing costs for maintenance and operations, the Water Users' Association was hard pressed to meet the challenge of the future. The ever-present need for water in an area where every drop is precious made conservation and modernization by lining the canals and laterals an urgent necessity.

An opportunity to work out a practical solution to these problems was presented when in 1949 the 81st Congress passed Public Law 335, which authorized the expenditure of interest-free funds for the rehabilitation and betterment of existing works of Federal Reclamation Projects. Under the provisions of this act, the Water Users' Association, assisted by the Bureau of Reclamation, developed a 6-year-rehabilitation program for the Salt River Project and made application for a $6,000,000 loan to cover the most urgently required construction. This amount represented the first phase of an estimated $30,000,000 program to bring the irrigation facilities up to modern standards.

During the planning stages, the Association and Bureau engineers worked out a general program allocating the $6,000,000 to the items requiring most immediate attention.

With 140 miles of canals, 827 miles of laterals, and 307 miles of pump and tail-water collection ditches in the transmission and distribution system of the 242,000-acre project, a careful approach had to be taken to get maximum returns in operating efficiency and water conservation with available funds.

Water-loss records and past seepage-test results were accumulated and studied. New seepage tests were made using the permeameter developed by the Bureau to determine the reaches of canals and laterals that should be lined first to accomplish the greatest water savings.

Starting in April 1950, when the first annual
 allotment of $790,000 was made available by the Bureau of Reclamation, the initial program was 75 percent complete by October 31, 1954, at which time $4,518,000 had been expended on improvements.

Lateral lining, pipelines and structures have received the most attention, with $2,175,000, or 48 percent of the program funds being expended to date for these items. A total of 45 miles of laterals have been lined, about 28 miles with pneumatically applied mortar, and 17 miles with unreinforced concrete installed by the slipform method. The average cost for lining laterals has been about $20,000 per mile, including all costs for filling existing ditches, excavating and shaping new sections, all preparatory work, such as the removal of trees and other obstructions.

Suburban areas within the Project boundaries rapidly grew and created a pressing demand to cover ditches which create a hazard in populated areas and interfere with road widening plans. This demand was met by initiating a program of replacing open ditches with concrete pipelines on a participating basis with adjoining property owners, city, State, and county highway agencies. With this arrangement, the participants supply the pipe for installation by the Association. Most of the pipeline installations have been on this basis, and the Association has installed a total of 28.22 miles of concrete pipelines at an average cost of only $23,100 per mile.

An important feature of the lateral improvement program has been the installation of rubber-sealed metal gates in all of the turnout structures. These gates were developed by Association engineers and have replaced 4,468 obsolete wood gates which required excessive maintenance. In addition to the operation and maintenance benefits, the gate-replacement program has been effective in the elimination of leakage at structures which was a source of considerable water loss for the project and an inconvenience to the user because of the increased weed growth in farm service ditches.

The new headgate installations include a 1 1/8-inch slotted rubber seal on each side of the structure opening in which the metal gate is inserted. The extruded rubber strip is held in place by mastic cement and a redwood wedge. A rubber seat at the bottom of the opening makes a complete seal when the gate is closed. The gate is made of 1/8- or 3/16-inch steel plate, depending on size, which is braced with strips of angle iron. The gate is sand blasted and sprayed with a zinc metal coating, in the project shop, to prevent corrosion. The unit is installed with a metal frame or mantel to support a screw stem and lifting wheel, permitting an accurate gate adjustment for the water deliveries.

The entire gate-replacement program has cost $126,000, for an average of $28.50 per unit. The overall benefits in water savings, reduced maintenance, and improved water control has already repaid the investment. Other lateral facilities installed during the program to date include 484 weirs, 149 check wells, 87 rubble drops, 33 culverts, and 730 turnout structures.

The second largest portion of the program to date has been the rehabilitation of the Project...
wells and pumping equipment. With an expenditure of $1,206,000, the Association has reconditioned 151 wells and pumps, of which 75 were converted from 25- to 60-cycle operation, and redrilled 15 wells to an average depth of 1,000 feet.

Included in this phase of the program was the construction of the new Highline pumping plant at a cost of $202,000. This unit consists of 8 individual turbine-type booster pumps totaling 1,325 horsepower, capable of lifting 56,000 g. p. m. 42 feet to the Highline Canal.

Designed by a team of Bureau of Reclamation and Association Engineers working together, this plant is modern in every detail. Pushbutton, motor-operated control valves, instantaneous totalizing meters, and automatic trash racks, in addition to the most efficient pumping equipment available, have produced a $25,000 savings in labor and power during the first year of operation.

The lining of 7½ miles of main canal and the replacement of 37 canal structures have required an expenditure of $572,000 to date. The canal lining includes both banks and bottom in some reaches and one or two banks only at other locations for erosion control.

Much needed repairs were also completed on the storage dams. Concrete slab additions to the left thrust block of Stewart Mountain Dam were constructed. Also, the grouting of horizontal construction joints in several sections of the dam and spillway wall were completed at a total cost of $148,000. Emergency repairs to the outlet works and inlet tower at Roosevelt Dam cost $53,500, and improved the operation of this keystone structure of the water-storage system.

What are the benefits of such a program, and how does the contract work out with the Bureau of Reclamation? These are the questions most often asked by shareholders and project visitors.

The answer to the first question is revealed in the water-delivery records of the Association. These records show a decrease of 4 percent in water losses from 1950 to the end of 1953. In running approximately 1 million acre-feet per year in the system, this represents an annual water savings of 40,000 acre-feet. Valued at $5 per acre-foot, or $200,000 per year, the savings amount to a substantial annual return on all funds expended on lining and structures to date.

In productive but water-starved Arizona, where an acre-foot of water will produce an average of $60 in crop value, water savings of this magnitude take on real significance.

An added justification and gratifying result of the program is the reduction in maintenance costs. The records show that the Association expended $497,000 for maintenance of canals and laterals in 1949. Taking the actual costs for 1954 through October, and making generous estimates for November and December, the 1954 cost was approximately $485,000.

This amounts to a reduction of 2½ percent, but the real savings become apparent when compared with the average wage of hourly field and shop employees of the Association which has increased 36.3 percent during this same period. Other benefits have been improved operations and better service for the 7,000 farm users and 28,000 active subdivision accounts in the project.

The contracts with the Bureau of Reclamation
provide for advancement of funds to the Association on a quarterly basis, and a separate contract is signed for each fiscal year program.

Provisions in the contract allow Association forces to do the engineering and construction work subject to approval and inspection by the Bureau. This is a very satisfactory arrangement, as all work must be closely coordinated with irrigation operations, which are continuous throughout the year. Relations with the Bureau have been completely harmonious in all aspects of the program.

In summary, many changes have occurred in the irrigation system of the Salt River Project since April 1950. The Water Users Association is convinced that rehabilitation and betterment pays off.

What of the future? Plans are being completed to make application for an extension of the program to continue the work of bringing the Project up to modern standards.

amount of research that this activity will justify may be extensive. Both high and low potentials have been used in this work with some promising results.

Sources and Types of Current Used
The electric current used in most of these experiments is of the direct current type. The potential and current have been varied as has the frequency. The current has been secured from such sources as electric welders, rectifiers and impulse generators. In the system used for reclaiming land it would appear that the wind-driven generator might have a place. No storage battery would be required as intermittent treatment would probably be satisfactory. A portable surge generator of 40,000 volts is shown in figure 9.

Conclusion
Although the tests have not been carried through far enough to secure many conclusive results, the work seems to open up a new realm of agricultural research. The usual comment of those who have inspected the work in the field is, "There is evidently something to it." A prominent research man in one of our western agricultural colleges, after reviewing some of the work, remarked that it was the only new approach made within the last 50 years toward the reclaiming of agricultural land.

ELECTRIFYING THE SOIL

Continued from page 10

Robert W. Jennings
New Regional Director at Amarillo, Texas

Robert W. Jennings, formerly Alaskan District Manager for the Bureau of Reclamation, was named Regional Director for Region 5 with headquarters at Amarillo, Tex., by Secretary of the Interior Douglas McKay. He took over his new post on December 1.

Jennings, who was appointed by Commissioner of Reclamation W. A. Dexheimer, succeeds the late H. E. Robbins, who died September 12.

The new regional director has been with the Bureau of Reclamation since he graduated from the Utah State Agricultural College with a B. S. in civil engineering in 1933, with the exception of a year and a half with the Geological Survey. He is a native of Logan, Utah.

Prior to his appointment as Alaskan District Manager in August 1953, he was area engineer at Grand Junction, Colo.
A procedure for predicting the location of future sediment deposits in reservoirs that will save time and money has been developed by Mr. E. A. Cristofano, Hydraulic Engineer of the Area Development Office at Albuquerque, N. Mex.

One of the most important factors to be considered in today's complex design of a potential reservoir is the deposition and accumulation of sediment. The effects of reservoir sedimentation are felt in many ways—through the direct loss of water-storage capacity in the reservoir itself, increased evaporation losses in the reservoir pool, increased transpiration losses in delta areas, and the effect on the general economy of the region which is dependent upon the reservoir.

The sediment accumulation in a reservoir can be influenced by measures to lessen or abate soil erosion within the drainage basin above the reservoir. It is obvious that the lesser degree of soil erosion above the reservoir, brought about through conservation practices, would decrease the sediment load into the reservoir. This is a factor of influence that must be considered in the economic design of a potential reservoir.

In planning reservoirs, storage capacity should be allocated for accumulation of sediment deposits. Previously it has been common practice to allocate capacity for sediment accumulation in a so-called dead-storage pool in the deepest part of the reservoir. This dead-storage pool has been
allocated on a basis of reservoir elevation; that is, all the storage capacity below a certain reservoir stage being reserved for sediment accumulation. However, allocation for sediment storage on the basis of pool elevation has not proven to be an entirely satisfactory procedure. Sediment deposits will rarely be confined to the dead-storage pool as the heavier material will begin to be dropped as soon as it enters the backwater area, with finer material deposits being located further downstream. This results in deposits occurring throughout the length of the reservoir, a considerable portion of which will be outside the dead-storage pool, and will occur in the irrigation, power, or flood-control pools or even in the delta area. Under such a method of allocation, the capacities reserved for irrigation, power, and flood control will be encroached upon by sediment accumulations. For proper planning, therefore, allocations for sediment accumulation, irrigation, power, flood control, or other purposes, should be made on the basis of storage capacities rather than elevations. Consequently, it is important in planning a potential reservoir to estimate the probable location of reservoir sediment deposits.

Predicting the location of sediment deposits that will occur in reservoirs in the future has long been an involved and tiresome task. The method generally used heretofore consists of making an initial adjustment for sediment deposits in the original reservoir capacity at different elevations. This is done in accordance with a design curve which relates percent depth to percent sediment accumulated, which is based on the average of several reservoir surveys. A new curve is computed and is then readjusted by the trial and error method of balancing the elevation-capacity-area relationship until an acceptable curve is obtained. In effect, this method fits the area to the capacity.

The procedure developed by Mr. Cristofano, known as the Area Incurement Method, fits the capacity to the area. Knowing from experience that the new curve will approximately parallel the original, Mr. Cristofano has derived a method to distribute the estimated sediment accumulation by area adjustments in such a manner that the number of trials required to fit the capacity to the area, in the final readjustment, is minimized. By this method, he has found the estimated distribution of sediment to be as accurate as by the method formerly used and can be accomplished in approximately one-fifth of the time. The procedure has been outlined clearly by consecutive steps and is simple enough that personnel unfamiliar with sediment work can follow it to its logical conclusion.

Capacities, after sediment deposition as determined by the resurvey data of several existing reservoirs, were compared with the results obtained by the use of the Area Increment Method, and a good correlation was found to exist between the resurvey capacities and computed capacities. The Area Increment Method is considered a satisfactory analysis of sediment deposition in the design of a potential reservoir and it has been used in Region 5.

In recognition of the valuable contribution to the technical field of sedimentation, and because of the savings that will result through use of the method in future studies, Mr. Cristofano has been given the Interior Department's Superior Accomplishment Award.
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Are there particular types of articles which you would like to see in the ERA that we have not printed to date? If so, please let us know, and we shall do our best to comply with your wishes.
LETTERS

Comments From Canada

DEAR SIRS: Sometime ago I read Mr. Maurice Langley’s fine article, “They Plant and Harvest the Year Around,” in The Reclamation Era, May 1954, and was pleased to see his picture of a load of seed of C. T. 186 being examined by Mr. A. B. Masson. This wheat, as you know, has since been named Selkirk.

We are anxious to obtain one or more good pictures of a field of Selkirk wheat as grown in California or Arizona in 1953–54, preferably one showing Mr. Masson. Our director of Experimental Farm Service in Canada would like to use it in his annual report.

Yours sincerely,

[8] R. F. Peterson,
Officer in Charge, Cereal Breeding Laboratory,
P. O. Box 322, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.

MORE POWER FOR SALT RIVER

Victor I. Corbell, president of the Salt River Project in Arizona, recently announced plans for building a new 100,000-kilowatt steam plant, costing about $13 million.

Mr. Corbell said that the steady growth of metropolitan Phoenix and the area served by the Salt River Power District resulted in increased demands for more electricity and prompted the plans for the new plant. Comparison between 1950 figures and the estimated totals for 1954 thoroughly reflect the need for additional power. An average of 5,000 power customers have been added each year since 1950. By the end of 1954, the Power District will be supplying power to 40,000 customers. The total sales of electricity during the same period increased almost 25 percent, or from 780 million to about 1 billion kilowatt-hours.

Construction of the new plant is expected to start in August 1955, with completion set for the spring of 1957.

The new steam plant can be compared in many ways with the 100,000-kilowatt Kyrene steam power plant near Tempe, Ariz., which the Power District recently placed in operation.

Still to be decided is the location of the plant. This will be determined as a result of an extensive engineering study now in progress.

The Salt River Project shareholders planned to vote an $11 million bond issue to finance the plant. The $2 million additional would be supplied out of current power revenues.

The 1955 edition of the pamphlet entitled “Settlement Opportunities on Reclamation Projects” is now available for public distribution.

Copies of the pamphlet may be obtained by writing to your nearest Regional Director, or the Commissioner, Bureau of Reclamation, Washington 25, D. C. See back cover of the Era for list of Regional Directors and their addresses.

I would also like to have your permission to quote some of the irrigation data included in this issue.

Sincerely,

[8] RALPH C. HUGHES,
Deere & Co., Moline, Ill.

DO YOU KNOW...

• The Tule Lake Bird Reserve is a favored resting place for ducks and geese on the Pacific flyway?

• In the State of Nebraska, on of the 17 Reclamation States, there are more miles of river than in any other State in the United States—3,500?

• During the peak of the Republican River flood in 1935 the flow of water at Cambridge, Nebr. (10 billion gallons) was enough to fill Lake Mead within a 60-day period?

• The South Ogden Conservation District of the Ogden River project in Utah is the only high-pressure irrigation system constructed by the Bureau of Reclamation in the Intermountain States enabling irrigators to obtain their water upon demand rather than “waiting their turn”?

“SETTLEMENT OPPORTUNITIES” Available

The 1955 edition of the pamphlet entitled “Settlement Opportunities on Reclamation Projects” is now available for public distribution.

The pamphlet is designed to give information to veterans and others who would homestead on irrigated public land; purchase private land acquired by the Government on reclamation projects and offered for sale; are interested in privately owned lands offered for sale by individual owners.

Copies of the pamphlet may be obtained by writing to your nearest Regional Director, or the Commissioner, Bureau of Reclamation, Washington 25, D. C. See back cover of the Era for list of Regional Directors and their addresses.
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The Editor’s Column

The following facts have been gleaned from the United States Department of Agriculture’s Statistical Summary dated November 19. We hope that you find them helpful.

Cotton Prospects Increased During October

Exceptionally favorable October weather in most areas developed late bolls and facilitated harvesting with a minimum of field losses. Better yields than expected resulted in an increase of 695,000 bales, or 5.6 percent, in prospective production, compared with a month earlier. A 1954 cotton crop of 13,206,000 bales is forecast as of November 1—compared with 16,465,000 bales in 1953 and the 10-year average of 12,448,000 bales.

Hay and Forage Supplies Adequate

Hay and forage supplies are generally adequate for winter needs in North Atlantic, North Central, and Pacific States. Drought in South Atlantic and South Central States reduced forage growth even below last year’s short supply. Western States also report slightly less roughage than last year.

Pastures are good to excellent in most Northern States and in Pacific coast areas. Critical pasture shortage exists in Southern States from the Atlantic coast to the Rockies. Central and southern Rocky Mountain areas also have short supplies of feed on winter ranges.

Other Crops—November 1 Estimates

Sugar beets—13.8 million tons—14 percent above 1953; 40 percent above average. Digging near completion November 1.

Potatoes—347 million bushels—7 percent below 1953; 15 percent below average. Harvest practically completed by November 1 except in a few scattered areas, despite delaying rains.

Beans, dry edible—18.4 million bags—down slightly from October 1 forecast, but 1.3 percent above 1953 production.

Fruits and Nuts

Deciduous fruit production in 1954 will be about 2 percent larger than in 1953, although 6 percent below average. Larger crops of apples, pears, grapes, and prunes have been produced than last year, but smaller crops of peaches, cherries, plums, and apricots.

Of the citrus fruits, oranges for the 1954–55 season are expected to exceed last season’s crop, but grapefruit volume will be slightly smaller. Lemon harvests are expected to be 12 percent less than last season, but above average.

Production of tree nuts is expected to be 14 percent less than last year. Increases in almonds, walnuts, and filberts are more than offset by the much smaller pecan crop.

Reclamation Gets Pozzolan Research Grant

The Bureau of Reclamation recently received a grant of $10,000 from the National Science Foundation for the support of research on “Hydration of Portland Pozzolan Cement” to be conducted in the Bureau’s Denver Engineering Center. Richard C. Mielenz will be the principal investigator.

Dr. Mielenz, who has a broad educational and professional background in geology, is head of the Center’s Petrographic Laboratory. Dr. N. Cyril Schieltz, X-ray crystallographer at the laboratory, has been designated alternate researcher.

The research is designed to reveal new information on the fundamental chemical and physical reactions involved in the setting of cement in the presence of pozzolans. Pozzolans, either natural or artificial, are materials with certain beneficial properties, widely used to supplement manufactured cement where large quantities are used. Their use in large reclamation dams has resulted in savings of millions of dollars. A pozzolanic material, fly ash, was used in the construction of Reclamation’s Hungry Horse Dam in Montana, saving an estimated $6,000,000.

Pozzolans get their name from the natural materials obtained near Pozzuoli, Italy, which has been used since the time of the ancient Romans. One such material is a volcanic ash from Mount Vesuvius. Other pozzolans are volcanic tuffs, pumice, and diatomaceous earth. Artificial pozzolans include fly ash, granulated blast furnace slag, and burnt clay or shale.

Pozzolans are also effective in preventing the deterioration of concrete in the presence of alkalis. Although a considerable fund of experience has been accumulated in the practical uses of pozzolan-cement concrete, very little is actually known about the fundamental chemical and physical reactions involved.

February 1955
### RECENT MAJOR CONTRACT AWARDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Project Description of work or material</th>
<th>Project Description of work or material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC-4187</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Nebr. Oct. 12</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Franklin Canal, Station 1454 + 00 to 2178 + 20, and drains.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4223</td>
<td>Middle Rio Grande, N. Mex. Nov. 2</td>
<td>Construction of 4.5 miles of closed drains for Unit A–E rehabilitation and drainage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4233</td>
<td>Minidoka, Idaho. Nov. 5</td>
<td>Five horizontal, centrifugal-type pumping units for Unit A pump plant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4240</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, S. Dak. Nov. 9</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Watertown substation for 230-kilovolt operation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4246</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash. Oct. 30</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures lateral W20, Station 5 + 00 to 85 + 50, Naylor siphon, West Canal laterals (Block 99), utilizing monolithic concrete in siphon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4247</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash. Dec. 2</td>
<td>Construction of Millburn diversion dam and Sargent Canal, Station 12 + 30 to 88 + 80 Bk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4252</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Kans. Nov. 3</td>
<td>Construction of Missouri pumping plant and canal lateral P85.98,B. Station 0 + 50 to 25 + 50 (Block 14).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4253</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Kans. Nov. 22</td>
<td>Construction of Lovell Dam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4255</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo. Nov. 27</td>
<td>Construction of Glendo Dam, powerhouse foundation, and roads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4256</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif. Nov. 2</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Corning Canal, Station 50 + 50 to 88 + 91.75.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4258</td>
<td>Gila, Ariz. Nov. 18</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lateral lining, and structures for Unit 2, Dome and Welton-Mohawk distribution system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4259</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Nebr. Dec. 1</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Sargent Canal, Station 27 + 10.38 A to 565 + 00, wasteways and drains.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4267</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif. Dec. 30</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for lateral 24.2, Station 50 + 00 to 83 + 25 to 114 + 72.05, Unit 3, Madera distribution system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4269</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, N. Dak. Dec. 3</td>
<td>Stringing conductors and overhead ground wires for 83 miles of Jamestown-Fargo 230-kilovolt transmission line.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117C-261</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash. Oct. 6</td>
<td>Construction of earth and blended lining for Eltopia branch canal between Station 230 + 00 and 550 + 00.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>703C-354</td>
<td>North Platte, Wyo.-Nebr. Nov. 1</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, buried siphonic pipe, and structures for Fort Laramie Canal, Station 2070 + 00 to 2120 + 00 and 2855 + 00 to 2940 + 29.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>List and Clark Construction Co., Kansas City, Mo.</td>
<td>$867,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American Elin Corp., New York, N. Y.</td>
<td>$278,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. M. Silver, Albuquerque, N. Mex.</td>
<td>$124,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Henley Construction Co., Yakima, Wash.</td>
<td>$102,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Platte Valley Construction Co., Grand Island, Nebr.</td>
<td>$290,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buchanan-Sather and Hise, Seattle, Wash.</td>
<td>$187,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cook Construction Co., Jack- son, Miss.</td>
<td>$2,343,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. F. Lyle Co. and Green Construction Co., Sioux City, Iowa.</td>
<td>$2,700,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Somers and Stacy, Klamath Falls, Oreg.</td>
<td>$632,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morrison-Knudsen Co., Inc., Los Angeles, Calif.</td>
<td>$816,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diamond Engineering Co., Grand Island, Nebr.</td>
<td>$414,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>George W. Lewis, Kennewick, Wash.</td>
<td>$427,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Huber Equipment Co., Colfax, Calif.</td>
<td>$131,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hallett Construction Co., Crosby, Minn.</td>
<td>$730,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morrison-Knudsen Co., Inc., Othello, Wash.</td>
<td>$634,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>George W. Lewis, Kennewick, Wash.</td>
<td>$127,498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lundy Construction Co., Casper, Wyo.</td>
<td>$124,842</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WORK CURRENTLY SCHEDULED THROUGH FEBRUARY 1955*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carlsbad, N. Mex.</td>
<td>Constructing an emergency spillway on the left abutment of Alamogordo Dam, raising the existing earth dam, and modifying the existing concrete service spillway structure. Near Fort Sumner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Constructing 4.7 miles of 375 c. f. s. capacity Corning Canal, second section. Near Red Bluff. Furnishing and erecting a 26' by 40-foot office building, 30' by 100-foot and 32' by 100-foot car shelters, and a 16'- by 20-foot paint building, all prefabricated metal; furnishing and erecting a Government-furnished 50'- by 80-foot prefabricated metal warehouse building; installing sewage, water, and electrical services; placing bituminous and gravel surfacing and furnishing and erecting chain link fence. At Orange Cove.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado-Big Thompson, Colo.</td>
<td>Constructing 1.2 miles of 125 c. f. s. capacity South Platte supply canal, South Platte section, including structures and about 4,000 feet of 18-inch thick blended earth lining. About four miles northwest of Fort Lupton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash.</td>
<td>Constructing Lateral W-20 (Station 428+50 to Station 792+00), will consist of 12 turnout, 2 bridges, 1 check, drop and wasteway; 3.4 miles of earth-lined canal varying in bottom width from 33 to 22 feet; 3.5 miles of concrete-lined canal varying in bottom width from 20 to 12 feet. Near Ephrata.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>Constructing 18.4 miles of canals and laterals varying in bottom width from 10 to 2 feet, and appurtenant structures, and installing 3 pumping plants of 3, 9, and 24 c. f. s. capacities. Near Mesa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>Constructing 60 miles of unlined laterals and sublaterals varying in bottom width from 10 to 2 feet; 9.7 miles of concrete-lined laterals varying in bottom width from 5 to 2 feet; 7.2 miles of 44'- to 124'-inch concrete pipe appurtenant structures, installing 4 pumping plants of 4 to 10 c. f. s. capacities. West of Mesa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>Constructing 28 miles of lined laterals with bottom width of 5 to 3 feet, 4 miles of 48- to 15-inch pipelines, and 1 mile of wastewater with bottom width of 2 to 6 feet as constructing 2 pumping plants with discharge line for Lateral Block 98, east part, W18-A, about 7 mile North of Ephrata.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>Furnishing and placing 3-inch gravel surfacing on about 60 miles of O &amp; M operating roads. Near Warden and Othello.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>Furnishing and placing gravel surfacing, and placing 3-inch gravel surfacing on about 1 mile O &amp; M operating roads. Near North of Pasco.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>Constructing a three-inch gravel blanket in about 5 miles of laterals in Block 42, about 7 miles south of Moses Lake.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>One 26'- by 78-foot steel frame building 26 feet high, with metal siding, metal, door, and 6-ton crane runway for Moses pumping plant. Estimated weight of steel structure: 55,000 pounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis, Ariz.</td>
<td>Constructing 69-kilowatt additions and modifications to the 181/54/54.6-kilovolt-ampere Gila Substation, including constructing foundations, furnishing and erecting new steel structures, removing or modifying existing steel structures, and installing electrical equipment. Major items of which will be Government-furnished material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>Constructing 16 miles of 80 to 15 c. f. s. capacity canal laterals, including 2 pumping plants of 106 and 80 c. f. s. capacities, and 1 reflo pumping plant of 15 c. f. s. capacity, check, siphons, pipelines, steel pipe canal crossings, and turnouts structures, for Ralph Hill distribution system, 30 miles east of Yuma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>Constructing 30 miles of 125 to 15 c. f. s. capacity lined canal laterals, including 2 reflo pumping plants of 125 and 70 c. f. s. capacities, check, siphons and turnout structures and constructing 9 miles O &amp; M operating roads and channels including floodway dikes, culverts, and turnouts structures, for Texas Hill distribution system, 50 miles east of Yuma.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Subject to change.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>Constructing 7,870 feet of discharge lines involving 48-, 24-, and 21-inch H/C pipe, 4,800 feet of laterals with a bottom width of 10 to 3 feet; and 17, 12.5, 12.2, 12.8, and 15 c. f. s. outdoor pumping plants and one 50 c. f. s. indoor pumping plant with metal superstructure for the Hanover-Bluff Unit. Near Worland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>Constructing 34.5-kilovolt additions to the 115/69/12.47-kilovolt Lovell Substation will include constructing foundations, furnishing and erecting steel structures, and installing electrical equipment, major items of which will be Government-furnished. Near Lovell.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>Furnishing materials and constructing 5 permanent-type residences, two 7-car garages, park area for 30 trailers, sewage disposal and water distribution systems, and gravel-surfaced streets at Glendo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>Two motor-driven, horizontal, centrifugal-type, pumping units each with a capacity of 45 c. f. s. at a total head of 109 feet for Hanover Pumping Plant No. 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>Clearing second portion of the Pallisades Reservoir area along the Snake River in Idaho and Wyoming about 70 miles southeast of Idaho Falls, Idaho.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>Constructing open and closed drains on Third Division.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>Constructing 0.35 mile of open and from 3.75 to 4.75 miles of closed drains from 8 to 13 miles southwest of Powell. Government to furnish tile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>One 9-foot 4-inch by 8-foot 6-inch radial gate for tunnel intake and one 10-foot 6-inch radial gate for sluiceway, for Gateway Canal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>Raising existing concrete Prosser diversion dam, enlarging the headworks, constructing fishways and enlarging fish screens, building log boom, and enlarging about 2.4 miles of Chandler Canal from 1,100 to 1,600 c. f. s. Near Prosser.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>Constructing 400 feet of main canal varying in bottom width from 14 to 8 feet, and appurtenant structures including 1,400 feet of 78-inch and 575 feet of 64-inch siphon spillway, wastewater, culverts under the railroad and highway, and access and service roads; constructing the 26 cfs capacity Amon pumping plant, wastewater box culvert; constructing 1,600 feet of feeder canal with bottom width of 10 feet. Hydraulic turbines and pumps are to be Government-furnished, all other equipment is to be furnished and installed by contractor. Near Vista.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>Installing nonembedded parts of two 8,500-hp. turbines and two 167 c. f. s. turbine-driven pumps, miscellaneous metalwork and electrical equipment in and on the Chandler power and pumping plant; constructing switchyard, including furnishing and erecting structural steel, installing electrical equipment and piping systems; and completing all other work, including architectural finish, and heating and ventilating for full operation of the plant. Near Chandler.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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To save valuable water and reduce irrigation costs, many conservation measures are proving helpful. The lining of ditches and use of underground piping for laterals prevents the loss of water that occurs with dirt ditches. The leveling of land to better control rate of flow promotes the more efficient use of the water. The rotation of crops and other farm management practices improve the water carrying capacity and water penetration characteristics of the soil.

Also more attention is being given to better regulation of irrigation water from the farmer’s head ditch through the use of syphon tubes which now may be obtained on the market in various sizes. The importance of saving water through better irrigation practices is well known to all irrigation farmers.

However, if under unusual conditions it is found that considerable water reaches the bottom end of a field, a method of preventing this tail water being wasted is being given increased consideration. This is known as the tail water pump-back system.

The construction of a typical pump-back system is shown in the accompanying pictures taken on the Jim Korsten ranch near Stanfield, Arizona. In this system, the tail water from the various fields is gathered into a sump or pond at the low point of the irrigation area. From there, the water is pumped to a high elevation on the farm. Then, this reclaimed tail water is discharged and redistributed through the fields again. The main elements of the system are: the sump, the pickup box, the pump, the engine or motor, the pipe line and the discharge box.

The sump measures 1,200 feet long by 15 feet wide at the bottom and 40 feet wide at the top. It is 15 feet deep at the low end and 4 feet deep at the high end. The capacity is approximately 2,000,000 gallons or about 6 acre feet.

The pickup box is at the deep end of the sump. It is built with concrete blocks. There is a 36 by 40 inch inlet at the bottom. At the top are the engine and pump which pick up the water and send it through the 3,100 foot pipe line. At the end of the line is the discharge box from which the reclaimed tail water is fed back into the irrigation ditches.

A system of this sort is not cheap, but on the other hand, it is not exorbitant in cost. Experience shows that a pump-back system frequently can be paid for with one year’s savings on the cost of irrigation water. That may seem
like quite a claim, but remember that the price of irrigation water to a Southwestern farmer can run as high as $10.00 per acre foot.

Of course, no two farms are alike so the experience on one cannot be duplicated exactly on another. However, some generalizations can be made about Southwestern farms where tail water pump-back systems are now being used successfully.

The land is relatively flat. Slopes of the natural terrain are from 5 to 35 feet to the mile. Pump-back pipe lines run from 500 feet to a mile in length. The amount of tail water, depending on type of soil and slope of land, averages 500 gallons to 2500 gallons per minute. Therefore, these systems utilize small 5 to 15 horsepower electrical ditch water pumps, pumping through pipes which range from 6 to 16 inches in diameter.

In many instances, the pipe line can run along the roadside where the pipe can be installed at any time.

In other situations, it may be more economical to route the line across fields. Then the line must be put in between crops and buried deep enough to prevent damage by cultivating machinery. A depth of 3 to 5 feet is generally enough.

When it comes to type of pipe, each farmer will have his own ideas. But, since the pipe line is such a major part of the system, there are several important requirements which should be kept in mind.

The pipe should be corrosion resistant since tail water has considerable quantities of chemical fer-

(1) Diagram showing tail water pump-back system. Tail water is picked up from full section of land by means of two tail water ditches which empty into a sump. Water is then re-pumped through underground pipe line to be redistributed over lower half of the section. (2) Pickup box at end of sump where water is collected. (3) Digging the sump where water is collected. (4) Completed sump for collecting water from irrigation system. Note connection at right leading to underground pipe line through which the water is pumped to high point on the land for reuse.
The San Diego Aqueduct

by J. P. JONES, Regional Director
Region 3, Boulder City, Nevada

SPLENDILY DISREGARDING TOPOGRAPHY, the Aqueduct sweeps over the hills and into the valleys, through pastureland, orchards, and semi-suburban development. Photo by J. M. Welsh, Bureau of Reclamation.

Water was a problem to Junipero Serra and his followers from the time they first set foot in the San Diego area in 1769 to establish a Franciscan outpost of Christianity. In the years which have elapsed, the water problem has become no less pressing but much more complex. During these years, the agencies responsible for supplying water in the San Diego area developed local supplies, first from wells, and then from surface water sources. The area of surface water supply was limited to the western slope of close-lying coastal range of mountains. These mountains fall away on the east to what was until comparatively recent years a barren waste lying below sea level known as the Salton Sink, now become the richly productive Imperial Valley.

Beyond the coastal range and beyond the Salton Sink lay the Colorado River, long an erratic but abundant potential source of water but for many years beyond physical and economic reach of the San Diego area. With passage of the Boulder Canyon Project Act, the Colorado River water supply could be made firm by storage in Lake Mead above Hoover Dam and the physical means of carrying that water to the eastern foothills of the coastal range made available in the All-American Canal. Foreseeing full development of the local water sources, the City of San Diego entered into contracts with the United States for storage of water in Lake Mead and for capacity in the All-American Canal. The contracts were executed in the early nineteen-thirties, at which time it was considered that the local water sources would provide full supply with safety for a considerable period. This period was shortened drastically by the expanding military and manufacturing activities in the San Diego area during the early war years.

In May of 1943, the City and County of San Diego entered into contracts with the United States which provided that the Bureau of Reclamation make investigations to determine the means and cost of bringing Colorado River water to the San Diego area. During progress of these investigations, the problem of sufficient water supply became so acute and alarming that the matter was called directly to the attention of the President in 1944. He appointed a committee to study and report on the question. The committee, on the basis of the investigations so far made by the Bureau of Reclamation, recommended immediate construction of a connection to the Metropolitan Water District's Colorado River aqueduct in preference to the alternative connection to the
All-American Canal. The President, on November 29, 1944, so directed. Construction was to be at half ultimate capacity. The Bureau of Reclamation was directed to design and the Navy Department to construct the aqueduct. The work was undertaken as directed and the first Colorado River water reached the San Diego area on the 26th of November 1947. This part of the aqueduct was known as the first barrel.

The San Diego County Water Authority, an association of cities and water districts in San Diego County, was organized on June 9, 1944. This organization contracted with the United States to repay the costs of construction and to assume operation and maintenance of the aqueduct. Subsequently, the San Diego County Water Authority joined The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California under terms which provided for joint operation by the two agencies. Beginning in December 1947, the aqueduct was operated almost continuously to full capacity. A period of deficient rainfall, which decreased the local supplies, forced greater and greater dependence upon Colorado River water for immediate use rather than as a reserve source of water. This happened at a time when increased military and manufacturing activities due to the Korean hostilities had placed additional drain on the water supplies of the San Diego area.

Acting to augment the reserve supply of water, the San Diego County Water Authority entered into a contract with the United States on April 25, 1949, under which the Bureau of Reclamation undertook investigation of the location and cost of a second barrel which would bring the aqueduct to full capacity. The Authority pressed for authorization of the construction of the second barrel through the Department of Defense and authorization for construction by Department of the Navy was made by Public Law 171 of the 82nd Congress on October 11, 1951. The Department of the Navy, at that time heavily burdened with military construction activities, asked the Bureau of Reclamation to design and construct the second barrel.

On October 21, 1951, the interested agencies, consisting of the San Diego County Water Authority, The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, the Department of the Navy represented by the District Public Works Office, Eleventh Naval District, and the Department of the Interior represented by the Regional Director’s Office, Region 3, met in San Diego to discuss means of getting construction of the second barrel under way as soon as possible. The conference had early success in agreeing upon major questions which would permit the work to go forward. Public Law 171 prohibited expenditure of funds appropriated to the Department of the Navy for this work until a repayment contract had been entered into with the San Diego County Water Authority and its constituent members. To prevent delay in surveys and designs, the County Water Authority advanced under formal agreement enough money to permit the Bureau of Reclamation to start at once on this work. The first construction contracts were awarded on September 8, 1952, slightly short of eleven months after authorization. The entire aqueduct was under contract award by December 15, 1952. The second barrel was placed in full service on October 2, 1954, and all construction was declared completed and the works turned over to the Navy on January 10, 1955.

The San Jacinto-San Vicente aqueduct, to use its official name, originates by connection to the Colorado River aqueduct of The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, near the town of San Jacinto in Riverside County, California. From point of connection, the aqueduct extends southward through Riverside County into

Continued on page 49

SECOND BARREL of the San Jacinto-San Vicente Aqueduct completed so as to be usable for its full length on October 2, 1954. Commemorating the occasion (l. to r.), Richard S. Holmgren, General Manager and Chief Engineer, San Diego County Water Authority; Fred A. Heilbron, Chairman of the Board Authority; Dean Howell, San Diego County Supervisor; Regional Director E. G. Nielsen, Region 3 (now Assistant Commissioner), Bureau of Reclamation; and Captain C. W. Porter, U. S. Navy. Photo courtesy San Diego Union.
Facelift at Uncompahgre

by JESSE R. THOMPSON, Manager-Treasurer, Uncompahgre Valley Water Users’ Association

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

There is probably no one better acquainted with the Uncompahgre River Valley in Colorado and with the Uncompahgre Reclamation Project than Jesse R. Thompson, now in his eighteenth year as Project Manager.

He began his long association with the Uncompahgre Project in 1909. In 1910, while working as a ditch rider, he regulated the first water turned through the 6-mile Gunnison Tunnel, then the longest tunnel for the diversion of irrigation water.

From 1910 to 1932 he advanced steadily, holding positions as Hydrographer, Watermaster, and General Foreman, gaining experience that would be invaluable to him in the future. He studied at night and obtained the degree in engineering that qualified him for the position of Project Manager.

The Uncompahgre Valley Water Users’ Association has launched a program of rehabilitation on a number of major and important structures on the Uncompahgre Project in western Colorado. Funds for the work are being raised currently by nominal additional assessments and construction is being accomplished by project maintenance forces.

The Uncompahgre Project, originally called the Gunnison Project, was one of the first five reclamation projects recommended for construction to the Secretary of the Interior on March 7, 1903, and approved by him on March 14, 1903. Construction was started in July 1904 and the first water was delivered through project works in 1908. The project was substantially complete in 1922 except for drainage construction and building of Taylor Park Dam which was completed in 1937. Care of the project, operation, and maintenance were assumed by the Uncompahgre Valley Water Users’ Association in 1932. The irrigable area of about 72,000 acres is served irrigation water from natural flow of the Gunnison River and the Uncompahgre River, and by stored water from the 106,230 acre-foot Taylor Park Reservoir. The 5.8-mile long Gunnison Tunnel carries water from the Gunnison River to the Uncompahgre Valley where a system of canals and laterals totaling 568 miles in length serves the farm lands. An unusual number of structures are necessary for water conveyance and control because of the steep valley slopes, mesa formations, and highly erosive soils on the project area. Deterioration of structures is increased by alkali conditions in sections of the project area and the requirement for some operation during winter periods for watering livestock. Practically all the original structures on the canal system were timber.

On December 7, 1933, the Federal Emergency
Administrator of Public Works approved allotments for rehabilitation of the South Canal and making of general repairs and replacements to other portions of the irrigation system, and construction of Taylor Park Reservoir. On August 6, 1935, an allotment was made for construction of drainage works.

In September 1950 the Board of Directors of the Association, the Project Manager, and Bureau personnel made an inspection of major structures to obtain first-hand knowledge of the need for a rehabilitation program and nine structures were considered to be in a condition that would necessitate replacement in the near future. Another inspection was made in 1951 and the Association decided to proceed with a rehabilitation program using its own funds and utilizing project forces for construction. It was decided to spend a minimum of $20,000 a year and assessments were levied accordingly.

The need for replacement of any structure on the project is governed by operating efficiency, annual maintenance costs, and consideration of the risk involved in case of failure. In some cases structure failure could mean a total loss of all crops on lands supplied with water through the structure.

In entering upon a program of rehabilitation, the first consideration should be the type of structure. The futility of trying to operate a project by replacement of existing facilities with short-lived structures has become forcibly apparent during the 46 years of project operation. Where formerly structures were built with a life expectancy of from 20 to 30 years, structures can now be built economically to last for a period of from 75 to possibly 100 years.

In choosing the type of structure, time available for replacement is to be considered. Where a new structure can be placed near the old structure during the irrigation season without interference with water delivery, the need for hurried construction during the winter months is eliminated.

Another factor to consider is whether the job can be handled with local project crews and equipment. If it can, a considerable saving can be realized.

The next consideration is how long will a structure last without excessive maintenance. Reinforced concrete structures properly placed and using the proper mixture of concrete aggregates will fill the requirements as to life expectancy provided that alkali action is not a hazard.

The main types of structure to choose from are: reinforced concrete, pipe or long span tubes with concrete inlet and outlet, sheet metal with wood or metal substructure, and wood.

Using these criteria we have made satisfactory progress on replacement and improvement. The first structure was replaced during the winter of 1951-1952. The new reinforced concrete flume at mile post 6.35 on the Ironstone Canal replaced the original wood flume over Dry Creek.

A concrete structure was chosen for this point because of its durability. The old substructure was used for supporting forms (by lowering floor of old structure); water could be fed from Dry
Creek into the Ironstone Canal downstream from the old flume for winter stock. It could be built by project crews between season’s run of water; soil was such that alkali action would not be a problem; and such a flume would not be subject to leakage. The new flume has a span of 70 feet across the creek with outlet section 49 feet long and inlet section 35 feet long. It rests on two piers excavated to hard shale. The structure is 8 feet wide, 5 feet 6 inches deep, and has a capacity of 300 second feet.

The next major structure to come under our program was the metal twin flume over Happy Canyon Creek on the Montrose and Delta Canal at mile post 5.43. This large structure had a capacity of 400 second-feet and was 252 feet long with concrete inlet and outlet sections. The height from ground surface to top of the old flume was about 35 feet. The old flume was size 204 sheet metal with timber substructure. Built in 1913 it has given 41 years of service, but maintenance has been excessive for many years and natural deterioration of the substructure has reached such a state that the risk of losing crops on the 22,000 acres served by the canal if the structure failed has made it mandatory to replace it.

Consideration was given to a concrete flume or siphon for this structure but was abandoned. This decision was due principally to the fact that the cost would be excessive and work would have to be contracted rather than handled by project crews with project equipment.

The final choice was twin long-span metal tubes 8 feet in diameter. It is set low enough to run full when carrying a small head for winter stock water to prevent freezing solid in winter and running water over the canal bank above the structure.

The new structure has a center span of 90 feet with end spans of 77 feet, a 7-foot section at each end for expansion joints, plus a concrete inlet and outlet.

A major problem was sinking four 6-foot diameter tubes to support the foundation under each of the two center support piers. Large sandstone, mud, and quicksand were encountered. Water was a problem, pumps had to be used for the last 12 feet of excavation at each pier. A good foundation was reached at the same elevation on both piers and consisted of good solid gravel about 30 inches above solid sandstone. End foundations and inlet and outlet concrete structures were set on solid sandstone.

Some of the rehabilitation work being performed is coordinated with a soil and moisture conservation program in which we and the Bureau of Reclamation are cooperating. During the past year the cooperative program included the replacement of an old wooden culvert on the Loutzenhizer Canal at mile post D2.28 where it crosses Montrose City Arroyo, and the control of channel erosion at that point. Although the Association was primarily responsible for replacing the culvert and the Bureau responsible for controlling erosion in the channel, personnel, equipment and other facilities were pooled to perform the overall job more efficiently and effectively at a minimum cost. The new culvert is 107 feet long and with a 7-foot diameter of heavy corrugated pipe. In addition to carrying normal and flash flood flows of City Creek Arroyo under the canal, the culvert also functions as a drop structure in which the arroyo channel is lowered 9 feet. This adds considerable stability to the arroyo channel. To further prevent erosion the arroyo channel was straightened for a distance of about 300 feet both above and below the culvert. In addition, for about 40 feet below the culvert, heavy sandstone riprap has been placed on the bottom and sides of the channel.

Rehabilitation of major structures and participation in a conservation program to protect these and other structures on the project increases the workload and expenses considerably above those encountered in the normal operation and maintenance of the project, but it will be satisfying to project farmers and us to have accomplished our goal with a minimum of cost and outside assistance.
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NEW FLUME across Dry Creek on Ironstone Canal.
E. G. NIELSEN
NAMED
ASSISTANT
COMMISSIONER

Edwin G. Nielsen, Regional Director of Region 3, and a Reclamation engineer with many years' experience, took office as Assistant Commissioner for Irrigation and Power of the Bureau of Reclamation on March 10, 1955.

The appointment was made by Secretary of the Interior Douglas McKay on Reclamation Commissioner W. A. Dexheimer's recommendation. Mr. Nielsen succeeds Harvey F. McPhail who retired to enter private practice as an engineering consultant. In his new post, Mr. Nielsen will be part of the Commissioner's staff in Washington.

He served as Regional Director of the Bureau's Region 3 with headquarters at Boulder City, Nevada, since 1952. Prior to that he had been Assistant Regional Director since 1950.

Commissioner Dexheimer said that Mr. Nielsen's administrative experience as a Regional Director particularly suits him to his new responsibilities. Region 3, which embraces the Lower Colorado River area, contains some of the most outstanding water resource development projects in the world. Within the Region are Hoover Dam, highest concrete dam in the world, Lake Mead, the world's largest man-made lake, and the All-American Canal, the largest irrigation canal in the United States. The Lower Colorado River with Hoover, Davis, Parker and Imperial dams is one of the most highly developed and utilized among the major rivers in the world.

Mr. Nielsen, who has been with the Bureau of Reclamation 21 years, obtained his B. S. degree in engineering from the University of Iowa in 1926. After working with private companies for five years, he served with the Public Service Commission of Missouri from 1931 to 1933 as assistant engineer. He joined the Bureau of Reclamation as assistant engineer in Denver in February 1934. In May 1936, he was promoted to associate engineer and in June 1938 became engineer at Salt Lake City, Utah.

In 1942, he was transferred back to the Denver office where he acted as Chief of the Hydrology Division. In 1945 he became Regional Planning Engineer for Region 3. He held this position until becoming Assistant Regional Director at Boulder City, Nevada, in 1950.

J. P. "JACK" JONES SUCCEEDS NIELSEN

As this issue went to press, Secretary of the Interior Douglas McKay announced the appointment of J. P. "Jack" Jones as Regional Director, Region 3, succeeding Mr. Nielsen.

This appointment was also made on the recommendation of Commissioner Dexheimer. Mr. Jones, a career engineer in the Bureau, has been Regional Engineer at Boulder City since 1951. After graduating from Colorado A & M College with a degree in Civil Engineering, he went to...
CRUSHING HAY IS AN EFFECTIVE WAY to get faster field curing and so avoid some of the weather hazards that reduce the quality of a crop or even completely ruin it. This article describes the hay crusher, a relatively new machine, and reports on tests showing how it can be used and what benefits can be expected.

The crusher cracks the stems lengthwise and reduces them to the equivalent of several smaller ones. The crushing also opens up the moist inner parts of stems to bring them into more direct contact with the air. As a result, the stems dry rapidly and at almost the same rate as the leaves.

HOW THE CRUSHER OPERATES: The crusher consists of a pair of steel rollers, held together by adjustable springs. A pick-up unit lifts the swath and feeds it through the rolls. After being crushed, the hay is dropped back onto the stubble, still in the swath.

Most crushers follow directly behind the tractor and crush the swath which was mowed the previous round; an extra round is then needed to crush the last swath in the field. With this arrangement, you have less side draft than with the earlier machines, which were offset and crushed directly behind the cutter bar. Also you do not have to drive on the hay after it is crushed.

THREE CHOICES OF EQUIPMENT: You can buy a mower-crusher combination unit. This gives you a complete outfit, consisting of a standard mower—usually a 7-foot cut—with a crusher unit designed around the same power-transmission system.

You can use the mower you have for cutting and buy a separate crusher. This calls for the lowest investment in new equipment, but means that mowing and crushing have to be done as separate operations. Although twice over the field costs more in time and money, it may be worth it if you already have a good mower and a relatively small acreage of hay. Another advantage of this method is that you can use a smaller tractor.

You can find out whether a separate crusher can be connected to your mower. Your dealer will know if your mower is made so this can be done. At present only one manufacturer makes
this type of crusher and it will fit only one particular make of mower.

(Editor's note: The crusher manufacturer referred to in the above note in 1952, is Meyer Manufacturing Company, Morton, Ill. Another manufacturer of hay crushing machines is John Bean Manufacturing Company, Lansing, Mich.)

COST CONSIDERATIONS: Prices differ somewhat from place to place and with various types and models. The three choices of equipment mentioned above differ considerably in cost. The difference in cost between a separate crusher and a mower-crusher combination of the same make is approximately the cost of the mower.

You may find that you have a large enough acreage of hay to justify the purchase of a crusher to put up better hay and avoid damage or complete loss due to bad weather. Saving 20 or 25 acres of hay that would otherwise be ruined will pay back much of the cost of a crusher unit.

If you do not grow enough hay yourself to pay to have a crusher, you might consider the possibility of owning one jointly with one or two neighbors or supporting a custom crusher in your community.

Power needed to operate a crusher: Both the mower and the crusher are operated from the tractor power take-off. A two-plow tractor provides enough power under nearly all operating conditions. In extremely hilly or soft fields, a three-plow tractor may occasionally be required.

Two main adjustments: Adjust the pick-up mechanism so that it picks up the swath cleanly and without gathering trash out of the stubble.

The fingers should, of course, clear the ground during normal operation.

Adjust the roller pressure according to the kind of hay, the stage of development, and the yield. Tighten the springs enough to crush the stems but not enough to squeeze out the plant juices. The juice contains feed value which is lost if it does not dry in the hay.

SOME RESULTS of research on crushing: Research work done at various times since 1932 by the Illinois agricultural experiment station has brought out several points about crushing.

Crushed hay dries in one-third to two-thirds the time required for uncrushed hay. Crushing reduces the drying time by about half for alfalfa and clover. Coarse-stemmed soybean hay when crushed dries in about a third the normal time. Typical results of drying tests were (third column is percentage decrease in drying time due to crushing):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Crushed</th>
<th>Uncrushed</th>
<th>Percentage Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa, first cutting</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa, second cutting</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red clover</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean hay</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crushing has no effect on the loss of nutrients in storage. Although there is considerable loss of carotene during the storage period, tests indi-
For years the task of moving sprinkler irrigation pipe from one section of the farm to another has been an arduous, time consuming and expensive operation. Now we learn that one Mr. Ralph M. Sanders, contractor and builder, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, has invented a machine which will do this work almost automatically.

Mr. Sanders, who has applied for a patent for his yet unnamed machine got the idea for his laborsaver while watching three men engaged in transferring pipe on a farm in Butler County, Pennsylvania. Mr. Sanders who operates mainly in Pittsburgh and its environs said “I was amazed when I saw the amount of labor necessary to transfer this pipe.” Two men were loading and unloading the pipe while a third was driving the tractor hitched to a trailer. He continued “the idea stuck with me that there certainly must be an easier, less costly, and perhaps simpler way of doing it.” Sanders who said “I am not an engineer, just a tinkerer” finally went to his workshop in his basement determined to apply some American know-how to what he was now considering almost his personal problem, despite the fact he was not a farmer and probably never would be one.

Some time later he came up with a scale model of a mechanized pipe-handling trailer. The model is built to exact scale and is made of practically all aluminum. For demonstration purposes, Sanders uses small lengths of aluminum piping or tubing.

The trailer, loaded with pipe, rolls along behind a tractor, distributing the pipe down a rear ramp. The pipes are fed one at a time from the top to
the bottom of the trailer or carriage so that a single pipe rests in the cradle or trough from which it is expelled rearwardly by a "dog" carried by a chain driven from the wheels of the carriage. This trailer arrangement even hooks the pipe together as it distributes it.

Thus, the pipe is laid on the ground fitted together and needs only the addition of sprinkler heads before going into service. After the pipe has been used in one area, it can be uncoupled, reloaded onto the machine and transferred to another area for irrigation purposes.

Mr. Sanders advised us that the full-sized trailer could hold a thousand feet of six-inch pipe. Should large farm operators require a bigger pipe hauler, he said the machine could be built longer and wider to accommodate their needs by adjusting the size of storage slots.

The reloading of pipe is also greatly expedited by use of this machine. Pipe sections are placed on a loading elevator at the side of the "rig". It is then carried upward and loaded into the storage space.

The machine is not yet in production; however, a working model will soon be built. If field tests demonstrate the advantages envisioned by the inventor, it would be an important labor saver in sprinkler irrigation. Accordingly, we considered the subject matter of sufficient interest to irrigation farmers to justify inclusion in this issue of the Era.

Mr. Sanders advised us that the full-sized trailer could hold a thousand feet of six-inch pipe. Should large farm operators require a bigger pipe hauler, he said the machine could be built longer and wider to accommodate their needs by adjusting the size of storage slots.

The reloading of pipe is also greatly expedited by use of this machine. Pipe sections are placed on a loading elevator at the side of the "rig". It is then carried upward and loaded into the storage space.

The machine is not yet in production; however, a working model will soon be built. If field tests demonstrate the advantages envisioned by the inventor, it would be an important labor saver in sprinkler irrigation. Accordingly, we considered the subject matter of sufficient interest to irrigation farmers to justify inclusion in this issue of the Era.

Also, the couplings designed for use with this pipe provide a watertight joint that can be quickly assembled without need for any auxiliary materials. Another feature of this coupling is its flexibility. It can be deflected as much as 5 degrees so the line can follow the changing contours of the terrain where the pipe is installed.

Now that their pump-back system is operating both Jim Korsten and his lessee are enthusiastic about the results. They figure that their reclaimed water, which is already paid for, is put back on the ranch's 320 acres at a cost of less than 50 cents an acre foot.

They are convinced that a tail water pump-back system is a remarkably quick and inexpensive method of improving a water situation. Furthermore, such a system is a valuable aid in helping to improve that water situation in a whole area where unavoidable runoff occurs, so that there will be more adequate supplies for all.

###

ATTENTION SUGAR BEET GROWERS

The following information received from the Department of Agriculture may be of interest to you and is hereby published accordingly:

"The Sugar Act of 1948, as amended, establishes an annual marketing quota for the domestic beet sugar area of 1,800,000 short tons of sugar. Moreover, it requires that individual farm proportionate shares (acreage allotments) be established when the indicated sugar supply for an area will be greater than the quantity needed to fill its quota and provide a normal carryover inventory. Since production in the beet sugar area reached 1,873,000 tons for the 1953 crop and is expected to exceed 2,000,000 tons for the 1954 crop, the Department is required to restrict 1955 crop sugar beet production.

"The Sugar Act provides, in establishing proportionate shares, that consideration be given to past production on the farm of sugar beets marketed for the extraction of sugar or liquid sugar and the ability to produce such sugar beets. Since the criteria are applied to production history, the shares for established producers will be based on their record of production during a base period. Although provision is made for new growers, the acreage available for such growers is necessarily limited."
For a stimulating look at a genuine frontier springing to life with atomic-age speed, consider the dawning years of the Columbia Basin Project in eastern Washington.

To appreciate this 600,000-acre blossom in our western agricultural scene, take one prospering community, Moses Lake, in the northern part of the project area and contemplate with as much equanimity as possible the current growth.

Moses Lake is not the only town that is blooming on the firm foundation of Basin irrigation, but the first one which has taken advantage of the mighty updraft of the economic factors so favorable for permanent growth. At the arrival of irrigation water from Grand Coulee Dam in Reclamation’s “Golden Jubilee” of 1952, Moses Lake counted up 4,244 citizens in the city limits, and had the Larson Air Force Base on the outskirts as an added stimulus fitting nicely into the gap between Project construction and full farm trade area development. In fact, everything happened just right for Moses Lake. Called by every visitor a “sleepy little town on the lake,” with 326 souls in 1940, the place fairly exploded with growth since 1949. Timing was perfect for a repetition of boosts that continue into a spiral, and now forecast to level out around 1980. The best minds that have tackled the growth factors apparent at Moses Lake have set a “conservative” estimate of at least 30,000 for the city.

Growth is so fast, however, in this reclamation—commercial—industrial little town that the local Chamber of Commerce steadfastly refuses to make predictions on its own. Swarms of investors, inquirers, farmers, would-be small business people, all get the same treatment—a small mimeographed sheet handed to them without comment, when they ask “what is the future going to be.” On the sheet is a table of figures of the past decade. Only three of the columns have figures available for 1940, being population 326, school enrollment 194, telephones 89, corresponding data for 1954 are: population 8,000; schools, 3,625; and phones, 3,892.

Moses Lake—
A PORTRAIT OF PROSPERITY

by OMAR L. BIXLER, Secretary-Manager Moses Lake Chamber of Commerce
Residential platting in the past year has caused annexation to the city of more than 1,600 acres, and city limits contain 4,500 acres in 1955, of which slightly more than a thousand acres is in water on two arms of the lake.

In only a few months, platting of residential areas has all but swallowed up ten miles of the lake shoreline which the people look to as the chief living advantage in the semi-arid area now becoming an agricultural garden. Even a few sections of sand dunes running south and west from the lake are suddenly an outdoor asset as commerce crowds the city outward and reclamation crowds in on other land which was arid space a few years ago.

The industry which set the pattern of the community’s farm-based growth was the Utah-Idaho Sugar Company which set their $7,000,000 modern refinery three miles east of the center of Moses Lake. The first sugar from Columbia Basin crops was made in October 1953. In 1954 the new plant really got in gear, hitting a pace of more than 2,800 tons of beets cut per day in a short, fast “campaign” closing at the end of January 1955.

Scientific studies of growth in the area have been made by Puget Planners Inc. of Seattle in connection with plans for meeting school needs. Their surveys ran into so much deep water that the analyst-manager of the firm, John Nordmark, said they had to “rebuild their crystal ball” and find new methods for calculating growth in a new area. One example will suffice to illustrate the problem. With a state-wide average age of mothers-with-the-most-children-in-school of 46 years, the average at Moses Lake of mothers-with-the-most-children-in-school was 31 years. And at the moment of calculation Moses Lake already had the highest family size in the State of 3.74. The growth of the farm and town families at Moses Lake foretells a future of families like olden time pioneers, but with modern facilities.
A second major social program at Moses Lake was not agricultural but followed the consistent pattern of building a community for pleasant living. A program instituted by Larson Air Force Base and community leaders resulted in an Air Base—community relations program which has achieved nationwide attention in military circles.

Business was quick to believe in Moses Lake after the sugar plant sat down in the upper center of earliest irrigation. Building permit values went up from 1½ million dollars in 1951 to 3½ million in 1953, with a large proportion of these three years in commercial building. Building was $8,298,000 in Moses Lake in 1954, mostly homes, while 400 more homes were built at Larson Air Force Base outside the city limits. In the first two months of 1955 the commercial buildings being designed and contracted assured again a rec-
ord construction year in the city. Four major chain store buildings were on the books or had property bought in that short time, and real estate prices in downtown Moses Lake hit figures that made the hardest realtor blush. As one man said, “the amazing thing is that they are still building.”

The spiral of growth is seen in the comparative figures for 1953 and 1954 in these four additional items, bank deposits $4,927,000.00 to $6,821,149.58; postal receipts, $96,662.36 to $110,457.64; telephones, 2,951 to 3,892; and school enrollment 2,700 to 3,625. Between these two years, both school district and city assessed valuations rose 38 percent. All this on the basis of 610 irrigating farms plus less than 10,000 acres of the private Moses Lake Irrigation District.

Blocks 40, 41, and 42 adjacent to the city on the north and east enjoyed the early, rapid settlement of over 46,000 irrigable acres. With later irrigation blocks bringing in new farms for the coming six years, the city looks forward to a minimum of 1,800 full-time farms in Moses Lake’s concentrated primary trade area.

Moses Lake no longer has to work up its own press clippings since roving writers have discovered the new land of opportunity. One industrial trade journal called Moses Lake “the kind of dream city you would build if you were starting from scratch. Today its business district will compare favorably with the most modern big city shopping centers.” The same journal relates to the project by saying “the most astounding change, however, is not in the shining towns and cities, but in the land itself.” A major oil journal saw it the same way, “from a drowsing desert village Moses Lake has become a city of wide, well-scrubbed avenues and blocks of smart modern shops. There are 18 churches (23 since that writer was there), a million dollar high school, and row after row of pastel-colored ranch houses, as neat and cheerful as toy building blocks.” One writer reviewing the population increase quipped “This wasn’t expansion, it was explosion.”

In all this one of the key factors in building cities in the former desert, has been omitted because, outside of the sugar refinery, processing industries have hidden out. Apparently several firms are only waiting to see who jumps first in the Columbia Basin Project. They have waited until more than 100,000 acres are producing crops. They can’t wait much longer, thinks Moses Lake, and other Basin Towns.

---

J. P. "Jack" Jones

J. P. Jones

Continued from page 36

work for the Bureau as an instrument man on the Minidoka Project in Idaho.

He was Assistant Engineer from 1931–1935 during the construction of Hoover Dam. He subsequently worked on the Yuma and All-American Projects in Southern California and Arizona, reclamation surveys in Texas, and the Central Valley Project in California where he was office engineer during the construction of Friant Dam.

Mr. Jones has been located in Boulder City since the establishment of the Regional office there in 1945.

The announcement of the new Regional Director’s appointment was made in Boulder City at a farewell party for Mr. Nielsen prior to the latter’s departure for Washington.

——

YOUR MAGAZINE

Are there particular types of articles which you would like to see in the Era that we have not printed to date? If so, please let us know, and we shall do our best to comply with your wishes.

THE RECLAMATION ERA
Streamflow from snowmelt will be less than average in the major river systems of the western United States during 1955. Runoff barely normal is expected in the extreme north and northwest. The decline in water supply outlook is gradual toward the south. In Arizona, New Mexico, southern Utah, large parts of Nevada and localized spots in California, extremely short water supply is in prospect. This is the summary of water supply conditions as prepared by the U. S. Soil Conservation Service and is based on April 1, 1955, observations at some 1,200 snow sources in the high mountains of the West.

This analysis of April 1 snow surveys, reservoir storage and other factors affecting this year's water supply, again presented by the Reclamation Era through the courtesy of the authors, and Mr. R. A. Work, Head, Water Supply Forecasting Section, shows that runoff in prospect for irrigation, power generation, and municipal and industrial use will be adequate in some areas of the northwest, but will result in mild to severe water shortages elsewhere in the western United States.

In the following paragraphs the water supply is briefly reviewed and a chart showing current status of reservoir storage is included, for your information.

**Arizona.** Arizona snowmelt runoff may prove the least since 1904, and possibly the least since records began. Precipitation on the headwaters of Arizona rivers was exceptionally light last summer and fall, while temperatures were considerably above normal. The soils were extremely dry at the start of the winter. Sparse winter snowfall contained insufficient water to offset the accumulated moisture deficiency. As a result practically all of the snow water was absorbed by the dry mountain soils without producing much runoff. Snow has already melted without perceptible increases in the minimum flows of the rivers.

Water carryover in reservoirs of the Salt River project is substantial and will be adequate to meet needs this year. However, the San Carlos project has a continued shortage of stored water, with less than 20,000 acre-feet, or about 13 percent of the 10-year average, now in storage. Lyman Reser-
RESERVOIR STORAGE SHOWN IN PERCENT OF CAPACITY

RESERVOIR STORAGE AS OF APRIL 1, 1955. Explanation:
(a) Most State averages for reported reservoirs are for a full 10-year period, but in a few cases reservoirs with shorter records have been included. (b) Does not include Shasta, Millerton, Isabella, Jutson, or Pine Flat Reservoirs (combined capacity 7,092,000 acre-feet); April 1, 1955, combined storage 3,000,000 acre-feet. (c) Does not include John Martin Reservoir on the Arkansas River (capacity 655,000 acre-feet); April 1, 1955, storage 1,200 acre-feet; or Granby, Horsetooth, and Carter Lake on Colorado-Big Thompson project (combined usable capacity about 700,000 acre-feet); April 1, 1955, about 228,000 acre-feet. (d) Cedar Bluffs Reservoir only. (e) Does not include Fort Peck Reservoir (capacity 19,000,000 acre-feet); April 1, 1955, storage 9,326,000 acre-feet; or Flathead Lake (capacity 1,791,000 acre-feet); April 1, 1955, storage 710,000 acre-feet; or Heart Butte and Dickinson Reservoirs (capacity 761,800 acre-feet), April 1, 1955, storage 269,000 acre-feet. (f) Does not include Roosevelt Lake (capacity 5,072,000 acre-feet); April 1, 1955, storage 749,000 acre-feet; or Grand Coulee Equalizing (capacity 76,800 acre-feet), April 1, 1955, storage 452,000 acre-feet. (g) Does not include Boysen Dam (capacity 758,000 acre-feet), April 1, 1955, storage 269,000 acre-feet.

voir on the Little Colorado River stores less than 2,000 acre-feet, only 7 percent of its capacity, and with little prospects of any substantial improvement. Lake Mead stores less water now than at any time since initial filling was complete.

CALIFORNIA.—Water conditions in California as of April 1, as reported by the State Division of Water Resources, are generally unsatisfactory and indicate that the water supply for 1955 will be much below average. However, critical conditions are anticipated only in localized areas where development of conservation storage and groundwater basins have not kept pace with growth. With the present high degree of integration of major hydro and steam electric plants throughout the State, there are no indications that the deficiencies in surface water supply will adversely affect power output.

There were no storms of consequence during March. From the standpoint of precipitation to date, this year appears to be the driest since 1947. If the near drouth conditions should continue through another season, as has happened in the past, water conditions would become acute in many areas.

The water content of the snow pack varies from 40 to 60 percent of average in the Cascade Mountains and Sierra Nevada. The snow pack is generally about the same as that for March 1, except at the lower elevations where considerable snowmelt occurred during March. The anticipated snowmelt season runoff, assuming normal precipitation during the April–June period, is expected to be less than that for any year since 1947, and the flow of the Kern River may be the lowest since 1934.

Major conservation reservoirs serving areas in California had in storage on April 1 about 44 percent of their total capacity which is approximately 5,800,000 acre-feet less than 1 year ago. The major portion of this decrease being in Lake Mead. Storage in intrastate reservoirs is about 72 percent of the 10-year average. As a result of the anticipated below average snowmelt runoff, it is expected that the heavy draft on the low storage reservoirs will deplete many reservoirs prior to the end of the irrigation season.

Subnormal runoff has provided inadequate replenishment to groundwater basins in California. Deficient precipitation during February and March resulted in preseason pumping in many areas and below average surface supplies will undoubtedly require above average pumping in many areas during the latter part of the 1955 irrigation season. As a result, the water levels in most major groundwater basins will be considerably lower at the end of the 1955 irrigation season than in the fall of 1954.

COLORADO.—Sumner discharge of all streams originating in the mountains of Colorado will be less than normal in 1955, but will exceed 1954 on all streams except the Rio Grande. The water supply in 1954 was near the lowest in record in practically all areas of the state. Because of low carryover in small irrigation reservoirs the statewide water supply outlook for 1955 is not much better than it was last year. The lack of storage will cancel the expected increase in streamflow over 1954. Users should be prepared to reduce their demands for water.

Forecasts of flow for the North and South Platte and Arkansas Rivers and their tributaries is for about 75 percent normal for the April–September 1955 period. On the Colorado River drainage forecasts range from nearly 90 percent of normal on the Upper Colorado, Yampa, and White Rivers to 65 to 70 percent on the San Juan River and its tributaries in southwestern Colorado. The water supply outlook is fair to good and much better than a year ago in the west slope.

Water available for irrigation in San Luis Valley along the Rio Grande will probably be less

Continued on page 51
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A record, not soon to be surpassed, was racked up recently by a trio of zanjeros (ditch riders) of the Salt River Valley Water Users’ Association. Together, Sam Hood, James Bolen and R. C. (Pete) Roberts have worked as zanjeros for a total of 105 continuous years. Hood, sporting 36 years of service followed closely by Bolen with 35 years of ditch-riding, retired at the end of December. Roberts retired at the end of January with 34 years of zanero service behind him.

This trio of veteran zanjeros, so vital to the economy of the farmer and the Valley, has watched the Salt River Project thrive and grow. Together they have lifted thousands of headgates to release precious irrigation water into the ditches for farm needs. Together, after their long years of steady service, they still marvel at the changes in the Project and the Salt River Valley.

Highlights, according to the zanjeros, among the changes over the years were the disappearance of the Maricopa Canal in the early 30’s, the happy changeover from wooden gate structures and the introduction of two-way radio into the zanjerio’s cars.

“I still recall all the splinters that I absorbed from those wooden gate structures,” Roberts recalls.

Zanjero work, the trio will agree, was hard years ago when compared with today’s activities. During the early years, they were on a 24 hours-a-day call. The three men recall 48-hour periods of steady work. “Pavements were often mud paths,” Hood recalls, “and that meant stuck cars.”

Although today a zanjero will have hundreds of customers because of the breakup of farmland into subdivisions as compared to 150 customers in 1920, the retiring zanjeros insist that each now is able to plan his division more accurately and the more rapid means of transportation has conquered many irrigation headaches.
The trio agrees that the zanjero was a kind of unofficial policeman in years gone by.

“Before we were riding almost constantly in pickup trucks as we do today, we were seen around vital headgates and were stopped by strangers who asked travel directions,” Bolen reports. “These people felt that because of our job we should know the whereabouts of people and streets and, believe me, we did,” Bolen adds.

The zanjeros reminisce about their work, noting that no two days were alike. There were all types of customers to please, many of whom had no conception of what occurred when water was ordered and the headaches we had before it was delivered.

“I can still remember back in 1920, the white frame house that I lived in— in the middle of a wheat field,” Roberts recalls. “On our front porch the U. S. Forest Service installed an old fashioned box telephone for use by the general public. Drinking water had to be hauled in— in 10 gallon cans and it cost 1¢ per gallon. A Water Users’ pump was finally located on 24th Street and Osborn Road and water was then hauled from there. Water for the family wash was carried from the old Maricopa Canal which used to run back of the house.”

Roberts recalled one incident typical of the life of the old-time zanjero. It seems that he had a Mexican who aided him in the irrigation of his field. One day the Mexican fell asleep and the area surrounding his home was flooded. The water was soon up to his front door and Roberts had no way to call the watermaster. Finally, the latter, by a stroke of good luck, appeared and cut the old Maricopa Canal to release the water. Along with the water went 200 chickens, stove wood and other articles.

“There were about 30 inches of water standing in the field with the house in the middle of this minor lake,” Roberts remembers.

Hood jogs his memory and recalls the days before the invention of the Clausen Weir Stick when water was measured only at the head of the lateral and when water was delivered to the users by arbitration between the user and the zanjero.

The retiring zanjeros remember with a weary sigh the large area which they handled. “I still serviced an area covering 18 miles of laterals with one starting at 24th Street and the Grand Canal and ending at 17th Avenue and McDowell, when I retired,” Roberts said. “Actually, my area covered about 12 square miles,” he added.

To Sam Hood fell the task of handling a division 12 miles long and 3 miles wide around Mesa, while James Bolen kept busy with 18 miles of laterals in the Gilbert area.

Vividly remembered were the work days when the zanjeros had to change 36 gates in a 24-hour period. That meant many sore muscles. The zanjeros recall how some customers would call them at 2 a.m., requesting water. Often, these customers were farmers ready to milk cows and they felt that other people were also awake.

Despite the rigorous schedule maintained through their many years of rugged service with the Project, these men sport good health records.
Hood claimed no sick leave since 1925 while Roberts hadn’t had an off-day since 1941. Bolen, though rarely missing from work, had been ill a little oftener.

Roberts who lives at 1217 E. Osborn with his two daughters (his wife died recently) came to Arizona from Carrollton, Illinois. He arrived on a Saturday and on the following Monday, November 1, 1920, he was working as a zanjero. Hood, born in Georgia, came to Phoenix in 1912 and worked for a short time for the Reclamation Service. In January 1918 he took on the duties of zanjero for the Association. He lives on a ranch northeast of Mesa and has 7 living children. Bolen, who lives at 102 W. Palo Verde, Gilbert, came to Phoenix from Sioux Rapids, Iowa, and hooked up with the Water Users’ Association as a zanjero in December 1919. He is married and has four children.

Zanjeros R. C. Roberts, Sam Hood and James Bolen have seen the Salt River Valley prosper and they feel that they had an important share in its growth. But now that they have a chance to retire, the trio hopes to relax and fish and perhaps take on a part-time job to supplement their pension. After all, all three agree, you can’t shut off immediately the full and busy life of a zanjero.

---

**The San Diego Aqueduct**

San Diego County and terminates at the San Vicente reservoir of the City of San Diego water system, some 71 miles distant.

The job is an outstanding example of the ability of Federal and State agencies to work together to accomplish a mutual objective. Through the cooperation of the San Diego County Water Authority, The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, and the Department of the Navy, and because of the fact that the Congress has made full appropriation of the estimated cost, the Bureau of Reclamation was able to push the work forward expeditiously and to complete it with a resultant engineering and administrative cost that was well below the general average, and at a total cost within close proximity of the estimate.

We propose to follow up this article in an early issue of the *Era* with a detailed account of construction on the second barrel of the San Diego Aqueduct. Ed.

---

**Lineweaver Appointed to Interior Committee**

Goodrich W. Lineweaver resigned as Assistant to the Commissioner, effective February 15, to accept a post with the Senate Interior and Insular Affairs Committee. In the new assignment he will serve as an adviser on the Committee’s professional staff on Reclamation and water resources.

Mr. Lineweaver had been connected with the Bureau of Reclamation in various capacities since 1939. He served as Executive Secretary of the Reclamation Repayment Commission in 1937–1939.

A farewell testimonial luncheon was held for Mr. Lineweaver at the National Press Club on the eve of his departure. Mrs. Lineweaver and more than 100 fellow-employees and friends were in attendance.

---

May 1955
## Water Stored in Western Reservoirs

(Operated by Bureau of Reclamation or Water Users except as noted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Reservoir</th>
<th>Storage (in acre feet)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Active capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thief Valley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Como</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson Ranch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>425,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrowrock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>265,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>654,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadwood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>161,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Lowell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>225,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region 5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region 6</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See footnotes at end of table.
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### Water Stored in Western Reservoirs—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Reservoir</th>
<th>Storage (in acre feet)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Active capacity</td>
<td>March 31, 1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>127,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nelson</td>
<td>66,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shoshone Lakes</td>
<td>66,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deerfield</td>
<td>15,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bull Lake</td>
<td>152,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pilot Butte</td>
<td>31,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buffalo Bill</td>
<td>380,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gibbon</td>
<td>105,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pliantun</td>
<td>30,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Willow Creek</td>
<td>32,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carter Lake</td>
<td>108,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Granby</td>
<td>465,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Green Mountain</td>
<td>146,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Horsetooth</td>
<td>141,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shadow Mountain</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bonny</td>
<td>167,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cedar Bluff</td>
<td>381,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enders</td>
<td>66,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harlan County</td>
<td>752,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harry Strunk Lake</td>
<td>85,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Swanson Lake</td>
<td>249,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aloeva</td>
<td>24,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seminole</td>
<td>957,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Alice</td>
<td>30,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Minatare</td>
<td>50,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pathfinder</td>
<td>1,010,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Minus active storage figure due to pumping from dead storage during the month.

---

**Water Supply**

Continued from page 46

than in 1954. Forecasts range from 50 to 60 percent of normal. Snowmelt runoff will be insufficient to meet all demands.

Soil moisture conditions in both valley and mountain areas are poor in eastern Colorado and fair to good on the west slope.

**Idaho.**—The water supply outlook for streams in northern Idaho is near normal for 1955. The southern half has a poor water supply in prospect except along the main stem of the Snake River. Significant increases in the snow pack occurred during March in the north, but very little change took place in the southern portion where the water shortage is most critical.

Furthermore, watershed soils are dry and will take up more than the usual amount of the snowmelt before runoff starts.

Critical water shortages are developing in irrigated areas served by the Big and Little Lost Rivers, the Big and Little Wood Rivers, Salmon Falls Creek, and the Owyhee River. Carryover storage and the snow pack are very low in respect to normal. The Boise and Payette Rivers have one of the lightest snow packs in years, but reservoir storage will provide adequate irrigation water for this year on those two rivers. Carryover storage next fall will not be adequate for 1956.

**Kansas.**—With no water stored in John Martin and the Great Plains reservoirs in eastern Colorado, water available for irrigation along the Arkansas River in western Kansas will be much less than normal. Only rainfall of high proportions in the Arkansas Valley can improve the situation. Storage in Cedar Bluff Reservoir on the Kansas River watershed is now 94,000 acre-feet as compared to 185,000 acre-feet of irrigation storage capacity.

**Montana.**—The snow pack on the Rocky Mountains feeding the Upper Missouri and Upper Columbia Rivers in Montana is 20 percent of the average. Early runoff is expected on both rivers. The April–September flow into Fort Peck reservoir on the Missouri River will be 3,756,000 acre-feet, or 77 percent average. The Yellowstone River should produce 1,714,000 acre-feet, or 85 percent average flow for April–September.

On the Columbia River drainage, the Flathead River at Columbia Falls, Montana is forecast to flow 5,152,000 acre-feet of water between April 1 and September 30, or 85 percent average. The April–September inflow to Hungry Horse Reservoir on the South Fork of the Flathead River is forecast to be 1,850,000 acre-feet, or 82 percent average. The Clark Fork River should produce approximately 11 million acre-feet of water at the Montana-Idaho boundary during April–September, equal to 81 percent average.

**Nebraska.**—Western Nebraska areas along the North Platte will be limited to sharing 75 percent normal runoff into Wyoming reservoirs on this stream. Shortages of irrigation water seem virtual. Adequate water supply will be available only if rainfall during the summer months is well above average. Storage in Kings...
The various crushing machines now available differ little in construction, operation and effectiveness.

VALUES YOU CAN EXPECT from crushing: Reducing the time the hay has to be left in the field to cure is the key to the benefits obtained by crushing.

Cuts weather damage. Reducing the field curing time by half will more than double your chance of getting the hay put up without damage.

Saves more hay. By preventing the loss of leaves and small stems which results from extra drying and handling after rains, you may save as much as 10 percent more hay.

Conserves feeding value. More carotene is saved by the relatively short exposure to sun and rain. Rapid curing means that you also save the protein that would otherwise be lost in shattered leaves. Color is also better.

Shortens the haying season. Faster curing means that men and equipment are not tied up so long in haying operations. Still more time and work are saved if you can avoid having to turn windrows to get them dried out.

Improves palatability. Crushing reduces the stems, especially the coarser ones, so that they are less harsh and brittle. This, together with the probable saving of leaves, make the hay more palatable.

Faster drying after rain or dew. Crushing puts the hay in better condition for rapid drying. After getting wet, it will still dry more quickly than uncrushed hay.

May be a part of any method of hay making:

Crushing is merely another operation in hay making, with the single purpose of speeding up field curing. After the hay is cured, it can be stored as long hay, baled in the field, or chopped with a field harvester, as you wish. The crusher can also be used in connection with a mow finisher to further shorten the field-curing time.

"GET ACQUAINTED" COPIES

If you have friends or associates who would be interested in the Reclamation Era, please send their names and addresses to the Bureau of Reclamation, Washington 25, D. C. We shall be glad to send them copies of back issues.

Don S. Campbell New Chief of Reclamation's Power Division

Don S. Campbell was appointed Chief of the Bureau of Reclamation's Power Division in Washington, D. C., by Secretary of the Interior Douglas McKay on April 11. Mr. Campbell has been regional supervisor of power in the Bureau's Boise, Idaho, office.

He was named regional power chief in Boise in January 1946 and has served in that capacity until coming to Washington to fill the vacancy left by Henry B. Taliaferro, who resigned in December 1954 to join the New York State Power Authority, Commissioner of Reclamation W. A. Dexheimer said.

Prior to joining the Bureau in 1946, Mr. Campbell was Special Assistant to the Chief Engineer of Bonneville Power Administration in Portland, Oregon. From 1942 to 1945, he was a Lieutenant and Lieutenant Commander in the United States Navy. From 1938 to 1942, he was with the Bonneville Power Administration in Oregon. From 1935 to 1938 he worked for the Corps of Engineers at Bonneville Dam. He worked for the Washington Water Power Company at Spokane, Washington, for eight years beginning in 1924, and subsequently was associated with the Department of Public Works, Washington State, beginning in 1933.

Born in Wisconsin, Mr. Campbell moved with his family to Washington State where he went through high school and the University of Washington. He graduated in 1923 with a B. S. degree in electrical engineering.
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Former Commissioner Page Dies

John C. Page, Commissioner of Reclamation from 1936 to 1943 passed away in Denver, Colorado, on March 23 after a long illness.

Mr. Page, well known in the field of engineering, served with the Bureau for 38 years until ill health forced his retirement. He began as a topographer in 1909 and advanced progressively in the various fields of engineering until he became Director of the Engineering Division in Washington and was named Commissioner in 1936. After his retirement, Mr. Page was retained by the Bureau as a full-time Engineering Consultant. He served in this capacity until 1947.

Secretary McKay in his condolence message to Mrs. Page said: “Mr. Page left a reputation of integrity, ability and accomplishment as Commissioner of Reclamation. This reputation, built over a period of 38 years in the Bureau as a career engineer, endures today within the Department, the Engineering Profession, and among friends of Reclamation throughout the West.”

Reclamation Commissioner W. A. Dexheimer said that Mr. Page was greatly admired by his employees, by advocates of water and land resources development, and by members of the Congress with whom he worked on Reclamation matters.

Mr. Page was born in Syracuse, Nebraska. He graduated from the University of Nebraska with a B.A. degree in civil engineering and continued studies later at Cornell University.

He served as a member of the Water Resources Committee of the National Resources Committee and as a member of the President’s Great Plains Drought Committee. In 1941, he received the honorary degree of Doctor of Engineering from his alma mater, the University of Nebraska. He was a member of Sigma Tau and an honorary member of the American Society of Civil Engineers. In 1950, he was awarded the Department of the Interior gold medal for distinguished service.

The Editor’s Column

The following facts have been gleaned from the United States Department of Agriculture’s Statistical Summary, dated February 18. We hope that you find them helpful.

Citrus Crops

The 1954–55 orange crop is estimated at 133.7 million boxes, 6 percent above the previous crop. Utilization to February 1 is smaller than to the same date a year ago, so that 10.5 million boxes more were available February 1 than a year earlier.

The grapefruit crop is forecast at 43.6 million boxes—10 percent less than last season and 13
percent below average. Utilization to February 1 totaled about 17.5 million boxes compared with 19 million boxes to February 1, 1954.

The California lemon crop is placed at 14.6 million boxes—9 percent below last season but 17 percent above average.

Vegetables

Vegetable crops suffered considerable cold damage during January. Prospective total fresh market supplies of the 20 commercial vegetables produced in the winter season are now about 7 percent below last year. Wet soils and below-normal temperatures also slowed planting and development of spring vegetables in most early sections.

Production Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>January 1954</th>
<th>January 1955</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milk (bil. lb.)</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs (bil.)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef (dr. wt., mil. lb.)</td>
<td>19,368</td>
<td>19,681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pork &amp; lard (mil. lb.)</td>
<td>19,776</td>
<td>19,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamb &amp; mutton (dr. wt., mil. lb.)</td>
<td>1,644</td>
<td>1,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All meats (dr. wt., mil. lb.)</td>
<td>20,669</td>
<td>21,132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 January—December under Federal inspection.

Nonfarm Indexes of Interest to Agriculture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale prices of food</td>
<td>1947–49 = 100</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail prices of food</td>
<td>1947–49 = 100</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly factory earnings</td>
<td>1947–49 = 100</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Farmers Plan to Buy Fewer Chicks

Farmers plan to buy 18 percent fewer chicks this year than they bought last year. Some difference between their February plans and their actual purchases is to be expected depending largely on egg and feed prices during the coming hatching season. All parts of the country plan decreases this year.

Potato Stocks Smaller Than Last Year

Stocks of merchantable potatoes on January 1, 1955, are estimated at 118 million bushels—7.9 percent less than a year earlier. These stocks are held by growers and dealers in or near producing areas and include all potatoes available for sale at any price for table stock, seed, livestock feed, starch, and other processing. The estimates do not include potatoes saved for food, seed, and feed on farms where grown and expected losses for the entire season through shrinkage, decay, and waste. In Maine, sales of 1954 crop potatoes to starch factories are expected to be quite large chiefly because of poor quality and small size. Of the 8 million bushels sold before January 1 from the 1954 Maine crop, 22 percent went to starch factories, compared with 17 percent of total sales of the 1953 crop to January 1, 1954.

Grain Stocks in All Positions January 1, 1955

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Million Bushels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>1,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rye</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>2,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oats</td>
<td>1,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum grain</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybeans</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaxseed</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grain stocks under Federal inspection. In Maine, sales of 1954 crop potatoes to starch factories are expected to be quite large Chiefly because of poor quality and small size. Of the 8 million bushels sold before January 1 from the 1954 Maine crop, 22 percent went to starch factories, compared with 17 percent of total sales of the 1953 crop to January 1, 1954.

January 1 stocks add up to records on this date for the tonnage of feed grains, for reserves of food grains, and by a large margin for the total of the six grains in storage—over 151 million tons.
DO YOU KNOW

TALL PIPE STORY The longest single irrigation pipe we've heard about—more than one and one-half miles of two-inch plastic pipe—was recently manufactured by the Prima Pipe Company of Scottsbluff, Nebraska, for use on a Texas irrigation project.

Original plans called for making the pipe two miles long, but the truck used to carry it could haul only 8,880 feet.

100-TON RUBBER BAG If present plans go through, India will soon boast of a 12-million gallon reservoir neatly held in a rubber bag.

After more than two years of experimentation, the Sunderland and South Shields Water Company have accepted a plan worked out by the Dunlop Rubber Company for lining a reservoir with rubber. Nearly one ton of adhesive will be used to fix some 16,000 square yards of one-quarter-inch-thick high quality rubber to the reservoir. The rubber bag will weigh 100 tons.

CANALS GO UNDERGROUND For the past quarter century a practice has been in effect in the Modesto and Turlock Irrigation Districts of California which is virtually unknown in agricultural or engineering circles more than 100 miles away.

This is the manufacture and installation of protective rubber coating in the field of irrigation pipes made by pouring concrete over forms placed in the ditches.

Technically this is known as an unreinforced monolithically constructed concrete pipe. As soon as the concrete hardens and the forms are removed the pipeline may be covered and is ready for use.

OKLAHOMA now is irrigating a total of 50,700 acres of agricultural land with a new system for applying water. This system is being used by the Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of the Interior. The Bureau hopes to drop the water on 40 acres at a time. If this method proves successful, it may be used in other regions of the country.

Arrangements are being made, at both the federal and state levels, to expedite and simplify procedures for getting the watershed improvement job started. Governors of areas, through whose watersheds have already designated agencies to handle applications. Formal application blanks for use by local watershed groups are being prepared and will soon be available in all states. In the meantime, local organizations may obtain information on how to initiate watershed programs from the state headquarters of the Soil Conservation Service and the Agricultural Extension Service. We are indebted to the Irrigation Engineer and Maintenance Magazine for the foregoing.

A Word From Egypt

Dear Sirs: To our friends in America, offer our thanks as well as our deep appreciation for all their useful advice and valuable services and to them, in particular, we extend our best wishes and our profound gratitude for their generous help which no other country has ever offered us before.

Your Government has offered a great deal through financial and technical aid and your magazine, the Reclamation Era, well makes it possible for my country to be au courant with the latest agricultural developments.

We are looking forward to the next issue.

Cordially yours,

(Sgd.) R. Sharmi,
Manager, Farm & Orchard Service, Ramleh, Egypt.

Finds ERA Educational

Dear Sirs: I received a lot of good information from the magazine The Reclamation Era. I would like to see articles about Southern Calif. water situation in mountains and desert; also about soil conservation problem. This is the best educational magazine our Government has put out to read and learn. Thank you.

(Sgd.) E. M. Kaeffer,
1135-W-105th St., Los Angeles 44, Calif.
We will do our best to oblige. Ed.

"Weed Warning" Helpful

Dear Sirs:

I have received my copy of the February 1955, issue of Reclamation Era. In it is an article, "Weed Warning," written by John T. Maletic and illustrated by David Cunningham. I am considering if it would be possible to obtain one or two copies of reprints of this article, or copies of the February issue containing it.

Irrigation in Kansas is rapidly increasing. In some places, there is a very serious weed problem on land that has been under irrigation for several years. Some areas are now being converted to irrigation farming without much thought being given to weed problems. In some of these locations, pernicious weeds, such as field bindweed and Johnson grass, are present in small populations which can be controlled if given the proper attention soon. Other areas are not infested yet and we hope to impress on the farmers operating this land the importance of preventing the introduction of the seeds of the important noxious weeds. The article, "Weed Warning," presents the problem in a way that is ideally adapted to our use in meetings we propose to hold with irrigation farmers.

I shall appreciate any assistance you can give in supplying copies of this article or suggestions as to where I may obtain them.

Yours truly,

(Sgd.) Vernon W. Woestemeyer
State Weed Supervisor,
Topeka, Kans.

We were glad to assist.—Ed.
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MAJOR RECENT CONTRACT AWARDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
<th>Contractor's name and address</th>
<th>Contract amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC-4236</td>
<td>Parker-Davis, Ariz.-Calif.-Nev.</td>
<td>Mar. 2 Additions to Gila substation</td>
<td>Powerline Construction Co., Nevada</td>
<td>$184,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4237</td>
<td>Yakima, Wash.</td>
<td>Jan. 5 Earthwork, canal lining, and structures for Main canal, station 764+50 to 1300+00, utilizing monolithic concrete in all siphons</td>
<td>Edwin C. Powell, Great Falls, Idaho</td>
<td>$184,577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4238</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Mont.</td>
<td>Feb. 2 Reconstructing and graveling 31.8 miles of county road for Tiber Reservoirs,</td>
<td>Hask Construction Co., West Yellowstone, Mont.</td>
<td>$295,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4306</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash.</td>
<td>Feb. 4 Earthwork and structures for Franklin Canal, station 2178+20 to end, and Franklin laterals, second section</td>
<td>Lewis Hopkins Co., Pueblo, Colo.</td>
<td>$277,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4311</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash.</td>
<td>Feb. 24 Earthwork, canal lining, and structures for Main canal, station 114+70 to 766+50, and lateral turnout deliveries, station 41+18.77 to 766+50, utilizing monolithic concrete in siphons.</td>
<td>Permanent Cement Co., Oakland, Calif.</td>
<td>$392,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4318</td>
<td>Yakima, Wash.</td>
<td>Mar. 15 Construction of earthwork for drain W645, station 1158+21.15C to 4000+10, block 12, South Platte Supply Canal, near Firestone.</td>
<td>Yorba Linda, Calif.</td>
<td>$535,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4333</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>Mar. 7 Steel penstock, outlet pipes, surge tank, and surge tank lighting system for Olendam Dam.</td>
<td>Pittsburgh Des Moines Steel Co., Des Moines, Iowa.</td>
<td>$469,626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4349</td>
<td>Hungry Horse, Mont.</td>
<td>Mar. 30 Construction of earthwork for drain W645, station 1158+21.15C to 4000+10, block 12, South Platte Supply Canal, near Firestone.</td>
<td>Long Construction Co., Inc., Billings, Mont.</td>
<td>$154,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100C-209</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 18 Drilling and casing 16 water supply wells, block 2, and 4.</td>
<td>Leland Saxon, Boise, Idaho.</td>
<td>$39,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100C-210</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 15 Construction of earthwork for drain W645, station 1158+21.15C to 4000+10, block 12, South Platte Supply Canal, near Firestone.</td>
<td>Ralph C. Denton Drilling Co., Murtaugh, Idaho.</td>
<td>$48,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100C-211</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 4 Construction of pumping plants, laterals, and drains for areas 18 to 37 near Heart Butte Dam, schedules 1 and 2.</td>
<td>Carl Hohner, Spokane, Wash.</td>
<td>$43,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600C-155</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 7 Drilling and casing 16 water supply wells, block 2, and 4.</td>
<td>McCormick-erling Co., Bis-</td>
<td>$109,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600C-156</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 24 Construction of pumping plants, laterals, and drains for areas 18 to 37 near Heart Butte Dam, schedules 1 and 2.</td>
<td>Portsmouth Pumping Co., Berkeley, Calif.</td>
<td>$44,811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WORK CURRENTLY SCHEDULED THROUGH JUNE 1955*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Constructing 12.5 miles of reinforced concrete pipe in 12 to 44 inches diameter pumping plants, 2 small reservoirs, valves, water meters, and electrical controls. Southern Joaquin Municipal Utility District, unit 1 extensions, near Delano.</td>
<td>Davis Dam, Ariz.</td>
<td>Constructing the 115-kilovolt Nogales Substation will include fencing the site, constructing foundations and a small service building, furnishing and erecting steel structures, installing electrical equipment, major items of which will be Government-furnished. Near Nogales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado- Big Thompson, Colo.</td>
<td>Constructing 69/12-kilovolt Granby Substation will include grading and fencing the site, constructing foundations and a small service building, furnishing and erecting steel structures, and installing electrical equipment, major items of which will be Government-furnished. Near Granby.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Constructing major additions to the switchyard for Wellton-Mohawk Pumping Plant No. 2 will include constructing foundations, erecting Government-furnished steel structures, installing electrical equipment (transferred from Gila Substation), erecting new steel for alterations to existing structures, and installing electrical equipment of 161, 12.5, and 4.16 kilovolt, major items of which will be Government-furnished. Near Wellton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash.</td>
<td>Constructing 26 miles of unlined laterals with bottom widths of 20 to 2 feet; about 1 mile of 16- to 24-inch diameter pipelines and 3 pumping plants with discharge lines.</td>
<td>M id d l e R i o Grande, N. Mex.</td>
<td>Drilling 34 holes through concrete core wall and grouting left abutment at El Vado Dam. Near El Vado.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Constructing about 24.3 miles concrete-lined laterals with bottom widths from 5 to 8 feet; 9 miles of unlined wasteways and drains with bottom widths from 3 to 5 feet; 5.7 miles of 15- to 48-inch precast concrete pipes; two 10-2- and 10-kilowatt outdoor pumping plants with reinforced concrete sump structures. Block 89, East Part, W18-A, about seven miles south of Ephrata.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Completing contract for 20 water supply wells including construction of pumping substations and installation of pumping units. North Side Pumping Division, near Rupert.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Constructing 6.2 miles of concrete-lined laterals with bottom widths from 3 to 2 feet; 5.1 miles of unlined wasteways and drains with bottom widths from 5 to 2 feet; and 1.6 miles of precast concrete and corrugated metal pipe in canals, wasteways and drains, 15 to 36 inches in diameter. Block 98, North Portion, about 8 miles south of Ephrata.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Constructing about 20 miles of unlined laterals. North Side Pumping Division, near Rupert.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Subject to change.
## Water Supply

### Nevada
- Snow stored water ranges from near normal in a small part of eastern Nevada to poor in the remainder of the State. Soils in irrigated areas are dry and streamflow is below average.

### New Mexico
- The water supply outlook along the Rio Grande in New Mexico is the poorest in recent years. Streamflow is expected to be even less than a year ago. Streamflow and water in storage together will supply only a small fraction of the usual water demand. Most of the water supply will have to come from underground sources. Storage in El Vado, Elephant Butte, and Caballo Reservoirs is about the same as a year ago, totaling approximately 160,000 acre-feet. Soils in all irrigated areas are dry and current streamflow is much below average.

- The outlook for the irrigated area near Carlsbad is good. The flood on the Pecos River last fall filled these reservoirs. Storage is now twice the 10-year average and three times that of April 1, 1954.

- Since storage in Conchas Reservoir is below normal and slightly below a year ago there will be a shortage of water for the Tucumcari project. Inflow from snowmelt will be negligible.

### North Dakota
- The water supply to irrigated areas along the Missouri River near Williston is good. Storage in Heart Butte and Dickinson Reservoirs is now standing at 82 percent of capacity. Recent snows have improved soil moisture conditions in this general area.

### Oklahoma
- Storage in W. C. Austin Reservoir is about 12 percent of capacity and near one-half of average. The water supply outlook is poor.
United States Department of the Interior, Douglas McKay, Secretary
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REGIONAL OFFICES

REGION 1: Harold T. Nelson, Regional Director, Box 937, Reclamation Building, Fairgrounds, Boise, Idaho.
REGION 2: Clyde H. Spencer, Regional Director, Box 2311, Fulton and Marconi Avenues, Sacramento 11, Calif.
REGION 3: J. P. Jones, Regional Director, Administration Building, Boulder City, Nev.
REGION 4: E. O. Larson, Regional Director, 32 Exchange Place, P. O. Box 360, Salt Lake City 10, Utah.
REGION 5: Robert W. Jennings, Regional Director, P. O. Box 1609, Old Post Office Building, 7th and Taylor, Amarillo, Tex.
REGION 6: Frank M. Clinton, Regional Director, 7th and Central, P. O. Box 2130, Billings, Mont.
REGION 7: R. J. Walter, Regional Director, Building 66, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colo.
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30 Years Ago in the Era

There can be no doubt about the suc-
cessful outcome of the Federal experiments
in reclamation by irrigation if the expe-
rience now gained be applied to existing and
coming projects. It is of the greatest
importance to the stability of the country
that the great work of reclamation and
home making on the land continue. We
must maintain the equilibrium between
the urban and rural life to perpetuate and
continue that splendid American insti-
tution—the farm home, the anchor of the
Republic in every hour of stress and
storm and strife.

From a speech by the late Hon. Charles L.
McNary of Oregon, United States Senate
In the next 10 years, perhaps sooner, we may see information about changes on the sun become as important to the weather forecaster as information about temperature, humidity and atmospheric pressure in the earth's atmosphere is today. Furthermore, if recent discoveries about sun-weather relationships fulfill the promise they seem to hold, this information will make possible forecasts of weather trends months and years in advance.

The potential benefits are enormous. Changes in our lives brought about by useful long range predictions of weather would range from the way we plan summer vacations to the heating or air-conditioning equipment we have in our homes. Dry land farmers could, for the first time, plan crops and capital outlay around knowledge of expected precipitation trends. Such knowledge would greatly affect, for the better, the problems of crop supports and surpluses that plague agriculture today.

For the irrigator, water user's organizations could for years in advance make plans for storage and release of water, dispelling the specter of empty reservoirs during a succession of dry years. Using such forecasts, irrigation farmers could plan their crops to account for expected temperature and subsoil moisture conditions. The happy coincidence of first large deliveries of Colorado-Big Thompson project water during the dry years of 1953 and 1954 might well be, for similar reclamation projects in the future, a planned coincidence, with programs accelerated to meet developing drouth needs.

EXPLOSION OF HYDROGEN GAS ON SURFACE OF THE SUN.
Admittedly I am one of a minority of solar scientists and meteorologists who believe strongly that the sun may play a key role in terrestrial weather. Against this view, the majority can

Diagram below illustrates how particles of hydrogen are attracted to earth's north and south poles after being ejected violently from so-called "active" centers on the sun (scale greatly exaggerated). Right diagram shows path of "polar" jet stream in northern hemisphere; a southern equivalent also exists.

point out that changes in visible light from the sun and fluctuations in the size and number of sunspots do not have any usable relationship to weather. These possibilities have been exhaustively explored without result.

On the other hand, it is my opinion that powerful evidence already exists for a connection between the weather and certain types of "invisible" radiation from the sun, radiation that is absorbed high in the earth's atmosphere and is not visible at the earth's surface.

A paper by myself and Jean-Claude Pecker of the Meudon Observatory in France, published in the March 1955 Journal of Geophysical Research, shows how the interaction of magnetic fields in the sun's atmosphere may be responsible for variations in beams of hydrogen particles that shoot out from the sun and cause disturbances in the earth's magnetic field. As an example of how powerful these effects can be, the great magnetic storm of Easter Sunday, 1940, cut off radio communication between the United States and Europe for many hours, disrupted teletype and wirephoto circuits, and interrupted the transmission of electrical power by causing overloading currents that blew circuit fuses.

Could these same beams of hydrogen particles, or corpuscles, be causing similar, significant variations in weather? Work by R. Shapiro of Air Force Cambridge Research Center shows that when the intensity of these corpuscles received at the earth abruptly increases, as indicated by changes in the earth's magnetic field, so do abrupt changes occur in the earth's atmospheric pressure and the pattern of atmospheric flow. Similarly, H. A. Craig of the same laboratory has shown a relationship between changes in barometric pressure and beams of corpuscles reaching the earth's atmosphere. Just such changes as these are known to initiate weather changes.

Working with another type of radiation cut off high above the earth's surface, C. E. Palmer of the Oahu Research Center, University of California at Los Angeles, has shown that the development of...
Is irrigation a profitable practice in the marginal rainfall areas of Kansas (those areas where the average annual precipitation exceeds 20 inches)? Can a small acreage of irrigated crops stabilize the operations and annual income of a farm unit which also includes 200–400 acres of nonirrigated crops?

The Kansas Irrigation Development farm program was designed to provide answers to these and many other related questions on irrigation. The selection and operation of development farms is a cooperative venture involving participation of a local farmer and the Kansas Technical Committee for Irrigation Development. Representatives from Federal, State and local agencies including Kansas State College, Soil Conservation Service, Kansas State Board of Agriculture, Kansas State Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Committee, Kansas Farmer’s Home Administration, Bureau of Reclamation and the Federal Extension Service are on this committee. Farm selections are made in areas in Kansas where irrigation storage water will shortly be impounded in large multiple-purpose reservoirs.

The cooperative nature of this program can best be explained by stating that the farmer cooperates by furnishing the land and stands most of the operational costs of crop production. The Technical Committee supplies the irrigation, agronomic, engineering, fertility, and management know-how where needed.

I represent the technical committee in all of the operational workings with the cooperating farmer. I consult regularly with various representatives of the cooperating agencies in order to obtain technical information that can be profitably applied on each or all of the farms operated under the program. The technical information thus obtained is relayed to the farm where it is discussed with the cooperator. At this time, we mutually agreed to either apply the new information or to postpone its use until a later date.

During 1954, four farms were cooperatively operated under this program. The Kanopolis Area Farm is located in the valley of the Smoky Hill
River, about 3 miles northeast of Lindsborg, Kans. It is operated by the Harold A. Malm family. The Cedar Bluffs Area Farm is located about 7 miles east of Cedar Bluff Reservoir on the table lands of the Smoky Hill River Valley. This farm is operated by the A. Raymond Kutina family. The Kansas Bostwick Area Farm is located on the terrace lands of the Republican River Valley about 9 miles northwest of Concordia, Kans. The Dean Hanson family is the cooperator on this farm. The Webster Area Farm is located about 40 miles downstream from the Webster Reservoir, which is now under construction. Wayne Kaser is cooperating with the technical committee on this farm.

These farms have been in operation for varying periods of time. Since the Kanopolis Area Farm has been in operation for the longest period of time, it is being used to illustrate the results which farmers in the region can expect if they follow the irrigation, agronomic, engineering, fertility, and management practices recommended for irrigation farming. Information gained on each of the farms has supplied answers to many of the questions that were posed prior to the beginning of the program in 1951.

The Kanopolis Area Farm consists of 45.5 acres. Thirty-nine of these acres were graded and prepared for irrigation in 1951. This acreage is utilized to produce corn (grain and silage), grain sorghum, and alfalfa. The remaining 6.5 acres were deemed to be too rolling to be graded economically; however, it has been seeded to bromegrass—alfalfa and has been irrigated quite satisfactorily by the use of corrugations. It is used almost entirely for pasture.

In addition to the Irrigation Development Farm portion of the Malm’s farm, they annually plant 200 acres of wheat on nonirrigated land and from 80–100 acres of grain sorghum.

The following table will serve to compare the crop yields that have been obtained by the Malm’s on the irrigated portion of their farm unit with the nonirrigated yields of the same crops on surrounding farms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Corn (grain)</th>
<th>Corn (silage)</th>
<th>Alfalfa</th>
<th>Grain sorghum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-irrigated bu./acre</td>
<td>Irrigated bu./acre</td>
<td>Non-irrigated tons/acre</td>
<td>Irrigated tons/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Spring seeded, yielded 1.5 tons per acre.

It is apparent from the information contained in the table that the irrigated acreage has a tremendous effect on the stability of the crop production enterprise on this farm unit. Corn yields of 90–100 bushels per acre can be depended upon. It is almost a sure thing that each acre of alfalfa hay will produce 7.5 tons of hay each year. Each acre of corn silage can be depended upon to yield approximately 20 tons per year. Grain sorghum

Continued on Page 75.
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TRIPLE BENEFITS of a WILDLIFE AREA

How Cooperation Resulted in Conservation of Once Wasted Natural Resources

by BRYAN L. HARRIS, Bureau of Reclamation
Carson City, Nevada

Surplus water not otherwise usable and idle lands are being put to a higher beneficial use by controlled distribution and land preparation in the newly created Stillwater Wildlife Management Area and Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge in the Carson Sink near Fallon, Nev. Through cooperative efforts of the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District, the State Board of Fish and Game Commissioners of the State of Nevada, and the Fish and Wildlife Service of the Department of the Interior, in accordance with an agreement signed in November 1948, approximately 200,000 acres of land below the Newlands Reclamation project are being converted to irrigated pastures, a managed waterfowl refuge, and a regulated public shooting ground.

The Management Area is ideally located to utilize the drainage water and irrigation return flows from the Newlands Reclamation project which is operated and maintained by the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District. The lands are also strategically located, being an essential link in the important Pacific flyway of migratory waterfowl.

The three parties to the agreement are benefited by the establishment of the area. The Truckee-Carson Irrigation District benefits by pastures which supplement dairy and beef cattle enterprises of its members. The Nevada Fish and Game Commission benefits through the addition of an important public shooting ground. The Fish and Wildlife Service benefits by the refuge, particularly because resting and nesting grounds are provided for migratory waterfowl to replace natural habitats being changed or destroyed by expanding agriculture and industry.

Construction of reservoirs, irrigation canals, dikes, and other water control structures are essential in the development of the area. Other improvements are necessary such as fencing, leveling and preparing the lands for planting, leaching salts from soils to a point where desirable vegetation will grow, planting food for wildlife, and planting forage for livestock. As a result, waterfowl are provided with a series of fresh
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water points and marshes with adequate food, plentiful cover, and freedom from botulism; fur-bearing animals, principally muskrats, are finding a new place to live and propagate; and livestock are being provided with palatable grasses and legumes in pastures which will ultimately cover about 10,000 acres.

The three parties to the agreement regarding operation and development of the Stillwater Wildlife Management Area each contribute to the program. The Truckee-Carson Irrigation District has agreed to the development of a refuge for wildlife. The Nevada State Board of Fish and Game Commissioners has agreed to develop a public shooting ground. Because of the close relationship between the refuge and public shooting ground, the Service and the Commission are developing, operating, and maintaining the facilities as one unit with costs prorated on the basis of the relative sizes of the respective areas which are being intensively developed. The Fish and Wildlife Service provides the equipment and administers the construction and related programs through a resident staff. The State Fish and Game Commission provides equipment operators, construction crews, and materials. Operations are planned jointly. The Service manages the refuge and administers the grazing. The Commission administers hunting on the section of the area opened to public shooting.

Valuable technical assistance and advice are being given by the Soil Conservation Service such as engineering, water and soil testing, determining suitable grasses to plant, etc. Other advice and assistance are being given by local stockmen who are participating in planning the livestock grazing program.

Progress in the development of the area has already been made and will continue as fast as funds will permit. Use of the area by wildlife and livestock has already started and will become increasingly greater as development progresses. When completely developed, and appropriate management and operation practices are being followed, lands and water which were once largely wasted will have been put to their highest capable use and another important stride will have been made in the conservation of our natural resources.
As discussed in the article, The San Diego Aqueduct by Regional Director J. P. Jones in the last issue of the Reclamation Era, the capacity of the San Diego Aqueduct has been increased two-fold for the importation of Colorado River water into San Diego County by the construction of the second barrel connection to the Colorado River Aqueduct of the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California.

The 72 mile long San Diego Aqueduct was designed as a twin-barreled conduit with each barrel of 89 c.f.s. capacity. The first barrel was completed in December 1947, with tunnels and several short sections of pipeline totaling about 14 percent of the length constructed to full capacity.

Following the transfer of the completed first barrel to the San Diego County Water Authority for operation and maintenance under the terms of the Authority-Navy Department repayment contract, the Board of Directors of the Authority, in order to safeguard the area from a water shortage in the event of a period of protracted drought, immediately started formulating plans to complete the aqueduct to full designed capacity. Their efforts were realized with the passage by the Congress of Public Law 171, 82d Congress, on October 11, 1951, authorizing the construction of the second barrel of the San Diego Aqueduct by the Secretary of the Navy, under the direction of the Secretary of Defense as explained in the previous article. Appropriations for the construction were made to the Department of the Navy. The authorizing legislation permitted the Navy Department to utilize the services of other federal agencies to carry out the provisions of the act which resulted in the Navy-Interior Department agreement whereby the Bureau of Reclamation designed and constructed the second barrel.

Following the start of the war in Korea in June 1950, Naval and defense establishments in the San Diego area created increased demands for water. A prevailing period of below normal precipitation and the deficiency of runoff into the local reservoirs resulted in the local storage water being depleted, although the first barrel had been operated substantially at maximum capacity since the aqueduct was placed in operation in November 1947. By April 1951, the water demand by the local agencies for deliveries from the aqueduct exceeded its capacity. To minimize the possible need for water rationing until the local reservoirs either were replenished or the second barrel constructed, the Citizens Water Conservation Committee of San Diego County was formed in April 1951 to sponsor a voluntary water conservation program.
To expedite action on the preparation of plans and specifications, personnel were detailed from Coachella, Calif., in November 1951, to initiate the preconstruction surveys. Bids for the construction of the Northern one-half of the aqueduct comprising three schedules were advertised in July 1952, and construction work on all seven schedules was under contract by December 1952.

A summary of the contracts follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specifications</th>
<th>Schedules</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Date of award</th>
<th>Date of completion</th>
<th>Contract earnings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC-3754</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R. V. Lloyd &amp; Co.</td>
<td>Sept. 8, 1952</td>
<td>Apr. 15, 1954</td>
<td>$2,566,737.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-3754</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Engineering Constructors, Inc.</td>
<td>Sept. 6, 1952</td>
<td>Sept. 15, 1953</td>
<td>2,027,399.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-3754</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Johnson Western Constructors</td>
<td>Sept. 8, 1952</td>
<td>Mar. 3, 1954</td>
<td>3,169,881.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-3807</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P. &amp; J. Artukovich Inc., and M. Miller Co</td>
<td>Oct. 30, 1952</td>
<td>Nov. 9, 1953</td>
<td>705,417.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-3822</td>
<td>1, 2, and 3</td>
<td>S. A. Healy Co</td>
<td>Dec. 15, 1952</td>
<td>Nov. 1, 1954</td>
<td>6,784,580.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>15,254,017.08</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued on Page 76

Fifty-four inch diameter concrete pipe in place across Slaughterhouse Canyon.

ESCONDIDO VALLEY Lands which receive Aqueduct water.

Transporting pipe (16-ft. length) down steep slope for placement in trench by crane.
by WESLEY K. LUNDGREEN, Soil and Moisture Conservation Engineer, Torrington, Wyoming

Dan V. McIlwain, retired drainage expert for the Pathfinder Irrigation District, is rapidly becoming a legend in the North Platte project. His accomplishments in the draining of seeped lands rival some of the accomplishments of power draglines operating in the same areas. Mr. McIlwain's feats while somewhat physical indicate the power of "mind over matter." Armed with only a spade, he tackled jobs which caused watchers to laugh.

The problems given to McIlwain dealt with drainage. Irrigation water, while a boon to the farmer, must be controlled or it becomes a hazard. Controlling one of these hazards was his job.

As McIlwain moved on to a job of draining a seeped farm, he didn't immediately use the spade which he carried. First, he made a study of the direction of flow of the seeping water and made a careful investigation of the entrance and escapes for the water. He talked to the farmers and learned a little of the history of the appearance of the seepage water. As he gained these facts, his tools increased and using these tools he solved the drainage problem. Not always did he use his spade to dig the drain which he knew was necessary. He often called for an excavator or some other power tool to dig the major ditch. But once this was accomplished, he went to work with his spade. He usually worked alone and while he worked he carefully observed the structural conditions he encountered. By this combination he tapped the underground water veins which were producing the surface seeps. As this water flowed to the larger drains, McIlwain assisted the stream to erode its own channel. By controlling this erosion, his drainage ditches were developed. Often times the ditches weren't directed toward the most obvious place in the eyes of laymen, but they tapped these underground water sources and the surface seeps dried up.

Several years ago farmers living in the Sheep Creek Area north of Henry, Nebr. were troubled...
with excess subsurface water. Taking their problem to the late T. W. Parry, who was then Manager of the Pathfinder Irrigation District, Dan McIlwain was assigned to the job. On each side of the Sheep Creek Drain, which lies below the Interstate Canal, 5 farms were losing between 10 and 40 acres of land to seepage. As usual, armed with his spade, he sought the solution. He found where the water was being trapped by a Brule bedrock barrier which was also a carrier for water where fractures occurred. As the first step in the seepage elimination, he asked the irrigation district to excavate the larger drainage ditch. After this was completed, McIlwain went to work with his spade. He worked all summer deepening and straightening the ditch and tapping underground water veins. One of the best escapes was developed from a Brule ridge. According to him, water in the Brule stratum travels in veins, but so slowly that its own pressure forces it upward toward the surface. From his preparatory studies he knew

where to tap this vein of water in the ridge in order to relieve this pressure. As the water drained away, the surface seepage dried up. These farmers were soon successfully farming over the seeped land.

Old meandering eroding drains became stabilized water courses under the hand of Dan McIlwain. Whenever a drain started to meander and undercut the banks by lateral movement, he would be directed to this drain. Beginning at the lower end, he would move upstream removing debris and cutting new straight channels. In cutting these new channels, he let the water do the bulk of the work. He would dig a small ditch across the place where he wanted the drain to flow. As the water started to flow in this new channel, he would aid the erosion until a large enough channel was eroded to handle all of the drainage flow. The old channel would then be plugged with debris. This one man operation prevented the necessity of much more extensive work with a dragline later on. By accomplishing tasks like this, he became known as the Human Dragline.

Dan McIlwain lives in Scottsbluff, Nebr., at the present time, enjoying the thoughts that he has lived a fruitful and beneficial life. He has always been associated with farming in all of his pursuits. From 1900 to 1906, he farmed near Fort Collins, Colo., in 1910, he worked for the United States Reclamation Service. From 1910 to 1917 he was a millwright for the Great Western Sugar Co. From 1917 to 1929 he farmed in the North Platte project north of Minatare, Nebr. From 1929 until August 1952 he was employed as a drainage expert for the Pathfinder Irrigation District.

Even his hobbies have been directed toward irrigation. He invented the canvas drop dam used so much by farmers everywhere. This adjustable drop dam was patented on March 25, 1913, but the patent was only good for a 17-year period, and he eventually lost it. The invention consisted of an adjustable lightweight contrivance made of canvas on a hinged wood and iron framework, by which a farmer could achieve an exact control of the water. McIlwain didn’t have enough capital to build this item in great quantity and in these days there wasn’t a very great demand for drop dams. He sold about 200 and then released the patent to anyone who wanted to make them. Since then, thousands of these drop dams have been made and used everywhere.

---

The RecLAMATION
Rapid development of the vast Columbia Basin project created many problems never before encountered. Among these was the construction of the essential farm service roads, without which the project could not even begin to function. Since the Bureau of Reclamation planned and laid out the farm units, it was agreed that it also would lay out, in conjunction with county and State officials, a practical system of roads to serve these units. Completion of this system of roads will assure access to every project farm. The most important roads, called county arterials, carry traffic from minor roads to State highways. Arterials, 26 feet wide, will be oil-surfaced when traffic volumes warrant the improvement. Most farms are within 2 miles of an arterial or State highway. Feeder roads, comprising 75 percent of the network mileage, connect farms to arterials or State highways.

The Bureau of Reclamation agreed to construct all necessary crossings at intersections of irrigation works with existing or projected county roads. The estimated cost of this participation is $7 million. Responsibility for development of the county road network was assumed by the project counties, but as the time for delivery of water drew near, no solution to the financing problem had been proposed.

Grant County, located at the north end of the project where first irrigation development would occur, was naturally forced into a position of leadership. Its financial ability, compared with
the urgent immediate requirements, was quite inadequate. Its existing road system totaled about 1,900 miles of largely unimproved dirt roads which satisfactorily served a dry land economy. However, the deluge of vehicles and construction machinery mobilized to construct the canal system caused rapid deterioration of the lightly-surfaced existing roads. Something had to be done quickly if the development was to go forward as scheduled.

It was estimated that $40 million worth of farm service roads would be required to serve the 1,029,000-acre project at ultimate development. The development schedule, calling for irrigation facilities for 500,000 acres by 1960, justified the expenditure of $20 million for additions to county road networks. How were these funds to be raised? No one could answer that all-important question. In fact, the responsibility for finding an answer had not been fixed. Time was running out; first water delivery was scheduled for 1952 crops.

Finally, in December 1949 a plan of action was evolved. This plan was developed by Neil R. McKay and Avery Loethspeich of the State Department of Highways; Paul Bickford of the Bureau of Reclamation; and myself. The plan was first presented to the Columbia Basin Commission at a public meeting at Ephrata in January 1950. At this meeting, the following plan of action was agreed upon, and steps were taken to put it into effect.

The plan was based on the major premise that the Columbia Basin counties would grow rapidly as a result of the irrigation development. It was believed that the counties could repay the estimated construction cost if amortization could be extended over a 25-year period. This method would permit repayment of the construction cost under existing levies and tax rates, without changing basic highway finance practice.

The first objective was to convince the State legislature that it was wise and proper to enact legislation authorizing use of the State motor vehicle fund as security for a bond issue which would be amortized by withholding from the Columbia Basin counties' share of the gasoline tax fund, but further providing that not more than 50 percent of any Columbia Basin county's share could be withheld for this purpose. Gasoline tax revenue is distributed to the counties under a factor system whereby a weight of 70 percent is allotted to trunk road mileage. Since about 90 percent of the county road network in the project area could qualify as trunk roads under the terms of the factor law, the new roads would readily generate the revenue to meet provisions of the bond retirement program. This situation could prevail regardless of where the money was borrowed: however, only the State legislature could insure continuation of this method of distribution over a 25-year period. Thus, salability of the bonds could be assured by a guaranteed amortization program.

The 1949 session of the legislature had established an interim committee to study the highway needs of the State of Washington and make a report presenting its conclusions and recommendations to the 1951 session. The plan was to work with this committee and at the same time carry the message to the people of the State through the media of the press, radio, and chambers of commerce of all of the principal cities. The author was selected to lead this program as Grant County road engineer. Full support was given by the Board of County Commissioners, the Department of Highways, and the Bureau of Reclamation. It was necessary for me to appear before the legislative interim committee and persuade its members that this was a pressing problem to be placed on the regular agenda for presentation to the people at a series of hearings to be held in major population centers throughout the State. At these meetings I appeared to present the problem, answer questions, and meet the opposition that arose, which in some localities was bitter. Between interim committee meetings, it was necessary to appear in all of the major cities
to present the problem to their chambers of commerce and enlist their aid and support.

Simultaneously, I was conducting a second program to make additional construction funds available. Annually, about $2 million is allocated to the State of Washington by congressional appropriation for Federal Aid to the State Secondary Highway System. This money is in turn reallocated by the State Department of Highways as follows: 50 percent to the counties for a County Federal Aid program to be divided in the same ratio as the gasoline tax apportionment. Annual allocations to the smaller counties were so small that it was difficult to comply with the regulations governing preparation of plans and contract administration. Consequently, about $1 million in unexpended funds had accumulated, but was still allocated to the various counties. In our desperate need for finances, we quite naturally had our eye on this tidy nest egg. Department of Highways officials assured us that we could have this money reallocated to the 3 Columbia Basin counties, provided we obtained consent of the other 36 counties. A neat trick, if we could do it.

I presented our situation graphically to each of the six county district organizations. Amazingly, this approach was successful, and the money was reallocated to the Columbia Basin counties. Now we had $1 million cornered, but matching funds had to be obtained before that money was available. THIS REALLY PUT THE PRESSURE ON THE BOND ISSUE PROGRAM.

After more than a year of intense campaigning by press, by radio, and by almost endless public speaking, the big test was at hand as the legis-
lature convened for the 1951 session. A bill combining $11,700,000 for the Columbia Basin project area with some $50 million bonds for three high-priority highway improvements elsewhere in the State was dropped into the hopper with the endorsement and support of the legislative interim committee. Thus, all parts of the State were equally interested in this revolutionary proposal, which involved a complete about-face for the State of Washington, which had for years proudly acclaimed its pay-as-you-go policy.

Again, I was assigned as a leader and promoter around whom the supporters of this measure could rally. I had been appointed as one of the technical advisors of the interim committee and had appeared before conferences, and roads and bridges committee meetings, as well as before joint and separate committee meetings of the house and senate supporting, defending, and promoting this measure. This issue proved a storm center during the entire session, and there probably will never be a harder fought legislative battle. However, at the last possible moment, it was finally passed. In final form, this bill provided $62,500,000 for the State and $5 million for the Columbia Basin project counties. Thus, we set forth bravely at mid-year 1951 armed with about $6 million to do a $12 million job.

Highway engineers were not to be found in this area, as the Department of Highways and the Bureau of Reclamation had long before exhausted the supply. Young men, upon graduation from college, were snapped up immediately by the armed services. There was only one course open—train our own crews. This, we set out to do, with our work already one full year behind schedule. Our plan was to make the $6 million go just as far as possible making such good use of these funds that upon their exhaustion new money would be provided. This meant that not only were we engaging in a race against time, but also every action had to be carefully weighed as to its effect on future financial support. These elements, when combined with the necessity of dealing with the public, the State, the United States Bureau of Public Roads, the Bureau of Reclamation, the railway companies, and the Public Service Commission, added to our woes.

We were able to let the first contracts early in the fall of 1951. By the fall of 1953, a total of 300 miles had been constructed. At this point we were even with the irrigation program and had plans to forge 6 months ahead in 1954 and 1 year ahead in 1955, which position we expect to maintain until the first 600,000 acres come under water.

Adams and Franklin County lands first received irrigation water by gravity in 1953. By the summer of 1954, Adams County had completed all of its road network to serve lands irrigated in 1953 and 1954. Franklin County has completed all of the road network to serve lands for which water was available in 1953 and 1954, although Grant County has done the lion's share of the work.

The 1955 State legislature approved a second bond issue of $4,300,000 for construction of county roads in the project area. With these funds we expect to complete the more than 1,200 miles of county roads necessary to serve the first 600,000 acres to be placed under irrigation. During 1955, the 3 counties will complete 249 miles of road.

On this project, it has been demonstrated that local governmental agencies can and will put their shoulders to the wheel and work hand in hand with the Federal Government in the development of this great land of ours.

COUNTY ROADS FOR FARM UNITS CHANGED FROM SAGEBRUSH TO CROPLAND IN 15 MONTHS.

BEFORE

AFTER

THE RECLAMATION ERA
Is it a sound investment to seed and establish good stands of grass on ditchbanks and other areas that are disturbed during construction of an irrigation system? Will grasses provide sufficient competition to keep undesirable and troublesome weeds from invading the banks of canals, laterals and drains?

We think these questions have been partially answered in the Kansas River projects area. The results obtained from seeding grasses on ditchbanks and other disturbed areas in the past few years, are considered permanent improvements to the irrigation systems. Thus, we are convinced that this is one sure way of CUTTING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS.

The Kansas River Projects Area with headquarters at McCook, Nebr., is located in the Missouri River Basin and includes the entire Kansas River drainage area. The first irrigation development in the Projects Area has been in the Frenchman-Cambridge and Bostwick Irrigation Districts which include lands in the Republican River Valley from Trenton, Nebr., to Concordia, Kans. The valley is narrow in most places and it has been necessary to construct many miles of canals, laterals and protective drainage works, to service the irrigated land. Since the area is one where rainfall is sufficient in most years to promote a heavy growth of weeds on disturbed areas, we were interested in finding some desirable plant that would provide sufficient competition to suppress and prohibit weed growth on ditchbanks. Since we have two main types of vegetation in the area, broadleaf plants, and grasses, we chose a mixture of grasses that were adapted to climatic conditions and which we believed would offer sufficient competition to exclude undesirable weed growth. In choosing grasses for competitive ditchbank cover we were sure that the results would be encouraging since the native vegetation of the entire area is a mixture of grasses. Therefore, in choosing grasses for a protective cover on earth work, we were cooperating with "Mother Nature" rather than being in conflict with her.

Careful study was made of all native and introduced grasses to determine which would be best suited to local conditions. Climatic and soil conditions were the two main factors considered in choosing grasses to revegetate areas that have been denuded of natural vegetation. The climate within the projects area varies from semiarid in the western half with an average annual precipitation of 19 inches to subhumid in the eastern half with an average annual precipitation of 27 inches. Soils vary in texture from loamy sands to clay loams, the majority being sandy loams and silt loam. Also, soils were generally classified as neutral to slightly alkaline and of such structure and tilth as to encourage a luxuriant growth of grass.

The grass mixture chosen for seeding ditchbanks included introduced cool-season grasses such as Bromegrass, Intermediate Wheatgrass, Crested Wheatgrass and a small amount of Tall Wheatgrass. With these introduced grasses we added one of the native cool-season grasses, West-
ern Wheatgrass. In the immediate area of dams and borrow areas and on retired cultivated lands in reservoir areas, native grass mixtures have been used which include Little Bluestem, Big Bluestem, Switchgrass, Sand Dropseed, Side-Oats Grama, Blue Grama, Buffalograss and Western Wheatgrass.

We chose the cool-season introduced grasses to seed ditchbanks and drainage ditches because they are more easily established and produce faster growth than the native grasses. The grasses selected are fairly drought resistant in this area since they are dormant during the hot dry summer months and produce growth only during the cool moist periods of spring and fall.

In the Frenchman-Cambridge Division the most successful mixture of grasses has been Lincoln Bromegrass, Crested Wheatgrass and Western Wheatgrass. These grasses have been seeded at the rate of 6 pounds per acre of pure live seed of each species. All seeding rates for grasses are based upon pure live seed which is determined by using the following formula:

\[
\text{Pure live seed} = \frac{\text{Percent of purity} + \text{percent of germination}}{100}
\]

The most successful grass mixture in the Bosstdwick Division consisted of Lincoln Bromegrass, Intermediate Wheatgrass, and Western Wheatgrass. These were seeded at the rate of 6 pounds of pure live seed of each species.

Most of the grasses have been seeded, under contract, by means of a grass drill. We have found that planting seed with a properly equipped drill results in better and more uniform stands. In areas where it was impossible to seed with machinery, successful stands of grass have been obtained by broadcasting seed on snow during the winter months. Higher seeding rates were required when this method of seeding was used.

The above mixtures are the main ones used. However, under special soil or topographic conditions we used variations of these mixtures and sometimes used a cover crop, such as oats, barley, or rye to protect the grass seedlings.

Most grass seedings were accomplished immediately after construction of the canal, lateral, or drain. If grass is seeded on newly constructed ditchbanks before the slopes become eroded and covered with heavy weed growth, large amounts of money and many man and equipment hours are saved by not having to smooth and prepare the seedbed prior to seeding.

The irrigation systems that we have seeded to grass are relatively new and were not operated prior to the initial seeding of grass on the ditchbanks. Therefore, we do not have comparative costs of operation and maintenance before grass was seeded and after it was seeded. We have, however, found the following beneficial uses of grass that has been planted on ditchbanks which definitely have reduced operation and maintenance costs and insured the systems against costly breaks, erosion, and delays in delivery of water during critical periods.

a. Small lateral banks have been stabilized with grass and in cases of emergency water can be spilled over the banks without damaging them.

b. Large amounts of rip-rap and many drop structures have been eliminated in large drains by establishing grass on the side slopes and bottoms. We have found that it is much cheaper to establish grass in drains than to control weeds and prevent erosion by use of structures.

c. Grass established on the tops and slopes of canal banks has stabilized the banks from erosion and has eliminated the costly weed problem. As soon as the grass is firmly established on the banks, which usually requires 3 years, weed spraying can be practically discontinued. Weed spraying operations now cost approximately $60 per mile, therefore, established stands of grass are directly saving $60 per mile per year.

d. Grass has been established on denuded areas around storage and diversion dams. Grass in these areas eliminates costly weed and erosion problems and greatly improves the appearance of these structures.

e. Established stands of grass on ditchbanks and other rights-of-way reduces the spread of weed seed to adjacent farms. This fact is highly appreciated by farmers in the irrigated area since removal of weeds from their growing crops is a costly operation to them.

In our experience grass seeded on newly constructed or old ditchbanks definitely improves an irrigation system and reduces operation and maintenance costs. Rodents which burrow into ditchbanks are reduced or practically eliminated after grasses are established. All of these desirable and beneficial uses of grass have been demonstrated in the Projects Area. We heartily recommend that grass seeding be made a part of any irrigation system where soil and climatic conditions will permit growing grass.

# # #
Five committees, made up of 27 members of the National Reclamation Association, met in Denver, 1 each day starting May 12, to discuss western irrigation problems and make recommendations with a view to solving them.

In addition to the committee members, N. R. A. President C. Petrus Peterson, and Secretary-Manager William Welsh were also in attendance.

The committees and their respective memberships were as follows: Agricultural Research Committee—George L. Henderson, Chairman, Dean W. V. Lambert, Wayne Akin, George D. Clyde, Arthur Svendby, and LaSalle Coles; Water Users Committee—LaSalle Coles, Chairman, A. A. Meredith, Charles R. Neill, O. A. Bergeson, and R. J. McMullin; Cost Study Committee—Marvin Nichols, Chairman, M. J. Dowd, Lynn Crandall, J. M. Dille, and George Johnson; Power Pumping Rate Study Committee—A. J. Shaver, Chairman, Earl Lloyd, Fred E. Buck, and T. Clark Callister; Repayment Study Committee—Dean H. T. Person, Chairman, Harold Christy, Irving Pfoffenberger, representing A. D. Edmonston, Marvin Nichols, LaSalle Coles, Raymond F. Lund, and Arthur Svendby.

Floyd E. Dominy, Chief, Irrigation Division, Bureau of Reclamation, represented the Department of the Interior and served as an advisor to the several committees.

The meetings were considered highly successful by all concerned, and it is hoped that some very effective results will be realized.
Fire, the great destroyer, is now used to save precious irrigation water. Recent developments in liquid gas fuels have stimulated the use of burning as a means of controlling weeds on irrigation systems.

Weeds on irrigation distribution systems obstruct the normal flow of water, increase operation and maintenance expenses, and consume valuable water. If they are allowed to produce seed and scatter to farms they rob the lands of their greatest assets, water and plant food, and increase cultivation and tillage expense.

Therefore, weeds, including Johnson and similar grasses, on canals and laterals must be reduced to a minimum and their production of seed must be prevented. Chemicals and mowing have been employed successfully to control weeds on farm and Irrigation District ditches but due to the possibility of damage to susceptible farm crops chemical control may have some disadvantages in certain areas. Burning, which has been greatly improved by new fuels and equipment, is gaining in importance on many southwestern irrigated projects where cotton and other susceptible crops are grown.

The practice of weed burning dates almost to the beginning of the petroleum industry, but during the past few years improvements have made the practice of burning young green weeds more successful. Not many years ago, fuels such as distillate and kerosene were used almost entirely. While these are high in heat values mobile weed burners are often inefficient and uneconomical because of combustion problems. With these fuels, it is necessary to artificially produce fuel pressure. In some cases compressed air is used to improve combustion efficiently but this has not proved as effective as is desired.

Controlling green weeds by burning is more practical since liquid gas, butane and propane, have been developed. These petroleum compounds are liquids under pressure but become a gas when released. The boiling point of liquid gas is low and at normal temperatures during the
weed burning season it will maintain adequate pressure in the tank to produce a most efficient penetrating flame. Liquid gas in New Mexico, Texas, and Oklahoma is readily available, is economical, and is considered to be a safe fuel for weed burning when proper precautions are taken.

On ditches heavily infested with weeds, two burnings are ordinarily recommended. The first burning is accomplished with the equipment moving at a rate of about 3 miles per hour which usually results in an effective kill even though there is little immediate change in plant appearance. The second burning, made about a week to ten days later, consumes the old dead tops and retards any regrowth or new plants. When burning dry weeds the rig moves about 5 or 6 miles per hour. By burning and reburning when green plants reach a height of 6-8 inches during the growing season, weedy grasses or other undesirable vegetation on the inside slopes of ditches, have been successfully controlled.

The average cost for burning green weeds on the Carlsbad project, N. Mex., for the 1954 season was $8.78 per mile for burning on small ditches. The cost for maintaining 35 miles of laterals on the Balmorhea project, Texas completely free of Johnson grass growth was $7.80 per mile for burning. The use of liquid gas weed burners has been employed on the Rio Grande project, Texas-New Mexico for about 6 years with the results that winter clean-up and ditch cleaning operations have been reduced to a minimum. It also has made ditch banks more accessible for inspections which has reduced the number of serious ditch breaks.

The introduction of liquid gas weed burners, along with maneuverable counterbalanced or hydraulically controlled burner booms, has materially increased the efficiency of the burning method for controlling weeds. Other projects, where liquid gas can be obtained readily and economically may find this fuel, and the simple equipment required for its use, to be of advantage to them.
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yields of 85-100 bushels per acre are a reality. In short, the Malms can predict each year with a high degree of accuracy the production from their irrigated acreage. They can plan their other farm production and livestock production enterprises on a stable plane.

“Yes,” Mr. Malm states, “the irrigated acreage does stabilize our farming program. In fact, it’s unfortunate but we’ll have to use the income from our irrigated acreage to pay the production costs on some of the nonirrigated crops. An example of this is 50 acres of nonirrigated milo which I planted. The total income from these 50 acres was $40 and I paid $500 cash rent for the land plus all of the other production costs.”

Is irrigation profitable? Mr. Malm answers this question by saying, “The 4-year average net income per acre from the irrigated acreage is $70.50 per acre. This net income has been realized in spite of the high production costs that are a part of irrigated farming. In order to realize these profits, it has been necessary to plant crops thick, use fertilizer very liberally, and irrigate before the crop begins to suffer for moisture.”

A very short rotation is followed on the farm in an effort to maintain the soil in a relatively high state of fertility. In spite of this, it is necessary to use commercial fertilizer liberally. Nitrogen has been applied to the corn in amounts up to 150 pounds per acre.

The need for commercial fertilizer is determined by interpreting the soil fertility analysis each year, upon the past cropping history for the field, and upon some knowledge of the needs of the particular crop being planted.

The past 4 years of work with this program has reassured us in Kansas that this cooperative local farmer-owner development farm approach is a highly satisfactory method for demonstrating crop responses as well as on the farm cultural and management practices which are a requisite to profitable irrigation farming.

PORTER W. DENT DEAD

Porter W. Dent, who served in various capacities with the Bureau of Reclamation, including District Counsel, Assistant to the Commissioner, Assistant Commissioner and Chief Counsel, from 1910 to 1934, died of a heart attack in St. Petersburg, Fla., on May 31.
About three-fourths of the contract cost of the second barrel was for supplying and delivery of pipe to the job-site. However, the total of all contractors payroll was about 694,000 man-hours on the job-site.

Water in the San Diego Aqueduct flows by gravity from the intake at elevation 1,500 to the city of San Diego's San Vicente Reservoir at elevation 760. Diversions are made at turnout structures located along the aqueduct. The second barrel was constructed of precast concrete pipe, except at the cross of the San Luis Rey River where steel pipe was used to accommodate the 900 foot hydrostatic head. Noncylinder type concrete pipe was used for hydrostatic heads up to 100 feet and cylinder type concrete pipe was used for heads from 100 feet to a maximum of 650 feet. The distance between centerlines of the 2 barrels varies from 15 to 75 feet. The aqueduct was constructed over flat to rolling lands in the northern section to rough and rugged terrain in the southern part and consists of a series of inverted siphons, the longest of which is 12½ miles in length. To fit the hydraulic gradient for the full length of the line to the limitations imposed by the available head and topography, precast concrete pipe was utilized totaling 48,036 feet of 75-inch, 77,588 feet of 60-inch, 29,230 feet of 54-inch, and 160,478 feet of 48-inch and 6,874 feet of 58-inch steel pipe for the San Luis Rey River crossing.

Before a completed section of pipeline was accepted from the contractor, hydrostatic tests to determine the leakage losses were required. The excellent workmanship performed by all contractors and pipe suppliers is demonstrated by the actual loss for the entire length of pipeline averaging about 17 percent of the maximum allowable loss permitted by the specifications.

Because of the current dry period, together with increased water demands, there has been excessive overdraft of local underground and surface reservoirs in the San Diego area with the resultant decrease in the safe water yields of the local sources. This situation has greatly emphasized the dependency of the area upon the importation of water. For example, Colorado River water has supplied about 60 percent of the water consumed by all member agencies of the San Diego County Water authority during the period of July 1, 1948, to June 30, 1954. To increase the supply available from the aqueduct, the completed northern half of the second barrel was placed in operation on April 6, 1954, and the southern half on October 2, 1954. On March 15, 1955, reservoirs available to member agencies for storage of local supplies, with total capacity of 696,000 acre-feet were only 16 percent full.

The San Diego County Water Authority as a member agency of the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, participates in the importation of Colorado River water through the Colorado River Aqueduct into the Southern California Coastal Area. Deliveries of Colorado River water into San Diego County through the San Diego Aqueduct are distributed by the Authority to its member agencies. The constituent area of the Authority includes 8 cities, 5 irrigation districts, 5 municipal water districts, and 3 public utility districts. The following tabulation summarizes the population and assessed valuation of the Authority in comparison with San Diego County and shows that although the Authority includes only about 11 percent of the area in the county, it serves about 93 percent of the population and includes about 88 percent of the assessed valuation of the county.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Authority constituents</th>
<th>San Diego county</th>
<th>Percent authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area acres</td>
<td>294,189</td>
<td>2,725,100</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>670,800</td>
<td>729,600</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessed valuation</td>
<td>$736,636,494</td>
<td>$832,232,380</td>
<td>88.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The city of San Diego, the largest member of the County Water Authority, has a population of about 452,000 and an assessed valuation of $507,527,340 covering an area of 76,288 acres.

In addition to supplementing the domestic supply for a population of nearly 680,000 water from the aqueduct serves the industrial requirements and the needs of military installations including the 11th Naval District at San Diego, and supplements the municipal supply for the eight cities within the Authority. Most of the citrus and avocados grown in San Diego County are produced from lands now entitled to receive a supplemental
water supply from the aqueduct. During the 1954 calendar year the San Diego County income from citrus products was valued at $8,517,000 and avocados at $7,044,000.

The repayment to the United States of the true cost of the second barrel was incorporated in a supplemental agreement to the repayment contract for the first barrel. Under the Navy Department-Water Authority contract, the Authority agreed to repay the United States the true cost of the second barrel over a period of 40 years with interest at the rate of 2.599 percent. On February 28, 1955, the repayment contract for the second barrel was effected by declaration of completion of construction and transfer of operation and maintenance of the completed aqueduct to the Water Authority.

Completion of the San Diego Aqueduct to designed capacity by the construction of the second barrel marks another step in the area's effort to meet the continually growing water needs.

### How to Determine the Number of Plants or Shrubs in an Acre

To estimate the number of plants required for an acre, at any given distance, multiply the distance between the rows by the distance between plants, which will give the number of square feet allotted to each plant, and divide the number of square feet in an acre (43,560) by this number. The quotient will be the approximate number of plants required, as shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of shrubs or plants for an acre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance apart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 by 1 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 by 2 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 by 3 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 by 4 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 by 1 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 by 2 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 by 3 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 by 4 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 by 1 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 by 2 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 by 3 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 by 4 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 by 1 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 by 2 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 by 3 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 by 4 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 by 5 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 by 6 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 by 1 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 by 2 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 by 3 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 by 4 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 by 5 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 by 1 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 by 2 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 by 3 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 by 4 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 by 5 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 by 6 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 by 1 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 by 2 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 by 3 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 by 4 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 by 5 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 by 6 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 by 7 inches</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Editor's Column

The following facts have been gleaned from the United States Department of Agriculture's statistical summary, dated May 17. We hope that you find them helpful.

**Crop Progress Nearly Normal**

Crop operations gained pace during April and in early May approached normal status over much of the country. Good soil moisture for germination and growth of corn and soybeans, also good prospects for fall-sown grains, spring grains, and forage crops are general in north-central and north-eastern areas. Prospects are less encouraging in some southern sections, where March freezes set back crops, and remain discouraging in parts of the southern Great Plains, where chronic drought persists. Crop growth in Pacific Coast States, as well as much of the West, was delayed by cool April weather.

Irrigation water supplies improved in some northern areas of the West, but remain below average in most southwestern areas.

**Big Rye Crop**

The 1955 rye crop of 29.3 million bushels is the largest since 1942 and nearly one-fourth larger than the 1954 crop. About 43 percent of the acreage seeded to rye is expected to be harvested for grain—about the same proportion as last year.

**Hay Condition Equals Average**

Hay crops prospered in April from favorable soil moisture in most northern and northeastern parts of the county, where prospects surpass those of a year ago. But growth in Western States was delayed by cool weather, and in parts of Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas early alfalfa growth reflects some March freeze damage. The May 1 condition of 85, which equals average, points to a larger than average 1955 total hay tonnage from the large prospective acreage. A record proportion of alfalfa is expected out of a total hay crop of at least 105 million tons. Pasture condition of 79 percent on May 1 was one point below a year ago and 3 points below average.

**More Cattle on Feed**

Cattle and calves on feed for market in the 14 major feeding States on April 1 totaled 4,547,000 head—about 12 percent more than a year earlier. The April 1 number on feed is 11 percent below the January 1, 1955, level. In the 3 Corn Belt States—Illinois, Iowa, and Nebraska—where comparable data for 1954 are available, 10 percent more cattle were placed on feed during the first quarter than during the first quarter of 1954, while marketing of feed cattle were 2 percent lower. Shipments of stocker and feeder cattle into the Corn Belt States during the January-March period were 11 percent larger than for the same period a year earlier.
Welcome Back Irrigation Farmer

Dear Sirs: I want to congratulate you on the excellent job you are doing with the ERA. I first came in contact with the magazine when working for the Bureau in Boulder City, Nev., in 1947 and have followed it quite closely ever since. Keep up the good work.

Publication of the Irrigation Farmer, which has not been published since 1949, is being resumed in July. The magazine will go free to irrigators, farmers who plan to irrigate within 1 year, and irrigation farm owners.

I would like to reprint an article from the ERA in one of our first issues. It is the story “Streamlined Pipe Moving” in the May issue. I would like to know your policy on this and if I could have Mr. Sanders’ address so pictures may be obtained.

Very truly yours,
(Sgd.) Don Flory,
Irrigation Farmer,
P. O. Box 344, Holdrege, Nebr.

(Permission gladly granted.—Ed.)

Good Question!

Dear Sirs: I wonder if you realize the suspense you created in February issue of RECLAMATION ERA in the article, “More Dry Years Ahead,” page 4 bottom of column 1 we have the sentence ending: “... * * * but it is likely that 1954 will prove to be the minimum sun spot year.” That’s what really interests me very much. Well, was it?

Best wishes,
Thure Rosene,
3956 East Elliott,
Detroit 7, Mich.

This was the observation of Mr. I. R. Tannehill, an informed scientist in weather forecasting at the time he made it, “More Dry Years Ahead.” As is the case in all forecasts, whether it’s with the weather or some other topic, only time will bear out their accuracy or inaccuracy.—Ed.

Frog Raising?

Dear Sirs: Your excellent publication, The RECLAMATION ERA, is always interesting to us, as being very close to our own efforts and work.

Your article, “Like To Be a Frog Farmer?”, appearing in your issue of June 1953, has been before us for some time as an item of evidence in a widespread inquiry this Bureau instituted. Having been many times asked whether artificial frog farming (the kind your article describes) can be made to pay, our Director, Dr. Gustave Prevost, made inquiry of biologists and others in all American states and Canadian provinces whether they had data to show that it could succeed. Practically every one of the many answers said distinctly “No.” Not one said “Yes.”

Your article spoiled this virtual unanimity, so the present writer consulted the California Department of Fish and Game. The Senior Fisheries Biologist, Dr. Leo Shapovalov, informed us that the business of which the article treats has since failed to make any previous statement to our Director: “I now know, commercial frog farming has not been generally successful in California.”

If you have any evidence that frog farming can succeed, you might like to let us know so that we can give the right information to our people interested in this farming.

Yours very truly,
(Sgd.) Richard L. Seguin,
Biologist, Quebec Biological Bureau, D'c54, University of
Montréal, P. O. Box 6128,
Montreal 2, P. Q.

Early in 1954 when Mr. Ondriek (featured in the article “Like To Be a Frog Farmer?”) was ready to market his first crop of frogs thieves stole most of those that were of marketable size. It is known that he lost as many as 200 marketable frogs in one night. He had been able to overcome all obstacles in raising his frogs to marketable size but could not devise an inexpensive and certain method of preventing loss of mature frogs through thievery. As a result of this turn of events he converted his ponds to the production of bait minnows.

Mr. Ondriek is convinced that frogs can be raised successfully as a commercial enterprise. He is also convinced that frogs can be raised in artificial ponds that can be raised in the natural state. However, when asked which he would rather raise, frogs or minnows, he said minnows because they require much less work. It required about 3/4 hour a day to feed the frogs whereas only 1/2 hour per day is needed to feed the minnows.—Ed.

R & B Pays Dividends

Dear Sirs: We would like your permission to reproduce the article “Rehabilitation and Betterment Pays Dividends” by R. J. McMillin which appeared on pages 20 to 22 in the February 1955 issue of the RECLAMATION ERA. The reprints will show—Reprinted by the Portland Cement Association from the RECLAMATION ERA February 1955.

I trust you will be able to give us this permission.

Yours very truly,
(Sgd.) E. C. Wenzer,
Manager, Conservation Bureau,
Portland Cement Association,
Chicago 10, Ill.

As always, we were glad to grant permission.—Ed.

Thank You, Lieutenant!

Dear Sirs: Reference is made to your note in the May issue of the RECLAMATION ERA concerning copies of back issues for “Get Acquainted” purposes. I should like to nominate Mr. Charles C. Reckner, Naval Station, % PM Seattle, Washington, as one to be mailed some back issues, since I have personal knowledge of his deep interest in this and allied fields.

After reviewing my first issue of this publication, it is my belief that I will be on your (subscription) list for a long time, and therefore, I too would appreciate any back issues.

Taking this opportunity to thank you, I am.

Sincerely yours,
(Sgd.) J. H. Bradberry,
Lt. J. H. Bradberry, MSC USN,
Naval Station Navy #127
(Box 2), % P. M., Seattle, Wash.

Streamlined Pipe Moving

Dear Sirs: The May 1955 issue of the RECLAMATION ERA had an article entitled “Streamlined Pipe Moving.”

We will appreciate receiving the address of Mr. Sanders, the designer of the pipe mover described in this article. Very truly yours,
(Sgd.) S. X. Kaplan,
Midwest Irrigation Co., 2700 Hawkeye Drive, Sioux City, Ia.

As we have had a number of similar inquiries, the inventor, Mr. Ralph M. Sanders, can be reached at 615 Park Place, Pittsburgh, 9, Pa.—Ed.

Books

Floods

by William G. Hoyt and Walter B. Langbein

This is a comprehensive report on one of the most destructive phenomena in American life—the flood.

The authors, leading scientists and members for many years of the United States Geological Survey, analyze the ways in which floods start and gather momentum, the damage they inflict, and what man can do to adapt to them, prevent them, and protect himself against them. They outline the judicial and legislative framework involved and suggest certain important changes. They describe the problems, projects, and plans in every major basin in the United States, and present a history of our floods since 1843, by years and by streams. Fifty-one drawings and 31 photographs supplement the highly readable text.

Legislators, city planners, insurance executives, bankers, Red Cross workers, and others concerned with the hazards of the 10 million people who live on the flood plains of the United States—not to mention those 10 million people themselves—will find this book of particular interest. Hydrologists, conservationists, engineers, and other professional readers will welcome its readable text.

The RECLAMATION ERA
Weather

Continued from Page 58

high-level tropical cold low-pressure regions follows increases in the amount of ultra-violet or X-rays being received from the sun. The layman, familiar with the weather charts in his daily paper, knows that low pressure and a change for the worse in weather go hand in hand. Using this relationship, Palmer has successfully made predictions about the direction and strength of Pacific winds at high levels. Again, you may have read that when Pacific airliner flights can take advantage of these winds, hours are cut off and stops eliminated on the Tokyo-Honolulu run. Airlines in general use the “jet stream” winds today whenever possible for a “free” assist; the ability to predict their intensity and direction could greatly improve high-altitude, long distance flight.

Such evidence tends to confirm a theory developed some years ago by Dr. H. C. Willett of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Dr. Willett has developed statistics on large-scale atmospheric air movements that seem to show that all changes of weather and climate, from day-to-day variations to those of geologic-period time-scale, must have the same origin.

Dr. Willett further concludes that no other cause but solar change seems adequate to explain all of the phenomena of climatic change. Admittedly, weather changes can be triggered by changes in ocean currents, by alterations in the burden of volcanic dust carried by the atmosphere, by changes in mountains and seas, even by the atmospheric contamination produced by man himself. But none of these are adequate to explain the sudden and worldwide alterations of weather patterns that bring us our largest and most important changes of wind, temperature, and rainfall.

Dr. Willett’s theory is that the normal state of the weather, when neither particles nor ultra-violet pulses of energy from the sun disturb it, is a stable state in which storm tracks recede from the temperate zones and move in eastward courses that lie closer to the north and south poles.

Bursts of ultra-violet or corpuscular emission from the sun, one can easily imagine, might disturb this steady circulation scheme, and that is just what Willett suggests. But the effects of the two kinds of emanations would be different. Corpuscles would be funneled into the polar regions of the earth by the earth’s magnetic field. The ultraviolet effects, on the other hand, would be maximum in the tropics, where the sun is most nearly overhead.

By knowing where and when such emissions occur, scientists may some day be able to predict in some detail the disrupting effects on world weather patterns. There are some indications, if this kind of reasoning is correct, that the earth’s weather is in fact a marked “overheating of world weather,” with colder, stormier weather over most of the United States shortly after the coming solar activity maximum. This we can now pinpoint for about 1957 or 1958.

In 1942 the High Altitude Observatory began making regular observations of the corona, the faint atmosphere of gasses that surrounds the sun. During the course of these observations it was discovered that changes in the brightness of green light in the corona, was related to short wave radio communication on earth. Daily observations of this green coronal light are today an integral part of techniques for accurately predicting short wave radio communication conditions several months in advance. We have also assisted in developing equipment and techniques now in use at Sacramento Peak, N. Mex., for observing and reporting, on a telegraphic basis, sudden explosions on the sun’s surface, called flares, which in a matter of minutes can cause serious or complete disruption of short wave, long-range radio communication.

This precedent for predictable short-to-long term relationships of solar changes to terrestrial events is another reason why the hope that atmosphere scientists may be able to predict in some detail the weather has so often been such a disappointing one.

I am very hopeful that meteorologists and solar astronomers, working together, will develop at the very least, predictable relationships between the sun and weather for long-term, drouth-type periods. At the best, they will add to this information of value in predicting weather.

Either way, your life and mine will be drastically altered, and scientific research will have alleviated one more of those uncertainties that make life so interesting—but unfortunately, often so uncomfortable.
**MAJOR RECENT CONTRACT AWARDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Project Location</th>
<th>Award date</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
<th>Contractor’s name and address</th>
<th>Contract amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC–4307</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>Apr. 7</td>
<td>Construction of Hanover pumping plants Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4, and canals and laterals.</td>
<td>Commercial Builders Inc., Moscow, Idaho.</td>
<td>$311,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC–4307</td>
<td>(Schedule 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Construction of Bluff pumping plants Nos. 1 and 2 and Intake structures.</td>
<td>Eagle Construction Corp., Boise, Idaho.</td>
<td>$311,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC–4338</td>
<td>Yakima, Wash.</td>
<td>Mar. 5</td>
<td>Completion of Prosser Diversion Dam and enlargement for 130.3 miles of Big Bend-Huron-Watertown</td>
<td>Western Electrical Constr., Portland, Oreg.</td>
<td>313,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC–4367</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Nebr.-Kans.</td>
<td>Apr. 15</td>
<td>Completion of earthwork and structures for Courtland Canal, station 174-0+30 to 1839-19 and</td>
<td>Ace Construction Co., Omaha, Nebr.</td>
<td>296,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC–4384</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Corning Canal, station 301+75 to 624+00, Sacramento</td>
<td>J. H. Trisdale Inc., Redding, Calif.</td>
<td>674,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC–4359</td>
<td>Yakima, Wash.</td>
<td>May 6</td>
<td>Alterations for Prosser Diversion Dam and enlargement of Chandler Canal, station 1+60+17 to 128+90.</td>
<td>Paul Jarvis Inc., Wenatchee, Wash.</td>
<td>420,133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC–4387</td>
<td>Cachuma, Calif.</td>
<td>Mar. 3</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, steel pipe lines, pumping plant, 50,000-gallon elevated steel water</td>
<td>J. E. Young Pipe Line Constr., Los Angeles, Calif.</td>
<td>74,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC–4370</td>
<td>Carlsbad, N. Mex.</td>
<td>May 10</td>
<td>Stringing conductors and overhead ground wires for 130.3 miles of Big Bend-Huron-Watertown 250-kilovolt line.</td>
<td>List and Clark Constr., Co., Kansas City, Mo.</td>
<td>588,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC–4374</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, 8. Dak.</td>
<td>May 3</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, canal lining, and structures for Main Canal, station 1325+00 to end, and</td>
<td>Hallett Constr. Co., Crosby, Minn.</td>
<td>1,177,947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC–4375</td>
<td>Yakima, Wash.</td>
<td>Mar. 24</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, canal lining, and structures for Main Canal, station 1+00 to 53+99.</td>
<td>Murphy Bros., Inc., Spokane, Wash.</td>
<td>319,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC–4378</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Nebr.</td>
<td>May 12</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Sargent Canal, station 595+00 to 1109+78+63; and Sand</td>
<td>Ace Constr. Co., Omaha, Nebr.</td>
<td>476,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC–4394</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash.</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, lateral line, and structures for east part of block 89, laterals,</td>
<td>Henry C. Werner and Tauf Charmeski, Eugene, Oreg.</td>
<td>1,211,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100C–212</td>
<td>Palisades, Idaho</td>
<td>Apr. 6</td>
<td>Clearing 10,936 acres of Palisades reservoir site, part II.</td>
<td>Curtis-Rhodes-Austin Co., Boise, Idaho.</td>
<td>158,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100C–215</td>
<td>Minidoks, Idaho</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Thirty-three deep well-pumping units for Group 4 wells.</td>
<td>Leye and Bowler Inc., Memphis, Tenn.</td>
<td>243,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200C–260</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Apr. 5</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, laterals line, and structures for Block 89, laterals, sublaterals,</td>
<td>Johnson, Drake and Piper Inc., Oakland, Calif.</td>
<td>457,502</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WORK CURRENTLY SCHEDULED THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1955**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Location</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
<th>Project Location</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boise, Idaho</td>
<td>Rehabilitating Black Canyon Dam will include preparing existing concrete surfaces to receive new concrete, placing anchor bars, installing drainage conduit.</td>
<td>Colorado Springs, Colo.</td>
<td>Rehabilitating and enlarging about 23.8 miles of the South Platte Supply Canal, near Erie and Ft. Lupton, replacing 3 existing and adding a new three-phase transformer at Green Mountain Power Plant, including constructing foundations, furnishing and erecting structural steel for modification of the existing structure, and installing electrical equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buford-Trenton, N. Dak.</td>
<td>Modifying a lateral wasteway to collect surface water runoff, constructing a terminal wasteway with a regulating chamber, rehabilitating two county road bridges, and placing riprap around two existing erosion control structures. Near Williston.</td>
<td>Republic, Wash.</td>
<td>Constructing about 25 miles of concrete-lined laterals with bottom widths of from 3 to 5 feet and 9 miles of waste and drains with bottom widths of from 8 to 10 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Constructing about 8 miles of earth canal, partly earth lined, with bottom widths of 16 to 10 feet, including culverts, bridges, monolith concrete pipe siphons, drainage inlets, and checks.</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash.</td>
<td>Rechanneling and widening about 23.6 miles of the South Platte Supply Canal, near Erie and Ft. Lupton, replacing 3 existing and adding a new three-phase transformer at Green Mountain Power Plant, including constructing foundations, furnishing and erecting structural steel for modification of the existing structure, and installing electrical equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>Constructing trashrock structure, reinforced concrete channel, fish deflector, bypass pipe, and fish handling structure and equipment including pumps and piping. Delta-Mendota Canal, about 7 miles northwest of Tracy.</td>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>Constructing a 15-foot 4-inch diameter concrete and steel pipe siphon, 15,700 feet long, including inlet and outlet structure. Wahluke Siphon, about 5 miles south of Othello.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>Constructing trashrock structure, reinforced concrete channel, fish deflector, bypass pipe, and fish handling structure and equipment including pumps and piping.</td>
<td>Eden, Wyo.</td>
<td>Earthwork and structures for about 8 miles of 100- to 150-cubic feet per second capacity laterals, about 16 miles of 60- to 125-cubic feet per second capacity sub laterals, and about 15 miles of 15- to 25-cubic feet per second capacity drains and waste channels. About 30 miles north of Rock Springs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Subject to change.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yankton Substation, will include foundations, furnishing and erecting steel structures, and installing electrica...</td>
<td>Constructing addition to the 115/34.5-kilovolt Yankton Substation will include foundations, furnishing and erecting steel structures, and installing electrical equipment, major items of which will be Government-furnished. Near Yankton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do...</td>
<td>One 230-kilovolt, three 115-kilovolt, and one 15-kilovolt power circuit breakers for Granite Falls Substation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palisades, Idaho.</td>
<td>Paul M. Bade, N. Dak.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do...</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, 8. Dak. Minn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do...</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do...</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subject to change.
United States Department of the Interior, Douglas McKay, Secretary
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30 Years Ago in the Era

Success in irrigated agriculture and the solvency of reclamation projects depend on selection of settlers, peopling the land with men who have the experience and the skill to grow high-priced crops and to cultivate the land in a way to get large returns. Poor farming, growing of crops that require little skill or care in cultivation, and which bring small returns, characterize most of the projects where payments are not being made.

One of the first conditions in the beginning of new projects is to work out an agricultural program, to determine what kind of crops ought to be grown, and to endeavor to secure settlers who like that kind of agriculture. The beginning of a new project gives an opportunity that ought to be used to make cooperation the basis of its organization, to try and unite the settlers so that they will grow enough of particular crops or produce to enable them to sell at an advantage, and to use teamwork in doing so. Only in this way can the man on 20 or 40 acres do business on equal terms with the farmer on 1,000 acres.
Let's take a little trip 55 miles up the South Fork of the Snake River from Idaho Falls into the mountains of southeastern Idaho, and see what's happening in the shadow of Calamity Point. The events that are taking place began in 1928 when the American Falls Reservoir on the Minidoka project in Idaho, was completed. That marked the completion of one project and unknowingly the beginning of another; the Palisades Project, the principal structure of which will be the Bureau of Reclamation's largest earthfill dam. Its 13.8 million cubic yards will overshadow in total volume such colossal concrete dams as Hoover and Grand Coulee.

When American Falls Dam was completed it was thought that the storage capacity would fulfill all the needs of lands under irrigation and permit 433,000 acre-feet of space to be reserved for development of new land. However, shortly after 1928, during an unprecedented series of dry years, American Falls Reservoir failed to fill and the large volume of reserved storage was leased to water users on existing projects. Even this failed to provide the water needed and serious crop losses amounting to millions of dollars resulted in 1931 through 1935. Such serious losses revealed the urgent need for additional storage, in order to
hold over water which was excess in periods of high runoff.

Because of this, the Bureau of Reclamation was directed in 1932 to initiate surveys for possible dam and reservoir sites on the Upper Snake River. Calamity Point was the best site found and this is where it was decided to construct the Palisades Dam.

The project was first authorized in 1941 and was reauthorized in 1950 because of increased costs and changes in operating plans. In October 1951, the project was granted its first substantial appropriation for construction of the Palisades Dam and powerplant and related structures.

The project is a multiple-purpose development involving irrigation, flood control, power, and recreation. Its stored waters will provide for 28,000 acres of new irrigation development and, of prime importance, will provide an adequate supply of water in dry cycles to 650,000 acres of existing highly developed lands in the Upper Snake River Valley, extending from Bliss, Idaho, to a few miles south of Rexburg. It will also provide a substantial degree of flood protection to agricultural and urban areas downstream and significant recreational opportunities to the entire area.

A certain amount of water must be passed through the reservoir during the winter months to fill the prior storage rights of American Falls Reservoir and during the spring and summer months water will be released for flood control and irrigation. This will be utilized for production of power for commercial sale and for irrigation pumping.

The current estimated cost of the Palisades Project is 67.3 million dollars. Of this total, 70 percent will be returned to the Federal Treasury in 50 years by the water users and through the sale of power. Power revenues alone will repay about 56 percent of the total cost. Revenues from power will continue to accrue to the United States after the 50-year initial payout period. About 30 percent of the total cost is allocated to flood control, recreation and fish and wildlife, and is nonreimbursable.

The prime contract for the dam and powerplant was awarded April 18, 1952, for 26.6 million dollars to the Palisades Contractors, a joint venture consisting of the J. A. Jones Construction Co. of Charlotte, N. C., and the Chas. H. Thomspon Co. of Washington, D. C. Paul H. Swanson
DISABLED
DISPLAY ABILITY

In cooperation with the President’s Committee on NATIONAL EMPLOY the PHYSICALLY HANICAPPEd week, proclaimed by Hon. Dwight D. Eisenhower October 2–8 we present these typical examples of opportunities the Bureau of Reclamation is offering to handicapped persons.

CHARLES S. WILLFOUNG was employed on the Boulder Canyon project in 1940 as a lineman. Today Charlie continues to work at his trade in the maintenance section at Hoover Dam. He is responsible for the electrical building facilities within the power plant, and for the uninterrupted and continued operation of cranes, compressors, elevators, lighting and power circuits, pumps and the telephone system. He rates with the highest in the performance of this work and is held in high esteem as a journeyman by his fellow workmen.

He entered military service in 1941, and departed for Europe and Africa in 1942. Charlie served through the Tunisian and Italian campaigns, and was awarded two Bronze Campaign Stars and the Purple Heart, for Charlie was wounded in action in 1944. After returning to the United States, Charlie was discharged from the service in 1945.

Upon being discharged, Charlie says he felt perfect but the Army took the view that somehow he was 60 percent disabled, because of the right leg they had been required to amputate below the knee.

In due course, Charlie returned to Hoover Dam, and with an artificial limb continued to perform his old job as effectively and efficiently as in the past.

According to LEO RALPH HOLWAY, totally deaf draftsman in the Assistant Commissioner's office in Denver, Colo., deafness is not a handicap, “It’s an obstacle to overcome, and there are many obstacles in the world.” His supervisors agree that he has done an excellent job overcoming his particular “obstacle,” and that his 21 years with the Bureau have been a wise investment.

Leo became deaf at the age of 5 from spinal meningitis. He received his higher education—culminating in a bachelor's degree in philosophy—from Gallaudet College at Washington, D. C. The signature on his diploma is that of Theodore Roosevelt, the Father of Reclamation, and Leo is justly proud.

He worked as a railroad draftsman until 1934, when he joined the Bureau in Denver. J. J. Smith, Head of the

(A) CHARLES S. WILLFOUNG.
(B) LEO RALPH HOLWAY.
(C) ANDREW F. BRUNER.
(D) BEN PETERSON.

Photo by Lyle C. Axthelm.
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Maps, Tracings, and Drawings Section, says he does excellent work, and is cheerful and efficient on the job. His “handicap” affects his work in only one way—he insists on written instructions, although he can read lips and speaks quite well. This is to insure that he doesn’t miss important points in verbal instructions.

“On the other hand,” says L. D. Brown, Assistant Head of the Section, “misunderstandings don’t last long with Leo—he just pulls out his notebook and shows us what we told him to do, and he’s almost always right.”

ANDREW F. BRUNER is employed as irrigation operator (watermaster) on the Tule Lake Division, Klamath project. Bruner is a veteran of World War II and lost his left arm while serving overseas. He is a very capable employee and his physical handicap in no way affects the efficiency of his work. He has been employed by the Bureau on the Klamath project since 1946.

The operation of the Tule Lake Division requires the constant handling of heavy equipment such as draglines, dump trucks, bulldozers, etc. In this capacity Bruner measures up to the rest of the employees, if not excels them in most respects.

He not only is capable but one of the most reliable employees on the job. When the going is roughest, especially in an emergency, the byword is “we can always count on Bruner.”

BEN PETERSON is a handicapped farmer who lives near Orleans, Nebr., in the Frenchman-Cambridge Irrigation District. He is 65 years of age and operates a 220-acre farm, of which 135 acres are under cultivation. In August 1954, irrigation service from the Cambridge Canal was provided for 35 acres of this land. He also has irrigated 50 additional acres by pumping for a number of years.

Peterson lost his right hand in 1922 while cutting wood with a buzz saw. Since that time, he has continued to do his farm work with the help of his wife and two children who are now grown. He learned to use his left hand and even mastered the technique of hand shucking corn.

Peterson’s farming operations now consist largely of the production of corn and alfalfa, but at one time he specialized in the production of turkeys and grew potatoes commercially.

HARRY S. POWERS is employed as an engineering aid (Survey) by the Missouri-Souris projects with headquarters in Bismarck, N. Dak.

Mr. Powers first entered Bureau employment in January 1946 as an engineering aid for what is now the Yellowstone Bighorn projects office and was headquartered in Miles City, Mont. He held various positions on surveys there until his resignation in October 1949 to go into farming for himself.

Between October 1949 and September 1952 when he accepted employment as an instrumentman with the Missouri-Souris projects, Powers was involved in a farm accident. His left forearm was caught in a corn picker making amputation necessary 5% inches below the elbow. He is naturally right-handed and with the aid of a mechanical device worn on his left arm he has successfully overcome his handicap.

He is an experienced and capable transitman, levelman and planetableman. His work is above average from both the standpoint of accuracy and neatness.

HOWELL P. HUGHES, a disabled veteran, has served in the Santa Barbara area projects office, Goleta, Calif., for the past 6 years.
Irrigation water supply over much of the Western United States in 1955 was short of that required to meet demands. Last April, this was indicated by the lack of snow in the high mountains. The only exception to the low water supply outlook at that time was in northern Montana, Idaho, the State of Washington, and along the coastal range in Oregon. The general pattern of 1955 water supply closely followed the spring forecasts based principally on the mountain snow pack. A brief west wide summary of snow conditions was published in the May 1955 issue of RECLAMATION ERA.

Above normal rainfall tended to relieve the water shortage in isolated areas of the southwest including the southern Rocky Mountain region. Total water supply was well below normal but better than in 1954. A main flood occurred on the Arkansas watershed in Colorado which improved water supply conditions in extreme eastern Colorado and western Kansas. Along the Rio Grande in New Mexico the water supply continued to be poor. Crops are being produced by the increased use of groundwater resources. Heavy storms in late summer has substantially increased storage in the reservoirs of the Salt and Gila drainage in Arizona following a period of extremely low snow melt runoff. Streamflow in California was 68 percent of average in the state for the summer months. No general shortages of water were reported for snow melt streams but much of the carryover storage was used. In 22 major reservoirs in California the carryover storage is 54 percent of capacity as compared to an average of 75 percent for September 1.

Severe water shortages were experienced in central and southern Utah. Similar shortages are reported for tributaries to the Snake River in southern Idaho and eastern Oregon. Water supply along the Humboldt River in Nevada has been critical throughout the summer season.

In Montana, northern Idaho and Washington water supplies were adequate for all purposes except in scattered locations.

The flow of the Colorado River into Lake Mead (April-July) was only about one-half of the past 10-year normal and just 1 million acre-feet greater than for the same period in 1954. Approximately 92,000,000 acre-feet flowed in the Columbia River by The Dalles for the period April 1 through August 31, or 90 percent of normal. There was a wide range in flow of streams over the Columbia Basin.
Looking forward to the next water-year, western water users will need a heavy snow pack in the mountains. Even where water supply this year was reasonably adequate water in storage has been seriously reduced. In this year's water-short areas increased stream flow will be essential for 1956 needs, and to replenish the surface and groundwater reservoirs.

In the following paragraphs there is reported a summary of fall water conditions by States. These reports are supplied by the Snow Survey Leaders, Soil Conservation Service for all Western States except California. Information on the status of water supplies in California is furnished by the California State Division of Water Resources.

ARIZONA.—Unusually heavy summer precipitation in Arizona resulted in marked improvement in the outlook for future runoff and produced substantial increases in current water supplies. Mountain drainage areas have been thoroughly saturated and deficient stream flows of the early summer replaced by adequate flows to meet all current gravity-flow demands. Reservoir storage has improved greatly both from direct runoff and from reduced demand resulting from rainfall in sufficient quantities to replace irrigation water. The overall gain from both sources amounted to almost a quarter million acre-feet and it now appears that there may be a substantial carryover into next season. Early season prospects were for practically empty reservoirs by this fall with only normal summer precipitation.

Conditions on the Beardsley project are much the same, with a total benefit from the summer storms of some 20,000 acre-feet at Lake Pleasant. San Carlos Reservoir on the Gila River had its apparent peak on September 3, with 14,912,000 acre-feet in storage, which rose with a water surface below the outlet gates on July 12. There was very little water in storage in this reservoir early in the season and the project water supply was consequently quite deficient in contrast with most other Arizona areas.

Soil moisture conditions in the mountain areas are so improved as a result of the summer storms that deficient stream flows have been replaced by normal or near-normal sustained flows which promise to hold up well through the fall season. With normal fall rains, mountain soil-moisture contents should remain at a high level and provide conditions more favorable for spring runoff than have existed for the past few years.

CALIFORNIA.—The California Division of Water Resources forecasted April 1 that the April-July snowmelt runoff during the Central Valley streams would be approximately 58 percent of normal assuming the occurrence of normal precipitation during the runoff period. However, April precipitation ranged between 100 and 200 percent of normal, and after individual stream forecasts had been adjusted according to local precipitation the revised forecast of May 1 indicated that the total runoff would be about 68 percent of normal. May precipitation was near normal and no adjustment of the revised forecast was made on June 1. The total snowmelt runoff, based upon preliminary records, proved to be 68 percent of normal and therefore the revised forecast was low by approximately 2 percent.

As of September 1, 1955, there were 4,812,810 acre-feet of water stored in the 29 major reservoirs serving the Central Valley. This is about 72 percent of normal supply for that date and is 523,000 acre-feet less than that in storage on September 1, 1954. The below normal storage is directly attributable to the deficient (68-percent normal) April-July runoff during the 1955 season.

COLORADO.—Water supply for irrigation in Colorado was short in 1955 but the shortage was not nearly as severe as in 1954. Rainfall during the summer months was variable and in scattered areas over the State rainfall was much above normal. Excessive floods occurred along the Arkansas River and its southern tributaries in May. Over 200,000 acre-feet of water stored in John Martin Reservoir as a result of this flood.

On the South Platte water supplies were generally adequate in the area served by the Colorado Big Thompson project. A little more water was left over than anticipated. More severe shortages occurred on agricultural lands on Clear Creek, the Upper South Platte near Denver and on lower tributary canals without storage near Fort Morgan and Sterling.

Because of the May flood the irrigated area along the Arkansas River below John Martin Dam had adequate water supplies; but over most of the valley in Colorado water shortage was general. The flood destroyed canals and diversion structures so little water was stored during this season. Flow from the Sangre de Cristo Range was much above average due to the May storm which centered just east of this range in the Arkansas Valley.

On the western slope, streamflow from snowmelt was only 60 percent of average. Late summer rainfall has kept streamflow relatively high and has reduced water demand. Water shortage has existed only on minor tributary streams.

Continued on page 95
Sausages generally bring to the mind a stack of steaming hot flapjacks and the usual breakfast trimmings. This is not, however, the scene that prevails in the Spanish Fork Development Office when the term is mentioned. Confronted with a problem of obtaining riprap in an area where Mancos and Uintah shales prevail and suitable rock is a minimum of 50 miles distant, a substitute was devised and named “sausages.”

The highway department in processing road surfacing gravel had left behind large piles of quartzite cobbles in the near proximity of the work where riprap was needed. The cobbles ranged in size from 4 to about 12 inches in diameter and were not considered suitable material for the type of riprapping required until the idea was developed to confine the cobbles in a wire mesh, making rock “sausages.”

When the cobbles were confined in the wire mesh the unit provided many advantages. The combination of confined cobbles made a heavy unit which acts as one large compact piece, the eddies set up by the irregular surface tend to attract deposition of silt, the unit is flexible and settles snugly into the soft sandy foundation.

The unit was easily handled with a dragline by hooking into the wire mesh, lifting and placing in position and their uniform size made a closely knit compact surface resistant to erosion or shifting.

The unit was constructed by taking a piece of 32-inch hog wire fencing 10 feet long, folding and then lacing the two edges together with wire. The result was a cage about 3½ feet long, 20 inches in diameter which, when standing up, had a closed bottom and open top. The wire cage was filled with cobbles, the top laced together and was then ready for placing.

The cost of preparing and placing the unit was less than two-thirds of the estimate for quarried rock riprap had such a quarry been in the near proximity of the work. The cost of the unit compared with an estimated cost of riprap with quarried rock from the minimum distance available, about 50 miles, would be less than one-third.

The accompanying photographs represent one of the many uses which can be made of the “sausages.” In this case erosion had approached the canal bank, leaving only a narrow bank between the river and the canal and there was no...
right-of-way to force the river channel away from
the eroded section. Treated native timber piling
was driven and timbers spiked horizontally be-
tween the piling. The "sausages" were stood on
end for two rows high then continued on the
sloped bank.

SWINGING SAUSAGES into place. Sausages in place are the
second high tier. Next view shows placing second and third
tiers of sausages. At bottom, COMPLETED JOB.

PRIVATE FIRMS DO PRACTICALLY ALL
RECLAMATION CONSTRUCTION

During the fiscal year of 1955 private contrac-
tors, through competitive bidding, performed
practically all Reclamation construction.
Only 1 percent of construction monies was
spent during the year for work performed by hired
labor. Out of a total construction expenditure of
$117,978,000 only $1,285,000 was spent for con-
struction work done by Bureau forces. This
marked a new all low in work done by Bureau
forces. There has been a gradual decrease in hired
labor work by the Bureau since the war years, Re-
clamation Commissioner W. A. Dexheimer pointed
out. He also stressed the fact that it is the Bu-
reau's policy to award all contracts to private firms
on the basis of the lowest competitive bid.

Only where shortage of time, character of work,
emergency situations, or other special conditions
exist which make it impossible to secure satisfac-
tory bids, do Bureau forces undertake construction
work.

CROPS UP $79.1 MILLION

Crops valued at $865 million and weighing 26.6
million tons were produced on Reclamation's 69
projects during 1954. The crops had an average
gross value of $141.21 per acre and represented an
increase over 1953 of $79.1 million in total gross
value.

Over 100,00 acres of irrigable land were brought
into service on Bureau projects during 1954 as a
result of the completion of irrigation facilities.
Principal additions were on the Central Valley,
Columbia Basin, Gila, Minidoka, and Missouri
River Basin projects.
The volume for all principal crops except cotton,
wheat, and vegetables was higher in 1954 than
1953. Among those in the plus column were fruits
and nuts, miscellaneous field crops, forage, cereals,
and seeds.

Prices received for the products of irrigation
farming were on the whole equal to or higher than
in 1953 with a greater total value reported for all
crop groups except field crops consisting princi-
pally of sugar beets, cotton, and dry edible beans.
The Crop Report and detailed Statistical Ap-
pendix on crop production on Reclamation irri-
gation projects are available to interested persons.
Copies may be obtained by writing to your nearest
regional director or the Commissioner's office in
Washington, D. C.
Reclamation's
HALL OF FAME
Nomination No. 19

Howard E. Robbins

On September 15, 1954, Howard E. Robbins was laid to rest in Fairmount Cemetery in Denver, Colo. With the death of Mr. Robbins at the age of 58 the Bureau of Reclamation lost one of its top administrators, but the people throughout Region 5 lost a friend and leader.

At the time of his passing, Howard Robbins was regional director of Region 5 with headquarters in Amarillo, Tex. In dollars, Reclamation's program in Region 5 is not one of the largest nor most impressive, but with the wide geographic area covered and its corresponding complex problems involving intrastate basin, and interstate and international streams, the program is varied and presents many difficulties in any effort to harness the vast natural resources of the region.

Born in Denver, Colo., Mr. Robbins attended Colorado College until he joined the Bureau of Reclamation at Grand Junction, Colo., on the Grand Valley project in 1916. This assignment was interrupted for one year while he served with the United States Army during World War I. He was promoted through the positions of instrumentman and junior engineer in the investigations of the King Hill project in Idaho and the Klamath project in southern Oregon and northern California. He left the Bureau in 1925 to accept a position with the California-Oregon Power Co. with headquarters at Medford, Oreg., in order to broaden his engineering experience. During his 4 years with this company, he was in charge of surveys required for locating transmission lines and topographic and investigation surveys.

He returned to the Bureau in 1929 to work on investigations of the Kendrick project on the North Platte River in Wyoming. In the meantime, from 1930 until 1936, as an engineer in the Design Section of the Chief Engineer's office in Denver, he assisted in the designs for Boulder Dam, prepared layout and final designs for laterals, tunnels, and other structures on the All-American Canal, and designed dams and appurtenant structures for TVA, which work was being performed by the Chief Engineer's office of the Bureau of Reclamation. In 1936, he transferred to Phoenix as office engineer on the Salt River project during the construction of Bartlett Dam, a 287-foot high, concrete, multiple arch structure on the Verde River. Upon its completion during the latter part of 1937, he transferred as office engineer for the construction of Seminole Dam and powerplant on the Kendrick project, and in 1939 to the Colorado-Big Thompson project for construction of Green Mountain Dam.

In 1941 transferring to Altus, Okla., immediately following the authorization of construction of the W. C. Austin project, Mr. Robbins saw its construction from its initiation through to final completion as Regional Director, in 1953. He made the first delivery of water on July 19, 1946. This project, constructed in a semiarid region, had been investigated as early as 1912 and was finally approved by the President on January 21, 1941.
Construction was halted in December 1942, by World War II, but with the recommendation of the War Food Administration, construction was resumed in April 1944. As construction engineer, Mr. Robbins faced many difficult and trying experiences in getting the work underway with the material and labor shortages and the complicated priority system. When major construction was completed on the W. C. Austin project in 1947, he transferred as project engineer to the Valley Gravity project, for which plans were being developed to provide drainage and improve water transportation facilities for the lands of the Lower Rio Grande Valley in Texas, using the water supply to be made available through the construction of international dams on the Rio Grande under the auspices of the International Boundary and Water Commission. Later that year when it became necessary to appoint a regional director and it had become evident that plans for the Valley Gravity project could not materialize for several years, Mr. Robbins was transferred to Amarillo as acting regional director until March 1948, when he became regional director. On this appointment, the Secretary of the Interior said, “Mr. Robbins brings to his new post a sound background in Reclamation engineering and natural resource development. He typifies the kind of public servant under which Reclamation has achieved outstanding success in the control and development of western water and related resources.”

While director in Region 5, Mr. Robbins saw the completion of construction of the Tucumcari, W. C. Austin, and Fort Sumner projects; completion of construction of Platoro Dam of the San Luis Valley project, retention dams and extension of the power distribution system of the Rio Grande project and the emergency channelization work on the Middle Rio Grande project, initiation of rehabilitation work on the Vermejo project and the drainage construction of the Middle Rio Grande project; and the operation and maintenance of the Tucumcari, W. C. Austin, and Carlsbad projects turned over to the water users. In addition to these physical works, the investigations program in Region 5 included the development of plans for the Middle Rio Grande, Canadian River, Washita, Gulf Basin, San Luis Valley, and San Juan-Chama projects as well as many basin and minor project investigations.

Succumbing rapidly to the fatal disease, multiple myeloma, Mr. Robbins passed away on September 12 at Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minn. Friends and associates have contributed approximately $1,000 to the American Cancer Society for a fund in his memory. He is survived by his widow; two daughters, Mrs. Jack L. Hitt and Mrs. John Mulvihill; two granddaughters, Amy Elizabeth and Jane Ellen Hitt; and his parents, Mr. and Mrs. B. W. Robbins.

Mr. Robbins was held in high esteem for his integrity and professional competence. The people in the region concerned with conservation of their limited water supply for full development of their great resources feel keenly the loss of his strong counsel and guidance. The Canadian River Municipal Water Authority of Texas expressed this in its memorial resolution which states his untimely death deprived our Nation of one of its great engineers and valuable citizens.

**COMMISSIONER DEXHEIMER DEDICATES EKLUTNA PROJECT**

On August 29, Reclamation Commissioner W. A. Dexheimer dedicated the Bureau’s Eklutna power project located in south central Alaska. A large number of city officials and many interested individuals from Anchorage and Palmer areas attended dedication ceremonies. In his address the Commissioner speculated that the future population of Alaska could reach 5,000,000 when the waters of Alaska are harnessed to produce needed power with which Acting District Manager Roberts agreed.

The Eklutna powerhouse is located near mile 34 on the Glenn Highway. Eklutna Creek water is stored and used for the generation of power. Water supply for the power is obtained from the Eklutna Creek Basin above Eklutna Dam, covering an area of 119 square miles with elevations ranging from 867.5 to 8,000 feet.

Early this year power went into the lines to the power markets to the Matanuska Electric Association (5,000 kilowatts); Chugach Electric Association (9,000 kilowatts); and the city of Anchorage (16,000 kilowatts). The plant consists of two 15,000-kilowatt vertical shaft-type generators, each driven by a 21,000 horsepower reaction turbine. The average output is 143,000,000 kilowatt-hours of firm power and 20,000,000 kilowatt-hours of nonfirm power annually.

Other speakers at the dedication were Mrs. Justine Parks, president of the Alaska Rural Electric Cooperative Association; Donald S. Campbell, Chief of the Division of Power, Bureau of Reclamation, Washington, D. C.; Mayor Charles W. Wilson, Palmer; Mayor Maynard L. Taylor, Jr., Anchorage; and Maj. Gen. James F. Collins, Commanding General, United States Army, Alaska.
SCOUTING
CONSERVATION

by

Ottis Peterson

The Explorer Scouts, each of whom had received a national award for outstanding work in conservation, participated in a 2-week, 2,500-mile tour sponsored by the Departments of the Interior and Agriculture with the cooperation of the Department of Defense.

The tour started at Colorado Springs to which point the youths were provided transportation on Air Force planes from their homes. The first leg of the trip from Colorado Springs was also by air as the boys flew down the Arkansas River over the Dust Bowl area of eastern Colorado, then back up the Arkansas, over the Continental Divide and across the upper Colorado River Basin to Salt Lake City.

En route, technicians from various bureaus within the two departments explained various aspects of conservation work for which they are responsible until the party had a true, bird’s eye view of conservation. They saw, in the Dust Bowl area, what lack of moisture and wind erosion can do to prairie land. Flying up the river,
to Scout camp at Togwotee Pass. B. Receiving first supplies. C. Trying their luck in Yellowstone Lake. D. PROOF POSITIVE. E. One of the Wonders of Yellowstone. F. SHOOTING THE FALLS. G. THE FISH FRY. H. Getting the details on Palisades Dam from Reclamation Engineer Phil Young, extreme left.
they could see the difference irrigation water makes with lush green fields on the downstream side of silvery canals as contrasted with parched dry land on the high side.

In Bingham, Utah, on a ground trip, they learned how modern technology and efficiency are making possible the production of copper from the low-grade ore of the Utah copper pit. The same afternoon they learned on the high reaches of the Wasatch National Forest how water production and control is one of the principal benefits of proper forest range management.

In a motor caravan to Ogden Bay Game Refuge, they saw an amazing array of wildlife hidden in a quiet fresh water refuge which was created by diking off a portion of the Great Salt Lake to permit it to freshen from the mountain streams pouring out of the Wasatch Range.

Taking to the air again, the youths headed north over the rich Snake River plain where they saw again the wonders worked by the proper use of water in the several million acres of farmland on the middle and upper Snake River Valley. Before landing, they flew on into Montana to see the Red Rock Lakes Refuge for trumpeter swans and then south over the Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks to land at Pocatello, Idaho.

At the Fort Hall Indian Reservation near Pocatello they learned how the Indians are managing their farmlands and maintaining their productivity by proper land management and use of water.

At the upper end of the Snake River Valley, the next day, they saw how farm youths, through the 4-H Clubs, are learning to apply science to farming and homemaking. As they entered the Snake River Canyon, they stopped at the head-gates of the several canals fed by the Snake River, amazed at the quantities of water which are taken out of the river for irrigation purposes.

Then, just above the quiet country community of Swan Lake, they saw, firsthand, construction work on one of the several multiple-purpose dams which make the irrigation of the Snake River plain possible. This was Palisades Dam which is now under construction by the Bureau of Reclamation.

They learned too the plans the Forest Service and sportsmen's organizations have to develop the Palisades Reservoir as another ideal camping and

Continued on page 101
IDAHO.—The water shortages forecast in April as a result of the light snowpack for southern Idaho did not become apparent until July because of spring rains. However, stored water in small reservoirs was slightly less than forecast as the dry soils on the watersheds absorbed an unusually large amount of snow-water and rainfall.

Idaho experienced a cool moist spring which caused high water on the big northern rivers and eased the water shortage in the southern half of the State. The light snowpack of southern Idaho made irrigation water short on streams without adequate reservoir storage. The heavy rains which occurred during April and May did not contribute materially to streamflow because of the dry soils on the watersheds, although the rains in the valleys eliminated 2 or 3 irrigations which saved stored water for later use. Most small irrigation reservoirs in the southern half of the State were empty on August 31 or lower than they have been at that time since constructed. Large irrigation reservoirs are also very low for this time of the year. The 1955 snowfall and spring rains will be the only water available next year and special plans are being made to evaluate the snowpack early in the season and at more frequent intervals.

The Kootenai River in northern Idaho had a slightly above normal snowpack which did not start to melt until late in the season. The moderate flood threat forecast in May materialized near the middle of June, but did not cause serious damage.

KANSAS.—Because of floodwaters stored in John Martin Reservoir in Colorado the water supply along the Arkansas River in western Kansas has been adequate this past summer. Rainfall during the past few months has greatly improved dry-land and range conditions.

MONTANA.—Although the northern Rocky Mountain snowpack in Montana for 1955 was slightly below average, the May and June precipitation was sufficiently above average to maintain median streamflow and supplement irrigation demands.

Reservoir storage has held up well and there are only a few local areas where irrigation water demands have exceeded the supply.

Dry-land crops have thrived on the late spring rains and a bumper crop of wheat, oats, barley is anticipated.

NEBRASKA.—The smaller reservoirs in the Kansas River Basin are standing at 80 percent of the storage of one year ago. Kingsley and Sutherland Reservoirs now hold about 850,000 acre-feet as compared to a past 10-year average of 1,300,000 acre-feet.

The North Platte storage in Wyoming is at 56 percent of the 1943–52 average. Precipitation during July and August has not ranged from long-term means with a resulting deficiency in soil moisture. Snow cover on the North Platte watershed in Colorado and Wyoming next winter must indicate a snowmelt runoff much above normal or the areas under this river system will face a critical shortage of water next season.

NEVADA.—In the western farming and ranching areas of Nevada, agricultural operations were carried out this past summer on a near normal level in spite of limited water supplies. Because the operators were informed of their limited water supply early in the spring, acreages of high water using crops such as potatoes and onions were reduced. By intelligent use of their water supply during irrigation season, per acre yield was higher than usual this year. Reservoirs of the Walker River irrigation district will be empty by the latter part of September. Carryover storage of other Sierra-Mountain watershed reservoirs is below normal.

Along the Humboldt River, the water supply has been critical all season. Lovelock Valley, which in normal years has three cuttings of alfalfa, cut only the first crop on most of the land. Paradise Valley gets its water from the Santa Rosa Mountains but this year the spring runoff was poor. The Humboldt River at Fallon flowed 47,000 acre-feet from April through July. This is only 17.5 percent of normal.

All reservoirs in the State are much below their 1943–52, 10-year averages. Rye Patch Reservoir on the Humboldt River, serving the Lovelock Valley, was emptied during August. This is the first time this reservoir has been empty since construction was completed in early 1936. Topaz and Bridgeport Reservoirs on the Walker River are expected to be dry by the latter part of September. The last time these reservoirs were at this low level was the fall of 1939 and 1931. The statewide picture of reservoir storage as of September 1 is only 35 percent of capacity. Last year at this time reservoir storage was 59 percent of capacity. In short, reservoir carryover storage in Nevada will be practically nil.

NEW MEXICO.—Drought conditions continued along the Rio Grande in New Mexico in 1955. Streamflow was about 40 percent of normal. Crop production was again

### Water Stored in Western Reservoirs

Operated by Bureau of Reclamation or Water Users except as noted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Reservoir</th>
<th>Storage (in acre-feet)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Active capacity Aug. 31, 1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitter Root</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungry Horse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minidoka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochoco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owyhee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not reported.*
### Water Stored in Western Reservoirs—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Reservoir</th>
<th>Storage (in acre-feet)</th>
<th>Active capacity</th>
<th>Aug. 31, 1954</th>
<th>Aug. 31, 1965</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yakima</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder Canyon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Dam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker Dam Power</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri River Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado-Big Thompson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Corps of Engineers Reservoir.  
* Minus active storage figure due to pumping from dead storage during the month.

---

**THE RECLAMATION ERA**
Palisades Dam is a rolled earth fill structure 270 feet high, 2,100 feet long at the crest elevation of 5,630, and 2,100 feet wide at the base. The 40-foot wide crest will provide a roadway connecting United States Highway No. 26 with the relocated Forest Road on the left side of the reservoir.

The dam embankment is composed of four different zones or types of materials which vary from a relatively fine clay-silt at the center to large rock fragments and cobbles at the upstream and downstream faces. The impervious core of Zone 1 material at the center can actually be considered to be the dam proper, while the other zones of coarser materials serve to keep the core from washing or eroding away and give the structure stability.

The excavation for the dam foundation, tunnels, intake and outlet structures, powerhouse and from borrow areas for the dam embankment will entail moving 17 million cubic yards; 10.1 million yards have been excavated to date. The embankment of 13.8 million yards is completed to Elevation 5500, 130 feet below final crest elevation.

Three tunnels through hard andesite rock of the left abutment, compose the penstock, outlet works, and spillway, respectively. The two lower tunnels, one power and one outlet, have a combined length of 2,800 feet and are finished to a diameter of 26 feet. A penstock manifold from the power tunnel will convey water to the turbines. Two bypass pipes off the manifold will divert water from the power tunnel through gates in the outlet works in emergencies or when the power plant is not in operation. Flow into the outlet tunnel adjacent to the power tunnel will be controlled by six gates in the outlet works control house then pass through the stilling basin where the velocity and surge of water will be dampened by the detentes and dividing walls to prevent stream erosion and damage to the structure. The power and outlet tunnels now serve the important temporary function of diverting the river around the dam proper during construction.

The spillway will utilize the third and largest tunnel, located at a slightly higher elevation and further into the abutment. This tunnel is some 1,860 feet long and 28 feet in finished diameter. The flow of water will be controlled by two 20-by 50-foot radial gates and at the outlet and will flow into the existing river channel through an open concrete lined channel 400 feet long. Normally it will only be used to pass floodwaters in excess of those which can be accommodated by the power and outlet tunnels.

The spillway and outlet works are designed to discharge a maximum probable flood of 90,000 cubic feet a second and a 30-day volume of 2.2 million acre-feet.
The powerhouse is located at the downstream toe of the dam adjacent to the stilling basin. It is unusual in that the building above the ground line, is the first powerhouse superstructure to be constructed of brick by the Bureau of Reclamation. The powerhouse has an overall width of 129 feet, 246-foot length, and 113-foot height, and will contain four 28,500-kilowatt generators.

The first generator is scheduled to go on the line in December of 1956, and other three in March, June, and August 1957.

The switchyard will be located on the small peninsula at the middle of the downstream toe of the dam. Two 115-kilovolt transmission lines will originate at the Palisades switchyard and terminate at the Utah Power & Light Co.’s substation at Goshen, Idaho, 55 miles west of Palisades. Provision has also been made for connecting to the 69- and 12.5-kilovolt systems of the Lower Valley REA Cooperative which serve the Swan Valley, Idaho, and Star Valley and Jackson, Wyo., areas.

The three tunnels are completed as are the stilling basin and the outlet channel for the spillway. Work is rapidly progressing on the concrete for the intake and outlet structures. With the passing of high water period, the river is flowing through the outlet tunnel only and workmen are preparing to connect up the penstock manifold to the power tunnel and construct a portion of the outlet works control house.

The powerhouse is moving along toward completion. All of the structural steel has been erected. One-third of the roof and half of the brickwork have been completed. The penstock manifold and butterfly valves have been installed and the hydraulic turbine for Unit 4 is almost ready for hydrostatic tests.

The tunnels, control structures, and powerhouse will require 6,750 tons of reinforcing steel and the placement of 175,000 cubic yards of concrete containing some 200,000 barrels of cement and 9,000 tons of pozzolan. Work accomplished to date on these structures includes the installation of 5,000 tons of reinforcing steel and the placement of 116,000 cubic yards of concrete.

The reservoir behind the dam will be 20 miles long and 3 miles across at its widest point and will extend about 5 miles into Wyoming, and with a normal water surface elevation of 5,621 will have a total capacity of 1,400,000 acre-feet. Storage of water in the reservoir will be started in the spring of 1956. The inundation of the lands in the reservoir area necessitated the relocation of 20 miles of primary State highways, 25 miles of forest road, and the clearing of about 3,500 acres of timberland.

The prime contractor is well ahead of schedule on the dam and powerplant, having completed 71 percent of the work in 59 percent of the time allowed. All work under this contract is required to be completed by September 1957. In addition to the outlay of 3.5 million dollars for new equipment before work could even be started, the contractor has since paid out 7.4 million dollars in wages alone to workmen of every classification. During the construction season of May through October as many as 1,000 men are employed on the job. Materials and equipment for the project
are coming from almost half of the 48 States. Cement is being obtained from Idaho, penstock and outlet manifolds from Oregon, reinforcing and structural steel from California, turbines from Pennsylvania, outlet gates from Alabama—manufacturing plants and suppliers throughout the United States are, you might say, actually working on the Palisades project. Even the great Boeing Airplane Co. in Seattle is making equipment for the project. The aggregate value of materials and equipment contracts awarded to date totals 8.6 million dollars.

These are the things we actually see taking place in the shadow of Calamity Point. But there are many other benefits of inestimable value to be derived from a project such as this which are not so readily apparent. Because of the additional water supply which will be made available by the Palisades project, it will be possible to grow more and better crops on the rich and fertile soils of the Upper Snake River Valley. New industries will come into the area to process these agricultural products and reasonably priced electric power will be available to operate the plants.

The project will provide outdoor recreational facilities near the larger population centers of southeastern Idaho. From the number of comments and inquiries already received concerning summer homesites, recreational facilities, boating and fishing possibilities, it is expected that considerable use will be made of the new lake by the 65,000 residents of the immediate area as well as by the tourists on their way to and from Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks.

The operation and maintenance of the reservoir and the structures at the damsite will be under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Reclamation’s Regional Director, H. T. Nelson, in Boise, Idaho. The United States Forest Service, with offices at St. Anthony, Idaho, is responsible for the administration of the occupancy and utilization of all lands adjacent to the reservoir.

N. R. A. CONvenes AT LINCOLN, NEBR.

As this issue went to press the 24th Annual Convention of the National Reclamation Association was scheduled to be held at the Cornhusker Hotel, Lincoln, Nebr., on Monday, October 24, continuing through October 25 and 26.

Secretary-Manager William E. Welsh arranged the preliminary plans for the convention after conferring with President C. Petrus Peterson and other officials of the association from the various Western States. Indications were that the meeting would be very well attended and the speakers would include nationally known authorities in the field of conservation.

Secretary of the Interior Douglas McKay was scheduled to be the principal speaker at the opening session on October 24. His address was to be followed by the transaction of association business including reports from the president of the N. R. A. and other officials.

In addition to Secretary McKay and President Peterson, others scheduled to address the convention included Senators Arthur V. Watkins of Utah and Frank A. Barrett of Wyoming; Gov. Victor E. Anderson of Nebraska; Congressmen A. L. Miller of Nebraska, Clair Engle of California, and Wayne N. Aspinall of Colorado; Lt. Gen. Samuel D. Sturgis, Chief of Army Engineers; Donald A. Williams, Administrator of the Soil Conservation Service; Commissioner of Reclamation W. A. Dexheimer; and Dean W. V. Lambert, College of Agriculture, University of Nebraska.

Senator Barrett’s subject for discussion was entitled “The Stakes Are High—The Fight Over Western Water Rights Is Under Way.” Congressman Aspinall’s topic for discussion was entitled “What Is the Real Value of Reclamation?” while Mr. Williams picked “The Nation’s Youth of Our Agricultural Land” for discussion. The specific subjects to be discussed by the other speakers were not known when we went to press but it was generally agreed that all would deal with the various phases of conserving our natural resources.

Secretary McKay, Senator Watkins, and Commissioner Dexheimer, along with President Peterson and other association officials, where scheduled to discuss long-range Reclamation policy based on the recent meeting between President Eisenhower, Secretary McKay, Senator Watkins, and Commissioner Dexheimer.

Other Interior Department and Bureau of Reclamation officials selected to attend the 24th Annual Convention of the N. R. A. were Deputy Solicitor Edmund T. Fritz; Acting Associate Solicitor, Water and Power, Edward W. Fisher; Assistant Commissioner of Reclamation E. G. Nielsen; Assistant to the Commissioner-Information, Ottis Peterson; Chief, Division of Irrigation, Floyd E. Dominy; and Chief, Division of Project Development, N. B. Bennett.

November 1955
He was inducted into the Army in June 1943. He attended the Officer Candidate School of the Quartermaster School, Camp Lee, Va., and was commissioned a second lieutenant. During his more than 2 years overseas service in the Philippines, Hughes was promoted to the rank of first lieutenant. After having been assigned a post in New York City, but before leaving the Philippines, Hughes contracted acute anterior poliomyelitis in late 1947.

Fortunately he escaped paralysis in the upper extremities, although the residual effects of the disease left his lower extremities approximately 75 percent paralyzed. He was retired from the Army for physical disability as a captain in November 1948, after almost 5% years' service.

After establishing his home in Santa Barbara in 1949 Hughes found it necessary to seek employment to support a family. He went to work at the Bureau's newly opened Goleta office of the then Cachuma Unit, Santa Barbara project.

Hughes has served in the Bureau's Goleta office as general clerk and voucher examining supervisor. He is able to be ambulatory through the use of braces on both legs and the use of tubular aluminum crutches.

CHARLES CURRY, who was born without a right hand, lives in a trailer near the construction office of United Concrete Pipe Corp. for which he works. This corporation has completed four prime contracts for Reclamation distribution systems.

Curry has been doing construction work since 1925, as a semitrailer driver hauling heavy equipment, and driver of dump trucks and other trucks. At present he is a construction foreman in charge of earthwork on reservoirs and structures.

Having never had a right hand, Curry intimates he has never missed it greatly. He has been able to do all kinds of work, adapting one hand to do efficiently the same jobs men with two hands could do. He plans to stay in construction work as long as he is able.

When HARRIET PENNER was about 22 years old, she became aware of a haziness of vision. She consulted several doctors but was unsuccessful in having the condition diagnosed. Finally, she went to the Mayo Clinic where the condition was diagnosed as retinitis pigmentosa. In lay terms, this means that the eye is aging much more rapidly than the rest of the body. In this particular case, Harriet's eyes are probably the equivalent of 80 years old.

The condition of the eyes will continue to deteriorate resulting eventually in total blindness.

This diagnosis created a minor crisis in Harriet's life. Up to this time she had been very active performing the functions of a good housewife and working as a medical stenographer. Harriet heard a radio program on vocational rehabilitation. She inquired of the proper State authorities and was found acceptable and qualified for such training. It was proposed to her that she train as a vending stand operator. While she had no experience or background in this type of activity, she expressed a willingness to undertake such training. As a result, and under the authority of the Federal Randolph-Shepard Act which permits blind people to operate concessions in Federal buildings, Harriet is cheerfully and happily operating her own business for the convenience of the employees of the Bureau of Reclamation and Geological Survey in Region 2 headquarters.

RICHARD L. BRIGHT, senior clerk in warehouse accounting, Salt River Power District, Phoenix, Ariz., started work for the Salt River Power District in 1951 soon after the State Division of Vocational Rehabilitation got him an artificial leg. He had no right leg and had a hard time obtaining employment until he was put on the staff as a grade C junior clerk which is the lowest grade.

In 3 years, this one-legged employee rose to senior clerk, grade A, which is seven steps up the ladder in this short time.

He was an Elyria, Ohio, electrician and was struck by an automobile and got a painful bone injury. He endured the pain for 4 years and then moved to Arizona. By 1951 he demanded that his right leg be removed because of the intense pain.

After the operation the State Rehabilitation Division arranged for him to take a business machine and office course at Phoenix Tech.

Richard L. Bright is certainly one of the bright stars among the employees of the Salt River Power District warehouse accounting. His cheerful attitude and ability to get around easily makes him very popular with everybody.

We should like to take this opportunity to point out that recently the Luke Greenway Post of the American Legion in Phoenix presented its certificate of recognition to the Salt River project officials for hiring 25 handicapped persons.

DONALD D. DEVALL, known to his coworkers as "Dunc" has been employed by the Bureau of Reclamation on the Hungry Horse project in Montana since 1950. He is afflicted with arthritis of the spine.
Dunc is a cheerful and efficient worker. He served as a clerk-typist in the Materials Engineering Branch during the construction of the project, and was later promoted to a position as office assistant to the Chief of the Operations Division. His only concession to his physical handicap seems to be that he organizes his work to make every motion count! This results in a volume of work that a nonhandicapped worker could envy.

Dunc is an Army veteran of World War II. We believe that through his ability and determination he will continue to show progress in his work.

PRESIDENT EISENHOWER IN HIS PROCLAMATION DECLARING THE FIRST WEEK IN OCTOBER AS THE 11TH NATIONAL EMPLOY THE PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED WEEK CALLED UPON THE PEOPLE OF OUR NATION TO Cooperate with the President's Committee in Carrying out the Will of Congress which Authorized the "Week" by a Joint Resolution Approved August 11, 1945. He also urged Governors of States, Other Public Officials, Leaders of Industry and Labor, Members of Civic, Religious, Agricultural and Fraternal Organizations to Participate Actively in This Observance.

SCOUTING CONSERVATION

Continued from page 94

fishing area as are most of the reclamation reservoirs scattered through the Western States.

From Palisades, the touring conservationists continued up the Snake River into the fastness of Jackson Hole in the shadow of the towering Tetons. Here many of the boys who are from the plains or lowland coastal areas saw for the first time the grandeur of the high Rockies. They learned too, through explanations by representatives of the National Park Service, Forest Service, and Bureau of Land Management, how these areas of public owned land are being protected as watersheds and also reserved for recreation and beneficial use by the vacationing millions.

In the fastness of the primitive area of the southeastern arm of Yellowstone Lake they caught fish to their hearts content, on a 2-day camping trip. But they tossed them back as fast as they caught them for the limit within Yellowstone National Park is three fish per day.

Camping out in the sharp, frosty air of the Continental Divide on Togwotee Pass, they lived the life of a forest fire fighter for a night, receiving an airdrop of supplies and burrowing into paper sleeping bags to keep warm at the lights-out signal.

But they were awake in time to take off early the next morning on the last leg of the trip down beautiful Wind River Canyon where they found water being put to use again irrigating farmlands on the Riverton reclamation project. Here too they were guests of the first Americans this time on the Wind River Reservation. Here is the grave of Sacajawea, the Indian maiden who guided the Lewis and Clark expedition and the Explorers paid homage to her memory as one of the greatest of trailblazers.

Thus in 2 weeks of touring Colorado, Utah, Idaho, and Wyoming, during which they crossed the Continental Divide nine times, the youths examined the public lands watershed area which mothers the headwaters of our great rivers, provides sanctuary for wildlife and a back-to-nature retreat for vacationing America.

They returned to their homes armed with facts, figures, pictures and will even be supplied with motion-picture strips supplied through National Boy Scout headquarters to help tell the story of conservation.

# # #
Agriculture Department
Official Cites Need
for Irrigation

At the 43d annual meeting of the Chamber of Commerce last spring in Washington, D. C., agriculture's need for water was strongly emphasized in a paper by Hon. Ervin L. Peterson, Assistant Secretary of the United States Department of Agriculture.

Excerpts from the paper, entitled "Water For Our Farms," are as follows:

"* * * The purpose of these remarks is to point up the fact that water for agriculture is inseparable from water for other uses * * *.

"* * * Agriculture's representatives need to understand, evaluate, and relate agriculture's interests to the total interest in water, its development, management and use. In fact, agricultural policy does and will continue to affect water policy as well as the physical development, management, and use of water, for water and land cannot be separated.

"* * * Now what is agriculture's need for water, or perhaps a better wording is: What is the Nation's need for agriculture?

"First, we now have 165 million people to feed and clothe. It is estimated that our population will be about 178 million in 1960. In addition, we expect to retain and add to our foreign markets for agricultural commodities.

"Now, what is our production base? Over three decades ago we reached a cropland base of approximately 350 million acres. That base has never been significantly exceeded, nor is it likely to be in the near future. As new land has been brought to production, other land has gone out of production by abandonment, for industrial and urban expansion, development of suburban areas, highways, airports, and other assorted uses. For the foreseeable future we must produce our foods, fibers, and agricultural products for industrial use from cropland now in use.

"* * * The geographic frontier is long gone—the vertical frontier of science and technology is beginning to unfold. As it unfolds, as we seek more production from the same acres, agricultural water becomes increasingly important.

"Competent authorities maintain that any program to increase per acre yields of crops in the humid area of our country—that part generally east of the Mississippi River—must include irrigation. To produce a bushel of corn requires about 10,000 gallons of water—a bushel of oats about 7,000—a ton of cured alfalfa hay about 200,000 gallons.

"As the use of improved seed, as increased amounts of fertilizer are applied, and as better growing practices are applied, the need for water goes up. For example, reports indicate that at Blacksburg, Va., rainfall during the past 56 years has been adequate to produce 25 bushels of corn per acre every year except two. This same rainfall would have been adequate to produce 75 bushels per acre only about half of those years. Yet as a result of application of known methods of farming to growing corn, 75 bushels per acre is a potential production figure at Blacksburg if water is available. This example repeats itself in some form throughout the country. Thus water supply and not fertilizer or lack of knowledge is an important limiting factor to any significant increase in food production which will ultimately be required by a growing nation.

"* * * In this effort Government has played and will continue to play a significant and important role. Let us see to it that this role is cooperative between people and their Government—local, State, and Federal—for the enlargement of resource capabilities and use where there is such real opportunity to develop a stronger, better America.

"* * * We have come to recognize that the end purpose of conservation is the welfare of the people, both present and future. There is rapidly developing a greater teamwork in our approach to problems of our conservation. This is particularly true with respect to water developments. All of us as individuals, as organizations, as Government, have a proper place to play on this team. All interests, private, local, State, and Federal must work together in our efforts to develop a strong, prosperous, stable, and free America.

"* * * Let us move forward to the establishment and perpetuation of an agriculture that is prosperous, dynamic, alert and free—an agriculture that can discharge its responsibilities fully and effectively, while at the same time maintaining for the people on the land rich and rewarding opportunity.

"This Administration is fully committed to helping our people build a sound, long-time farm policy for this Nation."

#
WATER SUPPLY

Continued from page 95

Streamflow and rainfall were deficient during the 1955 growing season on the W. C. Austin project. However, water supply was adequate and crop conditions are reported as good.

Oklahoma.—The irrigation season of 1955 has been one of extreme water shortage in many areas of Oklahoma. Deficiencies in water supply were particularly severe in the eastern section of the state.

In Malheur County water deliveries were stopped in mid-August by two districts. The Owyhee project is more fortunate and will continue to deliver some water until October 10, but a record low holdover of about 50,000 acre-feet is probable.

There is no water left in Unity Reservoir in Baker County, but the holdover storage in Wallowa Lake in Wallowa County appears to be better than for 1951, another dry year.

The flow of the Umatilla River has been very low but both McKay and Cold Springs Reservoirs have better water supplies than at this date last year. Crook County has been hard hit except for lands served by the Ochoco project. The reservoir is now the lowest it has been since 1949 and will probably be empty.

Deschutes County has had good water supplies where storage was available. Crescent Lake and Crane Prairie Reservoirs are now at very low levels. In Lake County, Drews Creek Reservoir together with Cottonwood has furnished good water supplies but current storage is very low. Elsewhere in this area the shortage of water has curtailed hay crops. Range conditions are poor.

Klamath Basin lands have been well supplied this year but storage in Gerber and Clear Lake reservoirs is very low. Gerber has less water available than at any time since 1931. Inflow to Upper Klamath Lake has been 70 percent of the 10-year average (1943–52).

In the Rogue River Basin shortages have been somewhat less than originally estimated and most crops had reasonably adequate water. Pasture lands suffered the greatest shortage. All reservoirs are at low levels. Medford and Rogue River Valley irrigation districts are still drawing water in limited amounts. The Talent irrigation district stopped deliveries on August 31.

Williamette Valley lands have experienced some water shortage but not to the extent suffered in eastern Oregon. Water storage in all Oregon reservoirs is currently very low or missing entirely. Present storage in 25 reservoirs is 96 percent of the average (1943–52) compared with last season when it was 95 percent of average. Much above average runoff will be needed next spring to produce the water needed for satisfactory water supplies. Watershed soils are much drier than for many years and will require considerable "priming" with rains or snowmelt water before runoff can begin.

South Dakota.—In general, storage in western South Dakota is considerable above the short-term normal for its reservoirs. The exception is the Belle Fourche Reservoir which is at 50 percent of the 1943–52 average.

Light precipitation during the summer months has left a soil moisture deficit which will make above normal rainfall during the fall and winter desirable.

Texas.—Streamflow in the Rio Grande in western Texas was extremely short. Crop production in this area was not materially affected due to groundwater development over the past few years. There has been at least 5 years of deficient streamflow in this section of the Rio Grande.

Water supply along the Pecos River was reasonably adequate due to storage in Red Bluff Reservoir after the Pecos River flood of last October. However, most of the storage has been used. Storage in Red Bluff Reservoir is now 25,000 acre-feet as compared to an average carry-over of about 45,000 acre-feet.

Utah.—The 1955 irrigation season in Utah has developed much as anticipated when the forecasts were issued last spring. Mild to severe water shortages have been felt throughout the State, with the most serious conditions existing in the southern counties and in the central Utah counties of the Colorado River drainage. The northern half of the State has generally experienced only mild shortages, with exceptions being in Rich County of the upper Bear River, the eastern part of the Uintah Basin and some areas around Utah Lake.

With minor exceptions, water users with reservoir storage rights have generally had sufficient water to mature their crops. This, however, has required that reservoir storage be depleted by three times an average amount.

With reservoir carryover storage being 37 percent of capacity compared to an average of 55 percent, above average runoff is needed in 1956. This is particularly desirable for some of the southern counties where three consecutive years of drought have been experienced.

Washington.—Washington reservoir status as of September 1, 1955, is 7 percent above the 1943–52 average. During the spring all reservoirs were exceptionally low due to a late runoff and early season irrigation demands. April and May streamflow was low but June and July streamflow was well above average. August streamflow has receded to a near normal level. Precipitation during the spring was normal or slightly below but in July picked up to well above normal, then fell to a near record low in August. Snowfall in the mountains of Washington during the winter produced the normal volume runoffs expected. Temperature during the spring and summer months have been generally below normal in the State of Washington.

During the months of April, May and June the increase in storage in Franklin D. Roosevelt Lake was approximately 4,475,000 acre-feet of water as compared to 1,439,000 last year for the same period.

The irrigation reservoirs in the Yakima watershed expect a good carryover if normal precipitation occurs this fall.

Mountain soil moisture is low due to the lack of precipitation during August.

Wyoming.—Reservoir storage for the state of Wyoming is down to 66 percent of normal as of September 1, 1955. North Platte storage is 47 percent of normal and Jackson Lake on the Snake River has 67 percent. Storage on the Bighorn River excluding Boysen Dam is about 50 percent of normal. The Keyhole Reservoir on the Belle Fourche is considerable above that of recent years. Soil moisture in most irrigated areas is low as a result of light to moderate precipitation during the summer season. With the exception of the Kendrick project on the North Platte, water supply for the State next year will be short if winter snowfall does not indicate well above normal runoff.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulder City, Nev.</td>
<td>Constructing about 6 miles of earth canal, partly earth-lined, including culverts, 7 farm- and county-road bridges, and 4 radial gates in check valves. Work will be done as part of a $15 million rehabilitation of the South Platte Supply Canal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder Canyon, Nev.</td>
<td>Constructing 2 turnouts, 2 traveling water screens, and 5 miles of 60- to 90-inch concrete pipe. Shafter Wastewater Disposal System, near Shafter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Constructing about 12 miles of 12- to 24-inch reinforced concrete pipe laterals including utility crossings, railroad crossings, 1 highway crossing, and 3 line meters. Contra Costa Distribution System, near Antioch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash.</td>
<td>Constructing 7 motor-driven, vertical, turbine-type pumping units, for Evergreen Pumping Plant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deschutes, Oreg.</td>
<td>Constructing 14 miles of earth canal and 700 feet of earth canal and steel pipe. Corning Canal, near Corning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Peck, Mont.</td>
<td>Constructing an earthfill dam 1,230 feet long and 50 feet high and about 1,200 feet high at the crest. On Haystack Creek, near 12 miles southwest of Madison.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan's Flats, Idaho</td>
<td>Constructing an 18-mile, 60-kilovolt, wood-pole, H-frame transmission line from Fort Peck Switchyard to Whately Substation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minidoka Reservoir, Idaho</td>
<td>Constructing a 15-foot-diameter horseshoe tunnel about 2.6 miles long. Helena Valley Tunnel, 15 miles east of Helena, adjacent to pouring the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Mont.</td>
<td>Constructing a 7,000-kilowatt, vertical-shaft generator for Glendo Power plant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Neb.</td>
<td>Constructing an earthfill dam, a 66,000-cubic-yard concrete arch structure 200 feet high and 500 feet long High River, near Antioch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palo Verde Diver- sion, Arizona-Calif.</td>
<td>Constructing a 30- by 60-footswitchyard including takeoff structure and foundations. On Colorado River, upstream from Glendive, about 9 miles by Highway No. 85.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provo River, Utah</td>
<td>Constructing an earthfill dam 1,200 feet long and 50 feet high, a gated concrete spillway structure, a concrete arch spillway structure, 6 miles of levees and drains, and an existing rock weir and headgates. Near Yakima.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Subject to change.
## Major Recent Contract Awards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
<th>Contract amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC-4348...</td>
<td>Parker-Davis, Ariz.-Calif.-Nev.</td>
<td>Aug. 16 Construction of additions to Wellton-Mohawk switchyard.....</td>
<td>$91,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4358...</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, N. Dak.</td>
<td>July 18 Three control boards and one addition to control board for Palisades-Pasco substation, schedule 1.</td>
<td>$57,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4359...</td>
<td>Palisades, Idaho</td>
<td>July 7 Seven 115-kilovolt power circuit breakers for Palisades switchyard.</td>
<td>$147,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4411...</td>
<td>Ola, Ariz.</td>
<td>July 13 Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for Texas Hill Canal and distribution system.</td>
<td>$1,554,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4418...</td>
<td>Weber Basin, Utah</td>
<td>July 22 Construction of Stoddard-Diversion Dam and Gateway Canal, stations 1+52.2 to 46+19.7.</td>
<td>$658,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4428...</td>
<td>Colorado-Big Thompson, Colo.</td>
<td>July 18 Construction of earthwork and structures for Firestone, South Platte Supply Canal, stations 1002+40 to 1094+55.</td>
<td>$138,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4428...</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>Aug. 30 Construction of switchboards for Hanover pumping plants No. 1, 2, 3, and 4, and 34.5-kilovolt tapline for Hanover pumping plant No. 2; and switchboards for Bluff pumping plant.</td>
<td>$52,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4428...</td>
<td>Palisades, Idaho</td>
<td>Aug. 3 Construction of 60 miles of Palisades-Goshen 115-kilovolt transmission lines.</td>
<td>64,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4428...</td>
<td>Palisades, Idaho</td>
<td>Aug. 3 Construction of 1 main control board, 1 annunciator relay cabinet, and one set of relays for Palisades powerplant.</td>
<td>906,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4428...</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin S. Dak.</td>
<td>Sept. 14 Construction of Pierre warehouse.</td>
<td>277,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4428...</td>
<td>Middle Rio Grande, N. Mex.</td>
<td>Sept. 9 Construction of earthwork, clearing, and structures for rehabilitation of 21.6 miles of drain, Unit CE-1.</td>
<td>111,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4428...</td>
<td>Palisades, Idaho</td>
<td>Aug. 3 Construction of earthwork, structures, and surfacing for relocation of Forest Service Road between McCoy Creek and Salt River, Palisades reservoir.</td>
<td>104,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4428...</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>Sept. 19 Two 16,750-hp hydraulic turbines for Glendo Powerplant.</td>
<td>434,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4428...</td>
<td>Colorado-Big Thompson, Colo.</td>
<td>Sept. 8 Rehabilitation and enlargement of Coal Ridge section of South Platte supply canal, stations 315+00 to 1026+84.</td>
<td>442,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4480...</td>
<td>Solano, Calif.</td>
<td>Aug. 14 Construction of earthwork, structures, and surfacing for relocation of California State Highway Route 102, from 15 miles north of Bakersfield to Route 6, Monticello reservoir.</td>
<td>448,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4482...</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash.</td>
<td>Sept. 7 Construction of earthwork, concrete lateral lining, and structures for North part of Black 38 3-miles, wasteways, and outlet works.</td>
<td>281,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4491...</td>
<td>Cachuma, Calif.</td>
<td>Sept. 7 Construction of earthwork, steel pipe lines, and pumping plant for Lateral 10-1 Extension, Carpinteria distribution System.</td>
<td>600,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4497...</td>
<td>Middle Rio Grande, N. Mex.</td>
<td>Sept. 14 Construction of earthwork, clearing, and structures for rehabilitation of 12.8 miles of drain, Unit A-W-2.</td>
<td>43,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4472...</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Sept. 7 Furnishing and installing armor plate for one generator unit at Ethac powerplant.</td>
<td>99,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4472...</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Sept. 23 Construction of earthwork and installing of supervisory control and telemeasuring equipment for Jamestown substation.</td>
<td>152,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4472...</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Sept. 23 Construction of earthwork, clearing, and structures for rehabilitation of 25.5 miles of drain, Unit AE-3.</td>
<td>47,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4472...</td>
<td>Ely, Nev.</td>
<td>Aug. 3 Rehabilitation of Four Mile Lake Dam and Fish Lake Dam.</td>
<td>138,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-231...</td>
<td>Medford Irrigation District, Ore.</td>
<td>Aug. 7 Twenty-one 2,000-volt motor control units for Group 4 deep-well pumping units and for salt pumping plant.</td>
<td>44,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-232...</td>
<td>Mindanao, Idaho</td>
<td>Sept. 7 Construction of earthwork and structures for lateral sublaterals, and well stimulating tools from Group 4 wells.</td>
<td>45,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-233...</td>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>Sept. 7 Moving and renovating residences; renovating existing buildings; constructing garages; and installing utilities for O&amp;M headquarters at Friant, Calif.</td>
<td>60,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-238...</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Aug. 19 Construction of Bountiful drainage A-1, A-1-1, and A-1-2.</td>
<td>148,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-238...</td>
<td>Weber Basin, Utah</td>
<td>Aug. 18 Construction of field office, testing laboratory and utilities for Glendo Dam.</td>
<td>173,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73C-337...</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>July 27 Construction of field office, testing laboratory and utilities for Willow Creek.</td>
<td>120,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73C-337...</td>
<td>Colorado-Big Thompson, Colo.</td>
<td>Sept. 7 Construction of field office, testing laboratory and utilities for Willow Creek.</td>
<td>31,498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73C-337...</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Nebr.</td>
<td>July 27 Construction of field office, testing laboratory and utilities for Willow Creek.</td>
<td>68,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Reclamation Era

- Powerline Construction Co., Nashville, Tenn.
- General Electric Co., Denver, Colo.
- Vega Engineering and Grading Co., Berkeley, Calif.
- Spence Electric, Moscow, Idaho.
- Gustavson, Inc., Kansas City, Mo.
- D. D. Skousen and Son, Albuquerque, N. Mex.
- Dale Aslett Sand and Gravel, Twin Falls, Idaho.
- G. L. Tarlton Contracting Co., St. Louis, Mo.
- J. E. Young Pipe Line Contractor, Inc., Los Angeles, Calif.
- General Electric Co., Denver, Colo.
- Northern Electric Co., East Grand Forks, Minn.
- Allison and Haney, Albuquerque, N. Mex.
- Mine and Smelter Supply Co., Salt Lake City, Utah.
- Ofol Nelson Construction Co., Logan, Utah.
- Earl Vincent Chettle, Salt Lake City, Utah.
- Wyoming Steel Buildings, Casper, Wyo.
- Eagle Construction Corp., Loveland, Colo.
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There is more than one way to skin a cat. Likewise, there is more than one way to control weeds on the ditchbanks of new irrigation projects. One way in which the Columbia Basin Project is really sold, because it is proving more permanent and lower in cost, is the use of competitive grasses.

This same idea is being put to use also by State and county engineers on road and highway rights-of-way with good success and when weed districts are formed in the project area that united front, so important in the battle against noxious weeds will have been achieved. And don't think that plenty of noxious and other weeds are not just waiting to invade new project farm lands through natural drainage areas and irrigation systems.

Crab Creek is the longest and driest stream in Washington and it drains 1900 square miles of dry farm land and other lands before entering the Columbia Basin Project. Its weed infested flood waters pass through the northern part of the project into the Potholes Reservoir which supplies the southern part of the Project with water. The setup is a natural for importing and spreading noxious weeds.

More than 4,000 acres of white top, Canada thistle, morning glory, and Russian knapweed were sprayed in the Equalizing Reservoir before it was filled. However, all range land draining into the Project reservoirs could not be sprayed. The hazard of infesting the new farm lands was there and it was real. Then too, the movement of people, livestock, and equipment inevitably brings into the Project area large quantities of weed seeds to establish beachheads from which other infestations start.

Some weeds take over in a blitzkrieg operation, as many Columbia Basin Project farmers can tell you. By 1955, barnyard grass, a summer annual weed called water grass by some westerners, had made its presence known to sugar beet and bean farmers after only one or two years.

Other weeds are slower, but more insidious in their attacks and may be just as dangerous to the farmers. They infiltrate and encamp on waste areas. Then with the aid of wind, water, and human transportation, they overrun clean lands on new farms before the real danger is realized.

On the Columbia Basin Project, a strategy was designed years ago by the Bureau of Reclamation to keep the project from being caught with “too little and too late” in the battle we knew was forthcoming. Even as fire is fought with fire, the theory was to use plants to fight plants by establishing tough, yet docile, grasses on the ditchbanks before the weeds arrived. In short, get there first with grass.

This gave rise to the tactical problem—what were the best grasses to employ? Our allies, Soil Conservation Service, Agricultural Extension Service, and Experiment Stations of the State College of Washington, and other agencies, cooperated fully and agreed that tests should be made of the most promising species before final plans were made for the main encounter.
The first trial plants were made on Irrigation Block 1, where irrigation water was available to about 5,400 acres in 1948. Water was scheduled for 66,000 acres in 1952, so time was available to learn much about suitable grasses before the enemy amassed its army of weeds.

The requirements for the competitive grasses were stringent as some had to be drought resistant species and live on dry, sandy soils, while others had to be adapted to silty soils, wet locations, gravelly ditchbanks or alkaline soils.

Streambank wheat grass came nearest to meeting the over-all or general conditions including tolerance of highly alkaline soils. Crested wheat-grass was found best for continuously dry sites. Brome and red top thrive on the wetter ditchbanks. It was known that the native grasses like Indian rice grass, stipa, slender wheat grass, and the few remaining stands of native Idaho fescue held their own in competition but they had 40 or 50 years to become established. Even though our grass plantings had to be established within 4 or 5 years to be effectively competitive with weeds, none of the grass men gave up hope.

Our early experience showed that the most favorable time for seeding grasses was between the late fall rains and the first winter freeze. While this period was often less than one month, about 75 percent of our grass plantings succeeded. Slowly, but surely, grass has appeared on the flat berms and steep slopes of the ditchbanks.

More experience and the development of special planting methods have extended the critical planting period until we are now able to plant from October 1 to February 1 under favorable conditions. Grain or grass drills can be used in the winter months if the ground is not frozen or covered with snow. The melting of later snows and spring rains usually results in good germination and stand of grass.

We have found that seed broadcast on snow often gives poor results unless the ground is not frozen and the operation is followed by disk ing or harrowing to mix the seed, snow, and unfrozen soil.

On gravelly ditchbanks, drilling, disk ing, or harrowing is not practical. However, if broad- cast when the ground is bare, the seed lodge amidst the gravel and a good competitive stand of grass usually is produced in about 2 years.

We devised special equipment to facilitate seeding on the side slopes of ditchbanks composed of loose, newly excavated soil. A finger weeder and a 6-volt electric broadcaster were clamped to a drawbar boom hinged to the side of a truck tractor. This seeder attachment sows a 4, 8, 10, or 14 foot swath and can be adjusted to positions from 45° above to 45° below horizontal.

In addition to grass seeding by Bureau crews this activity was included in construction contracts as a trial. Results to date have been satisfactory, even though the idea was new, both to the contracting firms and to the Bureau inspectors in Region 1. Among other advantages we believe the contract method encourages the contractor to complete canal construction in time to take advantage of the best planting conditions in the fall. When seeding must be delayed until the following fall, cost studies show that the necessary expenses of removing the inevitable Russian thistles before seeding is equal to or greater than the cost of seed ing the grasses. With each now contract, improved as well as standardized methods are being utilized, but some problems still need to be solved.

Top right: 3½ year stand of crested wheat grass on gravel near West Canal is thin, but get limited moisture before the weeds. At right: These are weeds! Eight different kinds—one poisonous, two noxious, five undesirable. Grass on this road borrow ditch carrying drain water could keep the weeds from getting a stand.
On the Columbia Basin Project field costs for seeding vary from $6 per acre under the most favorable conditions to about $50 per acre in a few critical locations requiring some hand work. Average per acre field costs, 1955 were:

- Seed, 10 lbs. per acre at 50¢ per lb. $5.00
- Labor at $1.75 per hour 2.25
- Equipment and transportation 7.75

Total average field cost per acre $15.00

The grasses which have been particularly effective and the types of areas to which each is adapted are given below:

- **Grasses Adapted on Columbia Basin Project Waterways**
  - Crested Wheat Grass (Standard and fairway strains) – Dry to moist areas
  - Stream Wheatgrass – Semi-moist to wet areas
  - Red Top and Smooth Brome – Waterlines and wet areas, large waterways
  - Creeping Red Fescue – Waterlines and wet areas, small laterals
  - Cereal Rye – As a companion to crested wheat grass in light soils subject to wind erosion

Some special problems arise in selecting the grasses to be planted. For example, the seeds of certain grasses, if planted on ditches, may contaminate certified seed crops in nearby fields. Also several clovers suitable for waterline plantings are not used because their seeds are difficult to remove from alfalfa, red clover, and ladino seed crops.

Another problem is establishing grass stands in the lighter soils subject to erosion—the very place most needed. A partial solution is the seeding of cereal rye as a companion crop with crested wheat grass. A few weeks of fall growth of the faster growing rye largely prevents the crested wheat seed blowing out and protects the new seedlings. However, if wind erosion is not controlled on adjacent farm units, the ditchbank grass plantings may be doomed to failure.

The success of ditchbank seedings on the Columbia Basin Project has resulted in interest by the county and State in seeding road rights-of-way. On a trial basis, Grant County has seeded four miles of county road margins and the State Highway Department 50 miles of new highways in the Columbia Basin Project area.

The total accomplishment to date by the Bureau of Reclamation in the control of weeds by competitive grass seedings is impressive. This is especially true when one considers the problems which had to be solved concerning low rainfall, light soils, high winds, cold winters, rainless summers and the introduction of irrigation water as a new element to the native environment. More than 5,000 acres of grass have been seeded on 1,100 miles of canals and laterals. Direct monetary savings are indicated generally by the fact that three-year-old grass stands have reduced by 60 to 75 percent the need for control by spraying.

The control of weeds by grass competition is well underway on the Columbia Basin Project. All the affected interests are joining as allies to meet the weed problem on all fronts. Control by grass from the outset goes a long way in solving the control of weeds. But continued efforts are mandatory, and a united front the only ultimate answer.

---

**February 1956**

*Streambank wheat grass provides competitive turf along waterline of small laterals. Crested wheat grass gives adequate protection on drier slopes of the ditchbank.*
Commissioner Dexheimer discussed the surplus Reclamation crop charges at a luncheon meeting of the Alpha Zeta Agricultural Honorary Scholastic Organization in the Department of Agriculture, Washington, D. C. on November 17. A condensation of his talk follows:

MUCH HAS BEEN WRITTEN and more has been said regarding crop surpluses. A principal question these days is “Why should we develop additional irrigated farmlands in the face of current over-production”? Generalizations are often misleading. They are not only misleading—they result in gross misinformation which indicts reclamation as a principal contributor to these surpluses.

First, I would like to call to your attention some of the over-all considerations that have a bearing on the development of our agricultural resources. Then I will discuss the relationship of reclamation project production to crop surpluses.

Population in the United States is increasing at a rate which, a decade ago, would have been unbelievable. The Western States are gaining at a much faster rate than the rest of the country. Recent projections by the Bureau of the Census indicate a total population by 1975 of about 221 million (an upper limit of 228 million), and by 1965, only ten years hence, of 190 million.

Fifteen to 20 years ago our demographers were saying our population would level off during the 1950’s and would decline after 1960. Less than ten years ago our population experts were saying that by 1975 we would reach 162 million. We have already exceeded that figure. The estimate for 1975 is just 50 million people greater than it was 10 years ago. It is not my purpose in calling attention to these estimates to belittle the demographers but to emphasize the tremendous actual and potential increase in population.

The Administrator of the Soil Conservation Service, Mr. D. A. Williams, in a recent speech before the National Reclamation Association, pointed out that more than 115 million acres are now occupied by cities, highways, airports, defense establishments, and for industrial use. Eighty million acres of this area were originally good farmland. Mr. Williams also pointed out that we are losing farmland to nonagricultural uses at a rate of more than one million acres per year despite any new acreage brought in by irrigation projects.

Dr. Byron T. Shaw, in recent testimony before Congressional Committees, said that if we are to eat an average of red meat annually, which was the consumption in 1954, we will require some 35 million acres more of land in 1962 than was used...
in 1953 to grow feed. It has been variously estimated by responsible officials in the Department of Agriculture that a balance will be reached between production and consumption within about 3 to 5 years.

Other significant trends are in evidence also. The increasing population of the West is consuming more and more of the farm production of the Western States. Only a few years ago cattle raised in the Great Basin were shipped eastward. Now the line of demarcation between the East and West bound movement of cattle is generally, I believe, at about the Continental Divide, but with some Texas cattle moving to the west coast.

The increase in the per capita consumption of fruits and vegetables reflects a further change in our diet and eating habits. The irrigated West supplies a substantial part of the total requirement for these protective and health-giving foods, much of which is marketed during the late fall, winter and early spring.

In this perspective, consider that many years elapse between the time a Federal Reclamation project is first proposed and the time the lands are in full agricultural production. We must go through the extensive process of investigation, review of proposed reports by all interested State and Federal agencies, authorization by the Congress, the appropriation of funds for construction, the development of final plans and specifications, the construction of the physical facilities of the project, and finally the settlement of the land. Even after the farmers are on the land, several years are necessary for the leveling and construction of farm ditches. On a project settled over an extended period from 5 to 25 years may be required to bring the total area served into full production. Projects or additions to projects which are proposed today will not come into full production for several years after we have attained a balance in overall production and consumption. Many of our projects supply supplemental water to an existing economy—that is, they assure water year after year to stabilize production.

The principal price support crops on which there is some production on reclamation projects are barley, corn, oats, wheat, rye, grain sorghum, cotton, dry and edible beans, flaxseed, rice and soybeans. The cereal grains are grown primarily for feed and to provide a balanced farming operation, including the rotation of crops for maintenance of soil structure and soil fertility.

Data obtained from the Department of Agriculture show by States the 1954 production of each of these crops under the support program. The amount of production which is actually price supported varies from 5 to 50 percent. Using the percentage of production supported in each State, we have estimated the amount produced on reclamation projects which might be considered supported. The total production of each cereal crop on Reclamation projects in 1954, as a percentage of United States production, varies from about one-sixth of 1 percent to about 7.5 percent. Thus, when you take into consideration the relatively small portion of each total crop which is price supported, it becomes clearly evident that support for Reclamation project production is negligible and of little significance.

Many Western irrigation projects are in close proximity to large public grazing areas. These cereal crops supplement the grazing lands and permit better utilization of the 700 million acres of western rangelands by providing a late fall, winter and spring feed supply. Grazing of many acres would not be economically feasible by reason of high transportation costs of livestock to and from grazing lands were it not for these irrigated feed base lands.

We hear a great deal about how wheat production on Reclamation projects is in competition with midwestern wheat. Wheat produced on Reclamation projects in 1954 was less than 2 percent, and the amount supported was less than 1 percent of the United States production of about 970 million bushels. This is hardly a major contribution to the problem of overproduction of wheat. Actually, instead of contributing to that problem, farming as practiced under irrigation tends to alleviate this problem.

In Washington State we are building the Columbia Basin project. Facilities are being completed each year to serve an additional 50 to 60 thousand acres with irrigation water. In the principal counties in which the project is located, approximately 92 percent of the cropland was used for dryland wheat in 1950. The acreage harvested was 45.6 percent, indicating the amount in summer fallow to be about equal to that har-
Two Bureau of Reclamation projects, Grand Coulee Dam of the Columbia Basin Project, and Hoover Dam were recently named among the “Seven Modern Civil Engineering Wonders of the United States” by the American Society of Civil Engineers’ Committee.

Interior Secretary Douglas McKay said that he was particularly impressed with the criteria established by the Committee which stressed service to the well-being of people and communities. The Secretary stated further that the selection of these projects is a fitting recognition of engineers who have made the Bureau of Reclamation a world-renowned authority on hydraulic planning and construction.

During the ASCE’s Centennial Year (1952), its Local Sections were encouraged to select the seven civil engineering wonders of their respective areas. Selections were made and publicized by many Sections, representing considerable thought and effort by a large number of members of the Society.

At its October 1954 meeting the Board of Directors gave further consideration to this matter and empowered President Glidden to name a committee to examine the local choices already made, to make a national selection of the seven modern civil engineering wonders in the United States, and to report its recommendations to the Board.

The following members served on the Committee: Waldo Bowman, New York; Vice President Louis Howson, Chicago; Past President Malcolm Pirnie, New York; Past President Daniel V. Terrell, Kentucky; Ralph A. Tudor, San Francisco; and J. Kip Finch, New York, Chairman. Mr. Bowman and Dean Finch are former Directors.

After months of deliberation, during which careful consideration was given to 33 projects designed and built by civil engineers, the Committee recommended, and the Board of Directors concurred in, the selection of the following: Chicago’s Sewage Disposal System; the Colorado River Aqueduct; the Empire State Building; the Grand...
Grande Coulee Dam and Columbia Basin Project; Hoover Dam; the Panama Canal; and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge. The order in which these projects are named is alphabetical and has no other significance.

GRAND COULEE DAM is unique in being the largest concrete structure in the world, and is the spectacular feature of the million-acre multi-purpose COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT.

The Dam is 92 miles west and north of Spokane and 240 miles east of Seattle. It is 4,173 feet long, stands about 370 feet above the surface of the Columbia River, contains 10½ million cubic yards of concrete, and weighs about 22 million tons. Its height from lowest bedrock is 550 feet, nearly half its volume being below the river surface.

The central spillway is 1,650 feet wide, and the waterfall over it is half as wide and twice as high as Niagara Falls. The dam created the Franklin D. Roosevelt Lake, a reservoir extending 151 miles from the structure to the Canadian Border. Grand Coulee will ultimately provide water for irrigating about a million acres, an area larger than the State of Rhode Island. It also involves the largest hydroelectric power plant in the world with 18 main generators and 3 service generators, having an installed capacity of 1,974,000 kilowatts. Part of this power output is used to pump water to irrigate lands which are located above the river. These centrifugal pumps are the largest in the world and one of them is large enough to pump the water requirements of the City of New York.

Power from Grand Coulee Dam is distributed chiefly through substations near Portland, Seattle, and Spokane to industrial plants and to private and municipal power utilities by the Interior Department’s Bonneville Power Administration, which built and operates the Government transmission system in the Pacific Northwest.

Pioneers homesteaded much of the Columbia Basin Project area of eastern Washington in the late 1800’s. After a succession of dry years many settlers left the region. Those who remained concentrated their attention on irrigating the dry
Columbia Plains with water from deep artesian wells. In 1904, the U. S. Reclamation Service investigated the possibilities of land along the Columbia River in Grant, Adams, and Franklin Counties in Eastern Washington. However, it was not until innumerable additional investigations, reports, and studies had been made that construction was begun on the project, almost a generation later in 1934. The first power went on the line in 1941 and irrigation water became available in 1948.

Grand Coulee Dam, once referred to as a “white elephant” was destined to justify its backers when it proved the main source of sorely needed power for defense industries which sprung up in the Pacific Northwest during World War II.

The year 1955 has been one of progress in the Columbia Basin in agriculture and in the growth of its cities. Four hundred and ninety-six farms were irrigated in 1952, with a farm income of $4.6 million. In 1953, 1153 farms were irrigated to bring a farm income of $9 million. Columbia Basin farm income for 1954 was in excess of $16 million, an increase of more than $7 million over the 1953 figure. Water was delivered to 1846 farm units in 1954. Dollar turn-over of farm income in the last three years of operation is more than $30 million. Increase is expected to continue at a like rate for the next few years.

When the Columbia Basin Project is completed it is estimated 14,000 farms will bring an annual dollar turn-over of $600 to $700 million to the Basin and the Pacific Northwest.

Some of the major crops raised in the basin were 34,542 acres of dry beans, 9,059 of potatoes; 862 of onions; 8,253 of sugar beets; 1,245 of peas; 9,075 of alfalfa; 2,098 of other hay; 2,969 of pasture; 4,544 of field corn and sorghums; 11,951 of wheat; 3,705 of oats, barley and rye; and a few acres each of specialty crops, such as vegetable seed, mint, cantaloupes, watermelons, and flower bulbs.

The Columbia Basin will continue to grow as more and more land is irrigated during the next few years. As one observer said, “We think we are busy now, we think the area is pretty well settled . . . but we ain’t seen nothin’ yet compared to what it will be.”

**HOOVER DAM**, formerly Boulder Dam, is the principal engineering structure of the multi-purpose Boulder Canyon Project. The dam, highest in the world, stands 726 feet and is located in the Black Canyon on the Colorado River, Arizona-Nevada.

It is 1,244 feet long at top, contains 4,400,000 cubic yards of concrete. Its reservoir, Lake Mead, extends 115 miles upstream and has a storage capacity of 29,827,000 acre feet and is the largest artificial lake by volume in the world.

In the U-shaped Hoover Dam power plant there are 18 generators, having a total capacity of 1,249,800 kw, driven by turbines totaling 1,742,000 h. p. The installed capacity is sufficient to supply the normal domestic needs of 7,500,000 persons. The United States has executed contracts for disposal of all firm and secondary energy generated at the plant until 1987, the end of the amortization

Continued on page 24

**WORLD CROSSROADS IN A DESERT**—A strip of dark blue water—Lake Mead, one of the world’s largest man-made lakes, stretches 115 miles above Hoover Dam creating a startling contrast with the arid rugged countryside along its shores. Department of the Interior Photo.
Dear Mr. Peterson:

Please convey my greetings to the members of the National Reclamation Association on the occasion of your Twenty-fourth Annual Meeting.

An increasing urban population, expanded irrigation and industrial needs, and recurring drought conditions have helped make us all aware that we must work toward the maximum practicable use of our water. To accomplish this objective, it is essential that the Federal Government, States and local groups work together.

We must continue to foster local and regional enterprise in the irrigation field. But there are also multi-purpose projects -- such as Upper Colorado, Fryingpan-Arkansas, and Trinity -- that involve financing which, in part, can be carried successfully only by the Federal Government. Such projects require major Federal participation.

Your organization has been among the foremost in promoting sound conservation practices and the wise use of our water resources. With my personal felicitations to you, I extend to all its members my best wishes for a successful convention and for continued contributions to the prosperity and welfare of the nation.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Mr. C. Petrus Peterson  
President  
National Reclamation Association  
Lincoln, Nebraska
A series of meetings were held in Albuquerque and Socorro, New Mexico, from April 26 to May 4, 1955, that were unique in the history of the modern world. Sponsored by the Committee on Desert and Arid Zone Research of the Southwestern and Rocky Mountain Division of the American Association for the Advancement of Science and the Advisory Committee of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, these meetings were the first attempt to lay the groundwork for an organized and orderly approach to solving the problems of making practical use of desert lands throughout the world.

More than 500 scientists representing Iran, Mexico, Israel, Australia, Pakistan, France, Egypt, Philippines, England, India, Brazil, Chile, Italy, the Gold Coast, Lebanon, Syria, Netherlands, Thailand, Ethiopia, Nicaragua, Iraq, Canada, Honduras and Sweden traveled to the gathering. The United States as the host country had the largest representation. Four technical sessions, an all-day summary in 15 discussion groups, and a special symposium on problems of the Upper Rio Grande as an example of an Arid Zone Watershed were held in Albuquerque from April 27 to 29. Later, approximately 90 of the delegates made a field trip through the Estancia Valley, White Sands Proving Grounds and over the Rio Grande Project and the San Marcial Channelization Project of the Middle Rio Grande Project. On April 30 the final three-day session began at the New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology at Socorro.

The opening sessions at Albuquerque were devoted to a description of the varied arid land problems existent in the countries represented. Suggestions for the solution of these problems were given major attention by the conferees and were summarized carefully in order to develop a central point for future action.
Items discussed in the technical groups were of particular interest and the varied topics are well illustrated by the questions treated by various speakers.

Under the chairmanship of Reed W. Bailey, Director, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, one group of scientists discussed the “Variability and Predictability of Water Supply in Arid Regions.”

A session presided over by Kanwar Sain, Chairman, Central Water and Power Commission, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi, India, considered the subject of “Better Use of Present Resources.” The questions discussed under this topic were most interesting. “What are the possibilities of increasing and maintaining sustained production from grass and forest lands without accelerating erosion? What are consequences of utilizing arid lands beyond their capabilities? What constitutes wise allocation of available water supplies among the various needs in arid land drainage areas? How can production be increased from existing water supplies? Can irrigated lands be occupied permanently?

“Prospects for Additional Water Sources” was a timely subject debated under the chairmanship of E. J. Workman, President, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, Socorro, New Mexico. Consideration of this topic was divided into four phases. “How practicable is it to induce precipitation? How practicable is it to demineralize saline water? How practicable is it to reuse waste waters? What are the social and economic implications of these programs

Another most interesting topic “Better Adaptation of Plants and Animals to Arid Conditions” was discussed under the leadership of Olaf S. Aamodt, Technical Specialist, Plant Sciences, Agricultural Research Service, Beltsville, Maryland. The questions considered in developing this subject were most thought-provoking. “What screening procedures would lead to the selection of more productive plant and animal species for arid regions? What are the genetic and physiological bases for drought resistance in plants and animals? What are the prospects of increasing drought resistance through genetic research? How can we develop a program of revegetation? What are the economic possibilities in the development and utilization of arid land plants and animals? What are the possibilities of maintaining larger human populations in arid areas?”

One of the principal speakers in the Section devoted to Better Use of Present Resources was L. N. McClellan, Assistant Commissioner and Chief Engineer of the Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, Colorado. He began his address by reviewing the development of the arid Western United States showing that much of this progress was due to the use of irrigation water. He stated that further progress will be increasingly difficult and constitutes a challenge to the ingenuity of
mankind. The allocation of water between primary and secondary uses comes about more or less naturally as human consumption will always be given priority with industrial and agricultural uses in a secondary position.

Mr. McClellan stated that data show that about one-third of the total stream runoff in the Western United States can properly be used for irrigation and at present only about one-fifth is used for this purpose. He continued by stressing the need for increasing the use of surface and ground water storage and that the former is the prime means of developing and utilizing our surface water supplies. We must have adequate regulation of erratic flows from intermittent precipitation.

He emphasized the length of time necessary for orderly planning and construction of water conservation works stating that the Columbia Basin Project is a good example.

His review of our population growth, especially in the West showed the increasing need for domestic water. He touched on the opinion of some people that the use of water for irrigation is wasteful, stating that we feel it is justified by the increased production of food and fibre and consequent increase in national wealth. The lands on Bureau of Reclamation projects, about one-fourth of the irrigated lands in this country, produced crops valued at $786,000,000 in 1953. Storage structures for irrigation also provide important benefits in power development and for flood control.

In transporting water in irrigation canals, often one-half of the amount diverted is lost through seepage, evaporation and other nonbeneficial uses. This should be corrected. In the six years ending in 1952, about 25 million square yards of linings were placed in more than 750 miles of canals and laterals on Federal reclamation projects, saving an estimated 700,000 acre-feet of water annually. He stressed the fact that excessive weed growth in canals increases losses due to seepage and transpiration. Water must be used and reused by industry, even though the cost is high.

He mentioned the saline water research program being carried on by the Department of the Interior, both on sea water and saline waters of the Interior, including reuse.

To illustrate the growing shortage of water he stated that the City of Colorado Springs recently offered to buy water from irrigators at the rate of $350 per acre foot. He showed that cities must encourage residents to economize on water use and that more rigid regulations would doubtless be required, including expensive treatment of industrial waste and sewage effluent. Another avenue of research is to determine the minimum quantity of water required to produce maximum crops. He estimated that 500,000 acre feet of water could be salvaged by increased efficiency in water use on our irrigated lands.

He asserted his belief that irrigation can be continued indefinitely if two factors are kept in mind: adequate drainage and ample reservoir storage with sufficient allowance for sediment accumulation.

Mr. McClellan concluded by stressing the need for broader and more comprehensive planning for resource development and stated that quick and inexpensive exploitation must be stopped. He plead for broad cooperation by all concerned in order to achieve maximum use of resources.

A special symposium on “Problems of the Upper Rio Grande” under the chairmanship of Dr. A. N. Sayre, Chief, Ground Water Branch, Geological Survey, Washington, D. C. covered some eight phases relating to conditions in this area and as exemplifying the status of similar areas throughout the world. Two other speakers represented the Bureau of Reclamation and one presented the ideas of a Reclamation water-user organization in describing special problems in this area.

Mr. John C. Thompson, Project Manager of the Middle Rio Grande Project, showed the reason for present conditions by outlining the history of irrigation in the Middle Valley. He pointed out that some of the lands were being irrigated when Coronado came in 1540 and that maximum development of the area was reached in 1880 when about 125,000 acres were under development. He described the critical condition existing at present and presented data showing that runoff on the
by VICTOR I. CORBELL, President, SALT RIVER VALLEY WATER USERS’ ASSOCIATION

Editor's Note: For your information we are publishing a verbatim account of Mr. Corbell’s remarks before the recent National Reclamation Association’s 24th Annual Convention at Lincoln, Nebraska, where he presented the Salt River Project’s check to Secretary McKay.

Mr. Peterson, Secretary of the Interior McKay, Commissioner Dexheimer, Honored Guests and Members of the National Reclamation Association:

It is a real honor to represent the oldest multiple purpose reclamation project, the Salt River Project, and bring you greetings from the Valley of the Sun in Arizona.

The Salt River Project has the distinction of being the first multiple purpose project that reclamation built. It was authorized in 1903 under the Reclamation Act and Roosevelt Dam was completed in 1911 at a cost of $10,166,000. It was dedicated in the same year by the late Theodore Roosevelt for whom the dam was named. I had the pleasure of attending the dedication, and little did I think then as a teenage boy that I would sign a check as president of the Salt River Valley Water Users’ Association to make the last payment on the original construction cost of this project.

Much has been said about the Reclamation Bureau in the past few years, some critical and some in praise.

Now that we are completing our repayment contract on the original construction cost, perhaps we should analyze what reclamation has done for our community.

I shudder to think what our Valley of the Sun would be today had the Reclamation Bureau not built this great project. No one could tell what progress we would have made had it not been built, but I can tell you what we had before it was built.

Irrigation isn’t new in our Valley. The Hohokam Indians lived in this Valley, and raised crops by irrigation in 300 B.C. They had an elaborate system, and it is estimated that their population exceeded 10,000 people. After many years of off-and-on prosperity here, the waterlogging of the land and drouth drove the Hohokam Indians out. When white men came in the 1860’s the ruins of the Indian villages and their canal system were very evident. In fact many of the canals used today follow the exact lines of the old Indian canals.
The early white settlers soon encountered the same problem that had plagued the Indians down through the centuries—DROUGHT. The river was very erratic—most of the year a mere trickle of water flowed between its banks but occasionally great floods would come rushing from the mountains, tearing away the brush dams and washing away the headings of the canals of the early settlers, and dumping millions of acre-feet of water into the Gulf of California. When the diversion dams of rock and brush were restored the river had receded to a trickle again.

Nature caught up with white men just as it caught up with the Indians. Many of the settlers moved away.

With the building of the multiple purpose Roosevelt Dam, with its hydro-electric generation, the problems of these early pioneers were finally solved. It gave an adequate, controlled supply of water and furnished electricity for pumping the water from the underground, relieving the water-logged condition and also augmenting the irrigation supply. Water and power go hand in hand with agriculture and industry, creating an unbeatable economy.

Let us compare what we had then and what we have today—

In 1910, just before the Project was completed, the assessed valuation of all land in Maricopa County was $17,800,000; in 1955 the assessed valuation shot up to $359 million. In 1910 crop production in Maricopa County approached $3 million; in 1954 more than $57 million was realized from crops raised within the Project alone. More than $1 billion worth of food and fiber has come from the Salt River Project since the completion of Roosevelt Dam. Where there were 15,000 people in Maricopa County in 1910; there are now 500,000. Last year bank clearings in Phoenix totalled almost $4 billion, and retail sales amounted to $537 1/2 million. Since 1922 the Project payroll has amounted to $67 million. The Project has also paid $21 million in interest on bonded debt to people all over the United States, and has con-
structed a plant valued at $78 million. That briefly is what the successful Salt River Project has meant to Arizona and the Southwest. Now, what has it meant to the Nation?

With the production of food stuff alone, the Project has joined with the other reclamation projects to virtually revolutionize the American menu. Americans are the best fed people on earth, and the Valley of the Sun makes its contribution with a prolific production of vegetables and fruits. At a time when most of the country's producing areas lie deep in snow—fresh lettuce, carrots, cab-
barge, onions, dates, pecans, grapes, oranges and grapefruit are a few of the items that flow out of the Valley to the Nation's tables—beef, mutton, cotton, and grain are also shipped from the Valley to the markets of the world.

This export of important food products is only half the story. A tremendous market is created within the Project boundaries for goods produced in other states. For instance, our lettuce farmer may fertilize his ground with phosphates from the southern states, use a tractor made in Wisconsin, gasoline refined in Texas, a disc or gang plow made in Illinois, a pump from Ohio, a motor from New York, a transformer from Indiana, nails from Pennsylvania, box-making machinery from California, paper from Tennessee, a truck from Michigan, ice-making machines from Illinois or Iowa. He then loads his product into a freight car fabricated by a dozen manufacturers in different eastern areas.

In dollars and cents, however, we find the most astounding returns. You must remember that the original investment of the Government in the Salt River Project was a loan of $10,166,000. From the original investment, 1/7 of the cost of a battleship, the United States has received in income and excise taxes from Maricopa County alone, more than $609 million since 1934—a 6,000 percent return on its investment in 20 years.

There are those who insist in loud and sometimes effective voice that reclamation does not pay; that irrigation projects must be subsidized by the taxpayer, and even the most successful projects cannot pay their own way. There are those who claim there is too much land in cultivation now; that our surplus crop holdings threaten the future of the Nation's economy. These are the same prophets of doom, the advocates of scarcity, who never can face facts. These people recommend the abandonment of reclamation; that we discontinue building more reclamation projects; that we let food and fiber production catch up with consumption. It takes years to build these reclamation projects and we would be in a sad state of affairs if we sat idly by and let the population increase faster than our food and fiber production. Having too much is a much better position to be in than having too little.

Without reclamation this rapidly expanding economy would have been impossible. Its very foundation is made secure by the conservation and efficient use of our water. Therefore, Mr. Secretary, on behalf of the 50,000 shareholders of the Salt River Valley Water Users' Association, I want to demonstrate, here and now, that the Government's faith in the Salt River Project has not been misplaced; that every dollar invested is repaid.

I take great pleasure in presenting to you this check for $159,500.10. This is the final payment to the United States on the original $10,166,000 loan to the Salt River Valley Water Users' Association, and is proof positive that reclamation pays its way.

The citizens of our Valley will be eternally grateful to the United States Government for making this great project possible.
John Marr Dille is a “ramrod”—a man who gets things done. It’s the good fortune of the Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District to have him as its manager.

Able, devoted, quiet and conservative, a solid citizen who proceeds soundly rather than spectacularly, Dille has been the push behind the Colorado-Big Thompson Project, from the irrigators’ aspect, for the last 20 years.

Now, approaching 80, he can watch the last dirt moved on the last supply canal that this spring will complete the $159 million job. District residents can be deeply grateful for the services to them by “the man from Fort Morgan” in bringing the project to this point.

Dille has been Secretary-Manager of the District since its formation in 1937, and for the two years previous he was manager of its predecessor organization.

Charles Hansen, esteemed Greeley newspaper publisher who died in 1953, was president of both organizations. The two made an extraordinarily effective team, working with a large number of northern Colorado community leaders who also supplied drive and determination to get the project. Hansen was the great public leader and Dille was his right-hand man—the doer—through the difficult period of project development. Hansen was the superb strategist, Dille the master diplomat and craftsman.

Dille had accomplished a full and successful career as an irrigation district manager even before the Colorado-Big Thompson development began. A native of Denver, he was a farmer before he went to Fort Morgan, Colorado, in 1910 to be the first superintendent of the Riverside Irrigation District. The Riverside, its works sprawling for 70 miles along the South Platte River, had as many and as serious problems as any other large district but is one of the few whose bonded indebtedness was cleaned up without dissolution or litigation.

His management of the Riverside was so successful that the Bijou Irrigation District, across the river, arranged in 1924 for him to become its super-
intendant, too. For the Bijou he devised a “credit and debit” system of delivering water under the complications of a long ditch carrying many different types of water. The system was legally challenged, and ultimately the Colorado Supreme Court gave it complete approval.

So thorough was Dille’s knowledge of the South Platte that he could quote the priority date, diversion rate and capacity of each adjudicated priority on the main stem.

As a leader among irrigationists, “the man from Fort Morgan” naturally participated in the first discussions when the plan to divert surplus Colorado River waters into the South Platte basin began to stir.

The twin scourges of drought and depression had descended on the South Platte area in the early 1930’s. The need for supplemental water from across the mountains had long been known, but the obstacles—political, legal, and financial—seemed as high as the mountains themselves.

Someone had to raise money for preliminary feasibility surveys, and to figure out construction financing. Someone had to tour the valley and satisfy the public demand for information about construction plans, costs, methods of financing and potential benefits. Someone had to allay the fears of Colorado’s western slope about the effects of diversion and determine a fair means of settlement.

Dille was in the middle of all of these essential preliminary tasks and many others. This would be quite an assignment for any man, but it was particularly so for the quiet, reserved person who, in spite of his capabilities, had never before been called upon to address public gatherings; who had not had occasion to talk to heads of rail and industrial corporations, much less to obtain money donations from them. Yet Dille became both forceful and lucid in his presentations.

It is testimony to his adaptability and to the caliber of his performance that the South Platte Valley was wholeheartedly sold on the proposals. The valley’s engineering survey led to the Bureau of Reclamation’s project report, completed in 1937.

An entity was required that would have the

power to contract with the United States for construction repayment under reclamation law. The Northern Colorado Water Users Association, established in 1935, accordingly sponsored and obtained passage of the Colorado Conservancy District Act of 1937. Dille contributed a great deal to the drafting and passage of this act. Further, it became his task to organize and complete the district, establishing its boundaries and obtaining thousands of signatures of property owners.

When the association directors held their organization meeting to form the Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District in 1937, they named Dille as its manager, and he resigned from his dual superintendency of the Riverside and Bijou districts to devote full time and effort to the new enterprise.

(In the same year, the Colorado Water Conservation Board was created to protect and develop the State’s water resources. Dille was appointed by Governor Teller Ammons to represent the South Platte basin on the board. As a personal friend and advisor, he rendered valuable service to the board’s nationally known director, the late Clifford H. Stone. He served on the board for 15 of the last 18 years, and performed memorable service to the State.)

The new conservancy district’s first task was to negotiate the repayment contract with the Bureau of Reclamation for the irrigation features of the Colorado-Big Thompson Project. By the overwhelming majority of 17 to 1 the tax-paying electors approved the contract negotiated by Dille and others.

When Dille assigned tasks to others, they dared not delay because he followed up assignments with diligence. The plans, operations and procedures of the Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District are indelibly marked with that quality of native “know-how” so characteristic of J. M. Dille.

Through all the years, Mrs. Dille has maintained the family home at Fort Morgan. Their three sons reflect credit on them and their dignified family life. John is a “Life” magazine foreign correspondent, Gordon is an electrical engineer with Westinghouse, and Deane is a Denver florist.

To the man who dedicated himself to the accomplishment of a great task, the present and future citizens who will benefit from his efforts owe a resounding “Well done!”

THE RECLAMATION ERA
Where Corn is King

by WAYNE S. SCOTT

Editor's Note: We are indebted to the Albuquerque Journal for the following article which appeared in the October 23, 1955, issue of the Journal in the Farm and Ranch column.

Farmers of Iowa and other states proud of their tall corn had better look to their laurels.

The corn crop at Los Poblanos Ranch this year is outproducing practically anything in the corn states. The yield from one acre—carefully measured and harvested under direction of County Agent C. A. Grimes—weighed out at 169.71 bushels. That compares with 52, 50 and 49.7 bushel 10-year averages for the states of Illinois, Iowa and Indiana, respectively, and the national long-time average of 35.7 bushels to the acre. The 1955 New Mexico yield has been estimated to average 16 bushels per acre, including both irrigated and dry-land corn.

Grimes says the crop “points up the potential yield ability of the Middle Rio Grande soils where fertility levels are sufficiently built up and where good management practices are followed.” He attributes the high yield to fertile soil, an adequate supply of irrigation water and good management.

The corn field is west of Rio Grande Blvd. and north of the dairy barns of Los Poblanos. Building up of the soil has been underway almost ten years. The particular field was an irrigated pasture from 1946 through 1950, was in oats in 1951, and in irrigated pasture again from 1952 through 1954.

When the field was plowed this spring, it was fertilized with 150 pounds of superphosphate and ten tons of manure to the acre. The land was pre-irrigated on May 18. The corn—a hybrid strain known as Funks G91—was planted May 25. An excellent stand was obtained, with plants about eight inches apart in rows 40 inches apart. The field was irrigated seven times during the growing season, and fertilized twice.

One fertilization was immediately after the first cultivation, when 100 pounds of anhydrous ammonia was applied as a side dressing. When the corn was in silk, another 70 pounds of anhydrous ammonia was applied in the irrigation water.

The corn was dusted with a mixture of 40 pounds of dusting sulphur and 5 pounds of 50 percent DDT per acre, to control red spider, the Southwestern corn borer and corn earworms.

The corn crop will be used in the ration of the Los Poblanos dairy herd.
FRANK A. BANKS
Honored by ASCE

Frank A. Banks, of Coulee (right), receives from Past President William Roy Glidden, of Richmond, Va., the highest distinction of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Honorary Membership, at its October convention in New York. The citation read:

"The American Society of Civil Engineers confers Honorary Membership on Frank A. Banks, world-renowned engineer and pioneer staff member of the Bureau of Reclamation, in recognition of his services to mankind in the building of great dams and the development of the water resources of the earth." Photo by National News and Illustration Service Inc. (For Mr. Banks' biography, see Reclamation's Hall of Fame Nomination No. 10—The Reclamation Era July 1950, page 130).

CREDIT

The photograph of Charles S. Willfoung in the article "Disabled Display Ability," page 83, November issue of the Era was taken by F. S. Finch, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation.

Butcher Paper Mural
Heads Columbia Basin Project Exhibits

The Ephrata Schools were hosts to 200 teachers who attended the Eastern Washington Social Studies Conference held on November 4–5, 1955, at Ephrata, Washington. A 12 by 27-foot mural on butcher paper was the feature attraction in a gymnasium filled with exhibits prepared by children from the first grade to the senior year in high school. The exhibits were built around the theme, "The Columbia Basin—Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow".

The mural was spray painted in appropriate colors on strips of butcher paper pasted together. The paper was pasted together and painted on the stage floor. It was then raised and hung to fill the opening on the stage. Grand Coulee Dam, a canal, sagebrush land, and new irrigated land were featured in the mural.

An estimated 3,000 persons viewed the exhibits at the public showing over the weekend. W. E. (Brownie) Walcott, Bureau of Reclamation Geologist and popular local speaker, and Frank T. Bell, Columbia Basin pioneer and advocate of irrigation in the West, were the principal speakers at the special meetings attended by the visiting teachers.

BUTCHER PAPER MURAL portraying Columbia Basin Project was created by Ephrata High School students, in the picture, L. to R.: Larry Boyd, Lorraine Lebfeldt, and Byron Davis. Photo by courtesy of the Wenatchee Daily World.
A feature of the Central Valley Project now under construction is a unique structure for removing fish from the waters approaching the world’s second largest pumping plant—The Bureau of Reclamation’s Tracy Pumping Plant on the Delta-Mendota Canal. The screening of the fish is to be accomplished not by the conventional methods of utilizing wire mesh screens but by employing what might be likened to a venetian blind set vertically in the stream.

If all the fish in a river flowing at about 4,000 cubic feet per second were one inch or smaller and you were told to remove these fish without appreciable mortality, how would you accomplish such a feat? This was the problem facing the Bureau of Reclamation before the Tracy Pumps could go into operation. Young salmon and bass are the important fish encountered at the Tracy Pumps. Their natural instinct is to drift with the stream flow to the ocean. The young salmon are swept to the ocean by the early spring runoff. An increasing portion of this runoff will, in future years, be diverted by the Tracy Pumps. Consequently more salmon will be diverted from their route to the ocean. The bass are born in the Delta of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers in the late spring and early summer months. They spend their formative days drifting with the flow of the tidal waters eventually finding their way to the ocean. These young bass occur in the Delta simultaneously with the peak water demands of the Central Valley Project. At this time, the flow to the Tracy Pumps may be nearly twice the flow to the ocean attracting a large portion of the bass population to the pumps. To conserve these fish it is essential that they be removed from the stream and transported beyond the influence of the Pumping Plant to a point where their natural journey to the ocean may continue.

Insofar as is known a problem of this nature had never before been encountered anywhere. Little was known as to how these fragile young fish could be safely “strained” from waters destined to irrigate farms of the San Joaquin Valley. It was therefore decided to build a temporary screen structure, utilizing the best information currently available, on which studies could be made to determine the best design for a permanent structure. The Bureau of Reclamation and the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service then entered into a cooperative agreement whereby members of each organization conducted over four years of research on the problem. The California State Department of Fish and Game assisted in the research.

During the first two years of operations low pumping demands failed to attract sufficient fish for adequate experimental work. It also became obvious that the temporary structure would not function as designed. Alterations were made to improve its effectiveness. It also became apparent that more basic investigations concerning the reactions of the fish to screens were necessary. Observations of the fish in the murky waters of the Delta were impossible. Therefore, these studies were conducted with filtered river water in a small flume.

What is the swimming speed of these young fish? What is their endurance? These were two of the basic questions for which answers were sought by experiments in the test flume. The flume also served to test new ideas for screening the fish. A new idea was tested, that of using a vertical louver weed deflector which had been tried at turnouts in a San Joaquin Valley irrigation canal. The louvers were not satisfactory for diverting weeds, but when tried with fish they showed considerable promise. Continued experiments indicated that over 90% of the fish in the channel could be diverted with very low mortality to a location where they could be removed from the channel. The plan arrangement of the louvers or slats is shown in Figure 1.

The arrows shown in the Figure illustrate the forces operating to divert the fish to a by-pass channel. The fish are carried tail first down the channel by the current, until they “feel” the disturbance to flow caused by the louvers. They then orient themselves at an approximate right angle to the louver structure in order to avoid the disturbance as shown by the arrow labeled “Vs.”
This velocity together with the velocity “Vw” in the channel produces the resulting velocity “Wr” moving the fish along the louver to the by-pass.

Numerous tests were made in the flume to fix the optimum angle of the louvers, the spacing of the slats and the approach velocities which would result in the most efficient diversion of the fish. To verify the results of the flume tests a five-foot-high louver structure was installed in one bay of the pilot structure. Numerous tests on this structure verified the flume test providing confidence that the full-size structure will operate successfully.

The layout of the permanent structure now under construction is illustrated in Figure 2. The fish enter the by-passes and are carried to a secondary louver structure. The water surface in this structure fluctuates with that in the main channel. The difference in water levels required to move water through the by-pass and secondary louvers is created by the pumping plant. A double secondary louver is employed to reduce the volume of water entering the holding tanks and to separate the fish and the peat-laden water. The two rows of louvers reduce fish loss to insignificance. Separating fish from peat-laden waters is necessary to avoid suffocation by concentrating debris with fish in the holding tanks. The method of separating the fish from the debris-laden waters is unique. Screened water will be introduced at the side of the secondary louver channel near the by-pass in such a way that the screened water will flow alongside the peat-laden waters. Experiments have shown that there will be no mixing of the two waters. The fish will cross over into the screened water on entering the by-pass and will be conveyed to the holding tanks. The tanks will be concrete pits 20 feet in diameter and about 16 feet in depth with conical bottoms below which will be a bucket 6 feet in diameter and 5 feet deep. When the fish are to be transferred to a truck for hauling, water will be drained out of the large tank through the bucket until all the fish are concentrated in the bucket. The bucket will then be hoisted by a crane and carried to the loading area where the fish will be discharged into a refrigerated and aerated tank truck. The truck will then haul the young fish about 50 miles to waters destined for the ocean.

This structure will protect a fishery resource estimated to be worth $10,000,000 annually. It was originally estimated that about $4,000,000 would be required to build facilities which subsequent experience has shown would not have adequately salvaged fish. Not only will the structure described above save about $2,000,000 in initial construction cost but effect considerable savings in annual maintenance costs. Thus the Bureau of Reclamation in seeking a satisfactory solution to one of its many problems of getting water to the land has made a major contribution to the conservation of another valuable resource. This new method of diverting fish will have wide application in water resource development.

**“SETTLEMENT OPPORTUNITIES” Available**

The 1956 edition of the pamphlet entitled “Settlement Opportunities on Reclamation Projects” is now available for public distribution.

The pamphlet is designed to give information to veterans and others who would homestead on irrigated public land; purchase privately owned land offered for sale; are interested in privately owned lands offered for sale by individual owners.

Copies of the pamphlet may be obtained by writing to your nearest Regional Director, or the Commissioner, Bureau of Reclamation, Washington 25, D. C.
REPORT ON
THE N. R. A.
CONVENTION

We are very pleased to report that the National Reclamation Association had a very successful Convention in Lincoln, Nebraska, last October. Because of our quarterly publication schedule, it has not been possible to report to you heretofore.

The meeting was headed by outgoing President C. Petrus Peterson, and highlighted by the presentation of a check by Victor I. Corbell to Interior Secretary Douglas McKay for $159,500.10 representing the Salt River Project's final payment to the Government.

The newly elected N. R. A. officers are as follows: Harold H. Christy, Pueblo, Colorado, Director and Second Vice President; LaSelle E. Coles, Prineville, Oregon, Director and First Vice President; Guy C. Jackson, Anahuac, Texas, Director and President; H. L. Buck, Billings, Mont., Director and Treasurer; and William E. Welsh, Secretary-Manager.

Resolutions Outline Program

The resolutions which were prepared by the 17-man Resolutions Committee under the leadership of its new chairman, J. D. Mansfield, again this year outline a constructive and forward-looking program which every member of the Association can support with a great deal of pride.

The members of this Committee have the most difficult and strenuous task of any group who attend the annual NRA Convention. They usually start their sessions at least two days in advance of the general sessions and are confined to the Resolutions Committee meeting almost continuously until the resolutions are ready for repro-

New resolutions this year include:

22. Joint Liability, which urges legislation to provide that persons should be relieved of any further liability with respect to payment of the organization's general obligation upon full payment of their assigned share of said obligation.

27. Weed Control, favoring appropriations for control of noxious weeds on public domain, and Federal assistance in control of noxious weeds on reclamation projects adjacent to public domain.

28. Basin-Wide Development and Financing endorses principle of basin-wide development and planning and use of basin-wide power and other revenues to aid irrigation.

29. Technical Assistance for New Settlers on Reclamation Projects supports appropriation of funds specifically to enable Soil Conservation Service to render technical assistance to settlers on new projects.

31. Foreign Crop Competition urges consideration be given to protecting American market against foreign competitive marketing of farm products, and that foreign aid in improving crops be limited to their needs.

EVERY YEAR MORE CROPLAND IS TAKEN OUT OF PRODUCTION BY URBAN AND HIGHWAY EXPANSION THAN IS BROUGHT INTO PRODUCTION BY RECLAMATION OF ARID LANDS.
CROP CHARGES ANSWERED

Continued from page 5

vested each year. The yield averaged slightly less than 2 bushels per harvested acre.

In the Columbia Basin project in 1954, wheat was grown on less than 12 percent of the harvested cropland with a yield of 43 bushels per acre. This is approximately double the per acre yield from dry land. However, the conversion of dryland farms to irrigated farms on the Columbia Basin project results in a 50 percent reduction in wheat production. Some may say this is an exceptional situation, so let’s take a look at projects in Utah and in South Dakota.

If the dry farmed wheat land in counties adjacent to the Moon Lake project could be put under irrigation, the production of wheat would be reduced by about 53 percent. On the Angostura project in South Dakota wheat occupies only 2.5 percent of the harvested acres under irrigation as compared with 20.6 percent in the nearby dry farm area. By converting the project lands to irrigation, the production of wheat on these lands was reduced about 90 percent. It seems to me that this is ample refutation of any idea that construction of additional reclamation projects would add to the wheat surplus.

Rice production on Reclamation projects is less than one percent, and the production of soybeans amounts to less than one-tenth of one percent of our national production. Flaxseed under support which was produced on Reclamation projects amounts to less than one-fourth of one percent of the United States production.

Of the 13.7 million bales of cotton produced in 1954, almost 17 percent was under the support program. Production on Reclamation projects amounts to approximately 6.5 percent of the total production. The amount under support from Reclamation projects is only 1.27 percent of the United States production.

Here we have a situation in which cotton can be produced under irrigation in competition with any other area in the country. In a free market, the irrigated areas probably could continue to produce profitably and many of the producers in non-irrigated areas would be forced to other alternatives. To criticize this production is to put a premium on inefficiency.

Dry and edible bean production in 1954 totaled 17 million bushels. Approximately one-third of the total is produced on Reclamation projects. This is a western crop and a good crop, and we offer no apologies for producing it any more than anyone else should apologize for production of corn or wheat in the Midwest. However, only about 23.5 percent of the total 1954 bean production was price supported. This compared with 44 percent of wheat and almost 52 percent of rice production under the support program.

Thus, it appears rather evident that production of agricultural commodities on Federal Reclamation projects does not contribute significantly to the over-all farm surplus problem. In the light of forecast of future needs, it is also clearly evident that we should proceed with all speed to develop and bring into production all of the potentially irrigable lands of the West.

RECLAMATION STRUCTURES

Continued from page 8

period. The Department of Water and Power of the Los Angeles and the Southern California Edison Company operates the generating equipment.

The Federal investment in the Boulder Canyon project is to be repaid with interest at 3% by revenues from power and water storage, except for $25,000,000 allocated to flood control, which is to be repaid without interest.

Water is the livelihood of the arid West where the region along the Colorado River receives no more than 5 inches of rain a year. Without irrigation crop production is out of the question. However, with irrigation made possible by the water stored in Lake Mead, behind Hoover Dam, hundreds of thousands of acres are in cultivation.

Because Hoover Dam regulates the flow of the Colorado River, the Los Angeles and San Diego metropolitan areas are assured of a stable supply of water for domestic and industrial purposes.

Completion of Hoover Dam in 1936 marked an epoch in river control and reclamation and bore out the statement “When better and bigger dams are built the Bureau of Reclamation will build them.”

YOUR MAGAZINE

Are there particular types of articles which you would like to see in the Era that we have not printed to date? If so, please let us know, and we shall do our best to comply with your wishes.

THE RECLAMATION ERA
Premature paint failures aren't always caused by faulty paint. Moisture condensation within exterior wall spaces often leads to early failure of the outside protective coating, no matter what the coating, the method of application, or the type of wood siding.

An article in the March 1953 issue of Reclamation Era described a coating for exterior plywood surfaces that has been found effective by Bureau engineers. Even this can fail, though, if moisture condensation is allowed to work from within.

The simplest measure to combat condensation in the dead air space between exterior and interior wall surfaces is to place small openings at the top and bottom of the outside surface. To prevent intrusion of rain and snow melt through these openings, special commercially available devices may be used. These can be driven into the siding from the outside, and provide openings large enough to permit air circulation without the danger of water entering through the openings.

The circulation thus provided allows air which enters from the outside and warm moist air penetrating from the inside to escape, thus minimizing condensation. In cold localities, the temperature difference between the outside and inside air can be so great that moisture vapor condenses in spite of circulation, and even the described remedy is not completely effective. In milder climates it is usually adequate.

But there are other ways to minimize moisture condensation. One, particularly adaptable to new construction, is to set up a water vapor barrier, such as asphalt-saturated paper, on the warm side of the dead air space. Other experiments have shown that reducing air leakage from the interior of the building is effective. This can be done by carefully calking cracks, especially those around electrical outlets, and by painting interior walls, particularly dry walls.

Bureau field forces are testing other ways of fighting this trouble maker called condensation. Results of these efforts as they develop will be made known to readers of future issues of the "Era."
Rio Grande for the past 10 years had been less than 50 percent of normal. He indicated that large quantities of water, estimated at 238,000 acre-feet per year, were being consumed in the bosque (swampy) areas of the valley by non-beneficial but heavy water-using plants. Mr. Thompson described that portion of the authorized Middle Rio Grande Project that is now under way to reduce this costly water loss by removal and control of this vegetation and improvement of the Rio Grande channel.

Salt Cedar Control and Channelization in the San Marcial Area of the Middle Rio Grande Project was outlined by Mr. E. W. Elliott, Chief, Operation and Maintenance and Drainage Division, Middle Rio Grande Project. He described the area as being some 35 miles long and 2 miles wide and stated that remedial work was started in 1952 by undertaking the construction of a low flow channel and floodway that is now completed. He estimated that water loss in this reach of the river amounted to 145,000 acre-feet annually and stated that he believed 40,000 acre-feet is a most conservative estimate of the water that will be conserved each year; records indicate that 138,000 acre-feet have been saved during the construction period of 2½ years. He outlined the difficulties encountered in controlling regrowth in the 5,000 acre floodway and stated that control measures such as aerial spraying with herbicides, have been successful to a varied degree. To make control more effective the aerial spraying is being augmented by disking, mowing and burning, and the application of herbicides by means of ground sprays. Further water conservation on the Rio Grande, within the limits of the Middle Rio Grande Conservancy District is being accomplished by an intensive brush and weed control program on the drains, canals and levees being rehabilitated by the Bureau of Reclamation and the Corps of Engineers.

Mr. John L. Gregg, Treasurer-Manager, Elephant Butte Irrigation District, Las Cruces, New Mexico, spoke on the topic “Condition of Irrigated Sections below Elephant Butte in New Mexico, Texas and Chihuahua.” He stressed critical water conditions in the area caused by abnormally low runoff for the past ten years and measures that have been taken to alleviate the water shortage by well drilling and more careful use of existing supplies.

The annual discharge at San Marcial for the last 12-year period has averaged only 56 percent of the 60-year average. The most critical phase of the groundwater shortage has occurred during the last 5-year period. Four of these years produced flows of only about 30 percent of normal.

There are reported to be about 1,950 irrigation wells in the irrigated areas below Elephant Butte. The water table elevation has declined from 3 feet in the Mesilla Valley to about 19 feet in the Hudspeth Valley. Quality of groundwater for irrigation purposes has been subject to considerable variation. Mr. Gregg reported that groundwater in the northerly portion of the irrigated area is usually satisfactory but that quality declines toward the southerly portion of the irrigated area.

Tangible results from such a series of meetings cannot be expected at once, but summaries by several of the leaders indicated considerable progress. As was to be expected, there was a great deal of interest in new sources of water that might be furnished by such means as weather modification or artificial precipitation and demineralization of saline water. The suggestion was made that special studies of weather in arid regions are vital and that the problems of sedimentation and excessive use of water by non-beneficial plants should have more attention. Emphasis was given to the need for better conservation of present water supplies and that there is always the possibility of transporting water from humid to arid areas.

It appeared to be the consensus of the conference that much of the benefit of such a meeting came from the opportunity personally to exchange ideas and to become aware of the problems in other countries. There was agreement that a worldwide agency is needed to assemble existing information and to disseminate it to all countries concerned. The first worldwide conference disbanded with the feeling that a start had been made toward unified effort to solve the problems of areas that have a major rainfall deficiency.

## Utilization of Water From Tunnel Drain

Gateway tunnel was the first structure constructed on the Weber Basin project in Utah. The tunnel is about 3 miles long and is drilled through the Wasatch Mountains near the mouth of Weber Canyon. The drilling disrupted the natural flow of water from the springs in the immediate vicinity. The water taken from these springs appeared in the tunnel in well defined areas. By projecting theoretical lines upward along the dip and strike of the rocks, in these wet areas in the tunnel, they intercepted the surface very near the location of the springs. Surmising that the water appearing in the tunnel was coming from the aquifer or region that had previously supplied the springs, an 8-inch drain was installed beneath the invert of the concrete lining. The water that entered the tunnel and was lost during construction is now captured by the drain and utilized to replace the water lost from the springs.
BANKS MADE KLAMATH COMPACT NEGOTIATOR
by PRESIDENT EISENHOWER

Gettysburg, Pa., The White House announced, November 18, appointment of F. A. Banks to be a Federal representative for the Klamath River Compact negotiations. Banks, 71, has been a consulting engineer of the Columbia River District and U. S. Representative on the Columbia River Interstate Compact Commission.

REGIONAL DIRECTOR J. P. “JACK” JONES DIES

On December 13, J. P. “Jack” Jones, Regional Director of Region 3, died at Boulder City, Nev. He had been suffering from a rare blood disease and had undergone treatment at the National Institutes of Health, Washington, D. C., and other medical centers.

Mr. Jones, a 28-year career engineer of the Bureau, was widely known in reclamation circles. He was a native of South Dakota, and after graduating from Colorado A. & M. College with a degree in civil engineering, went to work for the Bureau on the Minidoka project at Burley, Idaho.

He had been located at Boulder City since the establishment of the regional office in 1945, and had been regional engineer since that time until his appointment as regional director in March 1955. (For biographical sketch and photograph of Mr. Jones, see The Reclamation Era May 1955.)

Assistant Regional Director Wade H. Taylor has been named Acting Regional Director.

The Editor's Column

The following facts have been gleaned from the United States Department of Agriculture’s statistical summary, dated November 18. We hope that you find them helpful.

High All-Crop Total

Crop production this year promises to equal the 1948 record high. Crop Reporting Board estimates, as of November 1, show that high yields per acre have more than offset total acreage reductions, with surprising outturns for a number of crops. Considerably more cotton and rice and slightly more corn, sugar-beets, dry beans and sweetpotatoes are estimated than a month ago. Estimates are slightly lower for soybeans, sorghum grain, potatoes, tobacco, and peanuts.

Big Cotton Yields

The cotton crop is estimated at 14,843,000 bales. This compares with the 1954 crop of 13,606,000 bals and the 1944–53 average of 12,952,000 bales. New estimate is 7 percent above last month’s forecast . . . little or no frost damage, and October weather was favorable for late cotton. Yield per acre, 431 pounds; compares with 279 pounds 10-year average.

Above Average Corn Crop

Corn production, at 3,183 million bushels, compares with last year’s crop of 2,965 million bushels and the 10-year average of 3,080 million bushels. Yield, 39.4 bushels per acre, compares with 37.1 last year and the average of 36.4 bushels.

Other Crops—Nov. 1 Estimates

Dry beans—19,094,000 bags, 195,000 more than in 1954.
Soybeans—372 million bushels—8 percent above last year’s previous record crop.
Sorghum grain—227 million bushels—11 percent above last year.
Rice—52 million bags—11 percent less than last year; up 4 percent from a month ago.
Peanuts—1,739 million pounds—70 percent more than last year.
Pecans—91.6 million pounds—1 percent more than last year; up 2 percent from a month ago.
Hay—109.9 million tons—record high, and 5 percent more than last year.
Potatoes—384 million bushels—8 percent above last year.
Apples—105 million bushels—down 4 percent from 1954; down 2 percent from a month ago.
Pears—30.1 million bushels—slightly less than last year and 3 percent below average.
Grapes—3,133,200 tons—22 percent more than last year.
Oranges—Early and Midseason crop—about 67.5 million boxes, 2 percent less than last year; Florida’s Valencias, 39 million boxes, 7 percent above last year’s crop.
Grapefruit (excluding California summer crop)—43.5 million boxes—7 percent above last year.

Better Pastures

Farm pastures November 1 were best for the date since 1951, but only about equal to the 1944–53 average.
### Major Recent Contract Awards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Award date</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
<th>Contractor's name and address</th>
<th>Contract amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC-4464</td>
<td>Palisades, Idaho</td>
<td>Nov. 10</td>
<td>Completion of Palisades powerplant and switchyards.</td>
<td>E. V. Lane Corp. and Gunther &amp; Shirley Co., Palo Alto, Calif.</td>
<td>$679,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4479</td>
<td>Eden, Wyo.</td>
<td>Nov. 22</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Eden lateral, sublateral, and wasteways.</td>
<td>Sharrock &amp; Purcell, Casper, Wyo.</td>
<td>$335,388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**LETTERS**

**Information Wanted**

Dear Sirs:

I am going to take you at your word. Give a report on Grand Coulee Dam, land to be irrigated, and what is accomplished in getting water on land. Give us some pictures of this new land. I am an old subscriber. Thanking you.

(Sgd.) Ed Gialiam
667 S. Ardmore Ave., Los Angeles 4, Calif.

We are glad to have had you with us so long and hope to publish an article along the lines you suggest as space permits.—Ed.

---

**Ability—Not Disability!**

Dear Sirs:

Pardon the delay in acknowledging receipt of the November issue of The Reclamation Era. I have been out of town for the past month attending many meetings during the NEPH Week period and its subsequent follow-up meetings.

Your article, "Disabled Display Ability," is a fine piece of work and should stimulate other agencies to do likewise.

In fact, I am greatly heartened by the increased awareness of the hire-the-handicapped program throughout the Federal service. It is pleasing to note that the Bureau of Reclamation is in agreement that it is the ability of a man that counts, not his disability.

With sincere appreciation,

Cordially,

(Sgd.) Mel Maas
Melvin J. Maas, Chairman
The President's Committee on Employment of the Physically Handicapped,
Washington 25, D. C.

We thank General Maas for his kind remarks and hope to continue to participate in this great program.—Ed.

---

**BOOKS**

**WATER**

The Yearbook of Agriculture 1955

There's a lot to be known about water. We see and feel rain, snow, fog, and dew. We use water for drinking and washing. We irrigate our lawns and fields. We talk about the weather, complain that it is too warm or too dry, and misquote Mark Twain about it. Most of us are conscious nearly all the time of the importance of water in our lives, but actually our knowledge of it is pretty skimpy.

We know the symbol of water but little about its properties, which can make us comfortable or uncomfortable, rich or poor, secure or insecure. We cannot live without water; we could live better if we knew more about it.

One purpose of this Yearbook is to supply as much information as we can about water in a practical, useful way for farmers and others who use water. But not only that.

Another aim is to emphasize that more information, more wisdom are needed. That need is mentioned again and again in the book: a whole chapter, in fact, is devoted to it. The realization of ignorance is the beginning of wisdom. The statement of a problem is the first step in its solution. It is a duty to discover facts in a true scientific, unbiased, unselfish spirit—a duty for us who prepared the book and I submit, for those who read it.

The committee that planned the scope of the book set forth this aim at the start for the guidance of the men who wrote the chapters:

"Our primary aim is to explain the nature, behavior, and conservation of water in agriculture. We address ourselves to farm people and to all those interested in rural living. As our population increases, more demands are being made on our water resources; the effective use and conservation of water on farms will become increasingly important, and conflicts over water use will have to be resolved. Some of the broad problems are forecast, but our main emphasis is on the facts and basic principles that will help people in reaching the best decisions. Hydroelectric power, navigation, industrial use, pollution, and other aspects are touched on, but this book is concerned almost entirely with water in agriculture."

Superintendent of Documents,
Washington 25, D. C.

---

**TOPSOIL & CIVILIZATION**

by Tom Dale and Vernon Gill Carter

From his earliest beginnings, civilization has depended upon the fertility of the soil for nurture and sustenance. His use or misuse of the land has influenced the course of work history more than any other single factor, for many great civilizations were built on good soil and fell after soil fertility had been depleted.

In this unique approach to history and conservation, world history is considered from the standpoint of man's relation to the sustaining land. The land on which he lived, rather than the races and tribes who occupied it, receive the focus of attention.

Beginning with the Nile Valley, the condition of the land is traced from the earliest Egyptian civilization down to the present, for only by following the complete history of an area is it possible to determine what each race or group who lived there did to the land and how their treatment of it affected those who followed.

The Mediterranean areas are examined in detail, from Egypt and Mesopotamia to Greece and Italy, Sicily and Crete. A further look at Western Europe, the Americas, and Asia helps provide the global perspective needed for proper comprehension of the over-all soil conservation problem.

University of Oklahoma Press
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Earthwork, pipelines, and structures for extensions to Contra Costa West San Andreas distribution system. Near Antioch.</td>
<td>MRBP, Montana</td>
<td>Earthwork, structures, and gravel surfacing for 5 miles of Old Spanish Trail, on existing roadway, adding access roads and placing additional gravel surfacing for 1.5 miles of existing access road created by the new dam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-433.</td>
<td>Installing-plot tube test pits for calibration of flowmeters and a flowdrop test station on the Delta-Mendota Canal.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash.</td>
<td>Earthwork and structures for a 15-foot, 4-inch siphon 15,700 feet long, 2,500 feet of which will be steel pipe on piers and 15,200 feet of which will be nonconcrete or precast concrete pipe. Washuhke Siphon, 6.5 miles south of Othello.</td>
<td>MRBP, Nebras.-Kans.</td>
<td>Earthwork and structures for about 10 miles of canal with bottom width of 10 feet. Upper Meeker Canal, near Trenton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-435.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-436.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-437.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-438.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-439.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-440.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-441.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-442.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-443.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-444.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-445.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-446.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-447.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-448.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-449.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuc-302.</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Subject to change.*
United States Department of the Interior, Douglas McKay, Secretary
Bureau of Reclamation, W. A. Dexheimer, Commissioner


Commissioner's Staff

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Commissioner and Chief Engineer, Denver, Colorado</td>
<td>L. N. McClellan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Commissioner for Irrigation and Power</td>
<td>E. G. Nielsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Commissioner for Administration</td>
<td>S. W. Crosthwait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant to the Commissioner—Information</td>
<td>Ottis Peterson</td>
</tr>
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<td>Comptroller</td>
<td>William R. Foster</td>
</tr>
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<td>Chief, Division of General Engineering</td>
<td>T. W. Mermel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief, Division of Personnel</td>
<td>Glenn D. Thompson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief, Division of Foreign Activities</td>
<td>L. W. Damours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief, Division of Irrigation</td>
<td>Floyd E. Dominy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief, Division of Power</td>
<td>Don S. Campbell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief, Division of Project Development</td>
<td>N. B. Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief, Division of Program Coordination and Finance</td>
<td>Alfred R. Golzé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief, Division of Organization and Methods</td>
<td>F. W. Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief, Division of Procurement and Property Management</td>
<td>Harvey M. Sutter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief, Division of General Services</td>
<td>Daryl L. Roberts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REGIONAL OFFICES

REGION 1: Harold T. Nelson, Regional Director, Box 937, Reclamation Building, Fairgrounds, Boise, Idaho.
REGION 2: Clyde H. Spencer, Regional Director, Box 2511, Fulton and Marconi Avenues, Sacramento 11, Calif.
REGION 3: Wade H. Taylor, Acting, Regional Director, Administration Building, Boulder City, Nev.
REGION 4: E. O. Larson, Regional Director, 32 Exchange Place, P. O. Box 360, Salt Lake City 10, Utah.
REGION 5: Robert W. Jennings, Regional Director, P. O. Box 1606, Old Post Office Building, 7th and Taylor, Amarillo, Tex.
REGION 6: Frank M. Clinton, Regional Director, 7th and Central, P. O. Box 2553, Billings, Mont.
REGION 7: R. J. Walter, Regional Director, Building 46, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colo.
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30 Years Ago in the Era

BETTER FARMING
BETTER BUSINESS
BETTER LIVING

BETTER farming simply means the application of modern science to the practice of agriculture. Better business is the no less necessary application of modern commercial methods to the business side of the farming industry. Better living is the building up, in rural communities, of a domestic and social life which will withstand the growing attractions of the modern city.

Sir Horace Plunkett
In "The Rural Life Problem of the United States."
Those charged with the operation and maintenance of irrigation systems are confronted by constantly rising costs. These rising costs are not confined to labor and supervision, but are also reflected in the necessary materials and equipment. In some instances these increased costs are met wholly or in part by increased assessments, but the general tendency is to attempt to have the water delivered without any increased cost to the water users. This procedure is apt to encourage deferred maintenance resulting eventually in higher costs and reduced revenue.

A program of preventive maintenance is always desirable, but is seldom properly followed when funds are low. Often a minimum amount of repair effort, if applied at the proper time, will save a drop or a major structure from more serious damage. The reinforcement of a canal bank that has been judged weak may prevent a major break with its resulting damage to the system and the area below.

Many irrigation projects have had their revenue sharply reduced by land going out of production through seepage. Canal lining or drainage at the proper time might have saved the loss. Reduced crop production can be brought about by unreliable or poor water distribution resulting indirectly in reduced income for maintenance purposes.

Operation and maintenance costs can be kept at a minimum by carefully selected or improved...
equipment, efficient personnel, and skillful management. The dependable and versatile dragline has many uses on an irrigation project, but there are types of dredgers or side slopers that will clean several times the amount of canal under certain conditions. There are available types of equipment that will greatly reduce the cost of what is generally termed hand excavation. Properly designed power-spray equipment will reduce weed-control costs over hand or poorly designed units. Special trucks supplied with power equipment and selected tools are working to advantage on several projects. Various types of trailers have become a necessity toward reducing costs in many instances.

Large projects are in a better position to take advantage of the reduction in costs produced by specialized equipment as their workload will justify it. The same can be said of personnel with specialized or more thorough training. The management of small projects often sees the advantage that can be gained through properly selected equipment for various types of work, but is hampered by the funds available and see no solution.

Region 6 of the Bureau of Reclamation, Billings, Mont., has gathered information pertaining to actual expenditures over a number of years in the operation and maintenance of various size projects in the northwest with the results shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>50,000 Class</th>
<th>20,000 Class</th>
<th>Difference in cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. General Costs (Includes administration, insurance, miscellaneous items)</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Replacement and maintenance (Major equipment)</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Water Supply Costs</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Water Distribution (Includes supervision, ditchriders, general maintenance, drainage, weed control, mine)</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is recognized in listing these figures that the annual amount expended for operation and maintenance is seldom the actual amount required to operate and maintain the system. The project is often being permitted to deteriorate, or is being built up from a run down condition.

The outright consolidation of projects, in order to produce a larger type of operation is seldom attempted. Some of the reasons are quite tangible and are often connected with the physical features such as pumps or reservoirs that affect the cost of operation. Other reasons based upon traditions, personalities, and the like, are not tangible but are often just as realistic.

It has been demonstrated that when small projects are properly situated they can enjoy the advantages possible for larger units. When a small project is adjacent to or near a large project the irrigation board of the smaller project can contract with the irrigation board of the larger project for the operation and maintenance of their project on what is generally considered a non-profit basis. Such an agreement often gives the small project many of the advantages of the larger project and at the same time reduces the unit overhead costs of the larger unit.

A method of carrying on a form of consolidated operation that has been successfully tried and deserves wider recognition is through a board of control. A board of control is a group composed of one or more members appointed by the various irrigation boards. In some instances the appointed members will select other members. The board of control members do not need to be members of an irrigation district, but must be qualified water users. It should be clearly understood that a board of control has no legal status and in no way replaces the individual irrigation

Continued on page 46

EFFICIENT TYPE dredger for canal lining.
Some 7 years ago the Nevada Nile Ranch at Lovelock, Nev., obtained a small amount of tall wheatgrass seed and planted a 4-acre plot. (See Reclamation Era article of August 1952.) They found it an excellent crop to grow on alkali-saline soils and have since expanded their plantings to several thousand acres. It is being used for pasturing beef cattle, for seed production, and for aiding in reclaiming alkali and saline soils.

Gus Momberg, Superintendent of the Nevada Nile Ranch, has tested the new grass under various soil conditions in the Lovelock area. While it naturally does better on the higher quality soils it was found to outyield any known grass in the area under irrigation and it does well on moderately salty soils.

Mr. Momberg has kept production records on their tall wheatgrass fields and has found that on poor land which would produce only a scant crop of barley of around 500 to 800 pounds per acre or about one-fourth of a normal yield, tall wheatgrass pasture produced 200 to 300 pounds of beef gain per acre. At current prices the gross values per acre of barley produced vs. beef gains on tall wheatgrass pasture could be figured at roughly $10 to $15 per acre for barley as compared to $30 to $45 per acre for beef gains on pastured tall wheatgrass. On the better land, production records show gains of 400 to 500 pounds of beef per acre.

Encouraged by the reports of the successful experiments being conducted by Mr. Momberg, a group of farm leaders from the Newlands project journeyed to Lovelock, Nev. (the heart of the Humboldt Reclamation project), to observe the pastures and to report the results to stockmen on the Newlands project. The conclusion of the group was that rapid beef gains can be obtained from tall wheatgrass pasture requiring a minimum of irrigation water. Charles Frey, Chairman of the Lahontan Soil Conservation District, which includes the Newlands project, summed up the results of the trip as follows: “Just to see these sleek, thrifty cattle on tall wheatgrass pasture means more to me than a world of statistics and proves to me that tall wheatgrass pasture is an excellent beef producer if it is managed right.” George Miller, pasture chairman of the water users’ organization, echoed Frey’s sentiments by saying, “I wish every stockman in Churchill County could have been with us on this trip.”

The enthusiasm of the Newlands project group is also shared by Jess Fowler of the Lahontan District Soil Conservation Service at Fallon, Nev. Under the direction of Fowler and assisted by other local agricultural leaders, by stockmen, and by the water users’ organization, a 100-acre experimental plot was developed in the Carson Lake Area of the Newlands project. The 100-acre plot is part of an area of over 6,000 acres of similar land that could ultimately be developed if the experiment proves successful. The experimental plot, under its original condition, produced only a sparse growth of greasewood and iodine bush with little or no grazing returns. The 1954 season was the first year the tall wheatgrass plot was
pastured and beef gains of 134 pounds per acre were obtained. Fowler has high hopes of doubling this production in future years. During the first 6 months after seeding the basal leaves were dense and averaged 1\(\frac{1}{2}\) feet in height while the seed stalks reached heights of over 3 feet.

In tests made at the Reno Experimental Farm of the University of Nevada Experiment Station with some 51 different varieties of grass, tall wheatgrass grew taller (as high as 61 inches at flowering time) and produced heavier (up to nearly five tons per acre on an air dry basis) than any of the other grasses in the experiment. The grass while making phenomenal growth and exceptional yields is very unpalatable if allowed to reach the flower or seed stalk stage. Also in mixed grass pastures livestock will select other grasses and leave the tall wheatgrass. For this reason tall wheatgrass is not recommended in mixed seedings; however, in solid stands, the grass is not only eaten readily, but has excellent nutritive value. The palatability can be increased by applying a good nitrogen fertilizer in August.

In getting new stands established, a number of failures have been noted because of improper preparation of seed bed. Although the grass, once established, is very hardy and thrives better in salty soils than most grasses, care should be taken in getting the seed germinated and the grass started. The land should be sufficiently leveled to assure adequate irrigation particularly in the early stages of germination, and growth.

Since tall wheatgrass makes rather slow growth in hot weather, it is recommended that summer pasturage be provided to supplement the tall wheatgrass. During the spring months of April, May, and June it can be pastured heavily and the regrowth beginning in September can be pastured right up to December 1.

Experience has taught that reclaiming alkali and saline soils can result in considerable expense particularly in the first few years of reclamation. An income during the reclaiming period is one of the chief advantages of tall wheatgrass over many other less salt tolerant grasses and other crops. The drainability of the soil and the process of leaching salts are aided materially by the massive and penetrating root system of the grass. Root systems reaching depths of 8 feet are not uncommon and are known to go as deep as 12 feet.

Enormous quantities of humus material, estimated at from 3 to 5 tons on a dry basis, are added to the soil every year.

Common in the west are vast areas of mountain and desert grazing lands that are used by cattlemen in conjunction with irrigated lands; however, most grazing rights are taken up and the possibility of expanding beef operations is restricted by the limited available grazing facilities. One answer to the problem, while perhaps not the last word in pasture grasses, is the use of tall wheatgrass on unproductive alkali and saline lands, found on many irrigated projects of the west. These lands which would otherwise produce little or no return could reasonably produce beef cattle gains worth millions of dollars.
The two generating units of the Chandler Powerplant of the Kennewick Division, Yakima project in south-central Washington, were officially placed in service in February. Although originally planned for an on-site affair, the formal program marking completion of the plant was held in Benton City, several miles downstream on the Yakima River from the actual location of the Chandler plant.

In the weeks preceding the ceremony, the two 6,000-kilowatt generators in the plant had been tested and synchronized with the Bonneville Power Administration’s system. Everything was in order for the program to go ahead at the powerplant. Then, as temperatures plummeted to 15° to 20° below zero, ice in the power canal blocked the trashracks of the Chandler plant just ahead of the penstocks. Generators were forced to shut down and the canal was dewatered. Because of these temporary adverse conditions, the formal program was moved to the Odd Fellows Hall in Benton City.

In talking to the more than 250 persons who attended the program, W. A. Dexheimer, Commissioner of Reclamation, said that the Chandler Powerplant was an integral part of the Kennewick irrigation development which would provide new homes for from 250 to 300 farm families in the next few years. Dexheimer also mentioned that, while small compared with the production of the multipurpose projects on the main stem of the Columbia River, hydroelectric production at the Chandler plant loomed very large in the Kennewick picture. Revenues from the plant will be used to assist with the payment of the construction costs of the Kennewick Division which are beyond the ability of the water users to repay.

Considerable tribute was paid by Charles Powell, M. C., during the program to the many pioneers who worked over the years for the development of the Kennewick area. “We are deeply grateful to those pioneers who laid the cornerstones for the program we are conducting”, said Jerome Clarke, President of the Kennewick Irrigation District.

In addition to the Commissioner, Bureau of Reclamation personnel at the program included L. N. McClellan, Assistant Commissioner and Chief Engineer; O. W. Lindgren, Superintendent, Yakima Project; W. A. Karrer, Construction Engineer, Kennewick Division; H. T. Nelson, Regional Director, and D. S. Walter, Regional Engineer, both of Boise, Idaho.
Representing the Kennewick Irrigation District were Jerome Clarke, President; Walter Crayne, and Orvil Terrill, Board members. Charles L. Powell acted as master of ceremonies and read some of the numerous letters and telegrams from members of the congressional delegation, national, and state officials who were unable to attend the dedication. Jay Perry, Chairman, Kennewick Project Committee, introduced the numerous eminent people who were in attendance. Following the program, J. C. Pratt, Sr., General Chairman of the Program Committee, said, “We are pleased with the turnout for the dedication in spite of the winter weather. The interest of the people in the first major accomplishment of the project is most gratifying.”

Following the ceremony, a barbecued beef luncheon was served by the Chambers of Commerce of Benton City, Richland, and Kennewick, and the Prosser Commercial Club.

Construction on the Kennewick Division of the Yakima project is nearing completion and water will be available to almost 10,000 acres in the 1957 irrigation season. The project, when completed, will provide irrigation service to 14,500 acres of presently arid land and to 4,600 acres in the presently irrigated area. An additional 6,000 acres can be developed as part of the project in the future. The Chandler Power plant will include two hydraulic turbine-driven pump units initially with provision for a third later. Each pump will have a capacity of 167 cubic feet per second and will lift the irrigation water across the Yakima River and 101.5 feet up into the main canal. The main canal for the Kennewick Division will be almost 42 miles in length and there will be over a hundred miles of laterals serving the project.

# # #

WHAT GOVERNMENT EXPERTS SAY ABOUT SURPLUSES:

- Farm surplus production will end in less time than it takes to put even a middle-sized Reclamation project into operation.
- Starting about 5 years from now, our big problem will not be too much farm production but TOO LITTLE.
- Recent abnormally good crop years cannot continue indefinitely; ONE YEAR of real drought, such as we had in the 1930’s, would wipe out all our surpluses.

These are the considered predictions of top officials of the U. S. Department of Agriculture. They reveal the urgent need to build Reclamation developments now to prepare for the Nation’s future growth.

(Reprinted from The February Issue of Reclamation News, official publication of The National Reclamation Association)
BLUDBIRD II BREAKS WORLD’S RECORD ON LAKE MEAD

By CHARLES A. RICHEY, Superintendent, Lake Mead National Recreation Area, National Park Service, Boulder City, Nev.

Zooming across the surface of Lake Mead at speeds greater than man had previous attained on water, England’s Donald Campbell set a new World’s Unrestricted Water Speed Record at 216.25 miles per hour last November 16, 1955.

Eyes and interest of the world were focused on the courageous young Englishman and his jet-propelled hydroplane, the Bluebird II, as he made his attempt for a record run. He already was the record holder, having gained it the hard way a few months before when he piloted the Bluebird to the shattering speed of 202.32 m. p. h. on Lake Ullswater in England on July 23. Now he was out to better his own mark.

Lake Mead, a reservoir of water, backed up behind Hoover Dam, had been selected as the site for this new attempt. In early October, the boat was flown from Britain and underwent preliminary tests and trials.

On October 16, the stage was set for the Bluebird
HAWAII KAI, another powerful hydroplane.

to speed across Lake Mead in its initial record-breaking try. Television cameras were in place to bring the scene to the screens of thousands of viewers via the “Wide, Wide World” program. Cameramen and reports from the major national wire, newsreel, television, and press services were on hand to record the events.

For such a temperamental speedster as the Bluebird, the water conditions were not ideal for a record run. The wake from countless spectator boats had set up a series of swells which made such an attempt impossible. But Campbell ran the boat through its paces at about 150 m. p. h. for the benefit of the many viewers. Later as it was being taken to dock, the Bluebird sank near shore; too much water had slopped into the open jet tail pipe.

The Bluebird was retrieved and the undaunted Englishman began the tremendous task of cleaning up and repairing the boat for a new assault.

For this new run, a measured kilometer course was laid out off shore from Boulder Beach. With increased enthusiasm but with the lack of fanfare which accompanied his initial trials, Donald Campbell sped his jet-beauty on the first run at 239.5 m. p. h. With wind conditions disturbing the water and poor lighting, he was able to force the boat to 193 m. p. h. on the return run. But his average of 216.25 m. p. h. placed Campbell in a class by himself, the world’s fastest man on water!

This event placed world wide attention on the Lake Mead National Recreation Area which is administered by the National Park Service under cooperatively intradepartmental agreements with the Bureau of Reclamation.

Here speed boating is rapidly becoming a very important recreational sport. During 1956, three racing events are definitely scheduled and plans for others are being formulated. Stock outboards will race on June 10 and divisional stock outboard events will be held on August 11 and 12.

Highlight of the racing season is expected on October 13 and 14 when the inboard speedboats and the powerful unlimited class boats will vie for top honors.

Considerable enthusiasm has already been generated to make the Lake Mead National Recreation Area into one of the top boat racing centers in the entire country.

Last July, Mexican Road Race Champion Ray Crawford brought his untried but powerful hydroplane the Zephyr Fury to Lake Mead with intentions of speeding the boat to a new record. Campbell’s record was made in England, however, before sufficient modifications of the Zephyr Fury could be made for Crawford’s attempt.

Band Leader and Speedster Guy Lombardo, and speed boat designer Ted Jones are among those especially enthusiastic over the racing potentialities on Lake Mead.

Henry J. Kaiser, Jr.’s Gold Cup class hydroplane, the Hawaii Kai, raced over the Lake Mead course in November at unofficial speeds greater than 180 m. p. h. This surpasses the official world’s record water speed for propeller craft which stands at 178.497 m. p. h. set by Stanley Sayres in

WIDE, WIDE WORLD Television Program of the Bluebirds’ October 16 run.
BLUEBIRD II streaking into dock after dash on Lake Mead. Fortification Hill "trademark" of the region towers across the Lake.

Slo-Mo-Shun on July 17, 1952, at Seattle, Wash.

The Hawaii Kai may make its official attempt to break Sayres' record on Lake Mead in the near future.

Speed boat racing, although spectacular, is but one of the many recreational activities which 2,675,371 visitors enjoyed within the Lake Mead National Recreation Area during 1955. Boating, fishing, water skiing, swimming, skin-diving, camping, hiking, exploring, picnicking, photograph-taking, hunting, sightseeing, and motor-touring are other pastimes for which the National Park Service has developed and provided facilities around the shores of Lake Mead and Lake Mohave.

Spectacularly beautiful and climatically ideal, the Lake Mead National Recreation Area is rapidly becoming one of the largest and most heavily used all-year recreational centers in our Nation.

Wade H. Taylor
NAMED
DIRECTOR IN REGION 3

On March 14, Secretary of the Interior Douglas McKay announced the appointment of Wade H. Taylor as Regional Director, Region 3, to succeed the late J. P. "Jack" Jones. Mr. Taylor's headquarters will be Boulder City, Nev.

He was born in Ambia, Ind., in 1908 and attended Purdue University and the University of Colorado, receiving his Bachelor of Science and Master of Science degrees in electrical engineering from the latter. While at the University of Colorado, he was a member of the Tau Beta Pi, Sigma Tau, and Eta Kappa Nu, national honorary engineering fraternities and Sigma Pi Sigma, honorary physics fraternity.

After receiving his Master's degree, Mr. Taylor joined the engineering staff of W. A. Bechtel Co., at Denver and worked on the Fraser River-Moffat Tunnel-Ralston Creek diversion project. His first appointment with Reclamation came late in 1935 when he accepted a position in 1935 as Junior Engineer on the Chief Engineer's staff in Denver. In 1938, he transferred to the Electrical and Mechanical Division of the Chief Engineer's Office and in 1944 to the Branch of Power Utilization in Denver. Early in 1945 he transferred to the newly formed Region 3 office at Boulder City as Assistant Regional Power Manager. Late in 1951 he became Regional Power Manager, and the following year was named Assistant Regional Director, the position he held at the time of his present appointment.

While in Denver, Mr. Taylor was a member of the Colorado Engineering Council and chairman of the Denver Section of the American Institute of Electrical Engineers. In 1951, he was awarded the rank of Fellow in the A. I. E. E. that organization's highest grade. This award was based on his outstanding administrative and technical work in connection with hydroelectric installations.

During his 21 years with the Bureau of Reclamation he became one of its foremost hydroelectric authorities and top administrators.

Mr. V. E. Larson, another veteran Reclamation employee, who has held the position of Regional Planning Engineer, will succeed Taylor as Assistant Regional Director.
The Ogden River project has given impetus to an industry which already had small beginnings before the project began to operate in 1937. This is the roadside marketing of fruits and vegetables by the farmers direct to the consumer.

The industry is chiefly concentrated on Highway 91 and extends from Brigham City south to the Weber County line, a distance of about 12 miles.

For many years the Brigham City area had enjoyed an enviable reputation as the producer of luscious peaches and other fruits adapted to our Utah climate. However with the coming of additional irrigation water from the Ogden-Brigham Canal fruit growing was greatly expanded and the roadside stands mushroomed along the highway. In addition to an abundance of irrigation water, there have been two other contributing factors which have stimulated the selling of produce through roadside channels.

Highway 91 is the only arterial highway leading from Utah into Idaho and the Northwest. Also the great increase in tourist traffic since the war means that thousands of tourists now pass the displays of fruit and vegetables daily, and a large majority of the produce sold from the roadside stands is bought by the tourists. Other purchasers include housewives who drive out from Ogden to get their canning peaches, tomatoes or other items direct from the farmer.

Some of the stands are small, unpretentious structures, the produce of home carpentry, while others are comparatively large elaborate buildings which represent substantial investments. But whether large or small the business has grown so rapidly that it is estimated that over $300,000 worth of produce is marketed annually within this small segment of Highway 91.

To meet the demands of roadside shoppers the farmers have attempted to stretch the marketing season by growing as wide a variety of products as the soils and climate will permit.

Strawberries and raspberries are available in the latter part of May or the first of June. These are followed in succession by cherries (both sweet and sour), apricots, plums, early peaches, early potatoes and sweet corn. The business reaches its greatest volume about September 1st when Elberta peaches come on the market. Apples, potatoes, tomatoes, cantaloups, melons and squash are displayed and sold until the chill of autumn draws the curtain on the year’s activities.
Streamflow from snow melt will be much above average in the northern half of Western United States during 1956. The water supply outlook drops rapidly in the south where summer flow of the Rio Grande in New Mexico, and Colorado River tributaries in Arizona will be only one-quarter of normal.

The pattern of 1956 water supply follows that of 1955 in general outline, except that streamflow in most of the Pacific Northwest will be extremely abundant. Supplies will be reasonably adequate in northern parts of Colorado, Utah, Nevada, and most of the Central Valley of California. Critical shortages will again occur in 1 or 2 localized areas in Utah, along the Rio Grande, in the Salt River Valley in Arizona and in southern California. These latter three areas will depend largely on stored water, underground pumping and trans-river diversions.

This April 1 West-wide report is based on snow surveys, reservoir storage, soil moisture in mountain and irrigated valley areas, and other factors affecting 1956 supply. Runoff in prospect for irrigation, power generation, and municipal and industrial uses will be more than adequate in the Northwest. April-September volume flow of the Columbia River, as measured at The Dalles, is expected to equal that of any recent year, including the unforgettable flow in 1948. Mild to severe shortages will be experienced south of a line that stretches from central Colorado, westerly through Great Salt Lake to the southern end of California's Central Valley.

This summary of supply conditions is compiled for RECLAMATION ERA by Soil Conservation Service of the United States Department of Agriculture. This analysis of April 1 snow surveys, reservoir storage and other factors affecting this year's water supply is again presented in the Reclamation Era through the courtesy of the authors, and Mr. R. A. Work, Head Water Supply Forecasting Section. It is based on snow surveys made by that agency and many cooperators on about 1,250 snow courses in western United States and in the Province of British Columbia in Canada. With this report USDA and Soil Conservation Service complete 21 years of snow surveying and water supply forecasting in the West.

The drought condition in the Southwest is not quite as severe and extreme as it was in 1955. However, the 1955-56 snowfall pattern over mountain areas of the West is an extension of one existing for five years. Columbia River Basin has had consistently higher snow packs and runoff than drought and water-short areas in the Southwest. This year's pattern is accentuated by prospect of extremely high flow in many tributaries of the Columbia and in its main stem.

The Southwest's low streamflow pattern has continued so long that the long-term water supply outlook for much of New Mexico, Arizona, and parts of Utah and Nevada is poor. Reservoir storage has declined to a fraction of the normal levels of a few years ago. Underground supplies are being depleted. In extreme cases, crop acreage...
age is being reduced and municipal supplies have been restricted. Adding to the problem is an ever-increasing demand on the available water supplies. Over most of the Southwest a series of heavy snow years is much to be desired.

Storage in irrigation reservoirs is generally about normal in the Northwest, but below normal in most of the other States of the West. This is the result of below average streamflow in 1955 and previous years. Deficiency in stored water is most critical in Arizona and New Mexico.

**SUMMARY OF WATER CONDITIONS**

After relatively heavy early winter snow, the water supply prospects in the Rio Grande in Colorado and New Mexico have declined to much less than normal and are only slightly improved over 1955. Increasing use of underground water must be planned; crop acreage will again be curtailed. Prospective runoff into Salt River Valley reservoirs, along with other streams in Arizona, ranges from 10 to 40 percent of normal. The outlook is similar to a year ago. Nearly all stored water is expected to be used in 1956. Extensive pumping must be continued.

The Central Valley of California will have above normal streamflow in most areas. Local shortages will occur on southern sections of the San Joaquin Valley irrigated areas not served by diversions from the north. Natural runoff in the South Coastal region will be light. To meet requirements, heavy demands will be made on the Colorado river.

Adequate supplies will be available to meet demands along upper Colorado River and its tributaries in Colorado, Wyoming, New Mexico and northern Utah. In southern Utah some shortages will occur on the Colorado River and Great Basin, with an extreme shortage expected on the Sevier River. Northern Utah will have near-normal supplies. Irrigation supplies are excellent on the eastern slope of the Sierras in Nevada and good along the Humboldt River. Reservoir storage is near normal.

The outlook for the Platte River in Colorado, Wyoming, and Nebraska has improved over the past 2 years. Total supply is near average and will be adequate to meet requirements in all but those areas with marginal irrigation rights and heaviest demands. The flow of the Missouri River tributaries in northern Wyoming and western Montana will be about 25 percent above normal, and 50 to 60 percent above that for the 1955 season.

Snow pack on the Columbia River Basin is extremely high. Many snow courses have record-high measurements as of April 1, 1956. Water supplies will be abundant along all major streams serving irrigated areas in all of Idaho, Oregon, Washington, and northwestern Montana. Carry-over storage in reservoirs is close to normal. Many reservoirs are being lowered in anticipation of extremely heavy inflows to come. Mountain soils over the basin are generally wet.

Forecasts for major streams of the West for the April–September 1956 period include the Columbia River at The Dalles at 131,000,000 acre-feet or 135 percent of normal; Missouri River at Toston at 2,850,000 acre-feet or 126 percent of normal; Colorado River at Grand Canyon at 10,000,000 acre-feet or 99 percent of normal, and the Rio Grande at Otowi Bridge at 375,000 acre-feet or 45 percent of normal. These forecasts indicate the general water supply outlook for the major streams of the West.

In the following paragraphs the water supply outlook is briefly reviewed by States. A chart showing the status of reservoir storage in each State and a map indicating the approximate run-off forecast summarize the 1956 outlook for each area of the West.

**ARIZONA.**—Arizona’s outlook is the poorest since 1938. Runoff forecasts are for flows that continue the pattern of the last several years, a range of 10 to 40 percent of the 1938–52 average. With reservoir storage at only about 20 percent of capacity and 60 percent of normal for this date, it is apparent that a season of very deficient water supplies is ahead. Prospective supplies appear adequate to carry the Salt River Valley through summer irrigation, with little or no carryover storage to remain at the end of the season. San Carlos project faces a continuation of the very deficient supply it has had for several years. Pumpage can supply only part of the needed water. Almost all other Arizona areas are in substantially the same situation. Normal water supply is anticipated only...
PREVENTING PARCHED ACRES

by ROBERT E. STRUTHERS
Agricultural Economist
Denver, Colorado, Region 7

FOR THE THIRD SUCCESSIVE YEAR, Colorado-Big Thompson project supplemental irrigation water in 1955 spelled the difference between success and failure of crops in many parts of northeastern Colorado.

Drought began in 1953, the same year when project construction, started in 1937, reached the stage of permitting delivery of large quantities of Western Slope water diverted through the Alva B. Adams Tunnel.

By 1954, the project was ready with water to combat what had become the most severe drought Colorado farmers ever experienced. The 301,000 acre-feet of project water used in that year meant an estimated $22 million boost in crop value.

The drought cycle continued into the 1955 season—again calling for emergency water delivery from the project storage system: The 240,000 acre-feet of transmountain water furnished in 1955 represented one-third of the total surface irrigation water in the area served by the Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District. And it likewise accounted for one-third of $51,210,000 in crops, or $17 million.

Normal inflow to the district averages about 900,000 acre-feet annually. By the reuse of return flows this initial supply is multiplied to the extent that actual measured diversions throughout the district total 2,200,000 acre-feet. Another half-million acre-feet are supplied by well irrigation, all of which arises out of the initial inflow.

The average supplement to be provided by the Colorado-Big Thompson project is estimated at 257,000 acre-feet. Approximately the same rate of recurrence is expected of this water, and the beneficial effects will thus be felt throughout the district as well as in the areas of initial application.
The project is expected to furnish about 22 percent of the district’s annual supply. In 1954, however, the project water was 45 percent of the supply and in 1955 it was 34 percent.

Availability was even more important, in 1955, than quantity. Throughout the unusually dry spring months project water was heavily used. For the season, the “C-BT” supplied 58 percent of the stored water used.

The same drought conditions that multiplied the uses at the same time prevented replenishment of storage. At the end of the year, the 804,000 acre-feet of project storage capacity contained only 239,000 acre-feet of water. As the spring of 1956 approached, however, a heavy snow pack in the mountains held forth hope that total storage may increase even while full demands are met for supplemental irrigation water.

The water content of snow on the Colorado River drainage above Granby Reservoir, the project’s main storage, was 160 percent of the 20-year average at the end of January. Should the rains be scant and the suns hot again in the summer of 1956, there still is assurance that near adequate supplies will be available for the district and the seven towns served with municipal water.

Crop production within the district increased about 8 percent in 1955 over the previous year, offsetting a 6 percent price decline. Farmers were not entirely spared the expenses and frustrations of drought, seeing sugar beet and corn plantings lost and having to replant to beans and sorghums.

The Big Thompson farmers commiserated with their fellows elsewhere in Colorado who had no means of supplementing their irrigation, or had no irrigation at all. Winter and spring moisture deficiencies destroyed the plantings on thousands of acres. The production of wheat, the major dry-land crop, was only 40 percent of the past 10-year average.

Abandonment of croplands over the State amounted to 43 percent of the cropped acreage. These failures, coming on the heels of 1954 when 39 percent of the crop acreage was abandoned, had an extremely depressing effect on the Colorado farmers’ economic status. Business was down in drought-stricken communities and mortgage debts increased.

Business traditionally prospers or declines in western farming communities in direct proportion to moisture conditions. It follows that local merchants are among the most enthusiastic supporters of the Colorado-Big Thompson project.

Retail sales tax collections are an indicator of general business conditions and volume of spending. In the northeastern and southeastern irrigated valleys of Colorado, widely divergent trends in retail sales tax collections are evident, although both areas have been affected similarly by drought.

In the northeast, where supplemental water has been available, a progressive growth trend has been established. In the southeast, where drought-
induced water shortages remain uncorrected, retail sales have declined with serious effects on the valley towns.

Such indirect effects are well known and accepted in irrigated communities. The Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District, contract-

ing agency with the Government for construction of the Colorado-Big Thompson project, is organized under a pioneering law passed in 1937; under the law, the general population of 160,000 is helping to support the project through ad valorem mill levies. This form of support recognizes that

**COMPONENTS OF WATER SUPPLY**
Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1953</th>
<th>1954</th>
<th>1955</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local supply</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflow to district</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td>671,800</td>
<td>314,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local storage</td>
<td>(+)25,700</td>
<td>(+)36,700</td>
<td>(+)59,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td>708,500</td>
<td>374,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project water</td>
<td>257,000</td>
<td>177,000</td>
<td>301,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined gravity supply</td>
<td>1,157,000</td>
<td>886,000</td>
<td>675,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growing season precipitation</td>
<td>540,000</td>
<td>355,000</td>
<td>234,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total available water</td>
<td>1,697,000</td>
<td>1,241,000</td>
<td>909,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project means added stability and new wealth, not only for farmers but also for townsmen. Many similar conservancy districts have now been formed throughout the West.

The project provides an important service to Western Slope irrigators. Western Slope project reservoirs include storage sufficient to replace any project-induced shortages among irrigators on that side of the Continental Divide. In addition, 95,000 acre-feet of storage capacity was provided on the west side for future irrigation expansion.

In 1955, Western Slope irrigators drew 39,000 acre-feet of water from this storage to offset shortages in their own supplies. This was water that otherwise would have been lost in the surplus spring flows, and it was provided without cost to the Western Slope irrigators.

These farmers could join the farmers of northeastern Colorado in a hymn of praise. Both groups are able to tell anyone of the wisdom and foresight of the individuals, private and public, who brought the Colorado-Big Thompson project into existence.

### TRENDs IN SALES TAX COLLECTIONS
**IRRIGATED COUNTIES - EASTERN COLORADO**
1950 equals 100

Above: THE TAX PICTURE.
Reclamation's
HALL OF FAME
NOMINATION NO. 21
John C. Page

by D. L. GOODMAN, Engineer
Commissioner's Office
Denver, Colo.

On the retirement of John Chatfield Page from the Bureau of Reclamation in 1947, former Secretary of the Interior Ickes wrote: “To the outstanding reclamationist who has had so many dam troubles most of his life that the West wasn’t big enough to contain them, I give an Irishman’s toast—may the skin of a gooseberry be more than ample to contain all of your future difficulties.”

Yet the future for John Page was not to be without difficulty. He was to remain a semi-invalid or invalid until his death in 1955, of an illness, which, according to medical opinion, was caused by heavy overwork during his tenure as Commissioner of the Bureau of Reclamation from 1937 to 1943. He was nationally known in the field of engineering, having served in every known engineering capacity with the Bureau since 1909.

Under his leadership as Commissioner, the Bureau was distinguished by one of its most brilliant eras of accomplishment with the construction of many world-renowned dams, including Grand Coulee, Shasta, Friant, Parker, Imperial, Bartlett, Seminole, Alcova, and Marshall Ford Dams.

In 1930 the Bureau began marshalling its engineering forces for its attack on one of the world’s largest and most difficult construction undertakings—the building of Hoover Dam on the Colorado River. Topflight talent was sought among the Bureau’s engineers to administer the construction of the 726-foot high dam, unprecedented in engineering experience. With his record as the able and efficient project superintendent of the Grand Valley project, Mr. Page was chosen as office engineer for the building of the dam.

At the Boulder Canyon project headquarters in Boulder City, Nev., Mr. Page’s stature as an engineering administrator grew during the hectic years that followed the start of construction of the dam in 1931. Aided by a small office staff he shouldered the herculean task of supervising the office working arrangements for the $48-million contract for the construction of the dam, the largest single contract in Bureau history. Through his hands passed the multitude of estimates, contract earnings data, orders to contractors, statistics and reports, correspondence, construction material records, maps and drawings. At the peak of construction more than 5,000 men were employed at the dam site having an aggregate monthly payroll of a half million dollars. The scope of the work of this period intensified the efforts of Mr. Page and his staff.
Despite the intensity of the work and its exacting demands on his skills and energies, largely through his efforts harmonious working relationships were maintained between the Bureau’s staff and the contractor’s forces. His affability and tact were called upon repeatedly to resolve problems of mutual concern to contractors and Bureau engineers. He established the precedent of friendly relationships for the visitation of dignitaries and the public to Hoover Dam, which is now the mecca for hundreds of thousands of tourists each year.

Characteristically, his unfailing good humor and friendliness were felt throughout the social life of Boulder City which had grown from a small tent colony in 1931 to an established Government municipality of 6,000 inhabitants in 1934. In the Page tradition his wife and two daughters—Mrs. Mildred Sloan Page and daughters Jean and Mildred—became equally well known in the Reclamation engineering circle of acquaintances for their congeniality and interest in community activities.

Among the frequent visitors to Hoover Dam was Dr. Elwood Mead, Commissioner and “grand old man” of Reclamation. With completion of the dam in 1935 as a vigorous reality on the western scene, Dr. Mead, who had long known of Mr. Page’s ability and talents, called him to the Bureau’s Washington office to head the Engineering Division and to understudy him for the commissionership. Following the death of Dr. Mead in 1936, President Roosevelt appointed John Page Commissioner in 1937.

As Commissioner Mr. Page’s grasp of the Bureau’s role in west-wide reclamation and his strong convictions on the value of Reclamation’s program added prestige to the Bureau’s established reputation of engineering achievement. His integrity and ability engendered loyalty and inspiration in his associates and subordinates. His intimate knowledge of the scope and perspective of Reclamation had immeasurable influence on the members of Congress.

His associates recall that he would frequently appear before congressional committees, presenting the Bureau’s detailed annual programs and answering numerous questions entirely from personal knowledge or with only occasional reference to notes. For his abilities and cooperation with congressional committees he was singled out for citation in the Congressional Record.

As Commissioner he gave new incentive and reputation to the engineering profession. He was the advocate of the “Engineer Plus”—the subject of his talk before the Nebraska State Engineering Society in Omaha in 1938. He said, “The times cry loudly for the engineer with a social conscience, both in the service of the Government and in private practice. All branches of the conservation movement need trained engineers with vision.”

The wide spread of his responsibilities and the constant demand on his time and energies as Commissioner, particularly during the war years of 1941–42, coupled with his own indefatigable devotion to Reclamation’s cause, took a heavy toll of his strength and health. Because of continued failing health, in 1943 he tendered his resignation as Commissioner.

For his brilliant leadership and dedication to the development of western land and water resources, to which he gave his career, his health, and his life, Reclamation pays tribute to the memory of John C. Page by naming him as an illustrious member of the Hall of Fame.

---
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“EACH YEAR over 1,000,000 acres of cultivatable land is going into homesites, industrial and commercial developments, defense establishments, highways, airports, and other nonagricultural uses.”—D. A. Williams, Administrator, Soil Conservation Service.

---

**WOMEN VOLUNTEERS WANTED FOR ERA**

The Secretary or some other officer of each and every organization of women on our projects is requested to take her pen or typewriter in hand and write to J. J. McCarthy, Editor of Reclamation Era, and outline her views as to how the Era may best serve the interests of our project women. The same invitation is extended to every woman not connected with a women’s organization. The Era wishes to be of service to everyone on the projects—men, women, boys, and girls. Just now the call is for women volunteers; without whose cooperation this proposed feature of the Era cannot be a complete success. Write today!
CUTTING O & M COSTS

Continued from page 30

Local districts. It may be considered a tool in the hands of the irrigation districts enabling them to do a better job at a lower cost.

There is no fixed pattern of operation under a board of control. It is flexible enough to meet all requirements. An outline of a general procedure that might be used is as follows:

A. The newly elected board of control meets and completes their organization, choosing their chairman, method of handling, secretarial work, etc.

B. A superintendent and a staff of operation and maintenance personnel are selected by the board of control and approved by the various irrigation districts.

C. Annually the board of each district, with the assistance of the board of control and the superintendent, will make a survey of their system and determine the work program for the following year.

D. Each irrigation board determines the O&M assessment necessary to meet the program and their proportionate share of the expenses of the operating staff. These general expenses may be divided in some equitable manner, such as on an acreage basis, miles of canals and laterals, the annual budget, etc.

E. The necessary equipment is pooled and placed under the direction of the board of control. This pool may be assembled in whole or in part from the equipment of the various irrigation districts. There are various ways of augmenting this pool in an equitable manner. When equipment is pooled it does not necessarily mean that all equipment is assembled for operating from a single point.

The equipment rates charged to the various jobs are generally set high enough to provide for maintenance, major overhauls, and replacements.

A comparison in cost is made by taking four neighboring irrigation districts that are now operating independently and totaling the annual amount paid out by them for personnel and adding to it the cost of owning and maintaining their entire equipment. Then the assumed cost of the required personnel under operation by a board of control was added to the annual cost of owning and operating a selected list of equipment suitable for a combined operation.

In making this comparison substantial salaries were allowed for the key men under the board of control operation. For instance, a salary of $7,500 was allowed for the superintendent, whereas the average salary for the superintendents of the individual units is approximately $4,500.

In the list of equipment proposed for this consolidated operation were included several units that the irrigation districts could not afford when operating independently. Among these units were a large capacity trailer unit, a dredger, bank slopers, short wave radios, etc. A considerable saving in connection with the equipment is connected with the reduced number of draglines, tractors, etc.

The annual equipment cost included such items as depreciation, storage, insurance, fuel and repairs. The unit costs used are a little over one-half that contemplated for similar equipment when used on heavy construction work. These unit costs seem to be justified by the longer life of equipment used for maintenance work. The indicated saving of 22.8 percent does not include the savings produced by consolidated purchases.

It is recognized that the equipment and the personnel suitable for projects in one part of the country may not be the proper selection for a project of similar size in another area. Local conditions must be considered.

When a consolidation is contemplated, a better than ordinary review is often made of the physical condition of the various units. Emphasis is placed upon delayed work. After a consolidation operation is put into effect these may not be the immediate drop in operating costs expected. The more efficient operation is reflected in the cleaning up of the work long delayed. In this way, an expensive rehabilitation program will be avoided.

With operations carried on under a board of control the time required to be donated by the irrigation boards is greatly reduced. The major portion of the burden is placed upon the superintendent and his key men. When considering organization under a board of control, the importance of a short wave radio in tying together an extended system should not be overlooked.

It seems significant that there is no record of an irrigation district dropping the board of control operation once it is fully organized. # # #
The construction of Hoover Dam, by virtue of its river regulation and power generation, made possible a plan to construct a dam below the mouth of the Bill Williams River to provide a forebay for pumping water into an aqueduct leading to the Los Angeles area.

With funds advanced by the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, contracts were awarded by the Bureau of Reclamation and the Parker Dam project was started in 1934 and completed in 1938. The Parker Dam Power projects, resulting in the construction of the powerplant proper, was started in 1939 with the first power available from generating units Nos. 1 and 3 in December 1942. But the dam serves other purposes as well. Together with Hoover Dam and Davis Dam, it also provides flood control along the Colorado. By capturing and delaying the discharge of flash floods and tributaries below Hoover and Davis, such as the Sacramento Wash and Bill Williams River, Parker Dam lessens the chances for flood damage downstream from storms arising in the Davis-Parker reaches of the river. The installation of the flood warning system on the Bill Williams River about 6 years ago permits the operation of Havasu Lake, created by Parker Dam, at a higher average level than previously, and consequently affords greater power generation and irrigation benefits.

Parker Dam is a concrete arch structure with about two-thirds of its structural height below the river bed. Workmen excavated 235 feet before placing its concrete foundation, thus making it the “deepest” dam in the world. Only 85 feet of its bulk protrudes above the bed of the Colorado. Its superstructure rises another 63 feet above the roadway that crosses its top. Almost 380,000 cubic yards of concrete were placed in the structure. At its crest, which is 455 feet above sea level, the dam is 320 feet high, 856 feet long, and 39 feet wide. Its base is 100 feet wide. The crest is controlled by five steel roller gates, each guided...
PARKER POWERPLANT GENERATING ROOM as seen from erection platform.

by slots in the spillway pier walls. When lifted by means of huge chains passing over the hoists to counterweights, they permit river water to flow over the spillway. A 15 horsepower motor operates the hoisting unit for each gate. The parts of the piers rising above the roadway and the hoist house on top form the dam’s superstructure.

Havasu Lake backs up behind the dam for 45 miles and covers over 24,000 acres. The total capacity of the reservoir is 716,600 acre-feet. The contract between the United States and the Metropolitan Water District for the operation of the dam and reservoir limits the use of active storage to the uppermost 216,500 acre-feet. The depth of the reservoir at the dam is 75 feet with the spillway gates closed.

The Parker Powerplant includes the penstock gate structure, four penstock tunnels, and a powerplant building, housing four hydroelectric generating units, each with a capacity of 30,000 kilowatts. Each of the four tunnels and the penstocks conveying river water from the forebay at the right end of the dam to the turbines is 22 feet in diameter and has a water capacity of 5,500 cubic feet per second. The hydraulic units operate under a gross effective head of 80 feet. 

THE EDITOR’S COLUMN

As a followup to Mr. Delbert D. Suggs’ article “Weed Control by Grass Competition,” which appeared in the February Era, we are printing the following items on weed control which we hope may prove helpful to you.

TEN COMMANDMENTS FOR 2,4-D SPRAYING

1. Spray when weeds are small.
2. Learn the right amount of 2,4-D and additives for your area, use no more, no less.
3. Avoid highly susceptible crops such as grapes and cotton—do not drive by the ends of the rows if wind is toward the crop.
4. Use low volatile 2,4-D.
5. Low pressure: 25 pounds at the nozzle.
6. High volumes: 25 to 75 gallons per acre.
7. Wind away from crop, not above 5 m. p. h.
8. Boom low to ground; not over 24 inches above weeds.
10. Store or park sprayer away from susceptible vegetation; bury empty containers—don’t throw in the city dump.

A $25 investment in grass seedings can save you up to $5,000. It is no gamble when you can see a mile of farm head-ditch to a sod-forming grass and remove the dominant source of barnyard grass seed. Farmers have spent up to $100 per acre to clean the grass from beans or sugar beets. Check with your county agent for a nonweedy sod-former.

Over a 5-year period you can establish grass on ditchbanks where rainfall is only 6–8 inches for about the same cost as the control of annual weeds with 2,4-D. After that, it’s all gravy.

Anytime you have to drag and burn a ditchbank to clean up, you are seeding next year’s crop of the same weeds. Try grass, and before long beef or wool can be your crop.

The facts are that establishing a grass stand on arid ditchbanks requires an all-out effort in the very brief periods of favorable weather. Native grasses survive under low rainfall, so take your cue from Mother Nature. Do like she does—make grass seedings to take advantage of the limited times of favorable conditions.

Cattails make pretty dry bouquets. They make summer “snow storms” of downy seed. But this is what counts: Cattails use twice as much water as most crops. A 1,000 acres of cattails use up about 8,000 acre-feet of water each year. Prevention of cattail colonies saves irrigation water.

THE RECLAMATION ERA
Developments in sugar beet production in the United States have taken place so rapidly during the past 15 years that published accounts of them are frequently out of date before they are printed and read by the public. Every year has seen significant developments in machinery for handling the crop in cultural practices such as fertilizer use, irrigation and weed control; and in the breeding of varieties possessing higher yielding ability, improved disease resistance and higher quality. All of these significant developments have been brought about through cooperative research. This cooperative research has involved research workers from almost every company within the sugar beet industry as well as from the various sections and branches of the Agricultural Research Administration of the United States Department of Agriculture and research personnel from numerous State experiment stations.

When development of machinery for handling the crop was a major issue, research personnel from the machinery manufacturing industry also became members of the cooperating group, and the same type of cooperative program has been followed on problems relative to fertilizer use, insecticide use, and the development of herbicides for weed control.

Cooperation between all the agencies which are concerned in solving problems of the industry is cleared through the Beet Sugar Development Foundation, a nonprofit organization. All sugar beet companies in the United States are members of the B. S. D. F. This central organization, through its elected Board of Directors, executes memoranda of understanding covering cooperative work with public research organizations such as the United States Department of Agriculture and State Experiment Stations. This organization also expends a budget in excess of $100,000 per year to further cooperative projects with emphasis placed on those of most concern to the entire industry. At the present time the Sugar Beet Industry is giving financial assistance through the Sugar Beet development foundation to the Sugar Crops Section of the United States Department of Agriculture at its field stations in Colorado, Utah, and California; and at the research station at Beltsville, Md. These projects deal principally with providing basic materials and methods for use in the production of hybrid varieties of sugar beets adapted to the needs of the various sections of the country.

Projects for control of sugar beet pests and diseases are receiving considerable attention at the present time. These projects include control of Sugar Beet Nematode, Virus Yellows, and continue work on Curly-Top, Leafspot, and root rot. These are diseases which have received major emphasis in the past and on which considerable progress has been made. The work on sugar beet nematode control involves four organizations: the sugar beet industry, the United States Department of Agriculture, the University of California, and various chemical companies. Each carries
some particular phase of the overall project and the Beet Sugar Development Foundation serves as the coordinating agency.

Work on the nutritional requirements of sugar beets also involves the sugar beet industry, the United States Department of Agriculture, and State Experiment Stations. This project includes such problems as: critical levels of the various elements needed in sugar beet nutrition; development and evaluation of soil and plant tissue tests to help in determining fertilizer requirements and the inter-relation of fertilizer application to the irrigation program and the crop rotation system.

Progress From Research

The real proof of the value of such a coordinated and industry-wide supported program of research lies, of course, in the results. Some of the outstanding achievements of the past 15 years might be summarized as follows:

1. Rapid strides have been made toward complete mechanization of the crop. During this period, machine harvesting has become the common practice on 97 percent of the beet acreage. Through the use of processed seed and the use of precision planters seeding rates per acre have been reduced from 16 to 18 pounds of whole seed per acre to from 6 to 7 pounds of processed seed per acre. This has resulted in a large reduction in spring labor requirements. More recently, thinning machines have been developed and some districts have made extensive use of them. In 1955 approximately one-third of the sugar beet acreage in the United States was machine worked and thinning and hoeing completed by laborers using long-handled hoes and doing a minimum of finger work. This procedure has cut labor costs to the farmer and to the sugar companies and it has resulted in increased earnings to the laborers.

The development of monogerm seed, which is rapidly approaching commercial use, will still further facilitate mechanization of the spring work.

2. Average yields per acre have been increased about 26 percent. This has resulted from varieties improved in disease resistance and in yielding ability; improved soil fertility practices; improved irrigation practices; and a general improvement in farming practices due to numerous technological improvements.

3. Among the great benefits coming from the cooperative research program has been the development of disease resistant varieties. Development of curly-top resistant varieties not only saved the industry in the area west of the Rocky Mountains but it has made it possible to rebuild factories in areas from which the sugar beet industry had previously been driven.

Leaf-spot resistant varieties have stabilized and made more profitable, both to the farmer and to the processor, the sugar-beet crop in States east of the Rocky Mountains, including States as far east as Michigan and Ohio.

At the present time varieties are being developed with combined resistance to curly-top, leaf spot and root rot.

Furthermore, the sugar beet industry is now on the threshold of another great development in sugar beet production. Hybrid varieties are now being produced and tested on a commercial scale. Extensive field trials have demonstrated that the use of hybrid varieties may increase sugar-beet yields another 20 to 25 percent.

Although the accomplishments of the cooperative program on sugar beets have been tremendous and remarkable strides have been made, there still remains many problems to solve and much work to be done. Officials in the sugar beet industry are confident that in the future as well as in the past, our fastest and our best progress will be made through the joint efforts of all the individuals and organizations concerned with this important agricultural crop.

L. H. MITCHELL DIES

L. H. Mitchell, former Irrigation Adviser to the Secretary of the Interior, died in San Diego, Calif., on January 24 after a brief illness.

Mr. Mitchell spent 41 years with the Bureau of Reclamation serving as project manager on the Riverton, Shoshone, and Lower Yellowstone projects. The educational work he did on water utilization and weed control in the arid west is well known.

After graduating from the University of Maine, Mr. Mitchell joined the Bureau of Reclamation where he worked continuously until his retirement in 1945.

JAKE AND THE RUSSIAN THISTLE

The most efficient water measuring device will always have to be supplemented by human assistance, as the following true story illustrates. Some years ago, during a dry season on the North Platte project, water was allotted in proportion to acreage. Two farmers we'll call Jake and Joe got their water at the end of a lateral, each through a submerged orifice.

For several mornings hand-running, the ditch-rider found and removed a large green Russian thistle from Joe's headgate, where it had the effect of increasing the flow through Jake's gate. The ditch-rider mentioned the coincidence, not to Joe but to Jake, and added, "You know, it's sure strange that green thistles would blow this time of year."

A few mornings later, Joe's gate again was clogged—with a huge dry thistle. The moral? The best measuring device requires constant care.
for projects along the Colorado River main stem, including the Yuma and Wellton-Mohawk Valleys.

BRITISH COLUMBIA.—According to the British Columbia Water Rights Branch, most snow courses in the Columbia, Kootenay, Okanagan-Similkameen and Skagit River Basins have water content which averages about 25 percent above normal. The low level snow courses show the greatest amount above normal. Thawing appears to have started in the most southern areas. April to September streamflow in these areas is expected to be well above normal. Flows greater than last year, but not as great as in 1954, are expected.

Snow pack in the Fraser and North Thompson River Basin is heavy, particularly in the North Thompson which is more than 40 percent above normal.

CALIFORNIA.—Water supply conditions in California as of April 1, as reported by the State Division of Water Resources, are generally satisfactory north of latitude of Bakersfield. Though precipitation was considerably below normal in February and negligible during March, the record-breaking storms in December and January resulted in sufficient snow pack and surface reservoir storage to provide above normal water supplies for this portion of California. Shortages in water supply are anticipated only in localized areas where development of observation storage and groundwater basins has not kept pace with growth. In the remainder of the State, conditions are generally unsatisfactory. Pumping from groundwater basins supplemented by supplies conserved by facilities of the Central Valley project and on the Colorado River will alleviate to a large extent shortages in local surface water supplies. However, the available supply for agricultural areas in the vicinity of San Diego will be greatly deficient. The demand on ground water basins to compensate for the deficiency in surface supplies will further aggravate overdraft conditions in basins located in the western and southern portions of the San Joaquin Valley and in the south coastal area. Unless provision is made in the near future for further supplemental supplies a critical situation will result.

There were no storms of consequence during March. Those which occurred during the last few days of the month brought only normal amounts to scattered portions of the State north of the Tehachapi Mountains. However, seasonal precipitation as of April 1 averages above normal in all the major hydrographic areas of California, except the south coastal which is generally greater than for the same period 1 year ago.

The water content of the snow pack in the Cascade Mountains and Sierra Nevada varies from 20 percent normal on the Tule River to 150 percent normal on the Upper Sacramento and Feather River watersheds. The March storms deposited only minor amounts of new snow and the water content of the snow pack is generally less than that of March 1 as a result of some melt which occurred during the latter portion of March.

New high river flows were reported on many streams during March. However, reported inflow to Shasta, Folson and Pine Flat Rivers indicated major increase in flow during March due to snow melt in the upper reaches of the respective tributary watersheds. Snow melt runoff on the Cascade Mountains and Sierra Nevada streams will be considerably in excess of that during 1955. The anticipated snow melt runoff assuming normal precipitation during the April-July period is expected to vary from 60 percent of average in the Tule River to 156 percent on the Feather River and on most streams will be approximately double that for 1955.

COLORADO.—Except for the Rio Grande, the outlook for the State is much improved over the past 2 years. Early season snowfall was much above normal throughout the State, but increase in the snow pack during March was small and warm temperatures caused premature melting. On the Colorado River drainage, mountain soils under the snow are wet. Streamflow is increasing. While the snow pack has melted to some extent at medium elevations of the eastern slope, wet soils and increased streamflow are not in evidence.

On the South Platte drainage in northeastern Colorado, snowmelt season streamflow will range from normal to about 25 percent above normal. Along the Arkansas River, the available supply will be nearly 75 percent of normal. Supplies will be generally adequate along the main streams of the Colorado River drainage. Streamflow should range from 75 percent of normal in southwestern Colorado to 125 percent of normal on the Upper Colorado River near the Continental Divide. Inflow to Lake Mead is expected to be near average; approximately equal to the total combined flow of 1954 and 1955.

The outlook for the Rio Grande drainage in Colorado is slightly improved over the past 2 years, but the supply

### Water Stored in Western Reservoirs

(Operated by Bureau of Reclamation or Water Users except as noted)

<table>
<thead>
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Continued on page 53
### Water Stored in Western Reservoirs—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region 1</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Reservoir</th>
<th>Active capacity</th>
<th>March 31, 1955</th>
<th>March 31, 1956</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yakima</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder Canyon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Dam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker Dam Power</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Corps of Engineers Reservoir.

2 Not reported.
**Water Outlook**
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will be less than normal and short of demand. This will further complicate interstate division of waters from this stream.

Soil moisture in irrigated areas is good on the west slope and fair to good in eastern Colorado. This represents a material improvement over 1955.

IDAHO—Northern Idaho has a recordbreaking snow pack which means an excellent water outlook, with probability of excessive high flow on the Kootenai, Clearwater, Salmon, and Payette Rivers. Boise River also has an extremely heavy snow pack, but wise reservoir operations are expected to control its flow in 1956.

In southern Idaho the snow pack is close to normal with average supplies forecast. The drought that prevailed in this area for several years is definitely broken. Reservoir storage is good throughout the State as a result of heavy winter streamflow. The handicap of a low carry-over storage from last year has been overcome. In most drainages in the southern edge of the State storage is now above normal except where it has been lowered in preparation for the expected heavy flows.

KANSAS—The outlook for the Arkansas River in western Kansas is only fair but slightly improved over a year ago, with about 40,000 acre-feet in the John Martin Reservoir. Soils are dry. Summer precipitation will have to be above normal to provide adequate water.

MONTANA—Over Montana's mountainous areas, the snow pack is 15 to 30 percent more than average. In Jefferson River Basin it is 50 percent more than in 1955 and 14 percent above average. Madison River surveys show 23 percent more than in 1955, and 15 percent above average. In Gallatin River Basin the pack is 26 percent over last year's and 15 percent more than in the average year.

Contributaries to the main stem of the Missouri River have a snow pack that is 5,100,000 acre-feet above average. In Jefferson River Basin it is 50 percent more than in 1955 and 7 percent more than average. Flow of the Missouri into Fort Peck will be about 5,500,000 acre-feet, 27 percent more than in the average season. There is a very heavy pack in the Yellowstone River Basin, 60 percent more than in 1955, and 37 percent more than average. It is indicated that 5,100,000 acre-feet will flow past Billings from April to September. At Williston, N. D., the flow of the combined Missouri and Yellowstone Rivers will send 14 million acre-feet to Garrison Reservoir. In this flow 5 million acre-feet will be subject to regulation at Fort Peck on the Missouri proper.

Over the Columbia River Basin in Montana, water equivalent in the snow pack is considerably more than it was in 1955, 36 percent more on the Flathead and 42 percent more in the Clark Fork Basin. Flow into Hungry Horse Reservoir is expected to be a half-million acre-feet more than it was in 1955. At Cabinet Gorge, on the Idaho-Montana line, flow is forecast at 15,300,000 acre-feet, 26 percent more than average.

There is an extremely heavy snow pack in the Kootenai River Basin in northwestern Montana. It is close to the record pack in 17 years of reporting. Extremely high flows are clearly indicated for downstream reaches of this river.

NEBRASKA—Available supplies along the North Platte in western Nebraska will be at least average. Soil moisture conditions are good in valley areas. The outlook for irrigated sections on the Kansas River watershed, served by water stored in Bonny, Swanson Lake, Enders, Harry Strunk and Harlan County Reservoirs, is good to excellent with adequate storage to meet expected demands.

NEVADA—Irrigation season supplies in Nevada range from excellent along the eastern slope of the Sierras, to good along the Humboldt in northern Nevada, and very poor in the southern portion. Winter streamflow has been above normal in the north and western parts. Mountain soils are well saturated. April 1 storage in irrigation reservoirs was 60 percent of capacity and 97 percent of the April 1, 1938–42 average.

Streamflow from the east-central portion of the Sierras will range from 120 to 130 percent of average. Nearly normal flow will occur along the Humboldt and its tributaries in the north. In the southeastern part of the State, ground water recharging from the snow pack will be deficient.

NEW MEXICO—Drought conditions and prospective shortages continue along the Rio Grande. Total storage and expected runoff indicate a water supply of about 25 to 40 percent of normal for irrigated districts served by the Rio Grande. The 1956 outlook is very similar to that during 1954 and 1955. Early season snow pack, particularly on the Colorado portion of the watershed, was very encouraging. However, lack of snow in March, and warm temperatures, reduced the situation to a current poor rating.

Outlook on the Carlsbad and Tucumcari project is fair to good. This outlook is based on carryover storage and fair to good soil moisture conditions rather than on snow-melt season runoff. The runoff of the San Juan through New Mexico is expected to be about 75 percent of normal and adequate for local demands.

OKLAHOMA—Altus Reservoir on the W. C. Austin project contains 36,000 acre-feet, about 50 percent of normal. Reservoir inflow is much below normal. The outlook is only fair.

OREGON—Oregon's agricultural areas, including those pinched by water shortages in 1955, will have ample supplies in 1956. An unusually heavy snow pack, and the wettest watershed soils observed in many years, assure better than average late summer streamflow throughout the State.

Reservoired water supplies are 17 percent greater than average in 20 important reservoirs. Although water stored behind these dams averages 80 percent of capacity, many are spilling to make room for unusually heavy streamflow yet to come.

Forecasts of seasonal streamflow foretell average to well above average supplies in April through September discharge. Many streams in the Malheur, Burnt, Imnaha, Wallowa, Grande Ronde, John Day, Deschutes, Hood, Willamette, Umpqua, Rogue, Klamath, Chewaucan, and Warner Lake Basins will produce flows ranking in the top 10 percent of the highest historical records.

Some areas are planning to use "bonus" flows to build reservoir reserves for 1957. Others are cultivating marginal irrigated lands that go out of production in short water years.

SOUTH DAKOTA—The Black Hills snow pack is extremely low, indicating a serious shortage of water for irrigation. Storage in reservoirs serving the Black Hills irrigated districts gives a little better outlook. It is 100 percent of normal and 64 percent of usable capacity.

TEXAS—The irrigated areas of west Texas along the Rio Grande will again be very short of water. Elephant Butte Reservoir contains about the same amount of water as it did last year, less than 20 percent of normal. Total streamflow available for irrigation will probably not exceed 25 percent of normal, similar to the situation a year ago.

On the Pecos River the outlook is fair to good with 100,000 acre-feet in storage in Red Bluff Reservoir as compared to a normal of about 85,000 and 162,000 a year ago.

UTAH—The February and March drought has largely eliminated any serious danger of damaging peak flows during spring runoff in northern Utah. Water users there can look for fair to good water supplies, ranging from around 30 percent below to 20 percent above average. In southern Utah the recent dry weather has created a critical water shortage on most streams, particularly in irrigated areas along Sevier River. At Kingston gaging station. April–September runoff is expected to be only one-fifth of average, slightly more than was measured in
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT HEADS NEW RECLAMATION AUTHORIZATIONS

"SIGNING INTO LAW BY PRESIDENT EISENHOWER of the Colorado River Storage Project authorizing legislation, is an historic milestone in the annals of the Upper Colorado River Basin States and of the Nation," Secretary of the Interior Douglas McKay said on April 11, 1956.

“It is gratifying to see the way cleared for construction of this great project. Future generations will owe a debt of gratitude to the President and the Congress for their conservation foresight in enacting this legislation,” said Secretary McKay.

Reclamation Commissioner W. A. Dexheimer said, “Even though we proposed to start construction immediately, it will not be completed and fully operative in our time.”

Comprehensive development of the water resources of the Upper Colorado River Basin has been initiated by authorization of the Colorado River storage project and participating projects.

A full or supplement irrigation water supply for more than 366,000 acres of land, installed hydroelectric power capacity of about 1,100,000 kilowatts and other multiple benefits are contemplated by the authorized construction. Several decades will be required to bring the comprehensive basin development to fruition.

The construction of four major multipurpose reservoir storage units and 11 participating Reclamation projects in the States of Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming is authorized. The Secretary of the Interior is also instructed to give priority to investigations and preparation of planning reports for an additional 25 irrigation projects. This initial authorization of projects and priority in planning, however, is without intent of the Congress to interfere with or preclude consideration and authorization of other additional projects in the comprehensive plan of development.

The upper Colorado development is the largest Reclamation project in point of dollar authorization ever to be approved by the Congress in a single, specific piece of legislation.

The Act authorizes appropriation of not more than $760,000,000 for construction of the initially authorized development of the Upper Colorado River storage project. A separate fund in the United States Treasury, known as the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund, is established for construction, operation, and maintenance of the units and projects.

Funds appropriated by the Congress will be credited to the Basin Fund as advances from the Treasury. Project revenues also will be credited to the Fund and those in excess of operating needs will be paid annually to the United States Treasury to return construction costs allocated to power and municipal water supply, both with interest, and costs of the storage units allocated to irrigation without interest within 50 years after completion.

Project revenues in excess of those required for the foregoing needs will be apportioned among the States of the upper division as follows: Colorado—46%, Utah—21.5%, Wyoming—15.5%, and New Mexico—17%, except that revenues in the Fund from any participating project are apportioned to the State in which the project is located. Such apportioned revenue is to be used only to assist in repaying irrigation construction costs of participating projects in the State to which apportioned. The Secretary will submit business-type budgets annually to the Congress covering the Basin Fund operations.

Construction, operation, and maintenance of the units and participating projects will be governed by Federal Reclamation laws, and operation of these facilities will be in accordance with the
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Mexican Water Treaty, the Colorado Basin Compact, the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact, and other documents which are commonly referred to as the law of the Colorado River.

Contracts to provide for repayment of irrigation water users’ obligations, within 50 years exclusive of a development period and for irrigation distribution systems, are required prior to construction of the participating projects.

For a period of 10 years following enactment of the legislation, no water from any participating projects authorized by this legislation is to be delivered to new lands for production of any basic commodity as defined by the 1949 Agricultural Act which is in excess of normal supply unless the Secretary of Agriculture calls for such production in the interest of national security.

Public recreation facilities and facilities to mitigate losses and propagate fish and wildlife are authorized by the legislation.

Two other reclamation projects were authorized by the Congress for construction. These were the Washita River Basin in West Central Oklahoma and the Ventura in Southwestern Ventura County, California.

The Washita project will provide vitally needed irrigation water to approximately 26,000 acres of land, supply municipal and industrial water to several nearby towns, and aid in the control of floods estimated to do an average $1,200,000 worth of damage yearly. The project will also have significant recreational and fish and wildlife benefits.

Major features of the project will include Fort Cobb Reservoir, created by an earth dam on Pond (Cobb) Creek, 35 miles southeast of Clinton, and Foss Reservoir. Other features include a small diversion dam, canals, and pipelines.

The Ventura project is designed to supply irrigation water to about 12,000 acres of land and to meet growing municipal and industrial water needs.

Major features of the project include the Casitas Dam, an earth structure about 2 miles upstream on Coyote Creek from its junction with Ventura River. Robles Diversion Dam, a rockfill structure on Ventura River to divert additional water into Casitas Reservoir; and a main conduit system to take water to elevations higher than the reservoir. The project will also provide minimum recreational facilities.

LAND JUDGING CONTESTS ARE SCHEDULED

The Fifth National Land Judging and the Second National Range and Pasture Judging Contests will be held May 4, 1956, at the State Fairgrounds, Oklahoma City, Okla. This contest is sponsored by Station WKY AM-TV and business men of Oklahoma City.

On May 3, 1956, a detailed school of instruction is scheduled for both the land and the range and pasture judging contests for all out-of-State participants who wish to make a study of soil and pasture conditions in Oklahoma.

There will be five divisions for contestants: 4-H, FFA, women and girls, collegiate, and adults. Awards in the form of money, medals, trophies, and plaques will be presented the contest winners.

Further information concerning these events may be obtained from Jack Stratton, Farm Director of Radio Station WKY, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

WHAT’S NEW IN WEED CONTROL EQUIPMENT

The most efficient weed control equipment yet devised is finding more and more use on irrigation systems. The equipment is at a premium and in constant demand. Some of its important features are: (1) Self-propelled, (2) fully automatic, (3) use a wide variety of cheap fuels, (4) equally effective against grasses and broadleaf weeds, (5) year-round usefulness, and (6) high trade-in value. The equipment can be purchased at any sales barn under the name cow.

Cows pastured on grassed ditchbanks provide the cheapest and best weed control possible. Grazing should be controlled to insure that the grass stand maintains vigor. Overgrazing quickly eliminates the grass and weeds take over; no grazing causes the grasses to make a rank growth with the result that they slow up flow and cause silt to settle out. Try the one-two-three punch on weeds: (1) seed ditchbanks to grass, (2) get some cows, (3) control grazing.

“GET ACQUAINTED” COPIES

If you have friends or associates who would be interested in the RECLAMATION ERA, please send their names and addresses to the Bureau of Reclamation, Washington 25, D. C. We shall be glad to send them copies of back issues.
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USE BLASTING POWDER FOR EXCAVATING

Blasting powder or dynamite is not in general use for excavating canals and drains in connection with irrigation. However, the management of some irrigation systems have found dynamite very beneficial toward maintaining various phases of their units. It would seem that its use should receive wider recognition.

In some instances short stretches of canals or drains can be completed by using powder at a cost lower than required for moving heavy equip-ment to the job. Powder is found to be especially advantageous in wet or bogged areas.

The following are examples of jobs where the use of powder may be found especially economical:

Channel changes
Cutting of drains through bogged areas
Cleaning of drains through bogged areas
The excavation of short channels in isolated areas

The amount of powder required will vary considerably but one pound of dynamite per cubic yard of excavation will meet the requirements in some instances.

MAJOR RECENT CONTRACT AWARDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Project description</th>
<th>Award date</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
<th>Contractor’s name and address</th>
<th>Contract amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS-4509</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Jan. 10</td>
<td>Construction of 15,000-kv. shunt capacitors for Folsom-Elverta terminal</td>
<td>Tobe Deutschmann Corp.,</td>
<td>$225,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4512</td>
<td>Provo River, Utah</td>
<td>Mar. 6</td>
<td>Vertical-shaft generators for Deer Creek power plant</td>
<td>Elliott Co., Jeannette, Pa.</td>
<td>145,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4537</td>
<td>Yakima, Wash</td>
<td>Feb. 3</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>Baldwin - Lima - Hamilton Corp., Philadelphia, Pa.</td>
<td>2,009,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4563</td>
<td>Yakima, Wash</td>
<td>Jan. 4</td>
<td>Construction of Palo Verde levee system</td>
<td>Euclid Construction Co., Inc., Phoenix, Ariz.</td>
<td>572,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4565</td>
<td>Solano, Calif.</td>
<td>Jan. 31</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>A. Tischert &amp; Son, Inc., Sacramento, Calif.</td>
<td>546,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4566</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash</td>
<td>Jan. 10</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>Clapp &amp; Johnston Construction Co., Holdrege, Nebr.</td>
<td>1,147,927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4567</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash</td>
<td>Jan. 10</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>Marshall and Haas, Yuma, Ariz.</td>
<td>1,086,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4571</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash</td>
<td>Mar. 21</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>Van Dewer &amp; Co., Billings, Mont.</td>
<td>158,982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4577</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Feb. 9</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>Van Dewer &amp; Co., Billings, Mont.</td>
<td>283,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4580</td>
<td>Fort Peck, Mont.</td>
<td>Jan. 10</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>McElroy Bros., Inc., Houston, Tex.</td>
<td>914,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4584</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash</td>
<td>Mar. 21</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>McElroy Bros., Inc., Houston, Tex.</td>
<td>147,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4585</td>
<td>Middle Rio Grande, N. Mex.</td>
<td>Feb. 20</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>James P. Johnson, Sante Fe, N. Mex.</td>
<td>710,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4587</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Kans.</td>
<td>Feb. 14</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>Henry C. Werner and Tauf Charneski, Eugene, Ore.</td>
<td>506,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4608</td>
<td>Middle Rio Grande, N. Mex.</td>
<td>Mar. 8</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>Henry C. Werner and Tauf Charneski, Eugene, Ore.</td>
<td>104,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4609</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, N. Dak.</td>
<td>Feb. 10</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>Miller &amp; Smith, Contractors, Albuquerque, N. Mex.</td>
<td>146,034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4610</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, S. Dak.</td>
<td>Jan. 28</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>Basin Construction Co., Omaha, Nebr.</td>
<td>319,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4613</td>
<td>Deschutes, Oreg.</td>
<td>Feb. 20</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>James P. Johnson, Sante Fe, N. Mex.</td>
<td>573,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400C-56</td>
<td>Grand Valley, Colo.</td>
<td>Jan. 27</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete lining, and structures for East Central part of Block 89 laterals, wasteways, and drain, West canal laterals</td>
<td>Henry C. Werner and Tauf Charneski, Eugene, Ore.</td>
<td>126,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Description of work or material</td>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Description of work or material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central Valley, Calif.</strong></td>
<td>Earthwork and structures for about 5.5 miles of 12- to 24-inch reinforced prestressed concrete pipe for Contra Costa Distribution System laterals. Near Antioch.</td>
<td><strong>MRB, North Dakota.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing buildings, power lines, telephone lines and erecting antenna towers for radio communication facilities in several areas of North Dakota.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constructing the 144-foot-high Vega earth dam and appurtenant structures, and relocating about 5 miles of county road. About 10 miles east of Colton.</td>
<td><strong>MRB, South Dakota.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing facilities for radio communication system including 15-10 by 12-foot prefabricated metal buildings, sixteen 200-foot radio towers with antennas, cable and lights, underground audio telephone and control lines between buildings and underground power cable. In or near following towns: Wasta, Clark, Rux Heights, Madrid, Arlington, Pierre, Gettysburg, Burke, and Gary.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Columbia Basin, Wash.</strong></td>
<td>Earthwork and structures for about 29 miles of laterals and wasteways, and constructing 3 small pumping plants. Block 46. Between Warden and Othello.</td>
<td><strong>MRB, Wyoming</strong></td>
<td>Encavating a 15- by 16,5-foot tunnel 1,700 feet long for Fremont Canyon Power Plant access road. 40 miles southwest of Casper.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>De</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Constructing 5 miles of road including a 140-foot truss bridge for access to Fremont Canyon Power Plant on North Platte River, 40 miles southwest of Casper.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>De</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Relocating 4 miles and improving 2.3 miles of Wyoming State Highway U. S. 87, which will include construction of a 290-foot bridge. North of Glendo.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dezire</strong></td>
<td>De</td>
<td>Palsades, Idaho.</td>
<td>Clearing lands, including removal of fences and buildings along the Snake River, upstream from the Palsades Dam, 60 to 80 miles southeast of Idaho Falls.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>De</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Removing a metal flume and constructing a 60-inch prestressed concrete pipe siphon about 375 feet long, and replacing it with a 57-inch prestressed concrete pipe siphon about 1,970 feet long. Medford Canal. Near Medford.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Eagle County, Idaho.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Constructing the 216-foot-high Vaquero earth dam and appurtenant structures, and about 4 miles of access road. About 14 miles northeast of Santa Maria.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clearing and excavating for conveyance channel and constructing metal jeties. On Rio Grande River, near Socorro.</td>
<td>Santa Maria, Calif.</td>
<td>Constructing the gated reinforced concrete Vaquero Diversion Dam with earth embankment on the left abutment, canal headworks, and sluiceway. About 40 miles west of Sacramento.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Earthwork and structures for about 10 miles of 20- to 30-inch diameter concrete pipe, about 1 mile of 10- to 30-inch diameter concrete pipe, about 5 miles of 11.5-foot diameter concrete pipe, and constructing an 18-in. wood. 100-foot deep.</td>
<td>Weber Basin, Utah.</td>
<td>1 vertical-shaft, hydraulic-driven synchronous generator, rated 1,475-kv- a, 0.95 power factor, 2,400-volt, 3-phase, 60-cycle, 460-r. p. m. for Washpin Power Plant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>De</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>2 vertical-shaft, hydraulic-driven synchronous generators, rated 1,790-kv- a, 0.95 power factor, 2,400-volt, 3-phase, 60-cycle, 516-r. p. m. and 1 vertical, hydraulic-driven synchronous generator, rated 605-kv- a, 0.95 power factor, 2,400-volt, 3-phase, 60-cycle, 900-r. p. m. for Gateway Power Plant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Grand Forks, Wash.</strong></td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>1 vertical-shaft, Francis-type turbine with a capacity of 2,000 h. at an effective head of 115 feet; 1 plate-shaft, open-type governor for regulating speed of turbine; and 1 guard valve. Washpin Power Plant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Subject to change.
last year's drought. Combined storage in Sevier River Reservoirs is one-third less than last year and only 40 percent of average. Central Utah streams have fair runoff prospects. Some deficiency can be expected there in supplying water demands during the summer, but the shortage will not become critical unless dry weather continues through spring months.

WASHINGTON—With exception of the Elwha River headwaters and the Olympic Peninsula, the greatest snow pack of record existed on the mountain watersheds of Washington on April 1. Several individual snow courses had a maximum water content of record a month ago. April mountain snowfall increased the pack to a point where extremely heavy melt season flow is expected. Forecasts of seasonal flow range from 120 percent of normal for Mill Creek, near Walla Walla, to 190 percent of normal on Ahtanum Creek, a tributary of the Yakima River. For instance, Cayuse Pass snow course, on the headwaters of the Yakima and Cowitza Rivers showed 329 inches of snow with 150 inches of water equivalent on March 29. This was among the many record measurements in Washington.

WASHINGTON.—With exception of the Elwha River headwaters and the Olympic Peninsula, the greatest snow pack of record existed on the mountain watersheds of Washington on April 1. Several individual snow courses had a maximum water content of record a month ago. April mountain snowfall increased the pack to a point where extremely heavy melt season flow is expected. Forecasts of seasonal flow range from 120 percent of normal for Mill Creek, near Walla Walla, to 190 percent of normal on Ahtanum Creek, a tributary of the Yakima River. For instance, Cayuse Pass snow course, on the headwaters of the Yakima and Cowitza Rivers showed 329 inches of snow with 150 inches of water equivalent on March 29. This was among the many record measurements in Washington.

WASHINGTON.—With exception of the Elwha River headwaters and the Olympic Peninsula, the greatest snow pack of record existed on the mountain watersheds of Washington on April 1. Several individual snow courses had a maximum water content of record a month ago. April mountain snowfall increased the pack to a point where extremely heavy melt season flow is expected. Forecasts of seasonal flow range from 120 percent of normal for Mill Creek, near Walla Walla, to 190 percent of normal on Ahtanum Creek, a tributary of the Yakima River. For instance, Cayuse Pass snow course, on the headwaters of the Yakima and Cowitza Rivers showed 329 inches of snow with 150 inches of water equivalent on March 29. This was among the many record measurements in Washington.
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ORGANIZED RURAL COMMUNITIES

The foundation of reclamation, both in the West and the South, should be organized rural communities, with a definite agricultural program, and organized so as to cooperate in social and business affairs, in order that a community may function as a unit rather than as a number of isolated individuals. The absence of any sense of permanence, of a properly trained body of farmers, and of any kind of business organization all tend to make the farmer inefficient, place him at a disadvantage with the organized industries of cities, and are leading to a continuous depletion of soil fertility and decadence in the quality of the rural population. If we could create the right type of community, animated by the right ideals, on these unpeopled or neglected lands, we would set an example that might have far-reaching results.

Dr. ELWOOD MEAD
Commissioner of Reclamation

When Congress legislated the Bureau of Reclamation into existence as the Federal agency for speeding up development of the arid and semiarid regions of the west through irrigation projects and administration of Homestead Act provisions, it specified in the legislation that such assistance was intended for establishment of all farm-family land settlement. What the legislators probably had in mind were families like the Walter White family of the Wellton-Mohawk Division of the Gila project near Roll, Ariz.

Forty-six year old Walter White, his wife, Dorothy, and seven children are transplants from the Riverton, Wyo., project. Now establishing themselves on their 160 acres west of the town of Roll, they represent the real cooperative family spirit which would make the founders of the Homestead Act proud of their action.

Walter White was born and raised on a Clinton County, Iowa, corn and hog farm but like many other boys of farm birth was anxious to leave home on the farm and seek his fortune elsewhere. He was so eager that he even left high school to get started on what was to be a fast-dollar-early-retirement success story. His quest took him from job to job and from place to place and involved such things as an unskilled youth might find. He was a laborer on construction jobs, painter, cook, truck driver, cooking ware salesman and subsequently a jelly maker and a truck-owner with contract hauls of agricultural limestone.

Married in 1938, the White family was started by the time World War II came on but Walter put in over 2 years service in the South Pacific as a Sea Bee. The postwar period was the period when Walter invested in his trucking business and both business and family grew. Now mature and of better judgment, Walter realized that farming, in spite of its demands, was a highly desirable occupation for real independence and most suitable for raising a family.

Having his mind made up to return to farming, he immediately filed application for a homestead when the news broke in the papers concerning land to be had in the Riverton, Wyo. project under the drawing and qualification procedure. He drew a 160-acre unit and met the reviewing board’s requirements, so he sold his business and the family moved to their western ranch.

Walter later discovered that he had drawn one of the less desirable units under which shale and limestone were responsible for returning alkaline salts to the ground surface and land so tight that
water penetration was maximum at 6 inches. Legislation was enacted for the relief of those homesteaders so affected, legislation still controversial in some quarters but making it possible for an exchange of Riverton homestead rights for land in newer and more suitable Bureau projects. Thus it was that Walter chose Roll. Some other projects were available, but the Wellton-Mohawk looked best for farming to him.

He says the living conditions and climate in Wyoming were excellent and but for the soil condition they would gladly have remained there. Getting started again isn't easy either, but the prospects here, he knows exceed all possibilities of the Riverton acreage.

When Walter tossed his name in for the Roll drawing he wound up 21st in the 28 parcels but because of others who withdrew or were eliminated, he managed to advance up to number 10 for choice of unit. His selection was made on the basis of soil classification and location. About 140 acres of this homestead is class 1, the balance class 2 and 3.

The raw land was cleared of brush in about 3 months, dozers doing all the heavier work, the lighter clearing and burning a family project. Leveling is now in process under contract. Eighty-five acres of the new land has been seeded with Ramona 44 wheat. Four acres back of the residence is in oats and barely for the family cow and doing well.

The two older boys (Dick, 17 and Bill, 15) have been particularly helpful in preparing and planting. They and the rest of the family like living and working on the farm and they will make it possible to operate this farm as a family unit.

The price incentives will decide plantings to a large degree, although the growing program will also be set with other factors considered. Walter likes the idea of milo and soybeans to go in following the wheat because soybeans, especially, are good for the conditioning of soil. He has begun a limited testing with fertilizer but says chemical laboratory analysis and harvest of the first crop will better show what fertilization needs may be.

The ease of local irrigation is one of the wonders to White. Riverton's land irrigation, he says, was a continuous summer job because of switching water from terrace to terrace. He's learned a great deal about irrigation in these parts, we presume, since he is working as a ditch rider for the W-M Irrigation District until his place gets into full production. This job is not just a job to Walter for, besides the importance of feeding his family, it's an opportunity to observe practices of growing which he may adopt or reject in his own program.

The Whites arrived from Wyoming 15 months ago in company with another homestead family. Together they had chartered a freight car to haul all the farm equipment and major household items and then drove down towing the house trailers that became homes once they reached the homesteads at Roll. The Whites overflowed even their big trailer and so a couple of the children slept in

(Continued on page 76)
Lifesaving Requirements for Amateur Cruisers

by HARRY J. FEY, Assistant to the Director of Public Relations, Outboard Boating Club of America, Chicago, Illinois

MANEUVERING THE BOAT. The basic maneuver of movement—turning—affects a boat quite differently than a wheeled vehicle. In a boat, the steering is done by pushing the stern to one side, with the bow pivoting around a smaller circle. Diagram A shows the action of bow and stern when turning. In Diagram B the same action is exaggerated as the boat turns clockwise in a complete circle.

With their outboard-powered boats trailing behind their family cars, hundreds of thousands of boaters are flocking to the lakes created by the various reclamation projects in the West.

These great expanses of water have a twofold attraction to boaters; they offer excellent fishing, and they provide scenery that forms a beautiful backdrop to carefree days of family cruising:

The fun and thrill of boating has caught the fancy of the American family in a manner unduplicated since “Mahjong” was the rage. Boating not only takes the family into the outdoors where they can soak up a bit of sunshine and fresh air, but boating is a safe sport, one in which the entire family can take part without fear of accident if a few basic rules are followed.

For the benefit of boaters who might forget to equip their craft with the proper safety equipment, the U. S. Coast Guard, in charge of all Federal waters, requires that boaters carry the following bits of gear for their own protection.

If a boat is under 16 feet in length, the only requirement is that the boat be provided with a life jacket or other form of lifesaving device for each person aboard.

Boats in excess of 16 feet and less than 26 feet must have the life jackets, plus—one combination bow light, showing green to starboard and red to port (visible 1 mile), 1 white light aft showing all around the horizon (visible 2 miles), 1 whistle or horn (audible for one-half mile). Larger boats require slightly more elaborate safety gear and running lights.

Aside from the equipment, the boater need only

C. PASSING. Keep your eye on the wake of the boat you are passing as well as the boat itself.

D. OVERTAKING. The accepted Traffic rule is to overtake on either the right or left, remembering that the boat being overtaken has the right-of-way.
have an average amount of common sense to get
along famously on the water.

The rules of safety are not complicated. They
are only an aquatic application of common sense.

A good place to start exercising common sense
is getting aboard. Step aboard as nearly to center
as possible, keeping low.

E. The proper side to approach is against the combined forces of
wind, current, and tide, whichever is strongest. On a lake,
this is usually the lee side (against the wind).
F. If you must come in with the wind, tide, or current, point for a
landing several feet out. Cut throttle and drift sideways into
the landing.

G. TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT: Boat at an angle across T or L
shape dock.
H. TEMPORARY: Tie up on lee side using bow line only, or bow
and stern lines as shown.

Installing the outboard motor should be as easy
as possible. The simplest way for one man to get
the task done is to place the motor on the dock,
step into the boat and then, with feet firmly
braced, reach up to the dock and take hold of the
motor. Place the powerplant on the transom, and
tighten the stern bracket screws securely by hand.
For added safety, use a chain or stout rope to
secure the motor to the boat.

An impartial study of boating mishaps reveals
that in nearly every case the real cause turned
out to be 1 of these 3: too many people in the
boat, failure to keep a sharp outlook, speeding at
the wrong time or the wrong place.

Fortunately, these three “ailments” respond
quickly to a dose of common sense.

Remembering these common sense pointers will
add hours and even years to the family’s fun
afloat:

Don’t overload the boat. Look for and heed
the weight limit plate.

Don’t overpower the boat. The plate that shows
the load capacity will also tell the horsepower
limit.

Don’t show off. Don’t go out in bad weather.
Always carry a buoyant cushion or life jacket
for each passenger and make sure that each child
aboard is wearing a life jacket at all times.

Common sense should keep boaters from over-
turning the craft. However, if the boat does tip,
don’t swim for shore. STAY WITH THE
BOAT.

To prevent accidents on the waterways, five
simple “rules of the road” have been established.
They are:

1. Boats without motors have the right of way
over outboards.

2. Keep to the right of narrow channels or
canals.

3. When approaching another boat at an angle,
the boat that is to the right has the right of way.
(Don’t try proving your right with a steamboat or
barge tow, they’re just too big!)

4. When meeting another boat head-on or nearly
so, swing to the right.

5. When overtaking another boat, the boat be-
ing overtaken has the right of way.

The Outboard Boating Club of America offers
a one-book course in safe boat handling. The
booklet is available free upon request to OBC, 307
North Michigan Avenue, Chicago 1, Ill.
COOPERATION TO THE RESCUE
the Story of the Newlands Project Earthquakes

by GEORGE P. SOUTH, Chief Operations and Drainage Branch Region 4, Bureau of Reclamation

DISASTER, ACTION, SUCCESS; disaster, action, success!

Those words briefly describe the incident of the Newlands project earthquakes that struck western Nevada on July 6 and August 23, 1954, with such intensity that many irrigation and drainage facilities were seriously damaged, made inoperative, or destroyed to the extent that 80 percent of the project lands were without water. Since 1860, Nevada has had many shocks of varying intensities.

Beginning with the quake at 4:15 a.m. on July 6 there were 86 shocks by September 9, 1954, with intensities ranging from 4.0 (Richter scale) to 6.8. The first shock and that at 10:51 p.m., August 23, reached an intensity of 6.8.

The major constructed features of the project consist of the Lahontan and Lake Tahoe Dams, the Carson River and Derby Diversions Dams, 608 miles of canals and laterals, and 350 miles of drains. Other facilities include powerlines, diversion dams, culverts, bridges, and water control and conveyance structures to serve an area of about 70,000 acres of land under water right contracts in the vicinity of Fallon, Nev.

Damage from the July 6 earthquake resulted in many localities of the Newlands project, ranging from slight cracking in the lining of the Truckee Canal tunnels, about 30 miles west of Fallon, to extensive damage to the canal and drainage systems of the project, particularly in the Lone Tree and Stillwater areas located approximately 6 miles south and 10 miles east, respectively, of Fallon. The largest individual structure seriously damaged was the Coleman Diversion Dam located approximately one mile northwest of Fallon. This structure failed because of displacement and crack-
Settlement of Highway U. S. 50 east of Fallon. Line of block settlement extended considerable distance on both sides of highway.

ing of the earth-fill abutments of the dam, which in turn permitted water to erode around the structure itself, causing it to partially overturn, crack, and settle.

Numerous redwood box-type culverts, partially or completely collapsed. Most of these were located along drainage channels and at points where roads or irrigation ditches crossed the drains. Longitudinal cracking and sloughing occurred in many places along both drainage channels and irrigation canal banks.

In the Lone Tree and Stillwater areas canal banks settled from 1 to 3 feet and at the same time the bottoms of the canals were raised from 1 to 2 feet, and in an extreme case, the bottom of a drain ditch was forced up 5 to 6 feet, by the heaving action of the quakes.

The electrical system of the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District\(^1\) suffered damage amounting to approximately $4,000, principally in transmission lines; some connections were broken and displacement of the ground and poles caused excessive sag in the lines.

The Stillwater Wildlife Management Area, located about 15 miles north of Fallon, sustained damage to its shops and to the East Side Canal serving some marsh and pasture areas.

There was considerable damage done to the business district of Fallon, as well as private residences throughout the entire project area, in the form of cracked walls, foundations, and falling cornices and chimneys on roofs.

Some private wells and water systems were made inoperative beyond repair and an undetermined acreage of the ranches in the project area suffered considerably due to heaving of the soil. In numerous instances large sand boils were formed by water erupting from cracks and fissures that appeared in lawns, cultivated farm ground, roads, and native brush land. In some localities considerable acreages of farm land will have to be leveled because of the undulating surface made by the quakes.

Longitudinal cracks, undulations in the paved surfaces having a differential in elevation of from 6 inches to 2 feet appeared on highways and roads. In one instance, at a point 23 miles east of Fallon, highway U. S. 50 settled about 2 feet.

Mr. Phil Hiibel, Manager, Truckee-Carson Irrigation District, explained the damage to project works as follows in a paper given before the Eighth Nevada Water Conference on September 30, 1954:

"The sights we saw were, to say the least, appalling. There were stretches of irrigation canals where the banks had broken up and sunk from 2 to 3 feet. In all such cases, the banks and adjacent ground were flooded and softened. In some instances the bottom had heaved upwards as much as 2 feet. One section of ditch some 600 feet long was obliterated. Concrete control structures were knocked awry, a few cracked and broken. Several small concrete structures had sunk 1 to 21/2 feet. A sixty foot section of metal flume had collapsed. Three other flumes were damaged. A few timber structures had literally popped out of the ground. Sections of drains had sloughed in, heaved up in the bottom or had been closed altogether by lateral movement of the adjoining ground. Several timber culverts had collapsed. The Stillwater Slough, which serves as the trunk drain for about twenty percent of the district lands had sloughed in intermittently over eighty percent of its length."

Immediate action was taken by the district and the Bureau of Reclamation to ascertain the extent of damage by inspection of the project works. The Lahontan Dam on the Carson River, forming a reservoir of 290,900 acre-feet, was found to have suffered no appreciable damage; the Carson River Diversion Dam on the Carson River located about ten miles west of Fallon was unaffected; the Coleman Diversion Dam, located about 1 mile northwest of Fallon, had failed and would have to be replaced; and the earth-fill Sagouspe Dam

---

\(^1\) The care, operation, and maintenance of project facilities were assumed by the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District on December 31, 1926, under terms of the Government-District contract of December 18, 1926.
5 miles north of Fallon, had settled about a foot but the damage was not serious.

At the board of directors’ meeting on July 7 Mr. Hiibel advised the board of the grave situation the water users were facing. He pointed out that there were thousands of acres of farm land to which the district was unable to furnish water. This was the beginning of the warmest part of the summer. The second crop of alfalfa and the winter wheat needed irrigation. The Coleman Dam and the irrigation canals had to be repaired immediately, and thereafter the drains would have to be opened. All this had to be done quickly to avoid serious crop damage.

Since the district operates on an annual budget and does not have ready money to meet such conditions as earthquake disasters, it made an appeal to the Governor of the State of Nevada for assistance. The governor recommended that the area be classified as a disaster area and the information was forwarded to Washington with an appeal to President Eisenhower for Federal assistance.

On July 14, 1954, the President determined the damages in those areas of the State of Nevada adversely affected by the earthquake to be of sufficient severity and magnitude to warrant disaster assistance by the Federal Government to supplement State and local efforts. Such assistance was rendered under the authority of Public Law 875, 81st Congress, as amended.

An excellent spirit of cooperation was shown by all interested individuals and agencies, including the water users, the district, local governments, Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior, Federal Civil Defense Administration, Bureau of Indian Affairs, and Department of Navy. The district’s equipment was immediately put to work. Equipment owned by local water users, local and Federal government agencies, and local contractors also aided in making repairs and replacements. By July 16 there were 53 pieces of heavy construction equipment working on the project to restore water service.

Funds were made available from the Bureau of Reclamation Emergency Fund to finance the costs of the emergency repairs and temporary replacements. The district board of directors unanimously passed a resolution on July 17, 1954, to the effect that the district would repay all appropriate expenditures made from Reclamation funds advanced for the emergency repair of earthquake damages to the Newlands project, which could not be reimbursed from other funds.

Irrigation service had been restored to all lands when the intense quake of August 23 struck the project. The rehabilitation of facilities carried on during the previous six weeks was completely obliterated in some locations. The type of destruction was the same as that resulting from the July 6 quake. There was some damage to buildings at Lovelock, Nev., and one dam abutment collapsed on the Humboldt project.

The district took immediate action to restore water service to the project lands and through the use of its own and privately owned equipment started rehabilitation work on August 24 and by September 1 facilities were available to about eighty percent of the project area.

The August 23 quake did not present a threat as severe as that of July 6. A good portion of the farmland had been irrigated and the weather had turned cooler.

Additional Bureau of Reclamation funds were made available for meeting costs incurred in making emergency repairs and temporary replacements. The board of directors of the district passed a resolution on August 27 similar to that of July 17. On August 27 the President stated that his original determination of July 14 of a “major disaster” in the areas adversely affected by the earlier earthquake was sufficiently broad to permit continued assistance.

The Federal Civil Defense Administration is charged with carrying out the provisions of Public Law 875 and in this capacity was very active during the Newlands project’s earthquake dis-
Fred A. Seaton, who became Secretary of the Interior on June 8, was serving as Deputy Assistant to President Eisenhower at the time of his appointment.

Mr. Seaton, a publisher of Hastings, Nebr., was born in Washington, D.C., December 11, 1909, son of Fay N. and Dorothea Seaton. He attended Manhattan, Kans., public schools and Kansas State College 1927–31. In 1931 he married Gladys Hope Dowd and they have four children: Donald Richard, 15, Johanna Christine, 13, Monica, 10, and Alfred 9.

Mr. Seaton was appointed to the United States Senate on December 10, 1951, to fill the vacancy caused by the death of Kenneth S. Wherry. He served as an adviser to President Eisenhower during the presidential campaign, June to November 1952.

Mr. Seaton was nominated as Assistant Secretary of Defense (Legislative and Public Affairs) on September 1, 1953, by President Eisenhower and sworn into office on September 15, 1953. He served as Assistant Secretary of Defense until his appointment as Administrative Assistant to the President on February 19, 1955, and Deputy Assistant to the President on June 15, 1955.

A successful businessman and administrator, Mr. Seaton is closely identified with not 1 but 5 of the 17 reclamation States, having publishing interests in Nebraska, Kansas, South Dakota, Wyoming, and Colorado. In this capacity he has participated actively in many phases of the...
From the Bureau's engineering laboratories in Denver comes news of the latest research efforts to curb the ravages of corrosion of steel water pipes on Reclamation's West-wide projects. The intensive laboratory work, carried out over a period of 10 years both in the laboratories and at field installations, demonstrates that a variety of coating materials shows promise of protecting steel pipes and substantially reducing the costly item of corrosion on the Bureau's operation and maintenance books.

What are these promising coating materials? They include red-lead phenolic and vinyl-resin paints, asbestos felt wrappings, synthetic rubber coatings, heavy wax coatings with a wrap, and plastic tapes wrapped around steel pipes.

The coatings, developed by the paint and plastics industries during and following World War II, were first subjected to a variety of rigorous laboratory screening tests and then exposed to additional severe tests in the field. The investigations also confirmed that certain time-tested materials, such as coal-tar enamels, retain their importance in the first line of defense against corrosion.

From 1 field test alone, during which 20 different coatings were exposed to sustained service conditions, 6 coatings continue to give excellent performance. This test was begun in 1949 at the Shasta powerplant on the Central Valley project in California. The 20 different coatings were applied to test sections on the interior of one of the five 15-foot diameter steel penstocks which carry water to the powerplant. For a period of 5 years the coatings were exposed to the full force of the torrent of water flowing through the pipe. At yearly intervals engineers entered the penstock, photographed the coatings, and evaluated the coating performance.

The Shasta penstock test showed that the coatings which best withstood the onslaught of the rushing water were 2 different types of phenolic paints, 2 types of red lead phenolic paints, coal-tar paint (classified by the Bureau as CA–50), and vinyl-resin paint. Phenolic and vinyl-resins are synthetic organic compounds which impart good durability when they are employed as basic constituents in paints.

In 1950, the research engineers applied 16 coatings to the interiors of several 30-inch diameter experimental steel pipe sections which were then transported to the Shadow Mountain Reservoir.
on the Colorado-Big Thompson project in Colorado. Here the coated pipe sections were exposed for 4 years to the icy blasts of Rocky Mountain winters (when temperatures may reach as low as 40° below zero) and to submergence in the reservoir during the summer months. The results of these rugged tests showed that the following coatings were in excellent condition after the 5 years of exposure: Cold-applied asphalt paint, neoprene (synthetic rubber), cement mortar (of low water content), coal-tar paint CA-50, and vinyl-resin paint. A phenolic red-lead coating applied to the pipe sections in 1954 so far shows excellent durability.

The Denver researchers’ investigations also included laboratory tests on a variety of coatings placed around the exterior walls of pipes buried in specimens of clay soils. Some clay soils, found in many parts of the West, are not only corrosive, but they also rapidly destroy exterior coatings. As the soils dry after wetting, the contracting clay develops powerful stresses which disrupt the coatings by a shearing or tearing action. The behavior of the coatings was studied as the soils underwent repeated wetting and drying cycles which covered a period of about 1½ years of testing. The disruptive forces which followed shrinkage of the soils raised hobs with many coatings tested, but several materials had the stamina to resist the soil stress.

Coatings of coal-tar enamel with a reinforcement of spun glass and an asbestos felt wrapping were especially effective. A heavy wax coating and a gilsonite (a resinous mineral) base coating, both protected by a shielding wrap, resisted soil stress satisfactorily. Cement mortar coating also passed the laboratory tests. Vinyl-resin paint and phenolic-resin red-lead paint show considerable promise of resisting soil stress.

The laboratory studies showed that plastic tape wraps are useful in protecting the exteriors of steel pipes. These tapes are well-adapted to the coating of welded joints of steel pipe because they are easily applied and require inexpensive application equipment. Hot-applied coal-tar tapes can be molded over irregular surfaces, and those that are reinforced with a glass-fiber mat have adequate resistance to soil stress. On one Bureau project, a 2½-inch diameter buried steel pipe protected by a vinyl plastic tape wrapping was uncovered after 3 years of service. Both the pipe and tape were found to be in good condition.

To sum up the laboratory and field studies, the following coatings are effective in protecting steel water pipes for which the surfaces are thoroughly cleaned and the coatings are properly applied and of good quality.

Coal-tar enamel continues to be an excellent lining for the interior of steel water pipe. The enamel is also well suited for the exterior of buried steel pipe if it is adequately shielded against soil stress by a glass mat reinforcement of felt covering.

Cement mortar is effective in lining steel water pipe, provided the lining is not exposed to ex-
MAINTENANCE

by H. SHIPLEY, Chief Engineer,
Salt River Valley Water Users Association, Phoenix, Ariz.

Maintenance contains three basic words, namely: MAIN, TEN and CENT. Now, MAIN, TEN refers to our main 10 maintenance problems that keep us busy throughout the year. CENT stands for just one little penny but by the end of the year amounts to $540,000 for maintenance. Our irrigation project contains 238,000 acres and is laced with approximately 1,200 miles of canals, laterals and waste ditches.

What are our MAIN TEN maintenance problems on our irrigation project in the Salt River Valley?

1. Cleaning of ditches, a never ending chore caused by the sluffing of the banks, settling out of the silt and sand carried down from run-off, reservoir and forebays.

2. Removal of moss or aquatic growth, truly a plague. Not only does this nuisance clog the transmission system but also the farmer’s irrigation syphon tubes. This useless plant grows very luxuriously in our Valley of the Sun and grows wild with our clear warm underground pumped water.

3. Clearing the waterways of foreign material such as fallen limbs from trees that line some of our laterals, tumbleweeds that seem to rush for a drink after a windstorm and clippings and grass cutting from lot owners adjacent to the canals. I guess that’s the reason you never see lawns planted along the canals in Venice.

4. The placing of the fill along eroded banks caused by turbulence below structures and the fluctuating water deliveries.

5. Removing old structures when operating conditions change or when replaced by modern ones.

6. Emergency repair of canal bank breaks caused by gopher holes or storm waters.

7. Trenching or shaping of banks and ditches in preparation of the installation of gunited lining or concrete slipform lining, or for the installation of precast pipe or most recently for the construction of monolithic cast-in-place patented concrete pipe. This is an important phase of maintenance in our effort to conserve water, and reduce maintenance cost.

GRADALL cleaning lined ditches on drainage project. All photos courtesy of the author.
8. Weed control, a costly job that is maintained 7 months of the year.

9. Leveling or removal of the berm built up by continual cleaning. These built-up berm banks may be along laterals built in borrow elevated above the normal ground and from those ditches below the roadways.

10. Lastly, and very important, the construction of new irrigation facilities in conjunction with the Bureau of Reclamation’s Rehabilitation program. The construction of these new structures is very vital in the maintenance of our irrigation project in keeping abreast of modern development of urban areas and super highways. These were once lanes along a water course for thirsty crops that conquered the harsh desert lands.

These MAIN TEN costly (CENT) tasks are accomplished by man, horses, and machines. Many machines such as dozers, graders, dredgers, loaders, dump trucks, draglines, carryalls, boom trucks, and fork lifts, and hand tools including shovels, mowers, rakes, hooks, and tampers.

Yes, many machines, but the most modern machine not listed above is the Gradall. This machine deserves a paragraph for itself because it is the most versatile piece of equipment on the project and the star performer in mine of the MAIN TEN and the most economical (CENT) to operate. We of the Salt River Valley Water Users’ Association are fortunate to have at our command seven of these do-it-all Gradalls.

The only operation where the popular Gradall cannot assist is in the oil spray operation to control weed growth. We wouldn’t be surprised that with a broken hydraulic line, we could spray our Johnson grass too.

We are not advocating that the Gradall is the best piece of machinery for all irrigation projects. And we realize that some projects due to certain operating or terrain characteristics, may use other equipment to better advantage. But if it weren’t for the Gradalls on our irrigation project, many more man hours and pieces of equipment would be used and our maintenance costs would be higher.

### WEEDS?

The good farmer keeps weeds off his land. He buys certified seed. He cleans planting and harvesting machines used on his farm. He avoids hay and livestock feeds grown in weedy areas. He also puts a weed seed screen below his turnout to stop water borne weed seeds.

---

How fast do noxious weeds spread? Two patches of perennial sow thistle, about 1 square rod area, were the only known sources in one new irrigation area. Patches were about 2 miles apart. Two years later, there were at least 350 patches scattered over 400 farms in a swath 10 miles wide on the downwind side of the original infestations.
THE DREAMS OF MANY OLDTIMERS are coming true on the Columbia Basin Project located in the dry rain shadow of the Cascade Mountains in central Washington. Not often are those who dare to dream privileged to live to see the fruition of their continued efforts.

The Columbia Basin project was nearly 40 years in making the transition from dream to fact—but fact it is now, as green fields spread across the plateau surface, and the dust of progress rises from fields just newly cleared and plowed for seeding.

Settlement and development have crossed the threshold and are now in full swing. The beginning of the 1956 irrigation season brings water to a total of 3,979 farm units encompassing 301,402 irrigable acres.

The major structures are completed to serve the 600,000 irrigable acres by 1961. Lateral systems are built and an irrigation supply available to about one-half of this acreage this year. The ultimate development of the 1,029,000 acres must await the availability of lands on the eastern margin of the project area, on the Wahluke Slope, and in other scattered locations.

Here is a roundup on the project facilities as now completed. Grand Coulee Dam, the key structure, was completed in 1941. The last of the 18 world’s largest generators went “on the line” in 1951—far in advance of the fondest hopes of most early optimists. Now, six huge irrigation pumps in the pumping plant at Coulee Dam hoist irrigation water through 12-foot-diameter pipes up the canyon wall 280 feet. And, commercial power taken from the falling waters of the Columbia River at Grand Coulee Dam is now bringing in more than $1 million in revenue per month. Water users will pay a little more than $1 million in water charges this year.

Waters pumped from the Columbia River have filled the Equalizing Reservoir brim full for the first time this winter. A total of 762,000 acre-feet of water stored within the walls of the upper Grand Coulee in the 27-mile stretch between North Dam and Dry Falls Dam at the opening of the 1956 irrigation season.

The 13,200 cubic-foot-per-second Main Canal and the West and East Low Canals—with all their remarkable tunnels, siphons, wasteways, and the like—are built and functioning nicely. So, too, is the Potholes East Canal which leads to the southern end of the project area from O’Sullivan
SEQUENCE OF IRRIGATION DEVELOPMENT
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT

301,000 Ac. PROGRAM TO DATE
FACILITIES COMPLETE
WATER AVAILABLE

47,000 Ac. INITIAL WATER APR. 1957
152,000 Ac. Initial Water Available
Annually - April 1958 through April 1961
Ac. TOTAL IRRIGABLE ACRES

DRY FALLS DAM

MAIN CANAL

GRAND COULEE DAM, the engineers' town in foreground.
Grand Coulee Dam and powerplant in background. Ph. by W. H. Fuller, Region 1.

Below left: POTHOLES EAST chute and stilling pool.
Top right: Ephrata, W. Stan Rasmussen, both.
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Dam, named after the late Jim O’Sullivan, the resourceful dreamer who worked so long and hard for the Columbia Basin project until his death in 1948.

The Potholes Reservoir behind O’Sullivan Dam was filled this spring to its highest elevation yet—and nearly to its maximum level—by heavy snow melt runoff from its drainage basin. The 270,000 acre feet of active storage in the Potholes Reservoir, combined with the 762,000 acre feet in the Equalizing Reservoir, provided 1,032,000 acre feet of water for the opening of the 1956 irrigation season!

Construction on the Columbia Basin project now centers primarily on the building of lateral systems to convey irrigation water from the large canals to the project farm units. Lateral systems are completed for 4 to 5 irrigation blocks each year. Thus, irrigation water will be available for 35,000 to 45,000 acres of additional irrigable land each year until 1961.

At the present time, there are about 275 miles of canals in service and 1,180 miles of laterals. A tour along all the ditchbanks of the canals and laterals on the Columbia Basin project would be equivalent to taking a trip by auto from Denver, Colo., to Los Angeles, Calif.; and when you arrived there, you would still have nearly 200 miles left for sightseeing in that west coast metropolis.

Two important construction jobs remain to be undertaken in building the irrigation system for the 600,000 acre program. One is the Royal Branch of the West Canal, which will serve the western half of the Royal Slope. The West Canal is already built to serve the eastern half of the Royal Slope, and two irrigation blocks in that area received water for the first time this year. The Royal Slope is a 100,000 acre plus area reached by the last section of the West Canal after it passes through the 9,280 foot Frenchman Hills Tunnel. Prior to 1953, when the Bureau of Reclamation established its Royal Camp on the Royal Slope, there was only one permanent inhabitant in that area. As this is written in May 1956, there are already 60 farm units under development; probably some 120 of the 315 farm units for which water is available this year on the Royal Slope will be under development by the end of the 1956 irrigation season.

The second large construction job to be undertaken this year is the Wahluke Siphon. It will be about 3 miles long and carry irrigation water from the Potholes East Canal across a broad channel to the Wahluke Branch Canal on the Wahluke Slope. The Wahluke Slope, which extends from the Saddle Mountains to the Columbia River, embraces about 250,000 acres. However, a large portion of the Wahluke Slope is presently restricted for irrigation development because of proximity to the Atomic Energy Commission in-
installation at Hanford, Wash. The Wahluke Siphon will be in part reinforced concrete with steel liner, in part reinforced concrete without liner, and in part welded steel pipe on piers.

Crop returns are in for the 1955 irrigation season. It was a tough year for the new farmers on the Columbia Basin project. Per acre gross returns were down about $42 as compared with 1954—$113.66 for 1955 as compared with $155.79 in 1954. The 1955 gross return for all crops raised on the project was $16,961,000.

Two factors accounted for this drop in gross crop returns. The onslaught of an unseasonably early freeze in the forepart of November followed by continued very cold weather seriously affected the harvest of beans, potatoes, and sugar beets. Conservative estimates of losses from this early freeze run from 1 to 1½ million dollars. The second factor was the decline in farm prices; farm prices slid down about 7 percent from December 1954 to December 1955. Even so, the gross returns per acre exceeded most of those obtained on other Pacific Northwest irrigation projects.

In 1955, a total of 149,572 acres were in crops, out of the 246,849 acres for which a water supply was available. Water was delivered to 2,480 farm units of which 2,408 were cropped. Water was available to a total of 3,331 farm units.

More than 50 different crops were successfully grown on the new farms on the project—con-
crete evidence of the wide adaptability of the lands and climate of the Columbia Basin project area.

The cropping pattern on the Columbia Basin project is that which is usually typical of the early years of the settlement and development of a new irrigation project. The major cash crops—dry beans, potatoes, peas, and sugar beets—comprise nearly 50 percent of the area cropped on the project; but under mature development, these crops will comprise only 15 percent of the cropped acreage, according to forecasts made by the agricultural specialists of the State College of Washington.

The acreage of cropped land devoted to alfalfa hay and other hay and pasture is increasing rapidly. In 1955, such crops accounted for only 23,388 acres or 16 percent of the cropped land, as compared to the State College forecast of 53 percent under maturity.

Wheat acreages seem large in the early years. Wheat and some other small grains are grown extensively as nurse crops, cover crops, and in rotation with row crops—very necessary and desirable practices in the first development years.

Although there was a wide variety of crops grown on the Columbia Basin project, the acreages of specialized crops were relatively small. This points directly to one of the greatest needs on the project, namely, the establishment of additional agricultural processing plants. Such plants are costly, and will not be built until the production on the new project farms is adequate to support the necessary investment by agricultural processors. On the other hand, the farmer is understandably reluctant to go heavily into the production of specialized crops until processing and marketing outlets are assured.

Pressures born of opportunities for the processors and needs of the new settlers are rapidly building up, and without doubt many needed processing plants will come into being soon. Even so, this year there were 49 agricultural processing plants in operation on the project; the equivalent of 570 full-time workers earned $2,250,000 in wages and salaries (exclusive of earnings of plant owners).

Settlement and development of the Columbia Basin project is proceeding successfully. It must do so, for the resource base is sound. That is, the combination of adequate amounts of cool, clear Columbia River water and the light but fertile desert soils create opportunities that men with industry in their makeups and faith in the future are quick to seize. The population of the area encompassed in the Columbia Basin project has risen from 11,269 before World War II to an estimated 61,640 in 1955. Conservative estimates for 1960 indicate that the population will reach the 120,000 level by that year, or just about double the 1955 population! Completion of the 1,029,000 acre project will more than double that estimated 1960 population.

Such rapid growth of population, both on farms and in towns, has placed great demands on the local governmental agencies. They have met their responsibilities on an unprecedented scale. For example, 2 new State highways, 1 from north to south and another from east to west, are nearing completion. And, county roads are built by the time new settlers move onto their farms!

New schools are being built every year, as the numbers of children pyramid. Last year, one school district had more youngsters who were new enrollments than those carried over from the previous years.

Towns are providing the trade and professional services needed on an adequate scale; but the development of water and sewer systems, street and lighting improvements, and a host of other facilities are achieved only by the dint of exceptional efforts.

Successful settlement and development of the Columbia Basin project is being made possible, in large part, by the local people who are meeting their opportunities and responsibilities.

The vision and wisdom of the oldtimers, the engineers, and everyone else who has worked to make the Columbia Basin project a usable resource within the reach of individuals and large groups alike is paying off. The Bureau of Reclamation has reason to be proud of its role as a working partner in creation of the Columbia Basin project.

---

YOUR MAGAZINE

Are there particular types of articles which you would like to see in the Era that we have not printed to date? If so, please let us know, and we shall do our best to comply with your wishes.
What type of cattle guard is best for your project? That was the question asked of the Bureau's operation and maintenance engineers in a survey recently completed by the Division of Irrigation Operations in Denver. Here, briefly, is a summary of the answers received from the engineers participating in the survey.

From the responses to the query, it's evident that there is considerable difference of opinion as to what constitutes good cattle guards, where they should be located, and how they should be constructed. However, all participants in the survey agree, that cattle guards serve a definite need for certain project areas and are particularly useful in expediting travel through stock-raising country.

Operation and maintenance engineers point out that the cattle guard selected should be the least costly one that will serve the needs of a particular location. The selection should also depend on the functional purpose of the guard; that is, the type and amount of traffic, the foundation material upon which it is constructed, the narrowness of the ditch banks, and similar considerations. On Reclamation projects in the southwest and south, selection of the proper type of guard must take into account the drifting sands that fill the pit under the guard—the roadway must be ramped up and over the guard to make cleaning of the pit simpler.

Low-cost cattle guards that have been successfully used on several projects include the "bed-spring" (described in the March 1953 issue of the Reclamation Era) and the "spring-suspended" guards shown in the accompanying photographs. These two types have given satisfactory service in accommodating light traffic at low speeds and of limited weight. However, they have not been generally accepted for passing heavy equipment where the frequency of crossing the guards is high or where they must be crossed by tractors.

Other low-cost cattle guards available, such as the all-metal autogates manufactured by several commercial concerns, are in general use on some projects. They may be purchased in quantity at a saving and are relatively easily installed. Most of the manufacturers furnish several grades of guards depending upon the service to which they are to be exposed. Some of the more heavily constructed, commercially prefabricated structures have been installed on the Columbia Basin project. Reports indicate that cattle guards of this type are practical and maintenance is negligible if they are properly installed. Such guards may be installed on timber pits and foundations, although some engineers prefer a concrete foundation for added permanence and lower operation and maintenance costs.

The standard Bureau cattle guard is reported to be satisfactory by most projects on which the structure has been installed. It is constructed of timber and has 2½ inch pipe for deck rails, spaced at 7½ inch centers. The pipe is 10 feet 6 inches in length and provides for a clear deck...
width of 9 feet 101/2 inches between the bottom of the side guards. The principal objection to the present standard guard is that contractors’ bids on constructing this design have been high.

From the standpoint of operation and maintenance, the standard timber and pipe cattle guard has been observed on one project to have a maximum useful life of about 10 years. After this period of use the timbers rot and eventually cause the deck to settle and fail. Treatment of the timber with wood preservatives will prolong the life of the structure, but the use of concrete and metal, as has been done in some replaced units on the Central Valley project in California, has provided longer life. Engineers on other projects have found that it is desirable to have concrete pits and foundations and metal side guards.

A cattle guard used on the Deschutes project is also constructed entirely of concrete and steel, and the deck and wing guards are constructed of 1-inch square steel bars. The post that holds the side guards in position, is of wooden construction.

The survey disclosed that in many locations cattle guards can be eliminated and that gates can be installed and maintained much more economically. The saving in construction, however, may be offset by the delays caused in moving

Continued on page 80

ABOVE: Redwood posts have been installed to protect side guards on this standard structure on the Central Valley project in California.

BELOW: “Spring-suspended” cattle guard constructed from airfield landing mats on the Yakima project in Washington. Guides at base of side posts eliminate swing without obstructing floating action of the mat as car passes over it.
their friends’ trailer until the White’s new home was completed last November.

The new house has only two bedrooms, but the sleeping problem was solved by use of the house-trailer. It makes an excellent arrangement and will serve nicely until an addition can be built onto the house. Proximity to a canal for a domestic water supply is convenient and White’s system is worthy of rather detailed reporting.

The water is pumped from the canal into a cement-lined rock filter, flowing into the 6,000 gallon storage container by gravity. The storage item is the most unique we have seen and it may be the only one thus far in this region. The container is a vinyl plastic bag which weighs only 15 pounds unfilled, is resistant to heat, alkali, and is guaranteed indefinitely. The manufacturer claims no algae will form on water in the bag and its flexibility is positive insurance against damage by earthquakes. It may be emptied and removed for cleaning with little effort. To install the bag Walter simply dug a pit, framed the sides with redwood 1 by 12 planks and inserted it. There are steel rods sleeved into the upper edges which help hold its shape. It is 11 feet-8 inches wide, 15 feet-10 inches long and 5 feet deep. A cover of the same material is draped over to keep foreign particles out and is said to be strong enough to prevent adults from breaking through it. Here again the edges are sleeved for steel rods to hold the cover in place. Distribution is made by use of a pressure system. An automatic chlorination system feeds the proper amount of this purifying agent directly into the line but may be manually shut off when or if large quantities of water are wanted for washing or lawn sprinkling.

The Whites know they have much to do and sacrifices to make before their homestead will bring the rewards they want but they have experienced this situation before and are prepared for it. The example set by Walter is inspirational and might well guide the rest of us as well as his own family, for having lost a portion of his right arm in a combine accident while on the Riverton ranch he has not let that loss impair either his outlook on life nor his personal efficiency. Fitted with an artificial member, Walter has learned to manipulate it with finesse and without bitterness. A man that can triumph over such an experience need have no worry about making his way to financial success, especially when he has a fine family pulling with him.

New Recreational Folder Available

A new recreational folder, entitled “Reclamation’s Recreational Opportunities,” has just been published by the Bureau.

The folder lists 140 reservoirs on Reclamation projects throughout the 17 Western States. It also contains information as to specific locations of these reservoirs, the name and location of the administering agency and specific facilities available; such as swimming, fishing, boating, hunting, camping, picnicking, and lodging. The folder also contains a map on which the name and location of each reservoir is indexed.

For copies write to your nearest Regional Director or the Assistant Commissioner and Chief Engineer’s office at Denver. For addresses of these offices please consult the directory on the back cover of the Era.
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L. F. WYLIE heads Glen Canyon Dam job

Secretary of the Interior Fred A. Seaton recently announced the selection of Assistant Regional Director L. F. Wylie of Amarillo, Tex., as construction engineer for Glen Canyon Dam on the Colorado River.

Mr. Wylie, who began his Bureau of Reclamation career in 1932 as an assistant engineer on Hoover Dam, was selected by Reclamation Commissioner W. A. Dexheimer. As Construction Engineer, Wylie will have primary on-the-job responsibility for the building of Glen Canyon Dam, a principal structure of the Colorado River Storage project.

The dam will be located on the Colorado River in Arizona about 8 miles south of the Utah State line. The dam will approach Hoover Dam in size and other physical characteristics and will serve additional river regulation, hydropower, and other multiple-purpose functions. It will be approximately 700-feet high and will create a reservoir with 26 million acre-feet capacity backing up a lake 186 miles upstream.

The dam site is in an isolated and arid section of the country in very rugged terrain and approximately 140 miles from the nearest railroad. Thus, one of Mr. Wylie’s most immediate problems is the completion of access roads and the establishment of a construction town in the immediate vicinity of the dam site.

Mr. Wylie is a native of Jenny Lind, Ark., and graduated from the University of New Mexico with a B. S. degree in civil engineering. He was at Boulder City, Nev., for 3 years while construction of Hoover Dam was at its peak, then, in 1935, became associate engineer on the construction of the All American Canal in southern California. In 1940, he directed field surveys on the Gila Reclamation project in southwestern Arizona.

During World War II he served as company commander in the combat engineers with the Marines, returning to the Bureau as field engineer for the San Luis project in Colorado. Subsequently, he served in various responsible construction capacities with the Bureau, becoming Assistant Regional Director of the Amarillo, Tex., office in May 1955.
aster. Through that agency the Reclamation Emergency Fund was reimbursed from the President’s Emergency Fund in the amount of $164,344, which relieved the district from repaying an equal amount to the Reclamation Fund in accordance with the resolutions passed by the district.

The extent of damage to facilities may be summarized as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>July 6 quake</th>
<th>August 23 quake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canals (numerous)</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drains (numerous)</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culverts</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges (timber)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flumes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversion Dams</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor concrete structures</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detailed surveys of damages and estimates of cost of repairs were made by the Lahontan Basin Development Office of the Bureau of Reclamation. The cost of restoring facilities was estimated to be as follows:

Emergency repairs and temporary replacements:
- July 6 earthquake: $104,555
- August 23 earthquake: 85,800

Subtotal: $190,355

Additional work necessary to restore facilities to pre-earthquake conditions in addition to above: 102,340

Total: $292,695

Funds to meet costs of restoring facilities to pre-earthquake conditions were available or are to be obtained as follows:
- Emergency repairs and temporary replacements:
  - Truckee-Carson Irrigation District: $26,011
  - President’s Emergency Fund under Public Law 875: 164,344
  - Subtotal: 190,355
- Additional work to be done by district as funds are available: 102,340

Total: $292,695

Mr. Hiibel, in his paper presented before the Eighth Nevada Water Conference, finished as follows:

“Emergency repair work has now been pretty well completed, and we are waiting for the completion of the irrigation season before beginning permanent repair work to canals and structures. With the quick response of all the Government agencies that came to our aid, we were able to avoid any serious loss of crops to this reclamation project. Without the timely help from the President on down, the damage to the crops and the resultant loss of feed for livestock in Nevada would indeed have been very great. On behalf of the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District, I wish to express our sincere thanks to all the people who helped us.”

# # #

REPORTS ON THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF RECLAMATION

At the request of the Chairman of the House Interior and Insular Affairs Committee, Reclamation Commissioner W. A. Dexheimer has submitted to him reports setting forth the accomplishments on five Reclamation projects. These reports supplement general reclamation information submitted to the Committee in 1954 and published as “The Growth and Contribution of Federal Reclamation to an Expanding National Economy,” Committee Print No. 27.

The projects selected and the titles of the reports are as follows: Central Valley—“The Contribution of Irrigation and the Central Valley project to the Economy of the Area and the Nation,” North Platte—“A Report on the Accomplishments of Irrigation in the North Platte project area, Nebraska-Wyoming,” Strawberry Valley—“Strawberry Valley project, Utah—Reclamation Accomplishments,” All American Canal System, Boulder Canyon project—“Contribution of the All-American Canal System, Boulder Canyon project to the Economic Development of the Imperial and Coachella Valleys, California and the Nation,” Columbia Basin—“Progress and Prospects, Columbia Basin project, Washington.”

The first two of these reports have been printed by the committee and copies are available to the public. For the Central Valley and North Platte project reports you may write to your nearest Regional Director. For addresses consult the back cover of the Era.

The other three reports probably will be published in the near future. You will be advised in the Era when copies become available.
Development of the resources of the plains, and for years has strongly supported every sound conservation cause.

In recent months, from his White House office, Secretary Seaton has been performing to a certain extent as a secretary of natural resources without portfolio, aiding in the coordination of the resources functions of the Departments of the Interior, Agriculture and Defense.

Mr. Seaton is trustee of Hastings College and University of Nebraska Foundation; awarded honorary degree, Doctor of Laws, Kansas State College, February 9, 1955; awarded honorary degree, Doctor of Humanities, Maryville College, Maryville, Tenn., November 5, 1955.

Member: Rotary, National Editorial Association, Inland Daily Press Association, Beta Theta Pi, Sigma Delta Chi, Pi Kappa Delta, Elks, Newcomen Society of America, and Knights of Ak-Sar-Ben.

The Editor's Column

The following facts have been gleaned from the United States Department of Agriculture's "Agricultural Situation," dated May 1956. We hope that you find them helpful.

Although farmers' realized net income this year under present conditions may total somewhat under 1955, most, if not all, of the decline has already occurred. This, of course, does not take into account possible increases that could occur with further changes in farm programs.

High economic activity both here and abroad are sustaining a strong demand for United States farm products. Consumer incomes are at new highs and further expansion is in prospect this year. But as in recent years, the costs of processing and marketing foods are higher and much of the gain in consumer spending for food will reflect increased demand for services.

Cattle

"Cattle slaughter the rest of 1956 will be about the same as last year, but less will be of the top grades and more of intermediate and lower quality. A substantial rise in prices for top grades is in prospect this summer; prices of lower grades will likely decline seasonally."

Dairy

"Production of milk continues at record-breaking levels and will probably total 127 billion pounds for 1956, 3 percent more than last year. Purchases of dairy products for price support probably will be near those of the past year."

Poultry

"Egg supplies will continue seasonally large for the next few months and will probably be larger this fall than last. Mid-April broiler chick placements, which will determine marketings in early July, were at record levels."

Hogs

"The anticipated reduction in the 1956 spring pig crop will probably start a period of declining hog production. Hog slaughter will drop below a year earlier sometime this fall and will stay below for some time to come. Hog prices in the late months of this year are expected to average higher than the relatively low prices a year earlier."

Fats and Oils

"In 1955, civilians consumed about 45 pounds of fats and oils per person, the same as a year earlier. Total consumption of edible oils in 1956 is not likely to change much from last year."

Soybean crushings continue at a record rate, but a strong demand pushed mid-April prices at Illinois shipping points well above a year ago. Big supplies are again in prospect for 1956."

Feed Grains

"A near record supply of feed grains is in prospect for the 1956–57 feeding year; acreage in 1956 will likely be smaller but record carryover stocks are in prospect. Supplies per animal unit may be a little less than in the current feeding year."

Wheat

"If yields are average, this year's wheat crop may total around 904 million bushels, only slightly more than the current rate of consumption plus export. Wheat prices have advanced recently, reflecting limited supplies in the market."

Cotton

"The supply of cotton for 1955–56 is estimated at 25.9 million bales. Estimated disappearance this year for domestic use and export of 11.2 million bales would leave a record carryover next August of 14.7 million bales."
Protective Coatings
Continued from page 66

The mortar is also an excellent exterior coating in resisting soil stress. Properly formulated vinyl-resin and phenolic-resin paints provide serviceable interior coatings for steel water pipe and are not affected either by low atmospheric temperatures or by repeated cycles of wetting and drying. Phenolic priming paints and aluminum finish paint are also well suited for the exterior of exposed steel water pipe.

Glass mats and asbestos felt are effective wrapping materials for coal-tar enamel coating for buried steel piping. Glass mat reinforced enamel is resistant to soil stress, but because cracking will extend to the embedded glass reinforcement, thus reducing the effective enamel thickness, an additional asbestos felt is preferred as an outer wrap where clay soils make up the backfill.

Vinyl and polyethylene plastic tapes will provide effective exterior protection for straight pipe and welded joints. A primer is considered desirable to improve adhesion of the tape when it is applied in cold weather and to minimize possible rusting at tape overlaps. Glass-reinforced, coal-tar enamel tape also provides effective protection and is adaptable to wrapping fittings because it can be molded to shape thus making a good seal over irregularities. Double wrappings should be used for either type of tape in highly corrosive soils or those inducing severe soil stress.

Reclamation laboratory engineers plan to continue their tests of the coatings described and other materials being developed by the protective coating industry. From this research work, water users can be assured that the destructive and costly element of corrosion in Reclamation projects will be considerably lessened in the years to come.

CATTLE GUARDS
Continued from page 75

equipment through a gate or the much more frequent delays caused a ditch rider in opening and closing a gate. On some projects a compromise to the problems is to limit the construction of essential cattle guards to the carriage system and provide wire gates on the distribution system.

The delay in moving through a gate may be overcome by the use of bump gates. A gate of this type will serve both as a passage for equipment too wide for a cattle guard and as a means of keeping the stock from straying, without delaying the ditch rider. However, engineers object to bump gates, claiming that people using them will have to learn how to do so properly or maintenance will be high. These also is objection based on the concern that cattle may operate the bumper trigger, although factual information to substantiate this concern has not been obtained.

Another survey conclusion is that the greatest damage to all cattle guards results from the necessary use of the operating road for movement of construction or maintenance equipment, as well as the farmers' trucks and equipment. The damage is usually caused by the vehicles not passing properly through the cattle guard and at an angle or too close to a side guard. The result is a crushed and destroyed side guard. Accordingly, a curb or post of concrete, angle iron, or heavy timber at the base of each side guard, strong enough to withstand wheel impact, should be set near the side guard. One engineer has found that 7 by 7 inch redwood posts installed at either end of each side guard, painted white, and possibly fitted with red reflecting tape act as guides for the approaching vehicles. The posts are 4 feet long and buried 2½ feet in the ground so that 18 inches of the post extends above the surface.

Although the survey reveals that many project engineers object to the original cost of building cattle guards, there is a tendency in replacement and repair of cattle guards to use steel and concrete construction in an effort to reduce replacements and maintenance costs in the future. Accordingly, the best and least expensive cattle guard will be one embodying lowest possible cost for materials, labor, and construction, consistent with expected low maintenance and replacement.

FRANK E. HULET ON TURKISH ASSIGNMENT

Frank E. Hulet of Boise, Idaho, who resigned from the Bureau of Reclamation on December 6, 1955, has begun a tour of duty in Turkey with Charles T. Mains, Inc., of Boston. Mr. Hulet's first employment with the Bureau of Reclamation was in 1930 on the Boise project. He progressed to powerhouse operator, foreman, and superintendent positions while serving on the Boise, Yakima, and Columbia Basin projects. In 1951 he became superintendent of power system operations of the Central Snake projects with headquarters at Boise, which position he held until he resigned.

THE RECLAMATION ERA
Editor's note: A Yakima farmer writing to his Congressman gives a vivid picture of the manufactured products of the East used by the average farmer on a Western Reclamation project. This letter has been published in several Washington State papers and the Reclamation News, official publication of the National Reclamation Association.

Here it is:

"Wakened at 5 o'clock by an alarm clock from Connecticut. I take the milk pails (Pennsylvania tin) and wend my way to the barn, while the wife prepares breakfast on a range from Kalamazoo, Mich. The breakfast, likely as not, will consist of grapefruit from Florida, breakfast food from Indianapolis, bacon from Omaha or Cedar Rapids, served on table china from Ohio or New Jersey, silverware from New York, sugar from Louisiana, etc.

"I will go to spray the orchard, using lead arsenate from Missouri, sulfur from Texas or Louisiana, nicotine from West Virginia (my smoke is a blend from North Carolina, Kentucky, and West Virginia), my spray rig is made in Michigan, the tractor in Wisconsin.

"When I go to town it is in a car from Indiana and Detroit, with tires from Ohio, bakelite accessories from New Hampshire. My car insurance goes to Baltimore, life insurance to Des Moines and Omaha, fire to Hartford, Conn. Shoes for myself and family come from Boston and St. Louis, clothing from New York or Chicago, cotton goods from Georgia, South Carolina or Mississippi.

"We ride over roads graded with machinery from Illinois or Iowa and paved by pavers from Wisconsin or Ohio. My plow comes from Moline, Ill., electric refrigerator, radio and other appliances from New York, Pennsylvania, or Detroit, furniture from Grand Rapids, bed springs from St. Louis, rugs from Philadelphia, my watch from Illinois, books and magazines from a dozen eastern cities.

"An occasional dose of snake bite preventive comes from Maryland or Kentucky; from Tennessee or Florida the ore that went into making the aluminum kitchenware; from Maine codfish and sardines; from Delaware, dyes and paint; and rayon and cellophane in numerous articles of daily use. The wife and daughter are strictly modern. Their cosmetics from New York, Pittsburgh or St. Louis amount to several ducats during the year.

"School books, toys, bicycles for the youngsters, like almost everything else, come the long trail from the eastern industrial centers and on all of these, as on the apples I shipped east, we pay freight that helps to maintain railroad service and dividends for eastern stockholders.

"The hardware and plumbing and heating plant in my house are all eastern products. The sawmill machinery that sawed and milled the lumber, the freight cars on which it was hauled and the rails over which they traveled all are eastern products—part of our annual cost of living bill.

"And when I make my last move to the little 3 by 6 plot on the hillside, I will doubtless be carried there on an eastern-made casket, borne by an eastern-made hearse. The kindly Yakima earth will be shoveled back over me with an eastern-made shovel, and at the head of the little mound will be set a stone of Vermont granite."
COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT
FEATURES RENAMED

Two major features of the Colorado-Big Thompson Federal Reclamation project, in Colorado, will be renamed to honor the late Charles Hansen, Greeley, Colo., newspaper publisher and prime organizer of the project.

The features scheduled to be renamed are the Horsetooth Feeder Canal and the Poudre Supply Canal. They will be known as the Charles Hansen Feeder Canal and the Charles Hansen Canal, respectively.

The change in the names has been approved by various private and public groups concerned, and will be formally proclaimed August 11, 1956, when northeastern Colorado plans to celebrate completion of the project’s irrigation aspects, Reclamation Commissioner Dexheimer announced.

Mr. Hansen was President of the Northern Colorado Water Users Association from its inception in 1933 until it became the Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District in 1937. He headed the latter organization until his death in May 1953. This is the district which contracted with the Bureau of Reclamation to repay irrigation construction costs and has taken over operation and maintenance of the works as units were finished.

IT’S THE LAW

C. H. Spencer, Regional Director of the Bureau of Reclamation in Sacramento, recently announced that the California Highway Patrol and Sheriffs and other peace officers are fully authorized to take such measures as may be necessary to enforce the law on Federal land involved in the operation of Reclamation projects. Mr. Spencer, who is responsible for the Bureau’s administration in Ventura, Santa Barbara, and California Counties to the north, and in part of Klamath and Jackson Counties, Oregon, noted that there are a large number of Federal Reclamation projects in the area. These include the Klamath, Orland, Central Valley, Sly Park, Santa Maria, Solano, Cachuma, and Ventura River projects.

“From time to time,” Spencer said, “questions are raised as to whether State and local peace officers have jurisdiction on and adjacent to such reservoirs as Shasta, Millerton, Folsom, and Monticello, and the canal and road rights-of-way used for operations by the Bureau. Mr. William J. Burke, Regional Solicitor of the Interior Department, has informed that local and State peace officers do have the authority and indeed the duty to enforce laws on the Bureau’s lands to the same extent that they are so authorized with respect to other areas in California. In addition, when theft or destruction of Government property is involved, the Bureau officials may seek the assistance of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.”

Columbia Basin Items

Picture a sand dune 25 feet high and 10 acre big, moving onto an irrigated farm in an area where the rainfall is only 6-8 inches per year, the summers are dry, and the spring is windy. This is a condition which was controlled by planting stems of Volga giant wild rye on the Columbia Basin project.

Washington State Highway Department budgets about 2 percent of its new highway costs for landscaping and grass seeding. This seems like a small sum until you realize the high costs of highways and how far a little grass seeding will go.

2,4-D Spray note.—Large spray orifices are better than small ones. Use ½ to 1 g. p. m. orifices at low pressures. Why? Less drift and damage to crops. Easier to clean. May be used with screens in the line only.

Before irrigation of Columbia Basin project lands, Grant County, Wash., received natural rainfall of 6-8 inches. Noxious weeds were few and concentrated along the intermittent Crab Creek. Five years after the first irrigation water was delivered, initial infestations of Canada thistle and perennial sow thistle were found over most irrigated lands. In one 45,000 acre area, 1,150 new weed patches on Bureau of Reclamation rights of way alone were treated with soil sterilants:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Patches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How many weeds would have moved onto the farms without this preventive action?
LETTERS

Interested in Plastic Pipe  
DEAR SIRS: I have been a subscriber of Reclamation Era for some time now and have also enjoyed your most interesting article on irrigation. Being a citrus grower and having some alfalfa land I am most interested in seeing an article in your magazine on a new material that has appeared on the horizon recently in our area, which seems to be making very good acceptability; namely; plastic pipe and fittings. I would like to know how successful it has been in your department experience and more or less general information in plastic pipe and overhead type of irrigation. I am sure that a great number of farmers in the California area would also be interested in such an article. Congratulations again on a very fine publication.

Yours very truly,  
(Sgd) Ted R. Stevenson,  
9527 Guatemala Ave.,  
Downey, Calif.

We hope to present such an article in the near future.—Ed.

Finds Era an Aid  
DEAR SIRS: You have been sending me the Reclamation Era to help me in my work at the University of Alabama. Your cooperation has been much appreciated.

I am leaving the University of Alabama to accept a position as Assistant to the Dean at the College of Engineering, University of Michigan. In this new position I will want to keep my contacts with employers and with associations that promote technical and professional development. A large part of my time will be counseling with students and I hope that your publication will continue to help me have a background that will be helpful to students.

My work at the University of Alabama will be carried on by several different persons, and for the time being, it will be helpful if you will continue to mail the Era to: Engineering Placement Office, Box 6127 University, Ala. Thank you again for your service in helping one engineering educator keep up.

Sincerely,  
WILLIAM D. MCILVAINE, JR.,  
P. O. Box 6127,  
University, Ala.

Australian Interest  
DEAR SIRS: I should like to express on behalf of the officers of this Department our appreciation for your kindness in making available to us the Reclamation Era which has always been of considerable value as well as being of great interest.

Yours faithfully,  
UNDER SECRETARY,  
Premier's Department,  
Box 15, G. P. O., Sydney.

BOOKS

SOIL CONSERVATION  
by Sellers G. Archer  
The University of Oklahoma Press  
Norman, Okla.

Everyone is a conservationist of one kind or another—a good one or a bad one. The purpose of Soil Conservation is to highlight the critical problems associated with the use of our soil and to make it possible for all of us to become good conservationists.

In addition to being a guide to practical conservation, this book is a directory of information in agencies offering technical and financial assistance to farmers. Sources of information on financial and technical aid, cost-sharing programs, and other aspects of the many assistance agencies at local, State, and national levels are thoroughly discussed. Questions concerning the high costs of conservation projects and the mass of confusing information available on conservation are answered here.

What makes a good conservationist? Among other things, a good conservationist knows that soil is not expendable, and he will not permit himself either to use it up or to waste it. He is a good conservationist because he studies the cause and effect of every bit of soil movement on his land, and when he learns the cause, he remedies it as quickly as possible.

Today's answers to conservation problems are different from yesterday's, and there will be better answers tomorrow—because of the combined efforts of scientists, field workers, and others who have the hand and heart to apply themselves to the great task of soil conservation.

In this comprehensive treatment of the various methods of practical conservation, we have a book of, by, and for conservationists who know that soil is not expendable, and he will not permit himself either to use it up or to waste it. He is a good conservationist because he studies the cause and effect of every bit of soil movement on his land, and when he learns the cause, he remedies it as quickly as possible.

The University of Oklahoma Press

DO YOU KNOW:

There is a divide in the Wind River Range, Wyoming, common to three major drainage areas: Colorado, Mississippi, and Columbia River Basin.

What the Indians term the "Wedding of the Waters" occurs at the mouth of the Wind River Canyon, Wyoming, where the waters of the Wind River become the Bighorn River.

At the time of its signing in December 1952, the $57,694,000 repayment contract between United States and the Wind River Indian Water Conservancy District in Utah was the largest single repayment contract ever entered into by the Bureau of Reclamation.

Of the 17 States served by the Bureau of Reclamation, the States of Nebraska and North Dakota are the only ones having no igneous (granite, basalt, etc.) rock at the surface within their borders.

That every 6 years top engineers from the Bureau's Denver Engineering and Regional offices, accompanied by representatives from water users' organizations, gives every major Bureau structure a top-to-bottom inspection? Results range from suggestions for improving the appearance of exterior surfaces to recommendations that major rehabilitation be undertaken. This is in addition to regular annual inspections of equipment and structures. The Bureau's comprehensive inspection program insures maximum safety and economy for the water user's dollar.

That underwater television equipment has been used to help Bureau engineers determine the condition of Bureau structures? Privately developed equipment of this type was used to determine the condition of spillway concrete at Shasta Dam recently. In the survey, which took place from a barge floating in the spillway, a diver at the bottom solved the concrete with a spotlight-equipped television camera and transmitter. The resulting images were picked up by television receivers on the barge. Advantages: Engineer experts get a direct view of underwater conditions, and do not need to depend entirely on verbal reports from professional divers; and a permanent record can be made by photographing the screen of the television receiver.

Atomic Energy may mean Agricultural revolution—A recent report by the United Nations Food and Agricultural Organization points to possible revolutionary applications of atomic energy to farming and reclamation. According to the report, "The use of radio-isotopes * * * is already leading to greater efficiency and economy in the production and use of agricultural products * * * (and) the potential value may be almost unlimited." The report points out how research with atomic materials is leading to improved techniques of soil treatment and application and drainage of irrigation water, the use of fertilizers and weed killers, the art of selective breeding, and many other fields related to agriculture. It may lead to important decreases in food storage losses, and shipping losses, and flood losses to insects and plant diseases. The report estimates the latter at $13 billion yearly in the United States alone.

Making their contributions to this new art, Bureau of Reclamation engineers are using atomic materials to study the effectiveness of weed-killing chemicals, and are studying the application of atomic radiations to the measurement of soil density and moisture content.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Award date</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
<th>Contractor's name and address</th>
<th>Contract amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC-4450</td>
<td>Weber Basin, Utah</td>
<td>Apr. 24</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete pipe lines, and structures, and concrete or steel pipe for trunk lines, Davis aqueduct, station 924+83 to 144+90.</td>
<td>W. W. Clyde &amp; Co., Springfield, Utah</td>
<td>259,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4604</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Nebr.</td>
<td>Apr. 10</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Upper Meeker canal, station 0+75.42 to 657+00; and road relocation.</td>
<td>Ace Construction Co., Omaha, Nebr.</td>
<td>908,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4607</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash</td>
<td>Apr. 3</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Royal Branch canal, station 1+17 to 451+58.83; Royal Branch canal wasteway, station 0+00 to 734+00; Crab Creek laterals, station 123+77.2 to 167+00; Crab Creek lateral extension, station 0+90 to 73+7.3.</td>
<td>West Coast Construction Co., Inc., Seattle, Wash.</td>
<td>484,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4635</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash</td>
<td>Apr. 12</td>
<td>Construction of Evergreen pumping plant for Part 4 of Block 18 laterals, and diversion structures for West Low canal laterals.</td>
<td>Henry C. Werner &amp; Tanf Charneski, Eugene, Oreg.</td>
<td>361,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4630</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>Apr. 3</td>
<td>Construction of Evergreen pumping plant, Block 77. 7115-kv. powerhouse circuit breakers for Glendo switchyard.</td>
<td>Big Bend Inc., Seattle, Wash.</td>
<td>177,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4632</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash</td>
<td>May 1</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, pipelines, and structures for North part of Block 48 laterals, wasteways, and drainage, East Low canal laterals.</td>
<td>Donald M. Drake Co., Portland, Oreg.</td>
<td>723,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4647</td>
<td>Owyhee, Oreg.-Idaho</td>
<td>May 2</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Block 77 of West canal laterals. 2717-kv. powerhouse circuit breakers for Glendo switchyard.</td>
<td>Gates &amp; Fox Co., Burlingame, Calif.</td>
<td>1,348,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4649</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>May 8</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Main Canal No. 1 and lateral; and Main Canal No. 2 and laterals, schedule 1.</td>
<td>Donald M. Drake Co., Portland, Oreg.</td>
<td>569,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4682</td>
<td>Weber Basin, Utah</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Construction of Slaterville diversion dam and Layton pump intake channel, Parts A and C.</td>
<td>Mountain States Construction Co., Bountiful, Utah</td>
<td>468,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4670</td>
<td>Michigan Flats, Idaho</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Sargent canal, station 1100+78.30 (AH) to 2115+00 (end); Sargent laterals, second section; and Airport wasteway and drains.</td>
<td>W. R. Calhoon Construction Co., Fostoria, Idaho</td>
<td>570,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4671</td>
<td>Chief Joseph Dam, Wash</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Sargent canal, station 1100+78.30 (AH) to 2115+00 (end); Sargent laterals, second section; and Airport wasteway and drains.</td>
<td>Harold Kaiser Co., Seattle, Wash.</td>
<td>296,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4672</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash</td>
<td>May 29</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Sargent canal, station 1100+78.30 (AH) to 2115+00 (end); Sargent laterals, second section; and Airport wasteway and drains.</td>
<td>Washington Corp., Harrison, N. J.</td>
<td>123,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4676</td>
<td>Santa Maria, Calif.</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Sargent canal, station 1100+78.30 (AH) to 2115+00 (end); Sargent laterals, second section; and Airport wasteway and drains.</td>
<td>Mittry Construction Co., Los Angeles, Calif.</td>
<td>6,179,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4679</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Sargent canal, station 1100+78.30 (AH) to 2115+00 (end); Sargent laterals, second section; and Airport wasteway and drains.</td>
<td>Guy H. James Construction Co., Oklahoma City, Okla.</td>
<td>365,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4681</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Nebr.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Sargent canal, station 1100+78.30 (AH) to 2115+00 (end); Sargent laterals, second section; and Airport wasteway and drains.</td>
<td>Cook Construction Co., Jackson, Miss.</td>
<td>1,167,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100C-242</td>
<td>Minidoka, Idaho</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Completion work for group 4 wells.</td>
<td>Duffy Reed Construction Co., Twin Falls, Idaho</td>
<td>135,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100C-249</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>June 5</td>
<td>Completion work for group 4 wells.</td>
<td>Fonneseck Construction Co., Idaho Falls, Idaho</td>
<td>115,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200C-311</td>
<td>Solano, Calif.</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Completion work for group 4 wells.</td>
<td>Schuit Construction Co., Inc., Springfield, Oreg.</td>
<td>1,144,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300C-81</td>
<td>Colorado River Front Work and Levee System, Arizona-California-Nevada</td>
<td>Apr. 20</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and structures for Sargent canal, station 1100+78.30 (AH) to 2115+00 (end); Sargent laterals, second section; and Airport wasteway and drains.</td>
<td>Gunderson Bros. Engineering Corp., Portland, Oreg.</td>
<td>157,377</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Project Description of work or material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Earthwork and structures for 874-meter and sublaterals 134.4 and 197.2 will include about 82 miles of 12- to 24-inch precast concrete or cement-asbestos pipe and about 2 miles of 17- to 27-inch precast concrete pipe. Near Shafter. Constructing bridge substructure and approaches for county road crossing of Trinity River, constructing 4.5 miles and improving about 9 miles of county road around Trinity Dam site, and constructing 4.5 miles of access road near Lewiston. Constructing 45 two- and 43 three-bedroom residences, 145 carports, and other buildings for a 500-person community. Work will include water storage, electrical system, and streets near Lewiston. Constructing 1 outdoor-type 50-cs pumping plant with fish protection facilities, an indoor-type booster plant, and an outdoor-type relief plant, near Bakersville. 4 motor-driven, deep-well, turbine-type pumping units, each with a capacity of 11.7 cfs at a total head of 100 ft; 4 motor-driven, centrifugal-type pumping units, each with a capacity of 11.7 cfs at a total head of 350 ft; and 3 motor-driven, horizontal, centrifugal-type pumping units, each with a capacity of 11.7 cfs at a total head of 150 ft, part of the Brewster Flat Pumping Plants. 1 6,500-hp., 400-r.p.m., 180-foot-head, vertical, Francis-type turbine and 1,450-kv-a., 1,0 power factor, 4,100-volt, 400-r.p.m., vertical-shaft, hydraulic-driven, synchronous generator for the Big Thompson powerplant. Excavating in open cut and in tunnel for the 2,700-foot-long, 50-foot-diameter, unlined right abutment diversion tunnel at the Glen Canyon Dam site. About 135 miles north of Flagstaff. Constructing about 25 miles of access highway to Glen Canyon Dam site, including Water Holes Canyon Bridges and drainage structures, about 115 miles north of Flagstaff. Earthwork and structures for the concrete and steel pipe Wahluke Siphon which will include 13,000 feet of 12-foot round concrete pipe and 2,500 feet of 11-foot 2-inch plate steel pipe on concrete piers, south of Othello. Earthwork and structures for about 7 miles of unlined concrete-lined open laterals, about 2.3 miles of unlined open laterals with bottom widths of from 4 to 2 feet, and about 2.2 miles of unlined wastewater channel with a 3-foot bottom width, near Burbank. Earthwork and structures for about 40 miles of unlined open laterals and wastewater with bottom widths varying from 8 to 2 feet. Block 79, about 15 miles south of Quincy. Constructing irrigation facilities to serve 8 new farm units in Block 74, near Quincy. Constructing the White Bluffs Pumping Plant, an indoor-type plant with 4 electrically driven horizontal pumping units of 178-cfs total capacity and installing a heating and ventilating system. On the right bank of the Columbia River, at Grand Coulee Dam. 4 synchronous, motor-driven, horizontal, centrifugal-type pumping units, each with a capacity of 41.3 cfs at a total head of 86 feet for White Bluffs Pumping Plant No. 1. Constructing 7.5 miles of welded steel pipe laterals for sprinkler-type irrigation, near American Falls.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 Subject to change.
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N. R. A. Celebrates Silver Anniversary
The Adaptable Sugar Beet
FeDeral ReClamation

While just at this time reclamation is almost synonymous with irrigation of arid lands, we do not lose sight of the fact that that word and the policy of the Government include reclamation by drainage of swamplands, by development of cutover timberlands, and by fertilization of exhausted farmlands all through the eastern part of the United States. All will be needed in time. Our national necessities will compel us to go forward all along the productive line.

Reclamation is not only a matter of producing food for our people. The great thing is the transformation of the wilderness to civilization. It is the occupation and cultivation by the capital and labor of the settler of the unoccupied lands of this country. It is the creation of taxable wealth to help sustain the Government for all future times. It is the establishment of homes. * * *

From an address in the House of Representatives
by Hon. Charles E. Winter, of Wyoming
Every irrigation project has the problem of trying to protect the public. This is magnified on the Central Valley Project in California, by the fact that a million and a half people live within easy commuting distance of the four main canals, the Contra Costa, Delta-Mendota, Madera, and Friant-Kern. The canals are swift, deep, full of fish, and temptingly inviting in the semiarid San Joaquin Valley, and the adjoining Contra Costa County.

The Antioch, California, “Ledger” editorialized May 10, 1956:

“Another danger tempter in this area is the Contra Costa Canal.

“Signs have been tried, to warn youngsters and oldsters to stay out of the canal. To keep children out, an expensive patrol has been provided to police the banks of one of Contra Costa County’s most vital assets. Now they are putting safety rings (lifesaver rings and shelters) along the slopes of the canal.

“The Reclamation Bureau and the Contra Costa County Water District are no more responsible, financially, for a death in this canal than they are for one in the San Joaquin River, nor any reservoir in this county.

“Trespassers should be penalized for entry rather than their survivors being paid a premium.”

To date all deaths from drowning in Central Valley Project Canals, with one exception, have been attributable to trespassing. To protect the trespassers the Bureau has installed a number of protective devices, such as ladders, chain link fencing, warning signs, log booms, etc. The latest protective feature has been the erection of life preserver shelters, complete with life rings and rope, at strategic locations along the canals.

The shelters were first tried in 1953 on the Contra Costa Canal in the vicinity of Concord. Later they were added on the Friant-Kern, Madera, and Delta-Mendota Canals, as well as increasing the number on the Contra Costa Canal, until now 105 shelters have been erected. While it is impossible to foretell where drownings will occur, the attempt has been made to locate the shelters at siphons, checks, and bridge locations which are liable to be visited by the greatest number of people.

So far as is known no Bureau employee has made use of the life rings or has observed others making use of them. It is known that there have been no drownings at any of the locations since the installation. Several of the glass fronts have been broken and the rings removed, indicating possible legitimate use. In other cases it appears reasonably certain that the damage to the shelters has been due to vandalism.

Efforts have been made to publicize the erection of the shelters and the vandalism. The Tracy Operations Field Branch, which has charge of the
Contra Costa and Delta-Mendota Canals, directed letters to all of the local newspapers along those canals announcing the installations of the shelters and requesting the newspapers to publish this information. Several of the newspapers responded. The Fresno Operations Field Branch, in charge of the Friant-Kern and Madera Canals, was having vandalism troubles in the Orange Cove and Delano areas. The “Orange Cove News” and “News of Delano” cooperated by publishing editorials dealing with the damage to the shelters and its possible effect on the saving of a life.

Each installation costs about $32 complete. The single strength glass front must be broken to remove the ring and rope. The shelters were designed in this manner, similar to a fire alarm box, in the hope that ring and rope removal would occur only in case of need. The rings are balsa or cork to ensure that they will not be damaged by flying glass. The balsa type are preferred as cork tends to deteriorate somewhat with age. Normal maintenance of the shelters is a coat of white paint every 3 years and replacement of glass after use.

The shelters fulfill two functions. It is believed that they are much more effective than any warning sign in calling attention to the inherent danger of being on the edge of the canal or swimming in the canal, and at the same time they provide a means of rescue for those disbelievers who find themselves in the canal.

---

Top, left: LIFE PRESERVER SHELTER on Delta-Mendota Canal. At left: SIX SAFETY DEVICES at inlet of siphon on Madera Canal. (1) Life preserver shelter; (2) Danger warning sign; (3) Safety fence around inlet; (4) Safety net across canal; (5) Sign on lining reading “STAY ALIVE BY STAYING OUT”; (6) Ladder leading out of canal. Top right: EFFECTS OF VANDALISM on shelter at Friant-Kern Canal.
Another Concept of Irrigation Farming

This article is based on a speech made by Master Farmer Idus T. Gillett of the Rio Grande Project before the El Paso Rotary Club on January 27, 1956.

Master Farmer Idus T. Gillett of the Rio Grande Project has completely changed his method of farming. He has not done this entirely by acquiring the most modern types of equipment and following the latest practices with respect to conservative and beneficial methods of irrigation on a typical western project. True he has done these things and is in every respect modern in his farm equipment, and in the layout of his well-levelled fields. In addition, he has reverted to "natural" farming or to farming methods that may have been practiced for thousands of years in China and other parts of the Far East and Near East where farming techniques of tilling the soil do not meet our high standards but nonetheless provide from time to time surprises as to what can be accomplished with very old methods. However, his decision to change was the result of his own personal observations, and belief that farming and tillage of the soil was deviating too rapidly from nature's way of building and restoring soils.

What has Gillett done to change his original farming practices? Well, to put it in a nutshell, he has begun to practice organic farming and the use of the soil probably as nature originally established the pattern. When he, shortly after the turn of the century, began farming 13 miles up the Rio Grande from the City of El Paso, the soil was friable and impregnated with leaf mold, with angle worms in evidence in profusion. Fertility was the byword. To prepare the soil required only a team of small horses for plowing. Crop production was high. Wheat grew shoulder high, with heads six inches long, with no lodging, and strangely, with no disease. Alfalfa was so rank that it was necessary to put three animals on the mowing machine with the cut running 3 tons per acre per cutting. Roots were deep, going down as far as 20 feet. Such was the condition of the soil and productivity on the family farm when Gillett was a young man. Comparing that type of production with what is happening in the area today, brings into focus the reason why he decided something should be done. Alfalfa today, or perhaps it should be said, prior to the drought conditions now existing on the Rio Grande Project, produced 4 to 6 tons per acre, with roots going down 18"–24". Cantaloupes which formerly produced 300 crates to an acre now average 75–125 crates to the acre, with only the exceptional lands exceeding the average.

Six years ago, Gillett decided to begin organic farming and to discontinue as rapidly as possible the use of commercial fertilizers, dusting, and sprays. He began by developing a compost pile in order to manufacture humus. Into the compost pile he puts everything that has "lived and died."

MASTER FARMER GILLETT is proud of this corn grown organically on his farm.
provided none of it has been subjected to poisons. In starting the compost pile, a day is chosen, when possible, following a rainstorm which permits the removal of the manure from the corrals in a moistened state. As a starter or charge, a layer from a former pile is placed on top of the new bed. Then the bed is thoroughly wetted and is turned over in about 30 days. It is important that a liberal supply of natural mineral rock, such as phosphate, potash, oyster shell and cottonseed is mixed into the compost, preferably during the turning process, further dampening, if necessary, and exercising care not to apply an excess of water. The object, of course, is to provide a favorable media for the billions of bacteria that will develop. The compost is ready for use when the color changes to that which would be found under big trees in forest areas. It should have a good pungent, earthy smell.

Distribution of the compost is made on the land in several ways: One, the material is introduced directly into the irrigation water; another, it is distributed along the ditch bank near the turnouts to the land, where it will be picked up and distributed by the irrigation flow; finally, by using the conventional manure spreader. Idus is convinced through his experience that the use of compost on a major scale gives his land the added humus which is necessary. The application through the irrigation water, in effect, creates a condition resembling yeast, in that the bacteria floating in the water attaches itself to every blade of grass, every root, and each stalk that has been turned over from the previous crop, which then eventually becomes very much like the original humus of the compost pile. Three tons of applied compost per acre per year actually gains in volume by several more tons, by turning over the residue of the previous crop into the compost material—another reason for not always removing the stalk cuttings entirely from the fields. It is axiomatic that humus enriches the soil and increases the water-holding capacity that promotes the growth and development of the essential fungi, algae, and hormones in the soil, as well as the transfer of nutrients from the soil to the growing plants.

Angle worms now enter the picture. Restoration and continued feeding of humus to his soil has permitted an increase in the angle worm population of the soils. The angle worm is perhaps the original tiller of the soil. It has been estimated that if 8 angle worms to a square foot of soil are available, there will be produced 15 tons of the finest fertilizer each year per acre; their working, burrowing, and chewing keep the soil open and porous. In soils where they are present in great population, one may expect an increase of up to 400 percent in manganese, 500 percent nitrogen, 700 percent phosphate, and 1,100 percent potash over that in a comparable soil in an original state without worms.

Before the development of the compost piles as a basis for fertilizer was started, it was difficult for a farm tractor to pull a single-bottom plow and then it could only be done in first gear. The second year after having applied organic matter, the same tractor with the same driver was able to pull a two-bottom plow. During the third year, Idus sold the plow and has not plowed for the last three years. The procedure now is to disc lightly and chisel about 20 inches deep. All this made possible by the change in the character of the friability of the soil.

The experience of Gillett is convincing not only from the standpoint of the greater ease of tilling his soil but also in the increased fertility and the production of higher grade crops than was formerly the case. It seems unbelievable that it has not been necessary to plow his land for three years; but it is not necessary to take it on faith, as it is well known to Idus' neighbors that such has been the case. Why? Well, organic farming plus the angle worms to do the plowing.

Gillett is firmly convinced that the quality of vegetables grown under organic farming methods are superior to those grown under accepted methods. His vegetables are produced from plants that throughout the growing season are healthy, well nourished and exceedingly tender. He never dusts or uses sprays, as the natural healthiness of

The “Calf-Line” shows excellent beef stock produced with organically grown forage, grain, and hay. Photo by Bob Gilbert.
FARMER GILLETT in field of dwarf Pima Cotton—staple length 1 3/4". This cotton, grown only in the SOUTHWEST, yields better than 1 1/2 bales per acre. Photo by Bob Gilbert.

The plants grown under the organically prepared soils provides a natural resistance to pests and disease.

An example of the resistance to pests is the experience on this farm several years ago when leaf worms were particularly bad in the area. It was necessary for his neighbors to dust and spray as many as eight times, but the organic farm was not sprayed nor dusted once. The final result was that the organically grown plants appeared much healthier than those that had been sprayed so often.

Similar conditions have been observed with respect to the feeding of organically grown hay and grain to livestock. As an example, Gillett, whose farming activities also included dairying, cites the case of his experience with a milk cow. This cow was producing 13 quarts of milk on chemically fertilized hay; then she was switched to organic hay. In fact, she was given a choice and, after sampling, immediately began feeding on the organic hay, with the result that her production increased to 16 quarts. Later the organic hay was taken away and the cow was returned to the old hay. Her production dropped back to 13 quarts. The process was repeated and the cow on organically grown hay again increased her production to 16 quarts. Gillett made this experiment after he had closed out his dairy herd of 125 cows. Imagine how his milk production would have increased with his 125 cows had he known the benefit of organic farming at that time.

Gillett could not be persuaded to give up organic farming. His costs have gone down, while his production has gone up. His fertilizer bills have been cut 50 percent, the cost of preparing his land has dropped 15 percent, and dusting by airplane has been discontinued entirely. Gillett is convinced that farmers would be much better off if they would go in for the compost pile in a major manner, and encourage and cultivate the repopulation of the soil with angle worms.

TREAT DITCHES WITH CMU

Having trouble keeping small laterals weed-free? Extensive field trials of the chemical CMU by Dean Schacterle of Reclamation’s Kansas River Projects Staff and Hans Ploeg, DuPont’s technical representative, shows the chemical can do a good job on most annuals and some perennial weeds.

The chemical was applied at a rate of 10 lbs./A on light textured and 15 lbs./A on medium-textured soils in early spring before irrigation deliveries started. Tests were also made using fall applications at increased rate of 15 lbs./A on light textured and 20 lbs./A on medium-textured soils. Both the fall and spring applications were equally effective at the above rates. The bottom and inside slopes of the ditches were treated. If rains do not occur which fix the chemical in the soil, then this “fixing” should be done by filling the ditch with water and either letting it soak into the ditch bank, or waste the water after a period of 72 hours. After this fixing occurs, the chemical “stays put” thus preventing damages to crops. In the trials the ditches remained weed-free throughout the irrigation season. Because the chemical is nonselective, weedy grasses were also controlled.

The application rates suggested above apply to the eastern part of the Great Plains; from Scottsbluff, Nebr., westward, higher rates may be required.

Cost of the treatment runs about $30 to $40 per mile of ditch. Although at first glance this cost may appear high, it compares favorably with other methods such as two or more burnings per year and is much less in some cases.

YOUR MAGAZINE

Are there particular types of articles which you would like to see in the Era that we have not printed to date? If so, please let us know, and we shall do our best to comply with your wishes.
The 25th Annual Convention of the National Reclamation Association, marking its Silver Anniversary, is scheduled to be held at the Hotel Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, on Wednesday, November 14, continuing through Friday, November 16.

Mr. William E. Welsh, the Association's Secretary-Manager, announced the preliminary plans for the Convention after conferring with President Guy C. Jackson and other officials of the Association from the various Western States. Indications are that the meeting will be the largest in the Association's history in terms of attendance and the speakers will include nationally known authorities in the field of conservation.

Senator George W. Malone of Nevada is scheduled to be the speaker at the opening day luncheon session on November 14. His address is to be followed by the transaction of Association business, including reports from President Guy C. Jackson of the N. R. A. and other officials.

Others scheduled to address the Convention include Senators James E. Murray of Montana and Arthur V. Watkins of Utah; Governor J. Bracken Lee of Utah; Congressmen Clair Engle of California, A. L. Miller of Nebraska, and Wayne N. Aspinall of Colorado; Assistant Secretary of Agriculture Irving Peterson; and Major General E. C. Itschner, Chief of the Army Engineers.

Secretary of the Interior Fred A. Seaton and Commissioner of Reclamation W. A. Dexheimer are scheduled to deliver major addresses on Reclamation policy and outline plans for the Bureau's future.

Other Interior Department and Bureau of Reclamation officials who will attend the 25th Annual Convention are Associate Solicitor for Water and Power Edward W. Fisher; Assistant Commissioner of Reclamation E. G. Nielsen; Assistant to the Commissioner—Information, Ottis Peterson; Chief, Division of Irrigation, Floyd E. Dominy; and Chief, Division of Project Development, N. B. Bennett, Jr.

Origin of N. R. A.

The late Governor George Dern of Utah called a conference at Salt Lake City, Utah, for December 5, 1932, in connection with the conference of the Western Governors which followed on December 6 and 7 and dealt with the problems of Federal Reclamation. There were 91 delegates to the reclamation conference. At this time an organization was effected to be known as the National Reclamation Association, and the following officers unanimously chosen: President, Marshall N. Dana, Associate Editor, Oregon Journal, Portland, Oregon; Secretary, Kenneth Miller, Agricultural livestock agent, Spokane, Portland, and Seattle Railway, Portland, Oregon; First Vice President, Sam Stephenson, of Montana; Second Vice President, W. R. Wallace, of Utah; Treasurer, E. O. Larson of Utah. Mr. Larson is now
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The Bureau of Reclamation’s Regional Director at Salt Lake City, Utah.

The Executive Committee consisted of Perry Jenkins, Wyoming; George W. Malone (present United States Senator) of Nevada; Sam Stephenson of Montana; and A. B. Tarpey of California.

At this first meeting of the N. R. A. the following resolution was unanimously adopted by both the Association and the Governors’ conference:

"On the third decennial of Federal reclamation, it is fitting that we pay tribute to the memory of that great President of the United States, Theodore Roosevelt, whose broad vision and statesmanship was responsible for and under whose administration the beneficent policy of Federal reclamation was inaugurated in 1902.

"His familiarity with the West was such that he clearly envisioned its future. He realized that reclamation was of such scope and of such economic importance to the welfare of our entire country as to justify and require the aid and direction of the Federal Government, and his dominancy and clear-visioned statesmanship in the matter prevailed.

"As a result, the West has increased its population, in wealth, in commerce and trade, and it has provided a defensive strength and security for the whole Nation. Within the short period of 30 years an internal trade has developed between the western semi-arid States and the other States of the Nation amounting annually to hundreds of thousands of cars of railroad cargo and to an enormous tonnage of ship cargo. These Western States send eastward their fruit, their lumber, their fish, their cattle and sheep, and their other raw products and receive in exchange the more expensive finished products of the industrial East.

"Since the initial passage of the reclamation act the Federal government has reclaimed nearly 3,000,000 acres of land, has provided rural homes for 60,000 families, has been responsible for a like increase in urban population, has added a billion dollars to the Nation’s taxable wealth * * *. It is to preserve these values, and to hold a continuing policy of Federal reclamation, as contemplated in the original act, that we again militantly dedicate ourselves in order that the great work inaugurated by Theodore Roosevelt when President of the United States may go on unabated.” To these ends, therefore, we offer the following specific resolutions:

"Whereas we believe that the broad principle of Federal aid to reclamation should be extended to economically sound projects of regional and national importance too costly for local or community effort, we hold that the immediate construction activity of the Bureau of Reclamation should be mainly confined to providing supplemental water supplies and completing or reconstructing works on existing Federal and non-Federal projects which are economically sound and on which such aid is urgently needed. * * *

"Whereas we deem the existence and continuance of the Bureau of Reclamation of vital importance to the West and the entire Nation;

"Whereas it has been the policy of every administration to appoint a western man as Secretary of the Interior, and selections have been able men with an intimate knowledge of western problems;

"therefore be it

Resolved: We urge the Bureau of Reclamation be maintained as a part of the Interior Department, which alone has acquaintance with the problems of water administration and conservation. * * *"
Commissioner W. A. Dexheimer has selected Jean R. Walton as Construction Engineer on the Flaming Gorge Dam in Utah. This is the second of the big three "starts" on the Colorado River Storage Project for which the top man has been named. L. F. Wylie is the Construction Engineer on the Glen Canyon Dam. (See August 1956 Reclamation Era). As this issue went to press no one had been selected to head up the Navajo Dam job, in New Mexico, the last of the big three.

Mr. Walton, who received his B. S. degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of New Mexico, has been with the Department of the Interior since 1935. His first position was with the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The following year he joined the Bureau of Reclamation as Assistant Engineer on the Pine River Project in Colorado and held progressively responsible assignments until he entered the military service in 1942.

He returned to the Bureau in Denver in February 1946, becoming Field Engineer at Davis Dam in June of that year. He was appointed Construction Engineer on this job in 1950 and completed the construction program including installation of the powerplant facilities, generators, and switchyards. Upon completion of the Davis Dam job, Mr. Walton transferred, as Construction Engineer, on the second barrel of the San Diego Aqueduct Project at Escondido, California. Subsequently, he served on a two year mission as advisor on construction problems on the Snowy Mountain Project in Australia.

On the Flaming Gorge Dam job he will be temporarily headquartered at Vernal, Utah. Construction of an access road and temporary bridge were scheduled for October. Construction on the diversion tunnel, temporary housing units, and administration buildings are planned in the spring of 1957.

The 450-foot-high Flaming Gorge Dam will be a concrete structure on the Green River, a tributary of the Colorado River. The dam site is in the Northeast corner of Utah, near the Colorado and Wyoming border.
In opening up new areas of the West to agricultural development, one of the problems has been to determine which crops and varieties of crops are best suited to each area—a time consuming and expensive procedure. Most crops are sensitive to changes in environment, and a considerable amount of breeding work must be done by state experiment stations or U. S. D. A. scientists to adapt a plant to new surroundings. A remarkable exception to this is the sugar beet. Since recovery of sugar from the sugar beet was placed on an economic basis in the United States—more than 70 years ago—the sugar beet crop almost invariably has been recommended for new areas and is one of the first to be planted in them.

Reasons why the sugar beet seems to fit so readily into the new areas are now becoming known, and are providing a scientific basis for the practical observations of thousands of farmers. To illustrate the unusual adaptability of the sugar beet to climate one needs only to look at the map and note the widespread areas of production. Here in the United States sugar beets were planted in 22 States in 1956. These States range from Ohio in the East to California in the West, and the plantings spread from the Mexican border to the Canadian border. In addition, beets are grown in Canada, many parts of Europe from Sweden to Italy, and on the continents of Africa, Asia and South America.

While it is true that a particular sugar beet variety will show better adaptability to one area over another, many times this is due to disease resistance and not to the basic ability of the plant to produce. In a series of tests run in 1954, sponsored by the Beet Sugar Development Foundation, the industry's research coordinating arm, sugar beets were planted in 17 widely separated locations throughout the United States, under uniform test conditions. While there were differences in yields from these tests, the variation was not nearly so great as the variation in the climates of the widely scattered areas.

Before these tests were run, there was little knowledge available about the reasons for the behavior of the sugar beet as a plant. No one knew just why it was adaptable to many climates. In order to explore this extremely complex phase of plant growth the Beet Sugar Development Foundation worked out a cooperative research project with the University of California and the California Institute of Technology at Pasadena. Pasadena was chosen because the Institute then...
was just completing the Earhart Plant Research Laboratory, a unique structure with greenhouses and light chambers able to duplicate almost any climate in the world. Here under ideally controlled conditions, length of day and temperatures can be studied without worrying about the unusual weather we always seem to get when trying an experiment in the field.

After 4 years of these tests some of the answers to the growth of the sugar beet began to take shape. It was definitely established that the sugar beet is different from many plants in that it does not have any ripening mechanism. A beet grown under mild temperatures was still growing after 4 years and showing no signs of flowering and producing seed, the final phase of the plant's life cycle. What was normally considered as a mature beet is now known to be the product of environment. Cooler temperatures, shorter days, and changes in nutritional levels are the reasons for the "maturing" of the sugar beet. This characteristic explains much of a sugar beet's ability to produce in many climates.

While these studies were going on another interesting and enlightening development in sugar beet agriculture was taking place quite by chance in California. It was always believed that the crop had to be out of the ground before the heavy winter rains started. However, in the fall of 1950 the rains came early and in heavy amounts, and it was impossible to get a large part of the crop out until the following spring. When the harvest was completed the next spring, yields in tons per acre were substantially higher and the sugar content, percentagewise, was not changed. So quite by accident the beet showed a new side of its character, and the overwintering of beets is now a common practice in the State. Unfortunately, this practice can be carried on only in areas where the winters are mild.

Until now we have mentioned only climate and the adaptability of the beet with respect to widely different climatic conditions. Another and equally important factor is the soil. Most plants will do better on a particular type of soil structure and within rather narrow limits of soil alkalinity or acidity. Here again the sugar beet proves itself a versatile performer. Beets are regularly grown in heavy, adobe soils and nearby on light, sandy loams—and with equal opportunity the results are the same. Here in California some growers plant beets as a reclamation crop in land too high in alkali for crops normally grown in the area. In the delta areas of the state acidity becomes a problem at times and beets are a customary crop in these areas also, seemingly less disturbed by the acidity than other crops. Basic research is already in progress to find the answers as to the beet's ability to thrive under these varied conditions.

With the sugar beet having all these attributes—remarkable adaptability to a wide range of soil and climatic conditions—it is no wonder that the crop has long been the first to be introduced into a new agricultural area. With the excellent work done by the plant breeders in developing disease resistant varieties, the crop has grown with the country and has become an important and essential part of its agricultural economy.
In cooperation with the President’s Committee on NATIONAL EMPLOY the PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED week, proclaimed by Hon. Dwight D. Eisenhower October 7–13 we present these typical examples of opportunities the Bureau of Reclamation is offering to handicapped persons.

BARBARA ANN ANDERSON, typist in the Commissioner’s Office at Denver, Colorado, recently won a $125 award for “sustained superior performance in all aspects and outstanding accomplishment in production and quality of work.” During 10 of the preceding 12 months, her volume of finished work exceeded that of all other typists in the Denver Office!

Yet, Barbara has always had to cope with a serious physical handicap. When only 8 months old, meningitis destroyed her hearing. To compensate for the loss, she became adept at lip reading and even developed speech sufficiently to take part in group discussion. In addition to her outstanding production record, her work habits are rated “excellent” by her supervisor, and her attractive appearance and winning personality have won her many friends among fellow employees.

Barbara is still in her 20’s, married, and has a 5-year old daughter. With her husband, similarly afflicted since childhood, she is much interested in social and recreational activities designed to brighten the lives of the deaf.

HAROLD R. HAGAN is employed by the Bureau of Reclamation as Head Draftsman in the Drafting and Reproduction Branch of the Lahontan Basin Development office. He is responsible for the drafting and reproduction of maps and related engineering charts, graphs, and drawings associated with planning and construc-

(A) BARBARA ANN ANDERSON, (B) HAROLD R. HAGAN, (C) DONALD J. WINGE, (D) ANDREW CORROPASSI.
C. KENNETH HOWE

Mr. Hagan was born November 1, 1915, at Logan, Utah; he owns his own home in Carson City, Nevada, and has three children. He began working with the Bureau of Reclamation as a draftsman in July 1946 on the Uncompahgre Project, Colorado, and transferred to the Fallon area office, Fallon, Nevada, in July 1947 as Engineering Draftsman. He moved to Carson City when the Fallon area office was disbanded in 1951.

DONALD J. WINGE entered on duty with the Bureau at Minot on September 12, 1955. He is afflicted with progressive muscular dystrophy, which affects his arms and legs. The disease was first noticed when he was ten years old and he is now 23. In 1949, the doctor at the head of the medical center at the University of Minnesota estimated that Mr. Winge would lose his ability to walk within six months. However, he is still able to walk along level surfaces but experiences difficulty negotiating stairs which he does laboriously with the aid of a cane. At present, he is in the worst stage of the disease and any new development can only be for the better.

He has completed high school and also three years of study at the University of North Dakota. Since most of his duties can be performed at a drafting table, his physical handicap has had little or no effect on his work and he satisfactorily meets the requirements of his job.

ANDREW CORTOPASSI suffered the loss of his left arm just below the elbow when a T. N. T. land mine accidentally exploded during training maneuvers in July 1942 at Fort Lewis, Washington.

Andy subsequently recovered, and after being fitted with an artificial member, was honorably discharged from the service in 1943. Subsequently, he attended Heald’s Engineering College in San Francisco, California, and completed the Civil Engineering course in May 1945, gaining his B. S. degree.

Andy continued on with Heald’s College as an instructor, and in December 1945, a second disabling accident occurred. This accident, a collision between a cable car and a street car in San Francisco, resulted in Andy’s losing his left leg just below the knee. Andy was a passenger in the street car involved.

In January 1947, Andy had recovered sufficiently to become employed with a private concern as a surveyor, performing work in the Central Valley of California.

In April of 1949, Andy received a Probational Appointment with the Bureau of Reclamation as an Engineering Aid. At the present time he is a Construction Management Engineer, working on the Solano Project as a computer in the Contracts and Estimates Branch.

Andy has mastered the use of his artificial left arm and left leg to the point where he even engages in an occasional game of bowling.

FRANK M. BRYAN.
A veteran of World War II, he lost his right arm during action in the Philippine Islands. Normally right handed he had to learn to use his left hand to do more than double duty. Although he wears an artificial limb, a stranger is usually unaware of his handicap and his friends are no more conscious of the fact than if he wore glasses.

Mr. Howe is married and has two children. He leads a full life keeping up with his outdoor hobbies of hunting and fishing. On weekends he heads for the hills in his Jeep or hikes to where the big fish are waiting. During hunting season you can be sure that the Howes have elk and deer meat.

F R A N K  M. B R Y A N, Tour Leader at Friant Dam, as a corporal in a Marine machine gun crew at Okinawa in 1944, was hit by an exploding mortar shell, wounding him on the right side and causing the loss of his right arm above the elbow. For this injury the Purple Heart was added to his several other medals.

In 1946, Mr. Bryan went to work for the Bureau of Reclamation at Friant Dam as an Information Agent Guide. Today, as Tour Leader, he serves the Government well by giving information to tourists at Friant Dam, and guiding schools and other civic groups over the various facilities at Friant Dam. He, in many ways, gives assistance to the Guard Force at Friant. He keeps busy between tours and visitors by growing flowers and shrubs around the Vista House and grounds. This is a point of special interest to tourists and visitors.

Mr. Bryan gained the use of his left hand and arm remarkably well for in his normalcy he was right-handed. His artificial arm was well matched to his right by the Veterans' Administration so that one hardly notices the loss.

EDWARD O. L I N T Z was stricken with polio at the age of 3. After initial hospitalization because of the disease, he spent about 4 months in the Shriner's Hospital at Minneapolis, Minnesota, when he was 13 years old, for corrective surgery and therapy. He is partially paralyzed in both legs from the hips down, the left leg being more affected and is about 1 1/4 inches shorter than his right. He walks with some difficulty, generally with the aid of a cane.

After graduating from high school, he attended Gregg Business College at Twin Falls, Idaho. He then worked as a bookkeeper, salesman, and in various other capacities connected with sales of farm produce, and building materials in Idaho, and Redding, California. He was employed as a Clerk-Typist by the Bureau of Reclamation at Redding, California in 1942. Through his knowledge of building materials and his industrious, dependable and persistent efforts, he was advanced through various positions in connection with procurement of materials for the construction of Shasta Dam until he was appointed Purchasing Agent of the Shasta Operations Field Branch in 1953. He has been performing these duties satisfactorily since that time.

Mr. Lintz is married and has a son and an adopted daughter. Mr. Lintz has owned his home for several years and has performed much remodeling and repair work without additional help.

P R E S I D E N T E I S E N H O W E R in his proclamation called upon the people of the Nation to observe the WEEK as National Employ the Physically Handicapped Week, and to cooperate with the President's Committee on Employment of the Physically Handicapped.

He also urged the governors of States, mayors of municipalities, other public officials, leaders of industry and labor, and members of religious, civil, veterans', agricultural, women’s, handicapped persons', and fraternal organizations to participate actively in this observance.

The observance of National Employ the Physically Handicapped Week each year was authorized by the joint resolution of the Congress approved August 11, 1945 (59 Stat. 530). # # #
tonnage of crops produced by Reclamation 1955 (MILLIONS OF TONS)

FOOD
VEGETABLES
FRUIT AND NUTS
GARDENS AND ORCHARDS
OTHER MISC FOOD
SUGAR BEETS
DRY BEANS
HOPS AND MINT

11.5

LIVESTOCK FEEDS
FORAGE
CEREAL AND GRAIN

15.0

FIBER AND OTHER
COTTON
CASTOR BEANS
BROOM CORN

0.5

THE RECLAMATION ERA
The total harvest from all Reclamation projects in calendar year 1955 was valued as over $827.7 million, an average of $132.18 per irrigated acre. Irrigable lands for service totaled 7,367,735 acres, of which 6,261,761 acres were actually irrigated. As compared to 1954, this represents an increase in irrigation of 135,995 acres.

Forage crops were produced on 52.6 percent of the net area irrigated on all Reclamation projects, and 25.3 percent of the irrigated lands were utilized in producing cereals. The crops within these two groups, totaling more than 14.8 million tons, are utilized principally as livestock feeds, generally in the local areas of production.

Over 5.6 millions of tons of fresh vegetables, fruits, and nuts were produced from more than 719,000 acres of irrigated lands in 1955, and miscellaneous food crops such as dry beans, sugar beets, mint, hopes, etc., totaling over 5.9 million tons were produced from almost 677,000 acres.

The volume of agricultural production totaled over 27 million tons in 1955, an increase of almost 458,000 tons over 1954. Increases were noted for most crop groups. Cereals were up 154,000 tons; forage, 561,000 tons; seeds, 27,000 tons; vegetables, 181,000 tons; and fruits and nuts, 269,000 tons. Miscellaneous field crops were down more than 735,000 tons. The production of cotton was down more than 200,000 bales, sugar beets decreased almost 600,000 tons, and dry beans fell off about 18,000 tons. Average per acre crop yields were slightly higher than in 1954 for the principal crops.

The data on crop production by crop groups follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop group</th>
<th>Irrigated crops</th>
<th>Gross crop value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>Percent of total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals</td>
<td>1,585,465</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forage</td>
<td>3,294,765</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Crops, Misc.</td>
<td>1,119,288</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td>285,800</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>458,901</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits and Nuts</td>
<td>260,463</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 1</td>
<td>285,800</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Reported      | 7,004,682      | 111.9           | 827,705,742    | 100.0         |

1 Additional revenues from Federal and commercial agencies.

November 1956
produced on most Federal irrigation projects, and generally, 1955 was a good crop year.

Average unit prices received by irrigation farmers for their products were generally somewhat lower than in 1954. This was true for all the principal crops except alfalfa hay, lettuce, dry onions, and peaches, which increased slightly in 1955.

The most significant individual crops produced on irrigated project lands in 1955 were barley, wheat, alfalfa hay, pasture, sugar beets, cotton, dry beans, late potatoes, tomatoes, lettuce, carrots, sweet corn, apples, peaches, table grapes, and citrus fruits.

The 73 Reclamation irrigation projects, located throughout the 17 Western States, are divided into 7 regions for administrative purposes. States located mainly within each region are as follows: Region 1—Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and Western Montana; Region 2—California, except southern portion; Region 3—Arizona, Southern California, and Southern Nevada; Region 4—Nevada, Utah, Western Colorado, and Southwestern Wyoming; Region 5—Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Southern Kansas; Region 6—Eastern Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Northern Wyoming; Region 7—Eastern Colorado, Nebraska, Northern Kansas, and Southeastern Wyoming.

Region 1, with 2,229,614 acres of irrigated lands, produced crops valued at over $242 million. This Region contains 36 percent of the irrigated lands and accounted for 29 percent of the total value of crops produced on all Reclamation projects in 1955. Region 3, with less than half as much irrigated land as Region 1, produced crops valued at almost $213 million, or 26 percent of the total production from all Federal projects during this same year.

Comparative data for Regions follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Irrigated acres</th>
<th>Gross crop value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,229,614</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>860,705</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>911,397</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>548,221</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>231,536</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>409,003</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>976,255</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6,261,761</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each of the 17 Western States participated in the Reclamation harvest for 1955. Idaho had a larger acreage of irrigated project lands than any of the other States, followed by California, Colorado, Washington, Oregon, Arizona, Nebraska, Utah, and Montana. The gross value of crops produced on Federal projects located in California was higher than for the other Western States; however, the average gross crop value per acre was highest for Arizona, followed by California, Texas, Washington, New Mexico, Oklahoma, etc., respectively.

The irrigated acreage and crop value data are presented for each State.
I was born in Shelby County, Missouri, on February 6, 1891. My folks moved to Montrose, Colorado, before I was a year old. Here I grew up, attended grade school and high school until I was in my senior year, when I quit to go to work. I had worked in the summers and after school since I finished grade school.

My father was Superintendent of the Cimarron Ditch for several years and I worked part of the time for him. Then he went to work for the Bureau of Reclamation as a Foreman and on April 4, 1909, I went to work for him on the Uncompahgre Project as a teamster. The work was usually too far from home to drive back and forth and we usually stayed at some farm house, boarding with the farmer. We had our own bed rolls and slept in the bunkhouse or shed. When team work was not necessary, I worked at carpentry work, building and repairing structures of pick and shovel work as required.

I quit in the fall and took a course in bookkeeping at Hoels Business College in Montrose. I finished my course in the spring about the time work started on the Cimarron Ditch. Inasmuch as my chum worked there and a job was offered to me, I went back to work on the Cimarron Ditch.

I came down to Montrose in June and went back to work on the Uncompahgre Project, taking the same job I had the summer before. On July 6, 1910, I was appointed patrolman on the South Canal. The canal was built to carry water from the Gunnison Tunnel to the Uncompahgre River. I followed the first water that ran from the Gunnison Tunnel through the South Canal to the Uncompahgre River. I patrolled the canal at night throughout the irrigation season.

My wife and I were married in October of 1910. The following winter I worked on the construction of a new headgate on the Loutsenhizer Canal at its headworks on the Uncompahgre River. Before the headworks were completed, I was put in charge as foreman.

After completion of the Loutsenhizer headgate, we were transferred to Camp 4 on the South Canal.
where I held the position of Ditch Rider up to June 15, 1913.

It was about this time, through the encouragement of Mr. F. D. Pyle, Project Manager, that I enrolled in a course of Civil Engineering with the International Correspondence School of Scranton, Pennsylvania. It took me from 10 to 12 years to complete this course, doing all my studying in the morning so as to spend my evenings with the wife and children. I usually got up at 4 a.m. and made it a point to study at least 1 hour every day except Sunday regardless of how I felt.

On June 16, 1933, I was appointed Assistant Water Master in charge of the South Canal and several other laterals. On November 14, 1917, I was appointed subforeman to supervise concrete work in the Gunnison Tunnel. When spring came, I was sent back to my job as Assistant Water Master which I held until January 20, 1918, when I was appointed Foreman to supervise the building and placing of irrigation structures.

During the winter of 1920 and 1921 I was oiler on a dragline on the project. In the spring I was back on the foreman’s job and kept at this work up to December 31, 1931.

On January 1, 1932, when the Uncompahgre Project was taken over by the Uncompahgre Valley Water Users Association, I was appointed Hydrographer and Water Master.

I was reinstated with the Bureau of Reclamation and moved to Boulder City, Nevada, on the Hoover Dam Project, as city foreman, on March 30, 1933. After 14 months at Boulder City, I was advised by our doctor that I would have to take my youngest daughter out of the desert. Mr. C. B. Elliott, Uncompahgre Project Manager at the time, informed me that a job was waiting for me.

I went back to work as Water Master for the Association on May 21, 1934, and was appointed as Acting Superintendent on the Uncompahgre Project on October 1, 1934.

On October 19, 1937, the duties of Treasurer were added to my position and I was Acting Superintendent and Treasurer.

On March 21, 1938, I was appointed Manager-Treasurer of the Association, which position I recently resigned to become effective July 1, 1956.
Irrigation water supply in Western United States during 1956 varied from more than adequate in the Pacific Northwest to a continuation of drought conditions over much of the Southwest and the Southern Rocky Mountain region. The water supply followed that indicated by mountain snow cover in the spring of this year. Plans to fit water use to the amount of water available were extensively adopted over the West early in the season. In the Northwest where the heavy snowpacks existed, reservoirs were lowered early in the spring and other control measures were instituted to minimize damage from high water during the peak of snowmelt. In the drouth areas substantial changes in cropping practices were made to coincide with the storage of water supplies. The development of groundwater for irrigation was extended over a wider area and more intensively used than in previous dry years.

This analysis is again presented in the Reclamation Era through the courtesy of the authors, and Mr. R. A. Work, Head, Water Supply Forecasting Section.

A brief west wide summary of snow conditions and water supply outlook prepared by the Water Supply Forecast Section, Soil Conservation Service, was published in the May 1956 issue of RECLAMATION ERA.

Summer rainfall was deficient over most of the west except for the northern tier of states. This caused more than usual demands on water supplies, particularly where water was short.

The drouth of the southwest, including the states of New Mexico, Arizona and parts of southern California, Nevada, Utah and Colorado, which has been severe for several years, extended further into Colorado and Utah. A shortage of water has existed for a long time, up to almost fifteen years in Arizona. Irrigated areas of Arizona and New Mexico have come to depend largely on pumps for the main source of water. In the past few years pumping has been rapidly increasing in importance in the San Luis Valley and along the Arkansas and South Platte Rivers of Colorado. In these areas it will take several years of above normal runoff to recuperate from the years of drouth. With increasing water demands, the conservation and development of water that may be available is becoming more important.

In Colorado, water supply was short except for areas in the northwest part of the state and on the South Platte where supplemental water was available from the Colorado-Big Thompson project. In practically all irrigated areas of New Mexico and Arizona, water conditions at the present time are probably the poorest of record. Reservoir storage is exhausted and groundwater tables continue to lower. Southern Utah experienced extreme water shortage especially in the Sevier River. Storage water and streamflow provided fairly good supplies for central and northern Utah. Heavy fall rains and heavy snowpack during last winter resulted in satisfactory water supplies for the Central Valley of California and western Nevada with no damaging high water. Southern California continues to depend on imported water from the Colorado River and Owens Valley.

Streamflow over the northwestern states of Oregon, Washington, Idaho and Montana was...
well above normal in 1956. Minimum damage from high flows was experienced, due to advanced planning by reservoir control and flood control work. Shortage of water occurred only on a few local streams which receive a limited amount of water from high mountain watersheds. Reservoir storage in all of these states including Nevada is 110 to 120 percent of normal. The outlook for 1957 water is good if average snowfall occurs during the winter months. Water supplies in Wyoming were adequate for demands during 1956. Except for a few areas on the North Platte in Wyoming and Nebraska, necessary water was supplied from carryover storage. Storage is now among the lowest of record.

The water supply outlook for 1957 as of this time is generally good for the northern half of the state and extremely poor for the southern half. Fall soil moisture conditions follow the pattern of water supply for this year. In the north, average snowpack will generally be sufficient to assure water supplies for next year. In the south, well above average snowpack will be required to provide even a reasonable supply because of low carryover storage and dry soils.

In the following paragraphs there is reported a summary of fall water conditions by states. A chart showing status of reservoir storage and a map of water conditions summarize the outlook at the end of the 1956 irrigation season.

### Arizona

Unusually low summer precipitation in Arizona resulted in marked decreases in present water supplies. Reservoir storage has decreased from a year ago because of increased demand due to low rainfall and from less than normal runoff. On the Salt River Valley Project the stream flow from January through August was about 35 percent of normal. Net storage on the project as of September 10 was 180,000 acre-feet, the lowest in recent years. There will be very little carryover for the next season.

Shortage of water in Arizona has continued for several years with no improvement in sight. Demand has exceeded supply for 15 years.

The present outlook for next year is poor. Mountain soils are dry. Unless there are heavy fall rains and above average snow pack during the winter months, the agriculture and general economy of the state will be adversely affected next year.

### California

The California Department of Water Resources reports that water conditions during the spring and summer months of 1956 proved entirely satisfactory in most areas of California. At the time of the unusually heavy precipitation, which caused northern California's disastrous floods of December 1955, an extremely heavy snowpack was deposited at the higher elevations in the Sierra Nevada and Cascade Range. The late winter precipitation was very light and the spring precipitation only normal in the Central Valley area. However, the snowmelt runoff during the April-July period was approximately 120 percent of normal due to the above-normal high-elevation snowpack. Snowmelt runoff did not pose any serious flood threat during the spring season.

High water during December and the above-normal spring runoff assured an adequate water supply in most areas north of the Tehachapi Mountains. As of September 1, 1956, the storage in 36 major reservoirs serving the Central Valley was approximately (1943-52) for this date at 74 percent of capacity. Storage as of September 1, 1956, was 3,000,000 acre-feet greater than that of the same date of 1955.

Winter precipitation south of the Tehachapi Mountains was in general very light, being only 35 to 40 percent of normal in most areas. Although spring precipitation was above normal, only water from the Colorado and the Owens Rivers averted the perennial water shortage problem in this area.

### Colorado

Irrigation water supply in most of Colorado was short for the 1956 season. Crop reductions in both acreage and total production was noted in every major watershed. The only exceptions to this general shortage were areas which divert water directly from the main streams of normal or supplemental colorado rivers in northwestern Colorado. The Colorado Big Thompson project provided good supplemental water supplies for the northern tributaries of the South Platte. Storage remaining on the project is almost 250,000 acre-feet which was better than expected. Except for isolated areas of thunderstorms, the whole state was dry through the summer and fall months. Streamflow that materialized was less than indicated at the end of the snow season of 1956.

An extensive increase in pumping is reported for the irrigated areas of the South Platte, Arkansas and the Rio Grande. This follows a pattern of the past three or four drouth years.

Reservoir storage for irrigation is practically exhausted with the exception of the last two years. Even where streamflow was relatively good there was little opportunity to store water because of direct flow demand during the peak of snowmelt.

Soils in both mountain and valley areas are dry. Snowfall during the winter months will have to be well above average and precipitation normal or above next summer to provide an adequate water supply for next season.

### Idaho

Snow surveys early in the winter of 1956 indicated a heavy streamflow for all of Idaho and Columbia Basin. The water supply outlook was good south of the Snake River and excellent throughout the rest of the state. Heavy snowfall continued through the winter and dangerously heavy flows were forecast for all of northern Idaho. Unprecedented work and operational plans were put into effect by the state and the Bureau of Reclamation to control the high flow during the spring snowmelt. Damaging high flows did occur on the Kootenai, Coeur d'Alene, Spokane, and smaller northern rivers. Destruction, however, was held to a minimum by the completion of dikes and other structures before the rivers began to rise.

Southern Idaho, which has had several low water years in a row, had a good irrigation season with better than...
Floyd E. Dominy, the Bureau's Chief of the Division of Irrigation, recently returned from The Netherlands where he has been conferring with officials of that Government on Reclamation development and land reapportionment.

Mr. Dominy, at the invitation of The Netherlands Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, spent 2 weeks in The Netherlands for the purpose of exchanging ideas and consulting with The Netherlands Government officials on matters involved in the subjugation of lands to irrigation, establishment of optimum size of farm units, and the selection of settlers. During the conferences with The Netherlands officials he also advised on the type of assistance required for settlers in the early stages of reclamation development, and the mechanics of determining project repayment in accordance with the principle of ability to pay.

During the past 5 years Professors Eysvoogel and Hellinga of the Agricultural University of Wageningen, The Netherlands, as well as Doctors Herweyer, Pijls, Visser and Van den Berg, all of The Netherlands Reclamation Service, have been studying Reclamation project developments in the United States. On many of these visits to the United States The Netherlands officials had occasion to consult with Mr. Dominy whom they found thoroughly informed and most helpful on Reclamation problems.

It was on the recommendation of these gentlemen that the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food extended the invitation to Mr. Dominy to visit The Netherlands.

Mr. Dominy, a graduate of the College of Agriculture, University of Wyoming, has had over 25 years experience in the field of irrigation development.

New Reclamation Accomplishment Report Available

At the request of the Chairman of the House Interior and Insular Affairs Committee, Commissioner W. A. Dexheimer announced the availability of a new report in the series of Reclamation Accomplishments, published by the Committee.

The latest report is entitled “The Contribution of the All-American Canal System to the Economic Development of the Imperial-Coachella Valleys, California, and to the Nation.” For convenient reference in ordering copies, the short title of the report “Committee Print No. 13” may be used. Copies may be obtained by writing to your nearest Regional Director. For addresses consult the back cover of the ERA.

E. C. FINNEY DIES

E. C. Finney, 86, a former Department of the Interior aide for 39 years and Washington lawyer, died recently after a short illness.

Mr. Finney was a native of Milton, Pa., and a District resident since 1894. He was graduated from the University of Kansas Law School in 1891 and received the degree of doctor of laws from Howard University early this year.

Mr. Finney joined the Department of the Interior as a clerk in the General Land Office in 1894 and reached the post of assistant Secretary of the Interior in 1909.

Served Under Harding

Under President Harding he served as first assistant Secretary of the Interior and continued in that post until 1929, when he was appointed solicitor for the Department, a post he held until 1933.

Although he returned to private life that year, he was often called upon to help draft legislation on public land law.
THE EDITOR'S COLUMN

The following facts have been gleaned from the United States Department of Agriculture's Agricultural Situation, dated August 1956. We hope that you find them helpful.

What Kind of Meat Are You Producing?

Do livestock producers make more money by trying to please the housewife who buys their beef, pork, veal, and lamb?

Do their customers, the consumers, prefer beef from heavy or medium weight cattle? The top or medium grades of beef? What is the trend in production of meat?

The housewife-shopper's wishes and preferences, her likes and dislikes, definitely have much to do with the kind of product that can be produced and sold with most profit. They must be harkened to.

The consumer of today is quality-conscious. We can't examine here all her wants and wishes. We can stress that she is sensitive to quality, and that she insists on uniformity and dependability.

In the future, consumers can be expected to insist even more on uniform, reliable quality, and to be receptive to new products of high quality.

Pork producers will be hard pressed to maintain their place with beef, but with the aid of an improved, meatier pork, may be able to do so.

Even more cattle will be fed, and in year-round programs, but not quite to so high finish as in the past.

Probable weights of cattle are more of a puzzle. Heavy beef carcasses have incurred price discounts, yet producers prefer to raise a fairly sizable animal that can take lots of feed. For the near future, weights may be no more than moderately heavy. Later, changes in merchandising and cutting could relieve some of the objections to heavy weight.

New Methods

Two new forms of handling meat that are now under study and development could have great effects on the kind of meat supplied to consumers. They are quick freezing and irradiation. Both would reduce perishability, permit cutting and packaging at the packing plant, and have several other consequences. Their widespread adoption is at least several years off. They are, for now, something to think about.

But right now, let's see how some of the past trends in livestock and meat match the present preferences of consumers.

The outstanding trend in production of meat is the increase in supply of beef relative to pork. From 1920 to 1929, the average consumption of beef per person was 56 pounds. Last year it was 81 pounds. In 1920–29, consumption of pork was 67 pounds. Last year it was no larger. In fact, it was a little less—just 66 pounds.

It would be wrong to ascribe all this relative increase in beef to the influence of consumer demand. However, with little question, demand for beef has outrun that for pork.

In the 1920's, when many beef steers were sold as 3-year-olds or older, cattle slaughtered under inspection averaged 961 pounds. Weights decreased in the 1930's, but have increased since. Weights of calves, lambs, and hogs have generally increased, though hogs are not as heavy now as during the war.

Exports

The value of agricultural exports for the year ending June 30, 1956, is estimated at about 10 percent above the previous year. For calendar
1956, a substantially larger increase is expected. This is based on a resurgence of cotton exports (CCC sales for export after August 1 now total 2½ million bales) and continued high exports of most other commodities.

In addition to the improved ability of major foreign countries to pay for United States commodities, United States Government export programs, including CCC sales at competitive prices, assure that neither lack of financing nor high prices will be a deterrent to exports.

**CROPS**

(Continued from page 100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects or major divisions</th>
<th>Irrigated acreage</th>
<th>Gross crop value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>Dollars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average per acre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>376,703</td>
<td>252.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>1,263,801</td>
<td>227.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>788,802</td>
<td>81.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>1,368,198</td>
<td>64.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>2,306</td>
<td>51.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>260,307</td>
<td>43.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>279,549</td>
<td>90.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>90,444</td>
<td>61.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>146,911</td>
<td>173.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>20,363</td>
<td>55.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>42,847</td>
<td>110.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>397,492</td>
<td>91.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>70,204</td>
<td>40.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>69,778</td>
<td>74.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>148,911</td>
<td>177.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>579,187</td>
<td>84.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>212,000</td>
<td>55.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>89,6,201,761</td>
<td>132.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IDEAS WANTED**

Have you a good idea on a short cut or labor-saving device to share with other water users on Reclamation projects? Send it in to the Editor, *Reclamation Era*, Bureau of Reclamation, Washington 25, D. C. The writing does not have to be fancy. Just make certain you have the answers to Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How in your story. As for pictures, a rough sketch or snapshot would serve the purposes. Remember, this is the only official publication of the Bureau of Reclamation, the only periodical devoted entirely to the interests of water users on projects served with facilities made available by the Bureau. It is your magazine, and will be as good as you can make it. By helping others you will also help yourself. Send your item in today.

November 1956

Boulder Canyon Project Manager L. J. Hudlow, presents photograph album to the six millionth visitor to tour Hoover Dam, MISS CLARA HOFFMAN, 6815½ Fishburn Avenue, Bell, California. They are shown here atop the dam on the Nevada side. The album contained a variety of pictures of the dam. This photo and others taken of Miss Hoffman during her tour of the structure, were later added to the album. Miss Hoffman purchased the ticket for the 6 millionth tour at 8:40 a. m. on June 30, 1956. A retired public health nurse, Miss Hoffman served 14 years with the Bureau of Indian Affairs in Utah. Miss Hoffman was hosted by Boulder City merchants during her one day stay here and presented with many valuable prizes and souvenirs by public-spirited merchants. (Bureau of Reclamation photo by Fred S. Finch.)

Do You Know . . .

The following records were established in placing concrete on various Bureau of Reclamation projects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size and number of mixers</th>
<th>Maximum cubic yards per—</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24-hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder Dam</td>
<td>8–4 cu. yd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20,136,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Coulee Dam</td>
<td>8–4 cu. yd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>233,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungry Horse Dam</td>
<td>5–4 cu. yd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>222,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta Dam</td>
<td>5–4 cu. yd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>222,063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
average carryover for next year. Reservoir storage is considerably better than reflected in the chart for Idaho because of four new reservoirs which do not have long enough records for comparative use. They are the Palisades on the upper Snake River, Cascade on the Payette River, and Anderson Ranch and Lucky Peak on the Boise River.

The water supply outlook for next year looks very good on rivers with storage such as the Boise, Payette, and Snake, but the smaller southern tributaries of the Snake are subject almost entirely to the snowpack and spring rains of the individual year.

KANSAS—The shortage of water in the Arkansas River continued into the valley of western Kansas. Precipitation during the summer was less than normal. Storage in Cedar Bluff reservoir on the Kansas River was 130,000 acre-feet on September 1, 1956 but facilities for irrigation have not yet been developed.

MONTANA—Above median streamflow has been experienced throughout the Continental Divide areas of the State during the spring and summer months. The plains areas west of the mountains has experienced a shortage of water, especially the dry land farming areas. Drought and disaster areas were designated in the east central part of the State.

Precipitation has been far below average during June, July, August and September throughout the eastern half of the State and a very serious drought condition exists on the range land.

Snowmelt runoff was very close to the volumes forecasted early in March and April. Irrigation water was generally plentiful during the season.

Reservoir storage has remained above average during the summer and at the present time is ten percent greater than the average for September 1.

NEBRASKA—Natural streamflow and precipitation were among the lowest of record in western Nebraska along the Platte. Carryover storage in Pathfinder reservoir on the North Platte irrigated area of central Nebraska.

Storage in both the North Platte reservoirs in Wyoming and Kingsley in Nebraska are both critically low, with about one-half of the usual carryover. Local rainfall and heavy snow on the Platte watershed are needed to assure the water supply in 1957. The total water picture is the poorest for several years.

NEW MEXICO—Streamflow in the Rio Grande and its tributaries during 1956 was among the lowest of record. This continues a drought condition which has been very severe over the past four years. Ground water had already been extensively used before this year. Reservoir storage on the Rio Grande is the lowest of record. Water supply conditions on the Carlsbad project were good due to carryover storage which is now exhausted. The flow of the Pecos has been extremely low.

Water supply for the Arch Hurley Conservancy District in eastern New Mexico has been fair to good with limited streamflow. Storage in Conchas reservoir is 87,000 acre-feet for irrigation which is relatively low for this reservoir.

As with other areas of the southwest, the need for heavy snowfall in the mountains during the winter months is evident. It will take several years of above normal runoff to recuperate from this extended drought.

NEVADA—In western Nevada carryover reservoir storage to meet next year's irrigation season is the best since 1952. Above normal streamflow, as forecasted early in March and April, has kept western Nevada reservoirs near full all summer. Typical of this condition are the reservoirs on the Walker rivers. In Bridgeport and Topaz reservoirs, the carryover storage is one of the best on record.

Lovelock Valley, served by Rye Patch Reservoir on the lower end of the Humboldt River, ended this irrigation season with carryover storage of about 40,000 acre-feet. Although this is about half the normal carryover for this reservoir, it is better than the nearly empty reservoir at the end of irrigation season last year. Wild Horse Reservoir on the Owyhee River, in northern Elko County, stored about 150 percent of its September 1, 1956–52 average.

In contrast, the southern part of the State has been suffering under carryover conditions all summer. Below normal precipitation last winter and spring made range conditions poor this past summer. Ranchers in this area have reduced their livestock to meet poor winter feed conditions. Unless above normal precipitation and snowfall occur this winter, ranches in the southern third of the State will face another drought next spring.

The state-wide picture of reservoir storage as of September 1 is 77 percent of usable capacity or 119 percent of the September 1, 1938–52 average. Last year at this time reservoir storage was only 35 percent of usable capacity. All important reservoirs are in the northern and western portions of the State. These areas end this irrigation season with the best carryover storage since the big snow year of 1952.

OKLAHOMA—The water supply for the W. C. Austin project on the Red River is generally normal for 1956. Rainfall on the irrigated areas and streamflow into the reservoir were deficient. Crop production has been severely curtailed.

OREGON—The irrigation season of 1956 in Oregon, contrasting with the serious water shortage of last year, has been one of abundant to satisfactory water supplies except on some smaller streams which are not tributaries with high elevation watersheds.

Spring and summer streamflow has generally equaled or slightly exceeded the much-above-average flows predicted last April.

Water storage in most Oregon reservoirs is currently well above the usual amount of carryover held for the following season. Present storage in 25 reservoirs is 128 percent of the average (1938–52) compared with last season when it was 66 percent of average.

Watershed soils are generally average to a little above average in moisture content. Coupled with normal fall rains and an average mountain snow-cover, water supplies for next season should be satisfactory.

TEXAS—The irrigated area of west Texas along the Rio Grande was again short of water because of low streamflow and lack of reservoir storage in Elephant Butte reservoir in New Mexico. Pumping has been on the increase. Crop acreage during 1956 was again extensively reduced. Shortages of water also occurred along the Pecos with a material reduction in crop acreage this year. Storage in Red Bluff Reservoir is down to 27,000 acre-feet, only a small fraction of normal.

UTAH—The drouth of the past several years has continued unabated in most of southern Utah during the 1956 irrigation season. Deficiencies have been particularly severe along the Sevier River. Here, in anticipation of water shortages which were forecast last spring, acreages planted to crops such as sugar beets, which require late season water, were reduced. Some acreage has had to be abandoned and on the remainder, crop yields will be below average.

The outlook for next year's water supply is not good for users in central and northern areas who are dependent on carryover reservoir supplies. Inflow to the reservoirs during the winter is expected to be below average. On September 1, there was a combined total of only 2,800 acre-feet of water in Otter Creek, Plute and Sevier Bridge reservoirs. This compares with an average of 136,700 acre-feet during the years of 1938–52. On the Beaver River, 5 Continuation of page 104
will have to be much above average for next year’s water supply to be satisfactory.

In central Utah, in both the Great Basin and the Colorado River drainage, there has been some shortage of late winter, but in general it has been better than a year ago. In Utah County, although nature and reservoirs have been below average, good carryover storage from previous years has met the needs of most farmers.

Northern Utah has had good water supplies. However, there has been some minor shortage of late irrigation water in Davis County and also in Rich County along the Bear River. Flow on the northern tributaries of the Bear River in Wyoming and Idaho has held up very good. WASHINGTON—Water supply for irrigation and power has been very good in 1956. Streamflow has been above average during the five months, April through August, with record high flows occurring at some station every month. Damage from high water was kept at a minimum on streams partially or wholly regulated by reservoirs. Irrigation reservoirs operated by the Bureau of Reclamation on the Yakima River were lower prior to the spring runoff as a result of snow surveys and resultant water supply forecasts. Subsequent inflow and reservoir regulation enabled the Bureau to maintain a river flow at flood stage and thereby keep damage at a minimum.

The status of reservoir storage as of September 1, 1956

Precipitation throughout the State has generally been ab

WYOMING—Water supply in Wyoming for 1956 ranged from more than adequate in northwestern Wyoming to marked shortages along the North Platte, Powder and Belle Fourche basins. Precipitation throughout the state was below normal for the summer period. Storage on the North Platte declined sharply due to demands. Shortages were severe in the Wheatland district. Heavy snowpack over the North Platte watershed and the Big Horn mountains will be necessary to provide adequate water supplies in 1957. The outlook for next year on the Snake, Green and Big Horn is good if the winter snowpack is near normal.

**Water Stored in Western Reservoirs**

Operated by Bureau of Reclamation or Water Users except as noted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Reservoir</th>
<th>Storage (in acre-feet)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Active capacity</td>
<td>Aug. 31, 1955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thief Valley</td>
<td>17,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitter Root</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Como</td>
<td>34,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boise</td>
<td></td>
<td>Anderson Ranch</td>
<td>423,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arrowrock</td>
<td>286,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cascade</td>
<td>654,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deadwood</td>
<td>161,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Lowell</td>
<td>169,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unity</td>
<td>25,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F. D. Roosevelt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Equalizing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pot-holes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crane Prairie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wickup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hungry Horse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>American Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grassy Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Island Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jackson Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Walcott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Concomitlly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Salmon Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Owyhee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cold Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McKay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agency Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warm Springs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES FOR RESERVOIR CHART**

Reservoir storage as of September 1, 1956. Explanation: (a) Most states report averages for reported reservoirs for the period 1956–52, but in a few cases reservoirs with shorter records have been included. (b) Does not include Lakes Mead, Havasu or Mohave (combined capacity 29,700,000 acre-feet); September 1, 1956 combined storage about 15,000,000 acre-feet. (c) Does not include John Martin Reservoir (capacity 655,000 acre-feet); September 1, 1956, storage zero; or Grandy, Horsetooth and Carter Lake of the Colorado–Big Thompson Project, (combined usable capacity 725,000 acre-feet); September 1, 1956 combined storage 250,000 acre-feet. (d) Does not include Fort Peck Reservoir (capacity 19,000,000 acre-feet); September 1, 1956 storage 5,739,000 acre-feet; or Canyon Ferry (capacity 1,846,000 acre-feet); September 1, 1956, storage 1,490,000 acre-feet; or Flathead Lake (capacity 1,791,000 acre-feet); September 1, 1956, storage 1,794,000 acre-feet; or Hungry Horse (capacity 3,500,000 acre-feet); September 1, 1956, storage 3,457,000 acre-feet; or Tiber Reservoir (capacity 1,318,000 acre-feet); September 1, 1956, storage 635,000 acre-feet. (e) W. C. Austin Reservoir. (f) Red Bluff Reservoir on Pecos River. (g) Does not include Roosevelt Lake (capacity 5,072,000 acre-feet); September 1, 1956, storage 5,221,000 acre-feet; or Grand Coulee reservoir (capacity 762,000 acre-feet); September 1, 1956, storage 764,000 acre-feet. (h) Does not include Boysen Reservoir (capacity 560,000 acre-feet); September 1, 1956, storage 529,000 acre-feet.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Reservoir</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region 1—Continued</td>
<td>Yakima</td>
<td>Bumping Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cle Elum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kachess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Keechelus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Cle Elum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fossom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Keewick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Naches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miller Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shasta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 2</td>
<td>Central Valley</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clear Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grizzly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Klamath Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 3</td>
<td>Boulder Canyon</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>East Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Mead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Mohave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Havasu Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bartlett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Horseshoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Manhattan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mormon Flat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Roosevelt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stewart Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Big Sandy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Newton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ogden River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pine River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Provo River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scofield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strawberry Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Truckee Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Uncompahgre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W. C. Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Balmorea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carlsbad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 4</td>
<td>Colorado River</td>
<td>Colorado River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorado River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rio Grande</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Luis Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tucumcari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missouri River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Belle Fourche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fort Peck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Milk River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rapid Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Riverton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shoshone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sun River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorado—Big Thompson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kansas River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missourl River Basin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Water Stored in Western Reservoirs—Continued**

Operated by Bureau of Reclamation or Water Users except as noted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Reservoir</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region 1—Continued</td>
<td>Yakima</td>
<td>Bumping Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cle Elum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kachess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Keechelus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Cle Elum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fossom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Keewick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Naches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miller Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shasta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 2</td>
<td>Central Valley</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clear Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grizzly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Klamath Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 3</td>
<td>Boulder Canyon</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>East Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Mead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Mohave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Havasu Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bartlett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Horseshoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Manhattan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mormon Flat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Roosevelt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stewart Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Big Sandy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Newton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ogden River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pine River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Provo River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scofield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strawberry Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Truckee Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Uncompahgre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W. C. Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Balmorea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carlsbad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 4</td>
<td>Colorado River</td>
<td>Colorado River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorado River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rio Grande</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Luis Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tucumcari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missouri River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Belle Fourche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fort Peck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Milk River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rapid Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Riverton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shoshone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sun River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorado—Big Thompson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kansas River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missouri River Basin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Storage in acre-feet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Reservoir</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region 1—Continued</td>
<td>Yakima</td>
<td>Bumping Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cle Elum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kachess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Keechelus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Cle Elum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fossom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Keewick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Naches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miller Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shasta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 2</td>
<td>Central Valley</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clear Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grizzly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Klamath Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 3</td>
<td>Boulder Canyon</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>East Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Mead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Mohave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Havasu Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bartlett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Horseshoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Manhattan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mormon Flat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Roosevelt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stewart Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Big Sandy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Newton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ogden River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pine River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Provo River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scofield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strawberry Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Truckee Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Uncompahgre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W. C. Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Balmorea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carlsbad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 4</td>
<td>Colorado River</td>
<td>Colorado River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorado River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rio Grande</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Luis Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tucumcari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missouri River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Belle Fourche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fort Peck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Milk River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rapid Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Riverton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shoshone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sun River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorado—Big Thompson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kansas River Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missouri River Basin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Active capacity Aug. 31, 1955 Aug. 31, 1956**

---

1 Not reported.
2 Corps of Engineers Reservoir.

---
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Protective Coatings for Steel Water Pipes?

August 24, 1956

Dear Sir: We have read with much interest Mr. Paul Lewis' article on Protective Coatings for Steel Water Pipes in the August 1956 issue.

The information on the performance of different paints or coatings after several years of service on the inside, or outside, of power penstocks is indeed valuable. We realize that these particular tests did not consider the effect on the value of "n," but would imagine that the Bureau has investigated increase in friction loss with age; and differences in "n" in new pipes, with various types of lining.

Can you give me any information on these points? Any data you may have, or can refer to, would be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

(Sgd.) Lesher S. Wing, Regional Engineer, Federal Power Commission, 555 Battery Street, San Francisco 11, Calif.

Devsir: We are asking our Denver Office to look into this matter and report to you direct.—Ed.

Dear Sirs: We were very much interested in an article on Protective Coatings for Steel Water Pipes in the August issue of the Reclamation Era.

The author is Paul W. Lewis.

We would appreciate your advising the proper address of Mr. Lewis who is head of the Paint Laboratory Section, Engineering Laboratories, Denver, Colorado.

Thank you.

Yours very truly,

(Sgd.) LaMont Rowlands, Regional Engineer, Bureau of Reclamation, Picayune, Mississipi.

Mr. Lewis may be reached at the Bureau of Reclamation, Building 53, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado. His title is Supervising Materials Engineer.—Ed.

Thank You, Mr. Welsh!

August 9, 1956

Dear Sirs: I have just received the latest issue of "Reclamation Era." I think you are doing an exceptionally fine job and I always enjoy reading your articles very much.

I appreciate too, the fact that, you reproduced the article about the "Early Rising Farmer" and that you gave us credit, although we really did not deserve it. I found out just recently that the letter was originally written by Ross Morris, one of our old-time NRA members from Zillah, Washington.

Sincerely,

(Sgd.) Wm. E. Welsh, Secretary, National Reclamation Association, 897 National Press Bldg., Washington 4, D. C.

DO YOU KNOW:

That as presently planned, construction of the newly authorized Colorado River Storage Project and 11 participating projects will include 6,785,000 cubic yards of earth dam construction for reservoirs having a total storage capacity of 33,312,000 acre-feet. Also included will be the construction of powerplants having a total capacity of 1,033,000 kilowatts, 40 miles of tunnels, 790 miles of canals and laterals, and 80 miles of pipelines.

For comparison, the concrete dams to be built will have about the same total volume as Hoover, Friant and Monticello Dams. The earth dams total about the same as Anderson Ranch, Palisades, Cedar Bluff, Kirwin, Bonny, Webster, and Tiber Dams. Shasta, Hungry Horse, Davis, Parker, and Elephant Butte Powerplants together have about the same generating capacity (1,633,300 kilowatts) as the Storage Project.

Largest of the storage dams is Glen Canyon, which will be a concrete arch structure 700 feet high above foundation containing 5,000,000 cubic yards of concrete, and having a 1,033,300-kilowatt powerplant. It will be the second highest dam in the United States, exceeded in height only by Hoover Dam. Glen Canyon's 28,000,000 acre-foot reservoir will reach 186 miles up the Colorado River from the dam site just south of the Utah-Arizona boundary, and will be outranked in capacity only by Lake Mead.

That in 1954, Federal Reclamation projects produced 6.4 million tons of farm crops. If this were all moved by rail, it would require 760,000 thirty-five-ton railroad cars. End to end this would represent a train 6,477 miles in length—more than twice the distance from the Atlantic to the Pacific coast. This production valued at the farm was worth $845 million. When it reached its final use as processed food or manufactured clothing, it was far from home and its value was many times that received by the farmer.

That the number of visitors to the 140 Bureau of Reclamation reservoirs serving recreational uses has increased from 6.5 million in 1952 to 9.6 million in the past 4 years. Possibly 10 million people will enjoy these facilities during the current 1956 summer season.

That the Third Congress of the International Committee on Irrigation and Drainage will be held in San Francisco in May 1957. The United States National Committee, of which Commissioner W. A. Drexelmeier will be host to the 34 member countries of the Commission. Walter E. Blomgren, former Bureau of Reclamation Assistant Chief Engineer, is Chairman of the U. S. National Committee. In addition to a technical program, the Congress will include study tours of Reclamation projects in California. Secretary of the Interior Fred A. Seaton and Reclamation Commissioner W. A. Drexelman, and some 70 other distinguished representatives of government, education, industry, and the engineering profession will serve as members of the Honorary Committee for the Congress.

That Washington State Highway Department budgets about two percent of its new highway costs for landscaping and grass seeding. This seems like a small sum until you realize the high costs of highways and how a little grass seeding will go.

THE PAY-OFF

A forward-looking irrigation district decided to get grasses established on all its canals, laterals, and drainage ditches. Each year a part of the system was planted to grasses. They sprayed with 2, 4-D to keep the weeds out of the new plantings. Then the farmers decided to help. Where ditches crossed their property, they planted grasses. The ditch company then permitted controlled grazing of the ditches. The pay-off—for every dollar invested in planting grasses, $2.50 in maintenance cost was saved and the increased pasture helped the cattle put on gains at less cost.

New Recreational Folder Available

A new recreational folder, entitled "Reclamation's Recreational Opportunities," has just been published by the Bureau.

The folder lists 140 reservoirs on Reclamation projects throughout the 17 Western States. It also contains information as to specific locations of these reservoirs, the name and location of the administering agency and specific facilities available; such as swimming, fishing, boating, hunting, camping, picnicking, and lodging. The folder also contains a map on which the name and location of each reservoir is indexed.

For copies write to your nearest Regional Director or the Assistant Commissioner and Chief Engineer's office at Denver. For addresses of these offices please consult the directory on the back cover of the Era.
## MAJOR RECENT CONTRACT AWARDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Award date</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
<th>Contractor's name and address</th>
<th>Contract amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC-4530</td>
<td>Columbia Basin, Wash.</td>
<td>Sept. 11</td>
<td>Construction of Wahluke siphon, station 0+40 to 150+06.13; Wahluke Branch canal; and FE16.4 waste-</td>
<td>E-W Construction Co., Eugene, Ore.</td>
<td>$6,204,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4591</td>
<td>Yakima, Wash.</td>
<td>July 18</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, pipelines, and structures for Main canal laterals, station 1255+00 to</td>
<td>Chief Brothers, Inc., and Sandkey Contractors, Inc., Ephrata, Wash.</td>
<td>381,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2255+06.8; and Amon pump discharge line and laterals.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4594</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, N. Dak.</td>
<td>Aug. 23</td>
<td>Construction of Grand Forks substation</td>
<td>Electrical Builders Associated, Marysville, N. Dak.</td>
<td>147,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4700</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>July 10</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, concrete pipelines, and structures for laterals 5.3, 14.6, 36.6, and Y-2.6, Contra Costa canal distribution system, Contra Costa County Water District.</td>
<td>McGuire &amp; Hester, Oakland, Calif.</td>
<td>301,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4708</td>
<td>Missouri River Basin, Wyo.-Neb.-Colo.</td>
<td>Aug. 20</td>
<td>1 main control board, switchboard carrier-current relaying equipment, graphic board equipment, 10 carrier current transmitter-receiver sets, carrier ground relay, and line protective and carrier-current control and auxiliary relays and accessories for Casper, Gering, and Lingle substations, Fastiron dispatching station, and Glendo and Guernsey powerplants, schedule 2.</td>
<td>General Electric Co., Denver, Colo.</td>
<td>104,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4725</td>
<td>Colorado River Storage, Utah-Ariz.</td>
<td>Sept. 21</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork and culverts for access highway for Glen Canyon Dam, station 138+46.64 to 14+10.</td>
<td>Strong Co., Springville, Utah.</td>
<td>1,158,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4726</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Sept. 13</td>
<td>Construction of earthwork, pipelines, and structures for Shafter-Wasco and sublaterals: completion of laterals 134.4 and 137.2, and sublaterals for lateral 134.4 feeder main, Shafter-Wasco irrigation district, Friant-Kern canal distribution system.</td>
<td>Gen-Vi-Bo Pipe Corp., South Gate, Calif.</td>
<td>5,626,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4728</td>
<td>Central Valley, Calif.</td>
<td>Sept. 18</td>
<td>Construction of county road relocation for Trinity Dam; access road for Lewiston Spring Creek tunnel; and piers, abutments, and approach for Trinity River bridge.</td>
<td>Thompson Engineering Corp., Hayward, Calif.</td>
<td>699,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-4731</td>
<td>Central Valley, and Colorado River Storage, Col.-Ariz.-Calif.-Utah.</td>
<td>Aug. 1</td>
<td>Portable houses for Lewiston, Calif., and Glen Canyon, Flaming Gorge, and Navajo units.</td>
<td>Transue Homes Corp., Fullerton, Calif.</td>
<td>566,541</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Construction and Material for Which Bids Will Be Requested Through December 1956

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of work or material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central Valley, Calif.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing the 465-foot-high earth and rockfill Trinity Dam, containing about 30 million cubic yards of material, with crest length of 2,430 feet, and appurtenant structures. On Trinity River, about 45 miles north-northwest of Redding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing the 17-foot 6-inch concrete-lined Clear Creek Tunnel, about 11 miles long. Work will include a reinforced concrete surge tank and intake tower structures. In miles north-northwest of Redding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chief Joseph Dam, Wash.</strong></td>
<td>Improving about 9 miles of county road from Trinity River crossing to Trinity Dam site. Near Lewiston.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing the 8 Brewey Flat pumping plants. Work will include 1 outdoor pier-type plant with a single 250-kva transformer and with fish protection facilities. This project is to be located on the Columbia River and is to pump 30 cfs to the second plant; a booster plant, which is to be an indoor-type project with a reinforced concrete floor slab and a structural steel superstructure; with metal roofing and siding and a traveling crane. The third plant, a flotation plant of 50-cfs capacity, is to be an outdoor-type plant with a reinforced concrete floor slab. Work will include steel pipe discharge lines, steel tank reservoirs, switchyards and transmission lines. Also to be included will be the installation of 11 Government-furnished pump units and electrical equipment. Near Brewey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colorado-Big Thompson, Colo.</strong></td>
<td>One 4,500-kva, 1.0 power factor, 4,160-volt, 400-rpm, vertical-shaft, hydraulically-driven, synchronous generator for the Big Thompson powerplant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colorado River Storage, Utah.</strong></td>
<td>Grading 6.25 miles of road, constructing 1 mile of roadway embankment, constructing 0.25 mile of new road, and constructing a 435-foot-long temporary pile bient timber bridge over the Green River. Planning Gorge access road, about 40 airline miles north of Vernal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do.</strong></td>
<td>Furnishing and erecting a highway bridge over the Colorado River including a 1,028-foot, single-span steel arch of carbon steel and high alloy steel members, rise of arch 186 feet, and including a reinforced concrete deck for 30-foot roadway of High and two 4-foot walkways. Top of roadway 700 feet above river level. Glen Canyon Unit about 185 miles north of Flagstaff. Earthwork and structures for about 50 miles of unlined open laterals, wasteways and drains with bottom widths varying from 12 to 3 feet. Boxley, San Simeon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Columbia Basin, Wash.</strong></td>
<td>Deepening drains and constructing about 29 miles of deep and semideep drain road crossings, and drain inlets. Block 43, near Warden.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deschutes, Oreg.</strong></td>
<td>Excavating, grading, and gravel surfacing about 10 miles of secondary county road at the Haystack Reservoir. Near Culver.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gila, Ariz.</strong></td>
<td>1 synchronous, motor-driven, vertical-shaft, centrifugal-type pumping unit with capacity of 275 cfs at a total head of 54 feet for the Yuma-Mess pumping plant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Michaud Flats, Idaho.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing 7.5 miles of 16-inch to 36-inch reinforced concrete pipe laterals for sprinkler-type irrigation. Near American Falls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRBP, Iowa.</strong></td>
<td>One 300,000-volt, 5,000-kva interrupting capacity power circuit breaker for Sioux City substation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRBP, Kansas.</strong></td>
<td>Earthwork and structures for Courtland Canal and laterals, including about 2.5 miles of unlined open canal and laterals with bottom widths varying from 30 to 3 feet for Miller Canal and laterals, including 22.4 miles of open canal laterals with bottom widths varying from 16 to 3 feet. North of Courtland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing the 7-foot-diameter horseshoe Helena Valley Tunnel, about 2.6 miles long. 15 miles east of Helena, adjacent to Canyon Ferry Reservoirs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRBP, Montana.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing the outdoor-type Helena Valley pumping plant of reinforced concrete slab and structural steel crane runway and overhead traveling crane and an approach bridge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do.</strong></td>
<td>Three 5,000-psi, 110- to 34.5-psi mobile automotors complete with trailers for use in Region 6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRBP, Nebraska.</strong></td>
<td>Earthwork and structures or additions and extensions to Cambridge and Barley Canal and lateral systems. Near Cambridge and Arapahoe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRBP, North Dakota and Minnesota.</strong></td>
<td>Clearing right-of-way, furnishing and installing fence gates, constructing footings, and furnishing and erecting steel towers for about 150 miles of single-circuit, 230-kv transmission line from Fargo, N. Dak., to Granite Falls, Minn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRBP, South Dakota.</strong></td>
<td>Clearing right-of-way, furnishing and installing fence gates, constructing footings, and furnishing and erecting steel towers for about 65 miles of single-circuit, 230-kv transmission line from Utica Junction to Sioux Falls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rogue River Basin, Ore.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing a 50- to 105-foot power system dispatching building which is to have a steel frame with exterior masonry walls and basement walls of concrete. At Watertown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing the indoor-type Fremont Canyon Power Plant and a lined pressure tunnel. The powerhouse is to include reinforced-concrete superstructure walls enclosed by vertical steel plates, two 335-feet-high turbines and two 25,503-kva generators, installation of 2 butterfly valves, 12-feet-diameter, and 1 pole type pumping unit with capacity of 275 cfs at a total head of 48-inch precast concrete pipe siphon; a small rockfill-type diversion dam with sluiceway and canal headworks and about 1.85 miles of 2-foot bottom width unlined concrete-lined canal. Howard Prairie Canal and Soda Creek Dam. 15 miles east of Ashland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shoshone, Wyo.</strong></td>
<td>One 4,642-kva, 0.35 power factor, 4,100-volt, 600-rpm, vertical-shaft, hydraulically-driven, synchronous generator for Green River Pumping Plant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solano, Calif.</strong></td>
<td>Replacing the upstream portion of the lower outlet works with a new intake shaft and tunnel equipped with bulkhead gate and hoist and trashrack structure. Plugging the existing intake tunnel under water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ventura River, Calif.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing the 86-foot-high, 900-foot-long earth and rockfill Howard Prairie Dam. Work will include a spillway with approach channel, concrete crest structure, chute, and stilling basin; and an outlet works with concrete intake structure, fish screen structure, conduit, gate chamber, control house, and stilling basin. On Beaver Creek, about 27 miles east of Ashland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Webster Basin, Utah.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing about 10.3 miles of open canal including 2.3 miles of 6- by 2.75-foot rectangular reinforced-concrete flume section, 7.5 miles of 2.25-foot bottom width unlined earth and rockfill laterals, and 0.5 mile of 48-inch precast concrete pipe siphon; a small rockfill-type diversion dam with sluiceway and canal headworks and about 1.85 miles of 2-foot bottom width unlined concrete-lined canal. Howard Prairie Canal and Soda Creek Dam. 15 miles east of Ashland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yakima, Wash.</strong></td>
<td>One 48-inch hollow jet valve (estimated weight: 900 pounds), and 1 lot of 14-inch piping, gate valves, and fittings (estimated weight: 4,000 pounds) for Monticello Dam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing the P2.8R pumping plant and about 14 miles of the Umpqua Bench pipe laterals consisting of about 12 miles of 12- to 36-inch precast reinforced-concrete pipe, about 1.5 miles of 12- to 24-inch precast concrete cylinder-type pipe and about 1.5 miles of 8- and 10-inch steel or asbestos cement pipe. South of Ogden.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do.</strong></td>
<td>Constructing about 12 miles of surface drains. Near Kennewick.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 Subject to change.