HUMAN INTESTINAL PARASITIC INFECTIONS
AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH FACTORS
IN RURAL EGYPTIAN COMMUNITIES

A Report of the U.S.-Egyptian River Nile and Lake Nasser Research Project

bу

F. DeWolfe Miller Mohamad Hussein Khalil H. Mancy Morton S. Hilbert

The University of Michigan
School of Public Health
Ann Arbor, Michigan, U.S.A. 48109
and
The University of Alexandria

The University of Alexandria
High Institute of Public Health
Alexandria, A.R. Egypt

Special Foreign Currency Project No. 03-542-1

Project Officer

Walter M. Sanders, III Environmental Research Laboratory Athens, Georgia, U.S.A. 30605

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ATHENS, GEORGIA 30605

# DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Environmental Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, Georgia, and approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recomendation for use.

#### FOREWORD

After centuries of annual flooding and drought, the construction of the Aswan High Dam has provided effective flow control to the River Nile as it enters the fertile Egyptian Nile Valley. The dam has resulted in the production of hydroelectric power for municipal, agricultural, and industrial use, and the continuous availability of water has increased agricultural productivity. Optimum benefits from a project of this magnitude cannot be fully realized, however, until the major environmental, agricultural, social, economic, and public health impacts have been incorporated into strategies for managing the water resources within the basin. In 1975, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the Ford Foundation began support of a 5-year, multifaceted research program conducted by the Egyptian Academy of Scientific Research and Technology and related institutions and the University of Michigan to provide the information needed for comprehensive water quality management in the Nile Valley.

Although the project addresses issues of vital importance to Egypt, the knowledge gained also will be of significant benefit to the general scientific community. For example, water resources management models developed for the Nile Basin can be applied to some river basins in the United States.

This report, a companion document to Schistosomiasis in Rural Egypt (EPA-600/1-78-070), describes a survey of common intestinal parasites in the Nile Delta, Upper Middle Egypt, and Upper Egypt that was part of the public health portion of the U.S.-Egyptian project.

David W. Duttweiler Director Environmental Research Laboratory Athens, Georgia

# PREFACE

The Aswan High Dam was built for the purpose of water storage, river flow control and hydroelectric power production. The fulfillment of these goals is of vital importance to Egypt's agricultural and industrial development programs. This can be easily realized since the River Nile constitutes 90% of Egypt's fresh water resources and the present population of 38 million people inhabits approximately 4% of the land with the rest barren desert. Nevertheless, since its inception, the Aswan High Dam has been under unprecedented attacks in the news media and scientific literature. It has been blamed for causing serious ecological perturbation that resulted in reducing the fish population in the Mediterrean Sea, lowering the fertility of the Nile Valley, and markedly increasing schistosomiasis in Egypt. Our study, Schistosomiasis in Rural Egypt, however, indicated a marked decline in the disease prevalence over the past 40 years.

These research findings are the outcome of a comprehensive ongoing project dedicated to the study of the River Nile and the impacts of the Aswan High Dam on multipurpose river uses. This includes irrigation, community water supply, fishing, recreation, transportation, etc. The aim of this project is to provide the decision makers in Egypt with river management alternatives compatible with government goals for economic development. This includes the assessment of trade-offs and predictions of the outcome of each river resource management alternative. This is a joint project between the Egyptian Academy of Scientific Research and Technology and the University of Michigan. The technical and financial support of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the Ford Foundation, and the World Bank is highly appreciated.

Khalil H. Mancy Principal Investigator School of Public Health University of Michigan

#### ABSTRACT

A survey of common intestinal parasites was completed in three areas of the Egyptian Nile Valley: The Nile Delta, Upper Middle Egypt and Upper Egypt. The relocated Nubian population was also included. The total sampling included 15,664 persons in 41 villages. More than 95% attended and approximately 90% provided a stool specimen. Environmental health observations and measures were made in each of the households from which a family was selected and in the village environs. Sampling within a study site (which included one or more villages) was designed in a fashion to provide a probability of selection. Stool specimens were preserved and examined for parasites and ova at a central laboratory using the MIFC technique.

The findings indicated a very low prevalence for all helminthic infections. A low prevalence of Ancylostoma and the Ascaris infections was found.

Important features such as the household stable, the zir (a water storage container), and cooking fuel were evaluated. Considerable information on water and wastewater use was developed. Also, a review of the available information on the parasitic infections of interest and environmental health conditions for rural Egypt was compiled for the first time. This information is necessary for the design of accurate parasitic surveillance programs.

This study is the result of a joint effort by the University of Michigan and the Egyptian Academy of Scientific Research and Technology that was funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, The Ford Foundation, and the World Bank.

# CONTENTS

FOREWORD PREFACE TABLES ACKNOWLE		iii iv iii x
CHAPTER		
I.	INTRODUCTION	1 1 3
II.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5
III.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	7 7 9
IV.	Data Aquisition  Specific Data Collected  Selection of the Field Survey Sites  Data Collection Teams  Review of the Facilities and Preparation of Material  Preservation of Stool and Urine Specimens  Examination of Stool and Urine Specimens  Selection of the Sample Population	16 17 18 18 19 21 22 24 25 27 28 31
٧.	Parasitic Infections	33 33 41 42 42
REFERENCI	ES	45
APPENDIX		54

APPENDIX	II.	HOUSING, WATER SUPPLY, AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS 50
APPENDIX	III.	ANALYSIS OF ASSOCIATION BETWEEN PERCENT PREVALENCE
		OF SELECTED PARASITES AND ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES,
		AGE, SEX, AND STUDY SITES 8
APPENDIX	IV.	RAW COUNT DATA FOR HOUSING AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL
		VARIABLES

# TABLES

Number		Page
1	Helminthic and Protozoan Parasites Screened for in the Selected Rural Egyptian Population	. 2
2	Summary of Prevalence Surveys for Selected Parasites in Egypt .	. 8
3	Distribution of Examined Population by Source of Water Supply	11
4	Distribution of Examined Population by Type of Housing	. 12
5	Water and Wastewater Facilities in Upper-Middle Egypt	. 13
6	Tribe, Location, Village, and Number of Families Selected in Nubia, 1960	. 14
7	Housing Characteristics, Old Nubia, 1960	. 15
8	Water Supply and Lighting in Old Nubia, 1960	. 15
9	Water Supply, Sanitation, and Prevalence of Parasitism in Rural Egypt	. 34
10	Water Supply by Use and Study Area	. 36
11	Relation of Water Supply to Parasites in the Nile Delta (Kafr El Sheikh), 1976	. 37
12	Relationship between Latrine and Infection with Selected Parasites in the Three "Downstream" Study Areas	. 39
13	Multiparasitic Infections in the Study Areas	. 40
14	Percent Positive of Selected Parasites by Study Area	. 40
15	A Comparison of Parasite Prevalence (%) Before and After Resettlement in Nubia	. 41
I.1	Environmental Factors	. 54

# TABLES, CONTINUED

Number		<u>P</u>	age
I.2	Irrigation and Population	•	55
II.1	Housing Variables by Study Sites, Percent of Houses with Attributes (not including the Nubian study sites)	•	56
II.2	Distribution of Environmental and Housing Factors in Egyptian New Nubia, 1977, Percent of Houses with Attributes		74
II.3.I	Prevalence of Parasitosis in Egyptian Nubia, 1977	•	78
II.3.II	Age Distribution of Important Parasitic Infections in Egyptian Nubia, 1977	•	79
II.3.III	Sex Distribution of Important Parasitic Infections in Egyptian Nubia, 1977	•	80
II.3.IV	A Comparison of the Prevalence of Intestinal Helminthic and Protozoal Infestations (per 100 persons) by Age and Sex; Nubia, Egypt; 1963 and 1977		81
III.1	Analysis of Association, Kafr El Sheikh (Nile Delta)		82
III.2	Analysis of Association, Beni Suef (Upper Middle Egypt)		98
III.3	Analysis of Association, Aswan (Upper Egypt)		114
IV.1	Raw Count Data, Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh	•	124
TV.2	Raw Count Data. Aswan and New Nubia		138

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work required the examination of almost 15,500 stool specimens which had been preserved in small 10 cc plastic bottles and shipped to the parasitology laboratory at the High Institute of Public Health from the various study sites. The laboratory was directed by Associate Professor Rashida Barakat, M.D. and supervised by Lecturer Ebtesam Omar, M.D. In addition, there were seven employees, all doctors of medicine who, as an extension of their training in parasitology, helped in the examination of these specimens. To them we are deeply indebted.

# CHAPTER I

# INTRODUCTION

# BACKGROUND

This report is the second and final part of an analysis of data collected in rural Egypt on parasitic infections and key environmental variables. The study objectives, study design and methodology have been described in detail in the first part entitled Schistosomiasis in Rural Egypt: A Report of U.S.-Egyptian River Nile and Lake Nasser Research Project (Miller et al., 1978). Salient features of the study design and methodology are included in this report for purposes of clarity.

The objectives of this study were to obtain information on the prevalence of helminth and protozoan infections in selected rural Egyptian communities in collaboration with the River Nile-Lake Nasser Study, a joint University of Michigan-Egyptian Academy of Scientific Research and Technology project. The River Nile-Lake Nasser Project's prime objectives have been to evaluate the overall environmental impact of the Aswan High Dam (AHD).

Diverse disciplines have been incorporated into this project in an attempt to obtain an integrated picture of changes occuring in the Egyptian Nile basin, following the formation of the large man-made lake, Lake Nasser. It was in this vein that the design of the study reported here was formulated.

The parasites screened for are typical helminth and protozoan infections of the intestinal tract, common to tropical and semi-tropical areas of the world and detected by the identification of the characteristic ova, cysts or tropozoites in stool specimens. Frequently these infections are associated with water supply and use, as well as waste water disposal practices. It was felt that basic fundamental knowledge of the current prevalence, distribution and secular trends were important to determine the magnitude of the water management schemes now under development as a result of the formation of Lake Nasser. Furthermore, the implication of changes in prevalence or transmission of these parasites, directly or indirectly, as a related function of the lake formation was explored in an attempt to more completely follow the impact of the High Dam construction in the health of the rural Egyptian population.

Table 1 is a list of these parasites and a brief description of their principal mode of transmission. Some of these parasites have no direct relation with water supply, but were included as the methods of examination used to reveal these infections.

TABLE 1

HELMINTHIC AND PROTOZOAN PARASITES SCREENED FOR
IN THE SELECTED RURAL EGYPTIAN POPULATION

Pathogen		
Helminth		
Ascaris lumbricoides Trichuris trichuris Enterobius vermicularis Ancylostoma duodenale Strongyloides stercoralis Taenia spp* Trichostrongylus spp Hymenolepis nana Heterophyes heterophyes Fasciola hepatica Fasciola gigantica	roundworm whipworm pinworm hookworm threadworm tapeworms  dwarf tapeworm  liver fluke liver fluke	man/pig-soil-man man-soil-man man-man man-soil-man man-soil-man man-cattle/pig-man man-soil-man man-soil-man man/rodent-man man/sheep-snail-man man/sheep-snail-man
Giardia lamblia Entamoeba histolylica Entamoeba coli Entamoeba hartmanni Iodamoeba butschlii Chilomastix mesnili Trichomonas hominis Dientamoeba fragilis Endolimax nana	intestinal flagellate intestinal amoeba intestinal amoeba intestinal amoeba intestinal amoeba intestinal flagellate genital flagellate intestinal amoeba intestinal amoeba intestinal amoeba	man-man

<sup>\*</sup> The ova of this genus are not morphologically differentiable.

Specifically, the objectives of this study were to:

- 1. Obtain and critically review all available past information of the prevalence, distribution, incidence and transmission of the selected parasites in an effort to establish baseline and trend data for the rural Egyptian population.
- 2. Obtain measures and estimates of the point prevalence of the selected parasites in rural Egyptian communities.
- 3. Show the changes in the epidemiology of the selected parasites through comparisons with assessed historical data.
- 4. In general, collect, assess and analyze environmental health data in parallel with the parasite surveys in the rural study sites.
- 5. Illustrate any village environmental effects on the transmission of the selected parasites studied.
- 6. Suggest appropriate needs in light of the findings.

#### THE SETTING: EGYPT

This study included 41 rural villages in 4 major and separate study areas in rural Egypt. In order that the reader have a clear picture of the study areas, and an understanding of the rationale in selecting these sites, the following notes have been prepared.

Egypt is made up of several distinct sectors. The largest sector is made up of the eastern and western deserts which account for over 90% of the land mass. The area is populated rather sparsely by nomads, with few small settlements. Located in the western desert are several more populated oases. El Kharga and El Dakhla oases, in what is referred to as the new valley, are sites of recent agricultural development and currently have a combined population of about 76,000 persons. There are also populated settlements along the western Mediterranean shoreline and along the Suez Canal. The remaining 99% of the Egyptian population is compressed in the Nile Valley and in the Nile Delta, 3.5% of the country's land mass. The population density in these areas has been estimated at 2,400 persons per square mile (Waterbury, 1971). For the purposes of this study, the Nile Valley has been divided into:

- (a) the delta or lower Egypt,
- (b) Upper-Middle Egypt, between the delta and Assyut, and
- (c) southern or Upper Egypt located between Assyut and the Aswan High Dam (AHD). Before the AHD was constructed, there existed a people called Nubians located between Aswan and the Sudanese border. When the new lake inundated this area, the Nubians were resettled, en masse, in Kom Ombo, an agricultural plain about 75 km north of Aswan, and in Kheshm El Girba, in the Sudan.

The population of Egypt has always been predominatly "rural;" described by Scott (1937) as persons "whose habits of life bring them into contact with fields and canals where infestations with parasites may be acquired." In 1937, 11.49 million persons were living in a rural setting; 72% of the total population of 15.92 million. By 1960, 62% were rural. The rural population has further declined to 56.1%, according to the last census survey conducted in 1976 (Capmas, 1976).

The distribution of the Egyptian population is as follows: 60% of the population resides in Cairo or north of Cairo in the Nile Delta or Lower Egypt, 23% live in Upper-Middle Egypt, and 12% live in Upper Egypt (Omran, 1973). Males compromise 53% of the total population (Capmas, 1976).

#### CHAPTER II

# CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The key results of this investigation were; 1) that the parasite infections Ascaris and Ancylostoma are not elevated to high levels of prevalence at any of the village studies sites, 2) the high prevalence of Entameoba infections were present at all study sites, 3) the Nubians have not been adversely affected by their relocation in respect to the parasites studied or environmental health conditions which were greatly improved and 4) the most extensive descriptive data on environmental health conditions for rural Egyptian villages has been collected and assessed.

Conversations with officials from the Ministry of Health (the Secretary General of parasitic diseases) in 1975 before the field activities indicated that hookworm infections were low throughout Egypt, but that <u>Ascaris</u> was quite common in both the urban and rural sectors. The surprising findings of very low number of persons infected with <u>Ascaris</u> in the sample remains, in part, unresolved.

It had been indicated that piperazine had been widely distributed by the rural health units and centers in the period just previous to the field collection of specimens. This, in part, may have caused a sharp reduction in prevalence. Also, in Upper Egypt and in Nubia the prevaling opinion of the local practitioner was that both Ascaris and Ancylostoma were infrequent infections. Zawahry's findings in 1964 in Old Nubia are in agreement with these attitudes, and the results from New Nubia and Aswan are also consistent. It is with considerable reserve, however, that a figure of 8.4% is presented as a reasonable estimate of Ascaris infection in the Nile Delta. A more specialized small scale study aimed at establishing current rural health programs in the control of Ascaris transmission and concurrent prevalence surveillance is recommended. The primary design of such a study should be directed at obtaining the minimum amount of evidence necessary to determine the order of magnitude of Ascaris infestation in the population.

Based on the assumption that intestinal protozoan infections which have a fecal-oral route are an indicator of hygienic conditions, the high prevalence of the Entameoba infections indicates a strong need in this area. It is encouraging that E. histolytic was not found as frequently as did Weir et. al. (1952). Rather, E. coli infections were the most frequently identified Entameoba, at about 10 to 1 ratio. E. coli is not considered a pathogen and specific measures for control are not recommended. It is expected that an increase in water availability and water use would improve the hygienic conditions of the rural Egyptian villager and would result in an improvement in his/her well being. Indeed, it is water, specifically the supply of clean,

cheap, ready available water that is wholeheartily recommended. Furthermore, any system that would increase the quantity, quality and use of water by the villagers should be considered. Appropriate technology rather than imported technology should be stimulated to meet these needs. In respect to water use and appropriate technological developments the household water container, the zir, must be taken into consideration. As revealed in the descriptive environmental health data, the zir, the stable and the fuel materials used for cooking are high priorities for improvement of the immediate environment of the Egyptian villager. Historical information indicated that the progress for installing latrines have been fairly well accepted and that standpipes or latrines are not a novelty in rural Egypt. This is encouraging, although there still remains a strong need for waste disposal in the sample from Upper-Middle Egypt.

The Nubians, in terms of parasitosis, were not found to vary greatly from their fellow Saidis (Upper Egyptians). This is a positive finding in that following the relocation of the Nubian tribes, it was expected that there would be a fall in their health status as measured by these studies. The same recommendations applied, therefore, to the Nubians in that improved water and hygiene measures are needed.

#### CHAPTER III

# REVIEW OF LITERATURE

#### PARASITES STUDIED

There is no previous review of surveys available on the prevalence, distribution and transmission of these parasites in the Egyptian population. It is understandable that if historical information can be found, it must be assessed before a parasitic survey can be planned effectively. The search for historical data paralleled the assessment of the literature for schistosomiasis that has been published in the first part (Miller, et al., 1978). Like the information found for schistosomiasis, more historical data was uncovered than had been expected, although there are obvious restrictions on the interpretation of this past data. Important and fundamental aspects of the prevalence and distribution of these parasites were locatable. The principle reasons for restricting the direct comparison of these different studies are: the differences used in detecting the various parasitic infections in the excreta, and in the methods used to sample the human population from which specimens were obtained. Standardization in detection of parasites and ova in the stool remains to be established and is a recurrent source of ciiticism. However, sampling methodologies have clearly established that sample selection, based on probability is necessary before statistical measures, whether descriptive or analytical, can be applied. The latter aspect was frequently ignored altogether, where as detection methods were invariably elaborated on. In Table 2, a summary of the studies, found in the search for historical data, is given by area, date, author and parasite. Filariasis and leishmaniasis were not screened for in this project, but were included in Table 2, not only for completeness but also because of their potential severe impact on the health status of rural populations in less developed areas. Both studies by Shawarby, et al. (1965) and Cahill, et al. (1966) had wide coverage and impressive sample sizes. The reader is referred to these studies and the others cited in Table 2 for details on prevalence and distribution that are not shown in the table.

In parallel with the historical findings on human schistosome data, more surveys were completed in the Nile Delta than in the other sectors of the country. Also, the Nile Delta had consistently higher prevalences, regardless of the parasite or year, when compared to any other area of the country. This was also true for the schistosomes. It is unfortunate that the greater proportion of the rural Egyptian population (59.4%) is located in this same area.

Filariasis and leishmaniasis show very distinctive focal distributions in the Nile Delta. Both studies are now over a decade old, having been

 $\mbox{TABLE $2$} \label{table}$  Summary of prevalence surveys for selected parasites in egypt

Area	Date	Author	Ascaris	Hymenolepis	Parasite Prevalence Ancylostoma Entoame	evalence Entoameoba	Filariasis	Leishmaniasis
General	1965	Shawarby, et al.	*			1	0.1	ı
General DELTA	1966		ı	,	ı	1	1	0.1
Kafr El Sheikh	1937a,b	Scott	28.0	1	30.0	ı	1	
Oalvubiva	1952	Weir, et al.	62.0	1	0.4	97.0	,	1
Oalyubiya	1954	ler	50.0	•	8.3	59.0	1	٠
Qalyubiya	1954	Chaudler	0.97	1	36.0	58.0	,	•
Rosetta	1966	Cahill, et al.	ı	1	1	1	ı	4.1
Damanhur	1966		,	1	•	ı	1	1.6
Hihy	1966	et	•	,	1	1	,	20.7
Benha	1966		•	ŀ	1	•	,	7.3
Behira	1966	et	0.99	1.7	t. 3	•	1	1
Sharqiya	1965	Shawarby, et al.	•	1	1	•	6.0	1
Qalyubiya	1965	Shawarby, et al.	1	ı	ı	•	7.2	•
Minufiya	1965	et	•	r	1	1	0.08	,
Gharbiya	1965	et	ı	ı	1	•	0.0	•
Daqahliya	1965	Shawarby, et al.	•	1	ı	•	3.0	1
Cairo	1966	, et a	•	•	1	1	1	1.1
Cairo	1958	Rifaat	12.0	6.5	7.0	17.0	i	•
UPPER MIDDLE EGYPT								
Beni Suef	1937a,b	Scott	8.1	ı	25.0	1	ı	•
Giza	1962	Zawahry	54.0	8.6	10.0	41.0	ı	•
Fayoum	1966	Cahill, et al.	1	•	ı	•	1	0.0
Assyut	1966	Cahill, et al.	ı	1	1	•		9.0
Beni Suef	1965	Shawarby, et al.	1	•	•	r	0.0	•
UPPER EGYPT		<u> </u>						
Aswan	1937a,b	Scott	6.5	•	23.1	•	1	•
Old Nubia	1958	Rifaat	0.0	1	0.0	1.0	•	•
Old Nubia	1964	Zawahry	9.9	7.7	0.0	34.3	•	•
Sohag	1966	Cahill, et al.	1	•	1	•	•	1.6
Sohag	1965	Shawarby, et al.	1	1	1	•	0.0	1
Aswan	1965	Shawarby, et al.	ı	i	1	•	0.0	ı

\*Indicates that the respective parasite was not searched for in the study.

completed in the 1960's. Hopefully, surveillance will be continued on these two important parasitic infections in order to determine if there have been more recent changes in prevalence or distribution.

Overall, it would appear that hookworm (Ancylostoma) infections are not as prevalent as the roundworm (Ascaris) infections. Chandler's studies on hookworm in 1954 found considerable variation (8.3% and 36.0%) in different village sites in the governorate of Qalyubya. This variation was determined by a single investigator and his respective research team, thus variation due to methodological differences were most likely minimal, thus indicating a strong focal distribution for hookworm infections. The differences in prevalence found between the other investigations support this hypothesis. However, the only historical data on a country-wide basis for hookworm and roundworm were made by Scott in the 1930's (Scott, 1937a and Scott 1937b). The expectation has, and still is, that hookworm would be consistently high, 90% to 100%, in the rural population of Egypt, and Scott (1937c) attempted to explain why it was not. It is interesting that no cases of hookworm were seen by Rifaat (1958) or Zawahry (1964) in old Nubia. Furthermore, Scott (1937a) found roundworm infection lowest in the Aswan area.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS IN RURAL EGYPT

The following material on environmental health conditions in rural Egyptian populations also appears in a previous report (Miller, et al., 1978). Since analytical aspects are included in this report and employ this information, this portion of the text is reproduced here for reasons of convenience for the reader.

The historical information sought for environmental health conditions in Egypt included the following:

- 1) General village sanitation
- 2) Water supply wastewater practices
- 3) Wastewater practices
- 4) Housing conditions
- 5) Refuse or solid waste practices.

Detailed analytical information on these parameters is rather limited for Egypt as a whole. Several local studies are available, however, for the delta and for Old Nubia. Data from these studies have been provided in somewhat greater detail.

Amin and Zaghloul (1959) reviewed the administrative organization of the EMH environmental services, but provided little data. They did point out that by 1959, protected rural water supply had been provided to each village over 1,200 persons. Generally, water was pumped from an underground source to elevated tanks which supplied a limited number of public water standpipes (one or more taps fixed to a vertical concrete slab). This water supply project had been started in the 1940's and by 1960 fairly wide coverage was obtained. In 1975 all villages had at least one source of water. The goal of

one standpipe per 300 persons was 90% to 95% completed by this time (Furnia, 1975). This is very impressive when compared with the water supplies available in the rural villages of other similar developing countries. Installation of latrines in the rural areas has been less successful (Furnia, 1975).

The major refuse problem in Egyptian villages is animal waste (Headlee, 1933; Weir, et al., 1952). Animal manure is still commonly used for composting and for cooking fuel. The compost heaps and the drying dung cakes cause a serious sanitation problem by providing ample sites for fly breeding. For the most part, solid waste in the conventional western sense does not exist in Egyptian rural villages. Only infrequent isolated litter piles may noted in typical villages. However, where multistoried housing projects have been constructed and in urban areas there are extensive solid waste problems. On the village level very little solid non-organic material is discarded. This picture is now beginning to slowly change as the population grows and as more consumer goods become available to the rural populations.

Focusing on the delta, Headlee (1933) made detailed environmental observations on the rural village of Rushdy, Qalyubia. No clinical data were provided but excellent maps were made showing the defecation sites in the village. Samples were taken from these sites and examined for helminthic parasites. Enterobius, Ascaris, Trichuris, Hymenolepis and Ancylostoma were detected in the samples.

According to Scott (1937), this indiscriminate habit has important implications concerning hookworm transmission. If the same defecation sites were frequented, then hookworm transmission would be favored. However, defecation sites were scattered and, as Scott (1937) showed, the prevalence of hookworm was not as high as might be expected.

Headlee (1933) also observed the still common practice of disposing household wastewater in the village streets and that the presence of a stable attached to the home contributed to the intense fly problem Farooq, et al. (1966a) commented that village conditions in the delta had changed little since Headlee's report.

Weir, et al.'s (1952) study (1952) of the same general area (Sindbis, Qalyubia) confirmed Headlee's observations. Weir, et al. (1952) also found that 31% of all the homes in the study area had latrines, out of a total of 4,878 houses examined, and 10% had wells. Flies in the study site were noted in large numbers. Counts were made monthly on the fly populations. These counts showed seasonal fluctuations with low numbers in the middle winter months and high counts for the remainder of the year. Measures were taken to reduce the fly populations, and it is interesting to note that during a two year period in the areas where control was maintained, infant mortality was markedly reduced. This is a very important observation. No other environmental measure tested during this study demonstrated any improvement in infant mortality, indicating the overwhelming importance of flies as vectors of serious infantile diseases.

In 1966, Farooq, et al. (1966a), found that 87.6% of the people in Beheria, in the northwestern delta, had piped water, a 77% increase in the number with piped water since 1952. The exact distribution of people with or without piped water is shown in <u>Table 3</u>. Ten and one half percent of the sample used canal water exclusively.

TABLE 3

DISTRIBUTION OF EXAMINED POPULATION BY SOURCE OF WATER SUPPLY

AFTER FAROOQ, et al. (1966)

Water Supply	Number of People	Percentage Distribution
Canal	1248	10.5
Piped Water	10466	87.6
Other	70	0.6
Not Stated	160	1.3
TOTAL	11944	100.0

Table 4, reproduced from Farooq's study, shows the number and distribution of people by type of house. There were considerable differences between divisions with an overall 58.5% living in stone or redbrick houses and 40.3% living in mud or mud brick houses. Farooq, et al. (1966) also determined the number of persons with a cowshed and the number and distribution of latrines. They found that just over half of the population do not have cowsheds, 32.9% have adjoining cowsheds and 14.4% have separated cowsheds. The latter group was considered to be in a higher economic class than the former two. At these study sites, 52% of the population was found to have latrines; 10% had latrines and did not use them (It would be interesting to know just how this was determined.); and 36.4% did not have a latrine. This indicates that there is an increase in the number of latrines in the homes since Weir, et al.'s (1952) time.

As shown in the prevous sections on schistosomiasis, all the above environmental parameters influenced the transmission of infection, with the exception of latrines which showed a marginal decrease in prevalence, and only when age and type of house were controlled for.

In Upper-Middle Egypt only the report by Hassouma (1975) is available on a rural housing survey. Table 5 is reproduced from this report to the Egyptian Ministry of Planning. A majority of the houses obtained water from

public standpipes (54%). However, a significant number (14%) had water piped to the home. For 12% of the homes, water came from the canals.

Hassouma (1975) also found that 6.4% of the houses were converted to sewage systems and that 10% had septic tanks. These fascinating observations, especially the presence of the sewage systems, beg the question "What did the author use as a definition or 'rural'?" Unfortunately, no answer was provided. However, over half of the houses surveyed did not have a latrine.

TABLE 4
DISTRIBUTION OF EXAMINED POPULATION BY TYPE OF HOUSING
AFTER FAROOQ, et al. (1966a)

Type of House	Number of People	Percentage Distribution
Stone or Red Brick	6988	58.5
Mud Brick or Mud	4811	40.3
Other	7	0.0
Not Stated	138	1.5
TOTAL	11944	100.0

# Upper Egypt

In the area between Assyut and Aswan, only sketchy information exists and most of what does is centered on the Aswan environment only. In 1965, Aswan City had no sewage system and the large fertilizer plant (The Kima Company) nearby was inadequately treating its waste-water which was being discharged into the Nile (Messina, 1970). Other (Eachmann, 1965; Satti, 1970) reporting to the WHO found the Aswan urban area poorly developed in respect to waste-water management.

# Old Nubia

In 1960, Abdady and Shalash (1966) from the National Research Center, Cairo, completed a one-year survey on the Nubians which examined the environment and livestock resources. Selection of families was based on the family register at each village and selections were representative and proportional to the 1960 census. It was a well-designed study. Each tribe was represented and Table 6 shows the number of families selected, by tribe, village and the location of the village on the eastern or western bank of the Nile. Table 7 shows the housing conditions for each area. Table 8 shows the type of water supply, lighting and food storage in the house. Whereas this table points out that no sewage system existed, the general description of the text stated that toilets were located inside the houses in the Fadiga area, and outside

for the other two tribes. No numbers were provided on how many were available. Also included in this discussion was that the hand pumpwells located in the Fadiga area usually did not function.

TABLE 5
WATER AND WASTE-WATER FACILITIES IN UPPER-MIDDLE EGYPT
AFTER HASSOUMA (1975)

Deshna
% No. %
L.6 48 35.8
1.6 54 40.3
3.0 8 6.0 0.8 24 17.9
).8 44 16.
1.6 38 14.5
5.9 46 17.6 3.1 134 51.1
1 2 2

A description of the village areas was included in the report. In the Fadiga tribal areas, houses were in rows with 20-30 meters from one row to the next, spreading out over a 500 by 600 meter area. Houses made of combinations of mud, rock and cane were architecturally similar to the American Indian hogan with walls extending out to encompass a courtyard, a guest room and a stable. A characteristic feature of Nubian houses is the decoration of the walls, both on the inside and outside. There is a prevailing attitude throughout Egypt that the Nubians are exceptionally tidy and honest.

Floor plans of the old Nubian houses have been prepared by Fernea (1973).

In brief, Egypt has had a progressive plan for the provision of a protected water supply to the rural areas since the 1940's. A visit to the rural areas readily confirms the widespread distribution of rural water supply. This

project's findings indicate fewer persons visiting can as or unprotected water courses for their water, but still evident in the rural areas are the women washing clothes and dishes in the canals, the children bathing in the canals and the farmer irrigating his fields by ancient methods requiring contact with canal water. Generally, the sanitation conditions in the villages of Egypt have improved somewhat since Headlee's study (1933). In terms of crowding, they may have become worse.

TABLE 6
TRIBE, LOCATION, VILLAGE AND NUMBER OF FAMILIES
SELECTED IN NUBIA, 1960
AFTER ABDADY AND SHALASH (1966)

Tribe	Location on Nile Bank	Village	Number of Families Selected
Fadiga	West	Ballana	275
Fadiga	East	Abu Simbel	170
Arab	West	As-Sabu'a	75
Arab	East	As-Sangari	75
Kanoose	West	Sarf-Hussein	75
Kanoose	East	Kask Tamna	75

In the following villages, irrigation pumps and canals had been installed:

- a) Dikka
- b) Al-Alaqi
- c) Aniba
- d) Tushka
- e) Aramna
- f) Abu Simbel
- g) Ballana

TABLE 7
HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, OLD NUBIA, 1960
AFTER ABDADY AND SHALASH (1966)

	Are			Buildin	g Materi	al	Numbe:	
TRIBE	in	m²		Mud	Wood	Mud	Root	ns 
	Total	Mean	Mud	Rock	Cane	Cane	Total	Mean
Fadiga	234860	528	428	0	16	1	2658	5.9
Arab	53170	355	0	150	0	0	801	5.3
Kanoose	34550	230	0	150	0	0	627	4.8

TABLE 8
WATER SUPPLY AND LIGHTING IN OLD NUBIA, 1960
AFTER ABDADY AND SHALASH (1966)

	Water Source			Lighting		
TRIBE	Small Canals	Nile	Pump	Electric	Kerosene	
Fadiga	94	275	76	0	445	
Arab	0	93	57	0	150	
Kanoose	0	10	140	0	150	

#### CHAPTER IV

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is separated into two major subdivisions. The first, termed the "Downstream Study", is a comparison of environmental and epidemiological health parameters at different village sites comprised of indigenous rural populations located downstream from the AHD, excluding the relocated Nubians. The second, termed the "Nubian Study", is concerned with the Nubian populations displaced by the formation of Lake Nasser. The results of both investigations have been analyzed for correlations with environmental and epidemiological alterations resulting from the construction of the AHD.

# DESCRIPTION OF THE "DOWNSTREAM STUDY"

The Downstream Study is designed to assess the impact of the formation of Lake Nasser on indigenous rural populations in Egypt downstream from the AHD. The design rationale is based on a comparative approach for which data are collected from more than one site. Thus it can be determined whether changes occurring over time or in a given location are unique, and casual relationships can be developed accordingly.

Three areas have been selected which afford maximum comparability. The first, from an area likely to be affected by Lake Nasser, are the rural villages north of the city of Aswan and south of Kom Ombo. The two other areas are Beni Suef, between the delta and Assyut, and Kafr El Sheikh, in the north cantral Nile Delta. For the sake of convenience, the three "areas" in which rural villages were selected for the downstream study are referred to as the Aswan, Beni Suef, or Kafr El Sheikh study area.

In each of these three governorates, rural villages have been selected based on: a) how representative the village is of the area; b) accessibility; c) population composition and size; and d) the presence or absence of a rural health center or unit. The selection of villages from these three areas was also based on information obtained from past studies. It was clear from these studies that Upper-Middle and Upper Egypt had frequently been excluded, with a far greater number of past surveys being carried out in the delta. Within the delta, more prevalence information on schistosomiasis was available for Qalyubia than all the other delta governorates combined. in Kafr El Sheikh were selected, therefore, to help correct this deficiency of information. Also, historical data indicated that the northern delta, in which Kafr El Sheikh is located, had maintained the highest schistosome prevalence in rural Egypt. The data from Kafr El Sheikh provided the ultimate baseline prevalence for this study, as opposed to areas farther south and geographically more central. Villages were selected in the Beni Suef area as representative of Upper-Middle Egypt for the simple reason that recent data

indicated that the distribution of <u>S. mansoni</u> infections were slowly migrating south from the Nile Delta into this area (Hussein, 1972; Alamy and Cline, 1977). Sporadic cases of <u>S. mansoni</u> had been seen in Beni Suef by Hussein (1972). It was therefore important to determine if <u>S. mansoni</u> cases could still be found or were increasing.\*

# DESCRIPTION OF THE "NUBIAN STUDY"

This study is designed to measure the changes in the prevalence of parasites in the Nubian population following displacement due to the formation of Lake Nasser. The Egyptian Nubians, a population of 45,000 to 50,000 persons, resided in villages scattered along the banks of the Nile, south of Aswan to the Egyptian border. This population was displaced by the rising waters of the new lake in 1964. The Nubians, who were rural in nature and composed of three different tribes, were moved en masse to Kom Ombo, 40 kms downstream from the AHD. For all practical purposes, the entire population was resettled in this area. The new villages bear the same names as those from which the settlers originally came and, in addition, retain their respective locations as in old Nubia with the Kanoose tribe in the north, the Arab in the middle and the Fadiga in the south. No other formal arrangement was made by the government to have resettlements in other areas. However, there remains an original Nubian community located on the eastern Nile bank just north of the old Aswan dam, called Kazan Sharq. This is the southernmost village in Egypt with the exception of a very small village located on an island in the reservoir that inundates the area between the old and new dams. No resettlement sites are present on the lake shore. The high ground surrounding the lake is harsh, barren and, according to Dazo and Bile's (1971) survey, uninhabited with the exception of the Abu Simbel community 300 km upstream from the AHD. Although Abu Simbel does not constitute a rural/agricultural community, it is the only permanent lake shore site currently inhabited. In 1971, the population of Abu Simbel was 134 and was comprised mostly of government workers employed in the maintenance of the Abu Simbel temples. Abu Simbel does not represent displaced Nubian communities. Observations made during a 5 day trip on Lake Nasser in May, 1977 confirmed these findings. Earlier in the Review of Literature, Dazo and Biles (1972) found that 9% of the population there had S. haematobium infections. No other helminthic infections were observed.

The Nubian study includes three major sites between which comparative studies have been made: a) the old, no longer existing Nubian villages of Kurta, El Malki, and Ballana; b) the correspondingly resettled sites at Kom Ombo; and c) the original Nubian community, Kazan Sharq, located on the eastern Nile bank just north of the old Aswan Dam.

<sup>\* (</sup>The determination of a change in the distribution of <u>S. mansoni</u> infections to the south was an overriding consideration in respect to the selection of appropriate sites for the surveillance of the other helminthic and protozoan infections. In addition, there was no historical information that suggested that by selecting village sites in the Beni Suef area aspects of the distribution of these other parasites would be missed or overlooked.)

Abu Simbel had to be excluded because it does not represent the Nubian population. Also excluded are the lake shore sites which are yet to be developed, and the Lake Nasser fishermen. As mentioned previously, a joint WHO-EMH inter-region project (IR-065 RDF/71/217) is currently being organized to investigate the health status of the Lake Nasser fisherman population.

Definitions for pre- and post-AHD are needed to establish the point in time for describing "before" and "after" conditions necessary for making comparisons between studies. Pre-dam is defined as the period before the discharge of the Nile was controlled by the AHD. Post-dam is defined as the period from 1964 to the date of this study (1976). The construction of the AHD was not completed until 1974, but as mentioned earlier, the coffer dam, constructed to divert the flow of the river around the area where the AHD's foundations were being laid, was removed in 1964 and the ensuing floods have since been trapped behind the AHD. The reservoir reached maximum volume in 1976. The term "significant" is defined as a meaningful increase in the prevalence of a selected parasite when comparing the results from different study sites used in this research with results from other villages employed by other workers. Frequently, the number of cases may be large enough to demonstrate statistical significance between results differing only in one or two percentage points. Whereas this would constitute statistical significance, it would not be meaningful.

# DATA ACQUISITION

The two major categories of data collected were: historical baseline data and data collected from field studies that included environmental health data and epidemiological morbidity data for the selected parasites mentioned. The implementation of the field survey was guided by a program evaluation review technique (PERT) diagram.

The PERT diagram identified and numbered each individual activity or job to be carried out. The time in days required to complete each job was estimated and then each job was placed appropriately in the sequence. Except for the first, each preceding job or jobs had to be completed before the following one could be started. Thus, projected dates of completion were calculated. Moreover, free slack, or the amount of time that a previous job could be postponed without delaying the overall projected completion time, was estimated. The estimated time to complete the field activities up to the point of analysis of the data was 265 days. The actual time for completion was approximately 260 days.

#### SPECIFIC DATA COLLECTED

The categories for data which were collected are:

- 1) environmental health parameters:
  - a) water supply and use
  - b) sewage disposal
  - c) housing
  - d) irrigation practices

# 2) epidemiological parameters:

- a) age-sex structure of the sample population
- b) parasite prevalence.

It may be noted that agricultural irrigation methods have been included as an environmental health parameter. Generally, irrigation schemes, as such, do not fall within the realm of environmental health specialties. However, in Egypt, as in a number of other tropical developing nations, agriculture practices and especially irrigation methods play a central role in the transmission of many parasitic diseases. Moreover, it is the open canals and drains which are associated with present day irrigation in Egypt that provide excellent habitats for snail vectors. For the rural populations of Egypt, canals long ago became a way of life. The convenience the canals have provided in the rural villages for domestic water for washing, bathing, swimming, drinking, and ablutions is readily evident to the visitor.

Data acquisition forms (questionnaires) were designed and translated into Arabic. These forms serve as a list for the various parameters under study. The original English data forms are included in Appendix 2, in part one (Miller, et al., 1978).

Considerable peripheral data are included in the survey listed on the data forms. As much data as possible were obtained concerning all the environmental parameters in the hope that nothing would be overlooked simply because it was not requested. Secondly, data were needed to control for certain variables; for example, age, sex, occupation, etc. Indeed, the study was originally designed under a much broader scope specified by the needs of the River Nile - Lake Nasser study of which this work was a part.

# SELECTION OF FIELD SURVEY SITES

A total of ten health units and centers were selected in Kafr El Sheikh and in Beni Suef based on criteria mentioned in the description of the downstream study. The name of the health unit or center does not always correspond to the name of the village from which the sample population was selected. Sometimes more than one village was sampled by the health unit or center. This is true also for Aswan and for the Nubian sites.

In Kafr El Sheikh, the selected health units of centers, also termed "study sites", and their code numbers shown in parenthesis, were:

- a) health unit El Agazein (16): only the village El Agazein was sampled;
- b) health center El Hamra (17): only the village El Hamra was sampled;
- c) health unit Mahalet El Kasab (18): only the village Mahalet El Kasab was sampled;
- d) health unit Mahalet Mousa (19): Mahalet Mousa and El Nataf were sampled;
- e) health unit Sheno (20): two villages, Sheno and Reskit El Shenawi were sampled.

In Beni Suef, the selected health units or centers and their code numbers were:

- a) health center Barout (11): only the village Barout was sampled;
- b) health unit Sherif Pasha (12): only the village Sherif Pasha was sampled;
- c) health unit Naiim (13): two villages, El Amrana and Abu Mousa, were sampled;
- d) health center Beni Adi (14): only the village of Beni Adi was sampled;
- e) health center Ashamant (15): only the village of Ashamant was sampled.

Both Kafr El Sheikh and Beni Suef are the respective capitals of their governorates. Kafr El Sheikh is about 2.5 hours' drive north of Cairo, roughly 140 km, and is located in the central northern sector of the delta. The northern border of the Kafr El Sheikh province is the Mediterranean Sea. Almost the same distance to the south of Cairo is Beni Suef. To the north of Beni Suef is Giza; to the west, the Fayoum; and to the south, Minya. Each of the villages selected in both Kafr El Sheikh and Beni Suef was an agricultural community typical of the area.

In Aswan the selected health units or centers and their code numbers shown in parenthesis were:

- a) health unit Kazan Sharq (1): the village of Kakhor was sampled;
- b) health unit Guzaria (2): the villages Gamma, Omrob and Harrob were sampled;
- c) health center Abu Rish Bahri (3): the villages of Mal Katta and Mal Licta were sampled;
- d) health unit Ga'afra (4): the villages of El Aratag, Shouna, Masagien, Falaleha, Omarab, Ali Abu Karime, El Sheikh Garat, Hedadoun, Hagar and Mahatta were all sampled;
- e) health center Bimban (10) the villages of Kenisa, Abu Sharl, Omda, Mariab, Sheikh Mousa and Kabarra were all sampled.

In the Nubian resettlement area of Kom Ombo, the selected health units or centers and their code numbers were:

- a) health center Ballana (5): the villages of Ballana 1,2, and 3 were sampled;
- b) health unit Tushka (6): only the village Tushka was sampled;
- c) health center El Malki (7): only the village of El Malki was sampled;
- d) health unit Kurta (8): only the village Kurta 2 was sampled;
- e) health center Kalabsha (9): the villages Kalabsha and Abu Khor were sampled.

The village of Kazan Sharq (1) is one of the most southern villages to be found in Egypt. This village is comprised of Nubians of the Kanoose tribe, and it should be pointed out that Kazan Sharq (1) and a few remaining villages just to the north, are also populated by Nubians who, because of

their location downstream from the AHD, did not have to be moved when Lake Nasser began to fill. Rather, this small population of Nubians are living in the same villages and the same homes that they were living in before the AHD was built, or, for that matter, from the last century and before.

The villages located at health units and centers 2,3, and 4 are communities typical of the area between Aswan and the Kom Omba plain and are located on the eastern bank of the Nile. These communities are characteristically found in high, dry, barren ground. Because the Nile valley is so narrow in this area, very little land is available for cultivation, and, therefore, what is available is far too valuable to build on. The cultivated areas are always found as a green strip between the village and the river, with the exception of Hagar in Ga'afra, which is located on a low barren hill next to the river. In this respect, these villages are unlike the ones in the Kom Ombo agricultural plain. From the northern point of the Kom Ombo plain, continuing north, the narrow valley gradually begins to widen as it passes through the next two governorates, Qena and Sohag. In these sites the villagers live at a greater distance from the irrigation canals and drains than villagers located in the delta or in Upper-Middle Egypt. Villages are found within the cropped area with increasing frequency as one travels north and east of Aswan into Qena and into Sohag. North of Sohag only a small fraction of the rural population resides in villages located outside the cultivated land, and these villages are often bounded on one side by their fields. The health center Bimban (10), was selected to represent villages typically built within the cultivated area. Six different villages all located in Bimban markaz (center) were sampled. The Bimban markaz, seen on the map in Figure 11, is located on the western bank of the Nile valley, almost directly west of Daraw. The villages are separated from the Nile and from the desert to the west by fields of sugar cane and wheat, and by palm groves, etc.

The selection of the Nubian resettlement villages was based on the previous study by Zawahry (1964). Each village that was surveyed in 1964 has now been surveyed again for this study. They are Ballana (Fadiga) (5), El Malki (Arab) (7), and Kurta (Kanoose) (8). In addition, two other villages were selected: Tushka (Fadiga) (6) and Kalabsha (Kanoose) (9), to increase the overall sample size.

#### DATA COLLECTION TEAMS

The primary data collection teams at each of the selected health units or centers were comprised of a physician, a laboratory technician, a sanitarian, one or two nurses and one or more aides. The team was led by the physician whose responsibility was to implement the collection of data and to ensure that all activities were completed according to the outlined procedures (see Appendix 4). The cooperation and employment of the various health teams was obtained through the Egyptian Minister of Health and through the respective regional offices of the Director General of Health. The director-general provided transportation to the sites and local security approvals, and saw to it that the materials necessary to continue were received at the study sites. In addition, a field supervisor, typically a vice-director-general, was assigned to follow the day-to-day progress in the

in the field and to work closely with the technical field supervisor (the writer). Aside from developing the plan of operation, acquiring materials, and training personnel, the role of the technical field supervisor was to coordinate the work at all levels at each of the twenty field sites.

The Egyptian Minister of Health assigned Dr. Baha Hashen, the director-general of all rural health services as a team representative from the ministry to the project. The EMH's services proved to be very helpful in establishing communications, transporting materials, collecting data, and obtaining cooperation of primary data collection teams.

The chief administrative team leader, Dr. M. Hussein, Dean of the High Institute of Public Health at the University of Alexandria, developed the administrative structure by which the various data teams and members were employed and paid, and closely followed the day-today developments in the field. In addition, Dr. Hussein obtained the necessary governorate approvals and security permits and provided the laboratory space and personnel for the analysis of the stool and urine specimens at the University of Alexandria.

# REVIEW OF FACILITIES AND PREPARATION OF MATERIAL

The location for the examination of families was a rural health unit or a rural health center. Each health unit (for outpatients only) and each health center (small scale in-patient facilities available) selected was assessed for facilities needed for the survey. If facilities or equipment were lacking, they were obtained by the local field supervisor from the respective director-general's office. For the most part, these services were not needed as the health units and centers selected all had the required facilities and were in working order. These facilities included:

- a light microscope, monocular model, with at least low power (16 mm focal length) and high power (4 mm focal length) objectives, and related equipment - slides, etc. Often these were manufactured in Czechoslovakia and were similar to Japanese Nikon models;
- b) glass pipettes in sufficient quantity;
- c) 250 cc glass conical flasks for urine sedimentation;
- d) stool pans for the collection of stool specimens;
- e) a hand centrifuge;
- f) a balance for determination of weight and height.

The balances were made by Detecto Scales, Brooklyn, N.Y., U.S.A.; model Detecto-medic or similar. Microscopes, balances and hand centrifuges were placed in the health units and centers by UNICEF about 5 years previously in a program to update rural medical facilities in Egypt.

The additional materials needed by the health units or centers for the

recording of data and preparation of specimens were:

- (1) printed data forms in Arabic;
- (2) solution for preservation of stool and urine specimens;
- (3) 10 cc plastic specimen vials or bottles;
- (4) disposable applicator sticks for transferring stool specimens;
- (5) permanent felt-tipped ink pens to label specimen bottles;
- (6) a reference or methods guide for the correct procedure to be followed during the survey.

# Printed Data Forms

The data forms for the clinical examination of the family, form code 01; and the data form for the examination of the house, form code 02; were first translated into Arabic at the High Institute of Public Health. The same procedure was used for the environmental forms coded 03 through 12. (All data forms and the methods guide are included in Appendix 2 and Appendix 3, respectively, of part 1.) The translated forms were reproduced by mimeograph. At the beginning of the field activities, all forms were reproduced at the High Institute of Public Health. Over 8,000 forms of 01 and 02 were necessary. Form 01 had three pages and 02 had four. Therefore, 56,000 sheets of paper were required just for these two forms. It soon became obvious that delays would develop if the forms continued to be mimeographed at the High Institute. Paper, stencils, and staplers were purchased and delivered to the local director-generals' offices, which took over the responsibility of providing printed forms.

# Data Form Design--

The data forms for the examination of the family were based in part on the studies by Farooq and Nielsen (1966), Zawahry (1963), and Hussein (1972). At each health unit, a guide for the correct completion of the data form from the examination of the family was provided. This guide also included the correct method by which all procedures were to be carried out for the collection of field data. The guide served only as a reference and was not a substitute for instruction.

It should be pointed out, however, that special procedures were taken to determine age. The determination of age in a highly illiterate population is prone to error. Measures to minimize errors were adapted from Scott (1937). Scott (1937) found that it was more accurate to place a person in an age-group than to estimate the person's exact age. An age group sheet of 5-year age groups (starting from 0-1) was distributed with the methods guide and instruction for use was given to the physician. Birth dates were recorded only when government identification cards could be provided.

An attempt was also made to determine what medication, if any, the

individual had taken in the 360 day period prior to the day of examination. Only medications for parasitic diseases were recorded. Other medication received was recorded as "other." Details for the methods of obtaining the remaining data and data for the housing are described in the methods guide.

The design for the housing form, code number 02, was adapted from Mitwally and Sharqawi's (1970) article on measuring housing conditions in the rural areas of Egypt. For each data form a clear plastic overlay sheet with an English translation was made. These clear overlays provided an instant translation of the Arabic data form into English. As a guideline, the house to be examined by the sanitarian was defined as "the area lived in by the selected family."

### PRESERVATION OF STOOL AND URINE SPECIMENS

It was clear from the beginning that there was considerable variation in ability to examine stool specimens for parasites and ova between laboratory technicians at the selected health units and centers. To compensate for the undesirable variability and to maximize the comparability from one study site to another, all stool specimens were preserved and sent to the laboratory at the High Institute. At the "central laboratory" the specimens were examined by a staff of trained personnel.

To implement this central approach for the examination of the specimens, a 10 ml translucent polyethylene bottle was provided for each individual at the selected sites. These bottles were purchased in Cairo and were 2 cm in diameter, 5 cm tall with a 1 cm opening in the top for which there was an inner cap and an outer screw cap, all polyethylene. On each bottle, the code number of the individual, comprised of the health unit number, the family code number, and the individual's number within the family, and his or her name was written with black, permanent, felt-tipped pens. Both pens and bottles performed well over the period of the survey. There was no occasion when the label came off, and the bottles, which were unbreakable, did not leak even though an occasional screw top had been deformed during the molding process.

The procedure for collecting the stool and urine for preservation is outlined in the methods guide. Two points should be added: 1) that the urine specimens were examined at the health units by the laboratory technicians. In addition, two drops of urine sediment were added to this stool specimen for preservation and examination later at the central laboratory. In this way a double check was provided on the examination of urine. The results of the on site examination were recorded on the data form for the examination of the family, form 01; 2) the transfer of the stool from the stool pan to the specimen bottle and the mixing of the stool with the preservative solution required something cheap and disposable. Broom straws, along with matchsticks and toothpicks, were all tested unsuccessfully. Very common in Egypt are small vegatable crates made by hand from palm fronds. The ribs of these crates were found to split nicely into straight wooden sticks which easily transferred and mixed the specimens. For preservation, the stool was mixed

with 9.0 to 9.5 cc of merthiolate-formalin solution adapted from the merthiclate-iodine-formalin concentration technique (MIFC) (Blagg, et al., 1955). Ova, cysts and trophozoites in fresh stool specimens collected in the MIF solution have been successfully preserved without deterioration of descriptive cytological features for a number of years. The exact period before deterioration begins is under study at the Naval Americal Medical Research Unit (NAMRU-3) in Cairo where the technique was first developed. Instead of adding iodine (Lugol's iodine) at the time of preparation when the specimen was mixed, as prescribed by this technique, the Lugol's iodine was added afterwards at the central laboratory just before the ether extraction phase. This alteration in technique, in addition to the fact that approximately 0.05 ml of urine sediment was being added, did not interfere with the desired staining intensity. By delaying the addition of Lugol's iodine at the health unit or center, the amount of materials that had to be delivered was reduced. This also assured that the Lugol's solution used was fresh, as it begins to deteriorate as a stain after one week. Thus, the urine was examined twice, once at the health unit or center and once at the central laboratory.

# EXAMINATION OF STOOL AND URINE SPECIMENS

All specimens were collected from each of the study sites and transported to the parasitology laboratory at the High Institute of Public Health. At the laboratory, a team comprised of nine physicians examined the specimens. The team was supervised by three senior lecturers of parasitology at the High Institute.

All specimens received at the laboratory from a particular health unit or center were grouped together. There was no intended order within the group and a few specimens at a time were selected for examination from each group. This quasi-random method helped minimize the biasing effect of individual ability among the laboratory personnel.

The preparation of a specimen for examination was as follows:

- the specimen was mixed and poured through a layer of wet gauze into a labelled centrifuge tube;
- 2) 0.6 ml of fresh Lugol's iodine was added to the specimen;
- 3) 4 ml of petroleum ether added in order to increase the specific gravity of the ova and cyst by extracting the lipid fraction. The tube was inverted and shaken vigorously;
- 4) the specimen was centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1500 rpm;
- 5) the top ether layer and fecal plug, and MIF layer were removed by suction, leaving the sediment and about 0.1 ml of MIF solution on top of the sediment;
- 6) the sediment was resuspended and a drop of this mixture placed on a microscope slide, and covered with a cover slip;

- 7) the slide was examined for characteristic ova, cysts, and trophozoites;
- 8) results were entered on a coded specimen examination form, an example of which is shown below.

# Stool Examination Form (Code 15)

Specimen vial number:

Date:

# Helminths:

Ascaris lumbricoides Trichuris trichiura	. •	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	. 1
Enterobius vermicula		_																							
Ancylostoma duodenal	е	•			•																				. 4
Strongyloides sterco	ra.	lis	5																						. 5
Taenia sp			⁻.																						. 6
Trichostrongylus sp.																									
H. nana																									. 8
H. heterophyes			•																						. 9
F. hepatica										٠															.10
F. gigantica																									.11
S. haematobium																									
S. mansoni	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.13
Protozoans:																									
Giardia lamblia																									.14
E. histolytica																									.15
E. coli																									
E. hartmanni																									.17
Iodamoeba butschlii																									.18
Endolimax nana																								•	.19
Chilomastix mesnili																									. 20
Trichomonas hominis																									.21

# Examined by:

The coded specimen form includes a place for the code numbers, date, form code and code number of the examiner at the laboratory who examined the slide and places for indicating the presence of the various parasites screened. Only one slide for each specimen was examined.

An in-laboratory test was used to obtain data on individual examiner error. One specimen each day was examined by all members and the results scored independently. It was requested that the personnel examine the "test" slide in the same fashion as all other slides. The exam results were not

shown to the personnel, and it was emphasized that this was a procedure to estimate error rather than a proficiency examination. All laboratory personnel at the High Institute were closely followed and exhibited proficiency in the identification of the various parasites. The helminth ova are easy to identify as they are generally large and very characteristic in morphology. Proficiency was assured by comparing sample specimens with the NAMRU-3 laboratory.

# SELECTION OF THE SAMPLE POPULATION

A systematic sample was taken at each study site. The unit of selection was the family. All members of each family in the sample were examined. From each study site about 200 families were selected systematically from a list drawn up from the village or villages to be sampled that included all the families of the village or villages and all the members of each family. By selecting 200 families per health unit or center, a sample total of approximately 700 to 900 persons was estimated. The target sample size for all study sites in both studies was between fourteen and eighteen thousand. The family list was the sampling frame. For purposes of selecting the sampling unit (the family), the family was defined as a man, his wife or wives, and all unmarried offspring. This definition was easy to use and fairly stable, i.e. the average number of persons per family did not vary greatly from site to site, though in Upper Egypt, the families were somewhat smaller. (Note that not all offspring of a selected married female may have been examined.) All selected family members were accounted for. If a member did not attend the examination, an explanation of why the member was not present was stated on the family examination form. No attempt was made to replace those who would not come, were absent at the time of the survey, or had died.

To make the selection of the families, an up-to-date list of family names and members, or sampling frame, was required. Generally, a frame was available but often out-of-date by four to five years. In order to avoid delaying the start of the survey, the following procedure was implemented. The total number of families in the old list was divided by 200. obtained, for example 3.4, was rounded off to the next higher number and added to one (two or three in other cases depending on an estimate of how many new families would be added). In this example, with a starting list of 680, every fifth family would be selected starting from a random number between 1 and 5. The frame would immediately begin to be updated, adding new families at the end of the list, and the examination of the selected families starting from the first selected and proceeding sequentially was also begun. The up-dating was always finished long before the examination of the first selection of families could be completed. The selection of every fifth family according to this example would result in an under-selection, i.e. less than 200 would be selected. In this case, about 136 families would be selected if 120 new families were added to the original list of 680. the examination of 136 families, 64 families would have to be reselected from 664 remaining unselected families by selecting every tenth family. Reselection being made without replacement, a total of 202 families would be selected and examined. This approach was used throughout the study, with

two or more samples (one or more reselections) from each site being taken in this fashion. Each selected family was circled and serially numbered in the list of family names and members.

The serial number for the family became the serial code number for that The members of the family were listed serially starting with the first person examined on the family examination data, form 01. This gave each individual that was examined in the survey a unique code number comprised of the number of the village, the family serial code number, and the individual code number within the family. The code number 01,001,01 identifies the first person in the first family selected at the health unit 01, Kazan Sharq. The health unit or center code number and the family serial code number were used to identify the data froms 01 and the housing data forms 02. The data form 02 was completed for each house of each of the selected families. These forms were matched with the family 01 forms by coding the 02 forms with the health unit or center number, the house number, and family serial code number. The same sample size (200 families) was used throughout the survey, rather than selecting a given proportion of the population at each site. Therefore, the sampling fraction varied from site to site. This was done for administrative reasons, and because it was desired that the completion of the survey of the familes and the environment be roughly during the same period of time at all sites. The reason for this was that by carrying out the survey at the different sites over the same period of time, the possibility of seasonal variation of the parameters measured between the sites would be negated.

It was estimated that 200 families would yield a sample of about 800 individuals. This sample size was felt sufficient to give the estimates of the various parameters sampled with adequate precision at each site. The systematic selection of families was used as opposed to a purely random method for two reasons: 1) the systematic selection more often than not gives greater precision. With a systematic selection, no isolated groups, individuals, or houses are left unrepresented in the sample, as might inadvertently happen with a random selection; 2) the selection of the families for examination could proceed immediately without waiting for an up-dated family list. A random sample would be impossible to select before the list was completed because the added group would not have a known possibility of being selected in the first round.

## EXAMINATION OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND THE POPULATION

Before the survey could begin, each health unit or center had to receive the necessary materials and the personnel had to review the methods for the correct filling in of the data forms and the correct method for preparing the stool and urine specimens. The up-dating of the family list was always the first activity, followed by the selection of the families. In order to obtain the cooperation of the village members, a meeting was held with the village council, the local physician and the field supervisor, to explain the purpose of the survey and solicit the aid of the council to overcome any difficulties. On occasion, the director-general of the governorate attended these meetings. Only after the personnel at the health unit or center showed proficiency with the different aspects of the data acquisition, was the

examination begun.

Generally, a routine was established where the sanitarian would go to the selected family's house, complete the housing form and instruct the family to go to the health unit or center the following day. The instructions included telling the family members that the information obtained would be held in strictest confidence and that specimens of stool and urine would be requested at the health unit or center. At the health unit or center, the selected family members would be given a numbered stool pan and a numbered tin cup and requested to provide a specimen of each at some point during the examination.

By obtaining the specimens from the selected family members at the health unit or center, proper identification of the specimens could be guaranteed. This is a unique feature to this survey. Scott (1937), Farooq and Nielsen (1966), and Zawahry (1963) all obtained their specimens by providing specimen containers to the heads of the household of each of the families selected.

There were exceptions, most notably at Kurta (8) in the resettled Nubian area. The water supply in the laboratory at Kurta (8) was the most inadequate of all the selected sites. The piped water supply was limited to a few hours a day and frequently failed for periods longer than 48 hours. Water rarely came to the health unit's laboratory. At Kurta (8), protected water was used first for drinking and cooking before it went for other purposes.

Because of this lack of water at the health unit, selected family members refused to give stool specimens. Under the circumstances, the methods of Scott (1937), Farooq (1966), and Zawahry (1964) were employed. Thus the correct specimen was obtained. Also, a large metal reservoir was given to the health unit laboratory so that water could be stored and available to clean equipment.

Trips to the field to initiate the survey activities were made in early April, 1976. By May, 1976 all units and centers had begun the collection of data. After the survey had begun, each field site was repeatedly visited. During these visits, additional materials were supplied, completed data forms and prepared specimens were picked up, and, if required, a reselection was made. This evaluation included the following:

- 1) a check to see if the names on the completed 01 and 02 forms corresponded to the names in the family list;
- 2) a check to see if the correct code numbers were being used;
- 3) a check to see if the code number on the specimen bottles corresponded to the code number on the family form 01;
- 4) a check to see that the housing forms 02 were being correctly completed. This was done by selecting several completed forms and going to the respective homes and seeing if the completed

forms agreed with the actual conditions;

- 5) a check to see if all the families in the village were included in the family list. This was done by going to the village and randomly selecting a house to see if the occupants were listed in the book. On no occasion was a family located that had not been included. It should be pointed out that 1) the sanitarians are well-trained in this respect; and 2) they were often residents of the village who knew the villagers well, and were actually related to many of them;
- 6) to review any problems or obstacles being met and to resolve them;
- 7) to review the general progress, and to determine if the health unit or center was on schedule. Since only part of the working day could be allotted to the examination of the family members or the environment at the health unit or center, it was requested that approximately 20 persons per day, or five to six families, be screened at a time. The examination of the families and their environment at each site, which was to be completed in about three months, was actually 95% complete after five months;
- 8) to review the method of examination of the selected family members. This was done by observing the completion of the family form 01, with the physician at the health unit or center during a visit when examinations were being carried out. First, a family that had been examined just prior to arrival was recalled and re-examined, while checking the completed form for discrepancies. Secondly, the completion of the family form was followed through on a family who had not been examined. This was especially helpful in detecting errors in obtaining and preparaing specimens. Idiosyncratic procedures were noted during this time. Those which did not in themselves affect the collection of data were usually allowed to continue, as changing procedure would risk causing errors;
- 9) confirmation of the methods used at the health unit or center for completion of the environmental data forms were reviewed in the same fashion as was employed for checking the housing forms;
- on occasion, persons or families would come to the health unit or center requesting to be included in the examination. If the person was elderly and a relative of a selected family or a village leader who had not been selected, forms were completed and specimens taken. No code numbers apart from the health unit number were given to these individuals. Data from these forms were not included;
- 11) an inventory of all the materials;
- 12) one of the most important checks was to see if the specimens of stool and urine were correctly examined, prepared and labelled. From the very first it was stressed that the right stool and the right urine be placed in the right bottle in the correct manner. (The correct procedure was outlined for the laboratory technicians

in Arabic.) This procedure was reviewed frequently at the health units and centers at the time when specimens were being provided by the selected individuals.

#### DATA MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS

For this study, 3,859 house data forms were executed for the examination of the dwelling units. An almost equal number were completed for the examination of the family. About 400 data forms were completed for the various environmental aspects of the village sites. Exactly 15,665 stool specimens were received. Ultimately, over 40,000 cards were keypunched.

When raw data in these quantities are obtained, major efforts have to be made to keep the data from being misplaced, lost, or damaged before it can be processed. This was aggravated by the distances involved between the 20 different study sites, as well as by the lack of good communication systems. Invariably, staff at the health units faced problems after actually starting the survey that were not anticipated during the training phase. Most often the problem was solved by a change in coding procedure which did not affect the final accuracy. For example, on the housing form (02), in an unanticipated situation, the correct answer required the selection of more than one number, although only a single answer had been anticipated, and only one box had been provided on the data form. The examiners simply wrote in two numbers, or whatever the combination may have been, in the given box. This alteration was easily handled when the coding sheet was designed. The process was not always as uncomplicated as this and new combinations necessitated redesigning the code sheets.

To minimize problems of data management, a complete inventory of the number and amount of materials delivered and received from each study site was kept. Before data forms were accepted, they had to be checked for completeness, consistency and accuracy.

All completed forms were packaged and delivered to the Cairo University Statistics Center. Code sheets were designed, tested, and redesigned. The final coding sheet for a particular form was reproduced at the center by offset printing.

Before transferring the data onto code forms, a code book or code key was developed for each type of data form. The questions on the family examination form 01 concerning diagnosis and medication received were the only examples of truly open questions, and required continued updating of the code book. The greater part of the coding was simply copying a selected number onto the code sheet.

Coded data were verified on a sample of forms from each site before punching. Punching formats were designed from the code sheets, i.e. data were punched directly from the code sheet. At the computer center printed listings of each site were made and checked against a sample of original data forms. For listing the data on magnetic tape, the punched cards were sorted by site, family and individual, and a file on magnetic tape was created for each category of data form.

A series of Fortran IV programs were written at the Cairo University Statistics Center for use on a Data General 'Nova' computer. These programs were for:

- 1) preparing listings of various sub-sets of data;
- 2) basic tabulations of important variables in the data set. A number of tabulations were used to follow the work in the central laboratory, and were designed specifically to detect errors and inconsistencies made by the laboratory workers;
- 3) sequencing, matching, and renumbering of specimen data;
- 4) validating and examining the consistency of coded data;
- 5) eliminating duplicates in the specimen data; and
- 6) writing the data set onto magnetic tape files.

The complete data set stored on magnetic tape was transferred to the University of Michigan's computing center for continued analysis. At the University of Michigan, the Michigan Terminal System (MTS) and the Michigan Interactive Data Analysis System (MIDAS) were used to:

- 1) re-edit various sub-sets of data based on the results of verification programs run in Egypt;
- match and merge the data from the family examination with the data from the specimen forms and housing forms for the creation of a master data file; and
- 3) to complete, following step number two, the descriptive analysis and the assessment of relationships between variables in the data were completed.

## Adjustment Scheme

Since the sampling fraction and the age structure of the sample varied from study site to study site, an estimate of prevalence made by simply adding together all those infected and dividing by the total number sampled in a given area, for example in Kafr El Sheikh, would be incorrectly weighted. To adjust for this, a procedure was formulated using a series of MIDAS commands. An estimated number infected was calculated for each age-sex group for each site in a given area of study. The age-sex specific prevalence at each site was used to make these estimates. The estimated numbers infected in each age group for each site were added together and divided by the sum total of the population of all villages studied in the area. This result was the adjusted age-specific prevalence. The sum of all these estimated to be infected, divided by the total population from all sites, equalled the overall adjusted prevalence for a given area. Sex-specific adjusted prevalences were calculated using the same procedure, but selecting only male or female cases.

#### CHAPTER V

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### PARASITIC INFECTIONS

The general overall crude prevalence in the samples of the four major rural study areas; the north central delta, Upper-Middle Egypt, Upper Egypt, and New Nubia has been determined. Table 9 is a master table showing the percent positive by each village site for all parasites screened for in the examination of the stool. This table also includes data obtained on the presence and type or absence of a latrine and whether or not it was used, and data on the number of persons per house. A separate table, Table 10, was prepared to show the distribution of water supply in the different study areas or regions. The number of persons per standpipe (a public spigot) by village, however, is given in Table 9.

It should be noted that the different categories in Table 10 are not mutually exclusive. Each cell in the table could reach 100%. The percentages shown simply indicate a preference of water source. For example; in the first column, 90% of the population in Kafr El Sheikh stated that their drinking water was obtained from public standpipes; 19.4% cited the canal as a source or at least 9.4% obtained drinking water from both sources. (When significant tests were used to determine if the source of water was related to the prevalence of a specific parasite, this "overlap" was corrected for by selecting a program which created exclusive categories and eliminated cases which had claimed more than one source.)

The results of Table 10 clearly demonstrate the demand for protected water sources. The corresponding figures in Table 9 show the number of persons per standpipe and reveal the intense use placed on these sources. The lowest number of persons per standpipe was 85; some villages had no piped sources at all, and the overall means of 407 persons per standpipe in the downstream study sites is somewhat higher than that suggested by Furina (1975). Obviously such an intense competition for protected water supplies limit its usefulness and potential benefit. Although the data in <u>Table 11</u> do not strongly suggest a relationship between the prevalence of the helminthic and protozoan parasites, except for the schistosomes, every attempt should be made to improve and expand this service.

The infection of the sample with helminthic parasites was found to be remarkably low, as can be seen in Table 9. The prevalence of hookworm, H. nana (dwarf tapeworm), Taenia (beef or pork tapeworm), and Enterobius (pinworm) were low in all study areas including the Nubians. Ascaris was only moderately elevated in the Nile Delta study sites (8.4%) but not elsewhere. The Entamoeba infections were by far the most prevalent and were found consist-

TABLE 9 Water Supply, Sanitation and Prevalence of Parasitism in Rural Egypt

	***************************************									***************************************		
	NILE	1	Kafr El She.	ikh)		E		MIDDLE	NILE (Beni Suef)	_		
Variable	El Agazein 16	El Hamra 17	Manalet Man a El Kasab Mou 18 l	Mahalet Moussa 19	Sheno 20	TOTAL Delta	Barout 11	Shrier Pasha 12	Naiim 13	Beni Adi 14	Ashmant 15	TOTAL Middle Nile
Ascaris lumbricoides	8.4	4.1	19.1	7.3	8.9	±.	1.3	1.0	3.6	3.7	0.3	2.1
Ancylostoma doudenale	0.7	1.5	0.8	1.8	2.9	1.5	0.3	4.1	0.3	5.0	5.3	3.3
Chilomastix mesnili	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.3	9.0	0.1	0.3	0.5	<b>†</b> .0
Dientamoeba fragilis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Endolimax nana	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.0	٠.0	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.3
Entamoeba hartmanni	1.2	2.3	0.9	9.0	1.2	1.1	0.7	1.3	7.0	1.8	2.0	1.8
Entamoeba coli	46.2	38.4	27.8	32.6	45.5	39.2	52.0	53.1	6.64	6.64	23.8	8.44
Entamoeba histolytica	5.6	6.1	3.8	3.6	2.9	4.5	5.7	7.0	4.5	5.9	5.9	5.8
Enterobius vermicularis	0.5	1.6	6.0	0.8	2.5	1.3	3.0	6.4	1.3	1.3	0.5	2.1
Fasciola gigante	0.2	7.0	0.5	0.0	7.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fasciola hepatica	0.0	<b>↑.</b> 0	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1
Giardia lamblia	12.0	9.4	2.5	6.3	4.7	6.2	0.9	3.2	3.8	2.7	3.1	3.5
Hymenolepis nana	1.8	5.6	1.3	3.6	2.8	2.3	1.0	1.4	1.3	1.0	0.3	1.0
Heterophyes heterophyes	0.1	0,5	6.0	0.0	7.0	<b>7.</b> 0	0.4	0.3	7.0	0.5	9.0	8.0
Iodamoeba butschlii	9.0	1.3	0.3	9.0	1.0	0.9	0.7	2.1	0.7	1.3	0.5	1.0
Schistosoma haematobium	52.8	34.0	21.2	11.4	19.0	28.5	27.5	16.9	37.3	29.4	27.4	26.7
Schistosoma mansoni	28.4	24.2	15.4	13.7	14.2	19.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Strongyloides stercoralis	0.2	0.1	0.3	77.0	0.1	0.5	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.5
Taenia sp.	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
Trichomanas homilis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Trichostrongylus sp.	9.0	2.3	0.8	1.0	0.8	1.1	0.0	9.0	4.0	0.5	9.0	0.5
Trichuris trichiura	0.5	1.6	0.9	9.0	2.5	1.3	3.0	6.4	1.3	1.3	0.5	2.1
Persons/Standpipe	207.0	420.0	508.0	540.0	262.0	299.0	0.0	827.0	201.0	968.0	712.0	928.0
Borehole latrine	1.7	0.7	12.5	6.8	6.3	5.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	11.8	6.0	2.5
Unlined pit latrine	79.6	65.5	76.3	41.1	76.6	0.69	81.0	64.7	71.7	17.6	98.3	82.2
Lined pit latrine	18.8	27.5	11.2	52.1	16.6	24.2	9.5	5.3	28.3	70.6	6.0	16.0
Cesspool/Septic tank	1.0	0.0	0.5	9.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	3.9	10.5	0.0	0.0	9.6
Latrine not used	0.5	9.0	1.3	17.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	3.7	3.9	5.7	0.0	3.2
No latrine present	1.5	13.0	19.7	9.8	8.8	10.4	75.0	67.5	75.7	82.1	9.5	9.49
Persons per household	(a)	9	80	9	9	6.2	ဖ	7	9	ر د	91	9
Rooms per house	ო	7	<b>#</b>	9	#	3.8	က	ო	ო	2	s.	3.2

TABLE 9 (continued)

		UPPER	UPPER NILE (Aswan)	wan)				NE	NEW NUBIA (	(Aswan)		
Variable	Kazan		Abu			TOTAL						TOTAL
	Sharq 1	Guzaira 2	Rish 3	Ga'afra 4	Bimban 10	Upper Nile	Ballana 5	Tuska 6	Malki 7	Kurta 8	Kalabsha 9	Nubia
			- 1									
Ascaris lumbricoides	ς,	-	ď	4	6		α.	,	0	ď	,	,
Ancylostoma doudenale				+ ı	3 1	÷ :	•	7.7				
Chilomastix mesnili	0.1	e.0	0.0	0.5	1.2	±.0	0.0	<b>寸.</b> 0	0.5	<b>≠</b> .	0.2	0.3
Dientamocha francia	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.5	9.0	0.0	<b>≠</b> .0	0.0	0.3
Fudolimas name	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fritzmort haria	0.0	0.8	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
Futamoeba Harrimanni	1.0	3.0	0.5	1.4	6.0	1.5	1.2	1.8	1.0	0.2	1.0	1.1
Entamoba biotalisti	30.2	42.5	37.1	22.7	42.7	34.8	52.8	46.5	43.0	42.1	4°65	9.84
Entempting mistory inca	5.1	8.6	6.2	2.1	9.4	5.5	6.3	6.9	7.9	77.8	8.6	7.5
Facciola giganto	1.2	0.7	7.4	0.7	1.2	1.0	1.4	2.5	1.7	<b>†</b> .0	9.0	1.4
Facciola honation	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Giandia lamblia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	<b>↑.</b> 0	0.0	0.1
Hymenolenis nene	6.3	12.1	11.2	0.4	12.2	0.6	11.5	0.1	8.9	5.6	7.5	9.7
Heteronhyes heteronhing	<b>7.</b> 6	6.4	5.2	2.8	2.3	5.2	5.0	8.5	9.9	3.9	2.3	5.5
Todamoeha hutschlii	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	<b>↑.</b> 0	0.5	0.0	0.1
Schistosoma haematchium	1.2	1.3	1.4	0.3	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.5	2.7	1.6
Schistosoma manson;	6.9	0.2	4.2	5,9	24.8	4.2	5.8	3.7	2.0	1.7	32.8	8.8
Strongy loides stemonalis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Taenia so	0.3	0.5	7.4	0.0	2.1	0.7	0.5	0.1	1.2	1.3	9.8	2.1
Trichomanas homilis	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Trichostnongrius	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Trichinis triching	1.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.5	9.0	0.2
Persons/Standpine	1.2	0.7	1.4	0.7	1.2	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1
Borehole lathine	0.0	503.0	638.0	85.0	0.0	244.0	474.0	295.0	195.0	735.0	0.644	365.0
[h]ined.mit latming	23.1	5.5	0.0	7.8	1.1	12.5	2.1	0.0	0.5	1.7	1.0	1.0
Lined wit lateday	69.2	0.48	43.8	90.2	0.0	0.09	3.7	5.6	0.5	97.8	99.0	40.5
Cesspool/Centin that	7.7	12.5	56.3	2.0	6.86	32.0	94.1	97.4	0.66	0.0	0.0	58.5
(athing not used	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No lettie not used	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	4.1	0.0	1.0
Persons new household	34.7	3,3	85.0	59.2	49.5	42.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Booms non heart	S.	S	9	<b>\$</b>	<b>±</b>	4.7	9 <b>.</b> †	4.6	4.1	2.3	3.8	9°0
Benott Jad Succession	ო	<b>±</b>	9	S	7	3,9	3.2	3.1	2.9	3,3	2.7	3.0

TABLE 10 Water Supply By Use and Study Area

	PERCEN	TAGE DISTRIBUT	ION
WATER USE AND SOURCE	Nile Delta Kafr El Sheikh	Middle Nile Beni Suef	Upper Nile Aswan
	1		
Drinking - courtyard tap	4.2	0.3	0.0
public standpipe	90.0	8.3	61.3
handpump-yard	0.0	1.3	10.9
handpump-outside	0.0	15.9	3.1
canal or drain	19.4	0.8	0.6
Nile	0.0	0.0	24.3
Bathing - courtyard tap	4.2	0.2	0.0
public standpipe	78.0	8.2	61.3
handpump-yard	0.0	1.1	10.9
handpump-outside	0.0	15.3	3.1
canal or drain	66.5	1.8	0.6
Nile	0.0	0.0	24.3
Laundry - courtyard tap	2.2	0.2	0.0
public standpipe	62.7	5.4	61.3
handpump-yard	0.0	1.1	10.9
handpump-outside	0.0	11.9	3.1
canal or drain	64.3	7.4	0.6
Nile	0.0	0.0	24.3
Utensils - courtyard tap	1.8	0.2	0.0
public standpipe	61.8	4.9	61.3
handpump-yard	0.0	1.1	10.9
handpump-outside	0.0	11.8	3.1
canal or drain	63.5	6.6	0.6
Nile	0.0	0.0	24.3
Animals - courtyard tap	0.6	0.0	NI*
public standpipe	2.6	0.1	
handpump-yard	0.0	0.0	
handpump-outside	0.0	0.7	
canal or drain	61.2	8.1	
Nile	0.0	0.0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The different categories are not mutually exclusive. \*No information

TABLE 11

Relation of Water Supply to Parasites in the Nile Delta (Kafr Fl Sheikh), 1976

		PERCENT	PREVALEN	CE BY WATER	
INFECTION	WATER SUPPLY	Drinking	Bathing	Laundry	Utensil Cleaning
Ascaris 1	umbricoides				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	7.1 11.5 13.7	7.1 11.2 14.0	7.1 11.9 12.3	7.1 11.6 12.9
Ancylosto	ma duodenale				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	0.0 0.8 4.2*	0.0 0.4 4.7*	0.0 0.4 4.1*	0.0 0.4 4.0*
Entamoeba	coli				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	42.9 32.1 33.7	42.9 32.0 33.6	42.9 31.1 35.2	42.9 30.9 35.5
Entamoeba	histolytica				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	7.1 2.3 4.2	7.1 2.4 3.7	7.1 2.6 3.3	7.1 2.6 3.2
Giardia la	amblia				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	0.0 5.3 4.2	0.0 5.6 3.7	0.0 4.7 5.7	0.0 4.7 5.6
Schistoso	ma haematobium				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	13.3 19.2 33.3	13.3 20.0 32.8	13.3 18.2 34.6	13.3 18.0 34.6
Schistoso	ma mansoni				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	6.7 12.3 24.7	6.7 12.8 21.4	6.7 11.9 22.1	6.7 11.7 22.0

<sup>\*</sup>Significant, chi square 0.05 or less.

ently high in all study sites.

It became apparent very early in the examination of the stool specimens that the helminthic infections, Ascaris and Ancylostoma were being detected at a frequency much lower than expected. A quick glance at Table 2 shows that both infections were much higher in the past. The expectation that higher frequencies should be observed resulted in a close evaluation of the MIFC technique and its employment. The MIFC protocol was reviewed as well as the cytological features of the respective ova. Both aspects were found to be correctly used, as neither method truly qualifies as a technically difficult procedure. Furthermore, the genus Entamoeba was readily and frequently identified in the specimens as well as the helminth S. mansoni. Specimens obtained elsewhere and known to be positive for either Ascaris or Ancylostoma were correctly identified without fail using the MIFC procedure. The conclusion is that these two helminthic infections, Ascaris and Ancylostoma, have decreased in our sample sites over the period of a decade. (The time span between this study and the most recent historical data is 10 years.)

As was pointed out, direct comparisons between prevalence studies in Egypt are limited. However, the differences seen between this study and past studies in regard to these two helminthic infections is dramatic and too great to be explained on methodological differences alone. The past evidence clearly points to a much higher prevalence. Assuming that the sample sites studied here were not freakishly typical, and the prevalence for these two parasites have indeed fallen, then the most probable explanation for decreases in the infections is the wholesale distribution of pharmaceuticals such as piperazine. All rural health centers and units stock this drug and prescribe it frequently at little or no cost to the patient. Furthermore, this drug was frequently sited on the data forms as having been prescribed within the previous year of the study.

The exposed foot is the classic portal of entry by the infective larvae of Ancylostoma. For a number of years now, cheap plastic footwear has been available to the population in general and especially to the lower social-economic classes. The use of this footwear breaks the hookworm transmission cycle. The readily observable use of plastic footwear, of any kind, throughout rural Egypt has no doubt contributed to a decline in the prevalence of this infection.

There were significant differences between study sites in a given area. Notable is the hookworm distribution in Aswan where the non-desert villages of Bimban (10) had more hookworm (1.2%) than any of the other "desert type" villages. Also interesting is the decrease in Ascaris infection outside the delta. Although the prevalences are much lower, the distribution still parallels that found previously by Scott (1937a), i.e. decreasing from north to south. No significant differences were seen between sexes or age for Ascaris infections. Prevalence in the very young ages were as high as the older ages. Unique age or sex distributions were not noted for any of the parasites investigated. (The tables depicting age-sex prevalence relationships and other descriptive aspects are included in an appendix for tables.) This rather unusual arrangement for tables was prepared because of the very large number of tables prepared for this report, greater than one hundred. The

vast majority of these tables are basically descriptive and include tables with information on the environmental parameters that were also measured. Specific information sought for from these tables should be made by subject as each table's heading is indexed on the front pages of the table appendix.

The presence of a latrine was significantly associated with a reduced prevalence in Ascaris infection, Kafr El Sheikh and Beni Suef, but not in Aswan. Table 12 shows these results in addition to five other selected parasites. Generally, the results were not encouraging. Only in one other case was the presence of a latrine favorable, i.e. for E. coli infections in Beni Suef.

The high prevalence of the Entamoeba species indicates that personal hygiene for the populations sampled is still inadequate. The quality of water supply did not relate well to the prevalence of these organisms either. (See table appendix on parasitic infections and water supplies.) This may be a reflection of limited water supply and use.

TABLE 12

Relationship Between Latrine and Infection With Selected Parasites in the Three "Downstream" Study Areas

	V 5 72	er 111	PERCEN			
PARASITE		Sheikh rine No	Lat	Suef rine No		wan rine No
. lumbricoides	8.0	12.0	0.7	3.2	3.9	2.1
ncylostoma	1.4	1.1	4.0	2.6	0.3	0.3
. nana	2.4	1.1	1.0	1.2	5.7	4.7
. lamblia	6.5	5.1	3.5	3.4	10.9	6.4
Entamoeba coli	40.5	33.0	39.4	49.4	37.2	31.4
E. histolytica	4.5	3.7	6.0	5.4	7.2	5.2

Table 13 shows the percentage of persons infected with any single parasite and any two or more parasites. The sampled population from Beni Suef had consistently higher numbers of positives than the other two downstream locations sampled.

Table 14 is a summary of the percent positive in all four study areas. The table was constructed to give the reader a quick overview of the findings for eight of the more prominent parasitic infections screened for in this study.

TABLE 13

Multiparasitic Infections in the Study Areas

NUMBER OF	PER	CENT POSITIVE/	CASES
INFECTIONS	Kafr El Sheikh	Beni Suef	Aswan
Any one parasite	42.7/1557	53.2/1518	42.7/1227
Any two parasites	20.1/ 731	44.5/1271	15.6/ 449
Any three parasites	5.2/ 188	14.5/ 414	3.7/ 107
Any four parasites	0.9/ 31	3.2/ 91	1.0/ 28
Any five parasites	0.1/ 5	0.4/ 11	0.1/ 4

TABLE 14

Percent Positive of Selected Parasites By Study Area

PARASITE	Kafr El Sheikh	AREA Beni Suef	Aswan	Nubia
Ascaris lumbricoides	8.4	2.1	3.1	2.3
Enterobius vermiculari	<u>s</u> 1.3	2.1	1.0	1.4
Ancylostoma duodenale	1.5	3.3	0.4	0.3
Taenia sp.	0.1	l case	2 cases	s 0.0
Hymenolepis nana	2.3	1.0	5.2	5.5
Giardia lamblia	6.2	3.5	9.0	9.7
Entamoeba histolytica	4.5	5.8	5.5	7.5
Entamoeba coli	39.2	44.8	34.8	48.6

The results of the Nubian study were based on methodologies and sampling sites used by Zawahry in 1964 (as described in the methods section) just prior to their relocation in Kom Ombo. This design was selected in order to strengthen the validity of comparisons between the results of these two studies.

Table 15 shows the prevalence for the same eight parasites mentioned above and the respective prevalence levels before and after relocation. No

cases of hookworm infection were seen in Old Nubia (Table 2). Seven cases were detected by this study; perhaps as results of the increase in sample size over the one in 1964 by a factor of four.

TABLE 15

A Comparison of Parasite Prevalence (%)
Before and After Resettlement in Nubia

PARASITE	1964*	1976
Ascaris lumbricoides	6.6	2.3
Hymenolepis nana	7.7	5.5
Enterobius vermicularis	0.1	1.4
Taenia sp.	0.1	0.0
Entamoeba histolytica	3.2	7.5
Entamoeba coli	34.3	48.6
Giardia lamblia	2.7	9.7

<sup>\*</sup> After Zawahry, 1964.

Overall, there was very little meaningful change in the prevalence of these parasitic infections. Except for <a href="Entamoeba">Entamoeba</a>, the prevalence levels were favorably low before and after resettlement. It was expected that the increased crowding necessitated by the resettlement plan would result in an increase in a number of communicable infections including the parasite diseases mentioned here. The slight increases seen in the protozoan infections may be a result of this change in living conditions. This increase can only be considered alarming if it indicates a continued upward trend. Follow-up surveillance is needed however, before this can be determined.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Critical environmental measures such as water supply and water disposal were analyzed in the previous section under Parasitic Infections in a brief attempt to establish a relationship or association between these two variables. There are other interesting descriptive features concerning the water, wastewater and other environmental variables that were measured and should be elaborated on. (The details of the environmental data can be found in the appendix for tables; subheading Environmental Measures.)

The outstanding features, however, are the fact that protected water supplies are present in almost every Egyptian village, and more rural houses

in the sample had a latrine than did not. Two of the three village standpipes relied heavily on ground water raised by handpumps. Only the villages of Kazan Sharq (1) did not have some type of protected source and were forced to carry from the river bank. Even here, public standpipes were being installed as the field activities for this study were closing in the fall of 1976.

#### THE NUBIANS

In terms of water, wastewater and housing, the Nubians benefited by their relocation to Kom Ombo enormously. All the new houses have electricity or have readily available points for connections into the village supply. Many homes, especially in Kurta (8), sprout television antennae. (Most villages of rural Egypt now have at least one television set, usually located at a village tea house. Small cheap battery-driven radios are also common and provide the creative environmental health worker with an important mechanism for the development of environmental health education and awareness.) All Nubian homes have latrines and the only source of water for the new Nubian homes is from public standpipes. Furthermore, the Nubains now have access to the medical care system through the rural health centers and units found throughout the resettlement area. Schools, social centers and club buildings have also been provided. The mere fact that the Nubians are no longer a remote population, difficult to travel to, and remote from the mainstream of Egypt's overall development, is an environmental improvement in itself. It is unfortunate that many Nubians have voiced a desire to return to old Nubia by establishing new settlements along the shores of Lake Nasser. To do so would: (1) dangerously expose them to schistosomiasis transmission via the Lake Nasser fishermen who have been found to have a high prevalence of this infection in their own groups (Dazo and Biles, 1971); and (2) to provide a mechanism of malaria transmission from the Sudan into the downstream populations of rural Egypt (not to mention the devastating effect malaria would no doubt have on their own populations).

# THE DOWNSTREAM STUDY SITES

Upper-Middle Egypt (Beni Suef) had more persons per standpipe and fewer homes with latrines than did the other two downstream study areas, 928 and 64.6% respectively. It is interesting that the multiparasitic infections (Table 13) are higher in this area also.

The typical village house in the three downstream study sites was made of mud brick with a packed earth floor. Stone, red brick, or mud and red brick were also common. Few houses examined stood alone. Many were bound on three sides (65.2% in El Hamra (17), Kafr El Sheikh) and reflect the close housing patterning necessitated by a limited amount of agricultural land, characteristic of Egyptian villages, towns and cities. Actually, crowding (persons per room) is less severe in the rural areas than in the urban ones. (Obtained from the results of comparing figures from this study with data collected by the 1960 census; Capmas.) Although conditions have changed since 1960, the direction has been entirely toward the urban centers. Severe crowding conditions in the home could not be described for Aswan and Nubia even if the rooms of these homes were small. The stable (used for chickens,

rabbits, ducks, goats, etc.), when located within the confines of the house (typically adjoining the courtyard), is a serious environmental hazard. Most homes in Kafr El Sheikh or Aswan had them inside. The Nubian homes were designed with inside stables.

The stable floors are intense fly-breeding areas and are used in some homes as a handy and secluded location for defecating (Headlee, 1933). It is obvious that the transmission of a number of communicable diseases, including several infections not measured by this study, could be enhanced by the presence of the stable. The stable is an important site for improvement and must be included in environmental health programs. Currently, they are not.

Related to the stable and domestic animals is cooking with dried dung cakes. A detailed assessment was made on what different types of fuels were used for cooking. Dung alone was used most frequently in Beni Suef, and dung in combination with some other fuel (kerosene or charcoal) was by far the most commonly sited source of cooking fuel. Fewer studied homes in Aswan cooked with dung than in the other downstream sites. It appeared that if other cooking fuel, besides dung, could be obtained it was preferred. Every attempt should be made to help the villager exercise this preference.

Animal waste may frequently be dumped in the street, in the yard or stable (where it originated), or sometimes in the canals. The street, where all village household wastewater is disposed, also is the most common site for dumping animal waste material. In the dry, hot south of Upper Egypt, desication remedies a sizable proportion of this problem. In the delta, especially during the wetter months, the unpaved village streets may become almost septic and impassable.

An encouragable number of homes were painted (inside, outside, or both) and many had electricity. The villages of Aswan, of course, benefitted most from the nearby location of the High Dam, but the results indicate that rural electrification programs are extensive and most likely growing. There was at least one or more television sets in every village.

The pit latrine was the type of latrine most frequently seen and by far the major of these latrines were sited as inside the house. Only in Bimban (10) were latrines most often located in the stable. Some had latrines and did not use them, especially in Mahalet Moussa (19), Kafr El Sheikh (17.0%).

The shortage of water for the home is interesting. Throughout the country-side, and seen also in urban locations where people congregated, are zirs or large earthenware water containers. These containers hold about 20 liters, have tapering pointed bottoms, and are slightly porous. Because of the pointed ends, various types of support structure are necessary, for the vessel will not otherwise stand. Furthermore, the vessel is supported so that the pointed tip does not touch the ground. The zir when full, "sweats", that is, small amounts of water seep through the pores and blankets the vessel in a wet film of water that collects at the pointed tip and is used for drinking. The "sweating" also provides a measure of coolness to the container due to rapid evaporation in the hot and dry climate of Egypt.

Water for drinking is also obtained directly from within by, more often than not, a tin can. Some zirs have lids.

Over 95% of the homes studied had zirs and used them to store water collected either from standpipes, handpumps, canals or other sources. Even homes with piped in water supplies (for example, a single tap in the courtyard) would use the zir to store the family's water supply. One might wonder why water would be stored in an earthenware zir when a piped source is available. The reasons are (1) because the water supply via the pipe is erratic; (2) the water from the zir is cooler; and (3) in the opinion of the rural Egyptian who has been drinking from the zir all of his or her life-water tastes best from a zir. All water that comes into the house, where it was used for drinking, cooking, washing, laundry, etc., passes through the zir storage container. From personal observation, it seemed that bigger houses and families had more zirs. The investigators were often told that the dried pit of the apricot would be ground into powder and added to the turbid water of the zir, apparently as a coagulant. These points are made because any health improvement program must consider the hygienic characteristics of the zir, and the role it plays in the rural Egyptian household.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdallah, A. 1973. A Resume of Some Pilot Control Projects Carried Out in Egypt in the Past 20 Years. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 48:290-307.
- Abdel-Salam, E. and Abdel-Fattah, M. 1972. Prevalence and Morbidity of Schistosoma haematobium in Egyptian Children. A Controlled Study.

  American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 26(3):463-469.
- Alamy, M.A. and Cline, B.L. 1977. Prevalence and Intensity of Schistosoma aematobium and S. mansoni Infections in Qalyub, Egypt. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 26(3):470-472.
- Amin, H. and Zaghloul, H.F. 1959. The Present Status and Programs of Environmental Sanitation in Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 34(1):1-35.
- Ansari, N. 1973. Epidemiology and Control of Schistosomiasis (Bilharziasis).

  Baltimore: University Park Press. 1973.
- Attiah, M.A.; Kholy, A.M.; and Omran, A.R. 1962. Computed Incidence in Chronic Diseases by Applying the Life Table Approach to Prevalence Data. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 37:217-239.
- Ayad, N. 1966. The National Campaign Against Bilharziasis in the United Arab Republic. Pflanzenschutz-Nachrichten. 19:1-6.
- Ayad, N. 1969. The Anti-Bilharzial Campaign in the U.A.R. Proceedings of the First National Symposium on Bilharziasis. The Ministry of Scientific Research, Cairo, U.A.R.
- Azim, M.A. 1935. The Epidemiology and Endemiology of Schistosomiasis in Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Medical Association. 18:215-227.
- Bachmann, G. 1965. Assignment Report: Sewerage, Sewage Purification and Pumping Stations in the U.A.R., 1965. (EM/ES/70.4.65).
- Barlow, C.H. 1939. Seasonal Incidence of Infestation of the Snail Hosts with Larval Hurran Schistosomes. American Journal of Hygiene. 30:73-81.
- Bell, D.R.; Farooq, M.; Samaan, S.A.; Mallah, M.B.; and Jarockiy, L. 1967. Transmission of Urinary Schistosomiasis After the Introduction of Snail Control. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Parasitiology. 61: 422-428.

- Blagg, W.; Schloegel, E.L.; Mansour, N.S.; and Khalaf, G.I. 1955. A New Concentration Technique for the Demonstration of Protozoa and Helminth Ova in Feces. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 4:23-28.
- Bruijning, C.F.A. 1971. Water, Health, and Economic Progress. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 65:47-52.
- Cahill, K.M.; et al. 1966. Leishmaniasis in Egypt, UAR. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 60(1):79-82.
- CAPMAS. 1960. Census of Population. Volume II. General Tables. United Arab Republic, Department of Statistics and Census, Cairo, UAR.
- CAPMAS. 1976. The Preliminary Results of the Several Population and Housing Census, 22-23 November 1976 in Egypt. Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics, Cairo, UAR.
- Carter, L.J. 1969. Development in Poor Nations: How to Avoid Fouling the Nest. Science. 163:1046-1048.
- Chandler, A.C. 19. An Evaluation of the Effects After Two Years of Sanitary Improvement in an Egyptian Village. Journal of the Royal Egyptian Medical Association. 36:257-265.
- Cheever, A.W. 1968. "A Quantitative Post Mortem Study of Schistosomiasis mansoni in Man." American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 17:38-64.
- Cheever, A.W.; Kamel, I.A.; Elwi, A.M.; Mosimann, J.E.; and Danner, R. 1977.

  Schistosoma mansoni and S. haematobium Infections in Egypt. II. Quantitative Parasitological Findings at Necropsy. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 26(4):702-726.
- Dawood, M.M. 1951. Bilharziasis in Bilad El Nuba in Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Medical Association. 34:660.
- Dazo, B.C. and Biles, J.E. 1970. Schistosomiasis in the Kainji Lake Area, Nigeria. Report on a survey made in 1970. WHO/SCHISTO/72.21.
- Dazo, B.C. and Biles, J.E. 1971. The Schistosomiasis Situation in the Lake Nasser Area, A.R.E. WHO/SCHISTO/72.23.
- Dazo, B.C. and Biles, J.E. 1971a. Follow-up Studies on the Epidemiology of Schistosomiasis in the Kainji Lake Area, Nigeria. WHO/SCHISTO/73.29
- Dazo, B.C. and Biles, J.E. 1972. The Present Status of Schistosomiasis in the Nile Valley North of the Aswan High Dam. Unpublished Document. PD/72.14.
- Dazo, B.C. and Biles, J.E. 1972a. Investigations on Schistosomiasis in Ghana. Report on a visit to Project IR-0659. PD/72.12.

- Dazo, B.C.; Hairston, N.G.; and Dawood, I.K. 1966. The Ecology of <u>Bulinus</u> truncatus and Biomphalaria <u>alexandria</u> and its Implications for the Control of Bilharziasis in the Egypt-49 Project Area. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:339-356.
- Dawood, I.K.; Dazo, B.C.; and Farooq, M. 1966. Large-scale Application of Bayluscide and Sodium Pentachlorophenate in the Egypt-49 Project Area. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:357-367.
- Dimmette, R. Metal. 1956. Survey of an Egyptian Village Infected with S. haematobium. American Journal Clinical Pathology. 25:1032-1042.
- EMH. 1975. Fayoum Control Project for Bilharziasis.
- EMPH. 1955. Results of a Country-wide Schistosomiasis Survey by Wright, W. H. in Epidemiology and Control of Schistosomiasis (Bilharziasis), ed. Ansari, N. Baltimore: University Park Press. pp. 42-48.
- Fahim, H.M. 1974. The New Nubian Resettlement in Egypt: A Descriptive Report Field Research Projects. Coconut Grove, Miami, Florida.
- Farid, M.A. 1975. The Aswan High Dam Development Project in Man-made Lakes and Human Health, ed. Stanley, N.F. and Alpers, M.P. London: Academic Press. pp. 89-102.
- Farooq, M. 1964. New Partnership in Schistosomiasis Control: Socioeconomic Overplays with Bilharzia. Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 67:265.
- Farooq, M. 1966. Importance of Determining Transmission Sites in Planning Bilharziasis Control. American Journal of Epidemiology. 83:603.
- Farooq, M. 1967. Progress in Bilharziasis Control: The Situation in Egypt. WHO Chronicle. 21:175-184.
- Farooq, M. 1973. Historical Development in <u>Epidemiology and Control of Schistosomiasis</u> (<u>Bilharziasis</u>), ed. Ansari, N. <u>Baltimore</u>: <u>University Park Press. pp. 1-16.</u>
- Farooq, M. and Hairston, N.G. 1966. The Epidemiology of Schistosoma naematobium and S. mansoni Infections in the Egypt-49 Project Area. IV. Measurement of the Incidence of Bilharziasis. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:331-338.
- Farooq, M.; Hairston, N.G.; and Samaan, S.A. 1966. The Effect of Area-wide Snail Control on the Endemicity of Bilharziasis in Egypt. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:369-375.
- Farooq, M. and Mallah, M.B. 1966. The Behavioural Pattern of Social and Religious Water-contact Activities in the Egypt-49 Bilharziasis Project Area. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:377-387.

- Farooq, M.; Samaan, S.A.; and Nielsen, J. 1966b. Assessment of Severity of Disease Caused by S. haematobium and S. mansoni in the Egypt-49 Project Area. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:389-404.
- Farooq, M.; Nielsen, J.; Samaan, S.A.; Mallah, M.B.; and Allam, A.A. 1966.

  The Epidemiology of Schistosoma haematobium and S. mansoni Infections in the Egypt-49 Project Area: 2. Prevalence of Bilharziasis in Relation to Personal Attributes and Habits. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:293-318.
- Farooq, M.; Nielsen, J.; Samaan, S.A.; Mallah, M.B.; and Allam, A.A. 1966a.

  The Epidemiology of Schistosoma haematobium and S. mansoni Infections in the Egypt-49 Project Area: 3. Prevalence of Bilharziasis in Relation to Certain Environmental Factors. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:319-330.
- Farooq, M. and Nielsen, J. 1966. The Epidemiology of Schistosoma haemato-bium and S. mansoni Infections in the Egypt-49 Project Area: 1.

  Sampling Techniques and Procedures for Measuring the Prevalence of Bilharziasis. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:281-292.
- Fernea, R.A. and Gerster, G. 1973. <u>Nubians in Egypt. Peaceful People</u>.

  Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Fogel, L.J.; Sullivan, D.; and Maxfield, M. 1972. Epidemiological Consequences of Schistosomiasis in Egypt. Washington, D.C.
- Freeman, P.H. 1974. The Environmental Impact of a Large Tropical Reservoir: Guidelines for Policy and Planning: Based on a Case Study of Lake Volta, Ghana in 1973 and 1974. Office of International and Environmental Programs, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.
- Furnia, A.H. 1975. Syncrisis: The Dynamics of Health XVI: The Arab Republic of Egypt. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Public Health Service. Office of International Health, Division of Program Analysis.
- Gilles, H.M.; Zaki, A.A.; Soussa, M.H.; Samaan, S.A.; Soliman, S.S.; Hassan, A.; and Barbosa, F. 1973. Results of a Seven Year Snail Control Project on the Endemicity of Schistosoma haematobium Infection in Egypt. American Journal Tropical Medicine and Parasitology. 67(1): 45-65.
- Gremliza, F.G.L. 1965. A Method for Measuring the Quality of Village Conditions in Less Developed Rural Areas. American Journal of Public Health. 55:107-115.
- Halawani, A. 1957. Mass Treatment and Control Service of Schistosomiasis and Endemic Diseases in Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 32:123-135.

- Hairston, N.G. 1965. An Analysis of Age Prevalence Data by Catalytic Models. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 33:163-175.
- Hamman, H.M.; Allam, F.A.; Hassanein, F.; and El Garby, M.T. 1975. Relation-ship Between Pure Schistosomiasis haematobium Infection in Upper Egypt and Irrigation Systems. Gazette of the Egyptian Paediatric Association. July-Oct. 23(3+4):201-277.
- Headlee, W.H. 1933. Epidemiological Study of Helminth Infections in an Egyptian Village. Soil Pollution and Soil Infestation. American Journal of Hygiene. 18:695-711.
- Harinasuta, C.; Sormmani, S.; and Kitikoon, V. 1972. Infection of Aquatic Hydrobiid Snaills and Animals with Schistosoma japonicum-like parasites from Khang Island, Southern Laos. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 66:184.
- Hassouma, W. 1975. Beliefs, Practices, Environment and Services Affecting the Survival, Growth, and Development of Young Egyptian Children. The Egyptian Institute of National Planning, Cairo.
- Heyneman, D. 1971. Mis-aid to the Third World: Disease Repercussions Caused by Ecological Ignorance. Canadian Journal of Public Health. 62:303-313.
- Hiatt, R.A. 1976. Morbidity from Schistosoma mansoni Infections: An Epidemiologic Study Based on Quantitative Analysis of Egg Excretion in Two Highland Ethiopian Villages. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 25(6):808-817.
- Hira, P.R. 1969. Transmission of Schistosomiasis in Lake Kariba, Zambia. Nature. 224:670-672.
- Hussein, M. 1972. Unpublished mimeograph on health manpower. High Institute of Public Health, University of Alexandria, Alexandria, Egypt.
- Imevbore, A.M.A. 1975. The Kainji Dam and Health in Man-made Lakes and Human Health, ed. Stanley, N.F. and Alpers, M.P. London: Academic Press. pp.209-220.
- Jordon, P. 1972. Schistosomiasis and Disease in Schistosomiasis, ed. Miller, M.J. New Orleans: Tulane University. pp. 17-23.
- Kamal, A.M. 1952. Bored-hole Latrines in Sirs-El-Hayan District. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 31(2):53.
- Knight, W.B.; Hiatt, R.A.; Clure, B.L.; and Ritchie, L.S. 1976. A Modification of the Formal-Ether Concentration Technique for Increased Sensitivity in Detecting <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> eggs. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 25(6):818.

- Khalil, M. 1949. The National Campaign for the Treatment and Control of Bilharziasis From the Scientific and Economic Aspects. Journal of the Egyptian Medical Association. 32:817-856.
- Khalil, M. 1927. Report and Notes of the Public Health Lab No. 6, Cairo.
- Khalil, M. and Azim, M.A. 1935. The Introduction of Schistosoma Infection Through Irrigation Schemes in the Aswan Area, Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Medical Association. 18:371-496.
- Khalil, M. and Azim, M.A. 1938. Further Observations on the Introduction of Infection with <u>S. haematobium</u> Through the Irrigation Schemes in Aswan Province. Journal of the Egyptian Medical Association. 21:95-101.
- Lanoix, J.N. 1958. Relation Between Irrigation Engineering and Bilharziasis. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 18:1011-1035.
- Leiper, R.T. 1915. Report on the Results of the Bilharzia Mission in Egypt, 1915. Part I. Transmission. Journal of the Royal Army Medical Corps. 25:1-55.
- MacDonald, G. 1955. Medical Implications of the Volta River Project. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 49:13-27.
- McJuukin, F.E. 1970. Engineering Measures for Control of Schistosomiasis. Office of Health. Bureau of Technical Assistance. Agency for International Development. Washington, D.C. 20523. September, 1970.
- Messina, A.M. 1970. Planning of Environmental and Sanitary Engineering Activities in the Lake Nasser Area, June-July, 1970. EM/ES/163. October, 1970.
- Miller, F.D.; Hussein, M.; Mancy, K.H.; and Hilbert, M.S. 1978. Schistosomiasis in Rural Egypt: A Report of U.S. Egyptian River Nile and Lake Nasser Research Project. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, Georgia. Publication No. EPA-600/1-78-070.
- Mitwally, H. and El-Sharkaw, F. 1970. Methodology and Application of Measuring Housing Conditions for Rural Areas in U.A.R. and Similar Developing Countries. Part I. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 45(5):420.
- Mobarkic, E.M. 1975. Fayoum Control Project for Bilharziasis. Egyptian Ministry of Health, Cairo.
- Moser, C.A. and Kalton, G. 1972. Survey Methods in Social Investigation. New York: Basic Books, Inc. Publishers. p.467.
- Nagaty, H.F. and Rifaat, M.A. 1957. A Parasitological Survey of the Kharga and Dakhla Oases in 1952 and of the Kakhla Oases in 1955. Journal of the Egyptian Medical Association. 40:444.

- Nooman, Z.M.; Nafeh, M.A.; El-Kateb, H.; Atta, S.M.; and Ezzat, E.S. 1974. Hepatosplenic Disease Caused by Bilharzia haematobium in Upper Egypt. Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 77(2):42-48.
- Obeng, L.E. 1975. Health Problems of the Volta Lake Ecosystem in Man-made Lakes and Human Health, ed. Stanley, N.F. and Alpers, M.P. London:

  Academic Press. pp. 221-232.
- Omran, A.R.; Kholy, A.M.; and El-Sayedali, A. 1962. Epidemiological Basis of Research in Bilharziasis in Egypt. Proceeding of International Symposium on Bilharziasis, Part I. pp. 183-210.
- . 1966. Impact of Economic Development on Health Patterns in Egypt. Archives of Environmental Health. 13:117-124.
- . 1973. Egypt: Population Problems and Prospects. Chapel Hill, North Carolina: Carolina Population Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.
- Rifaat, M.A. 1964. A Parasitological Survey in Mersa-Matruah Government UAR. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 39(1):49.
- Rifaat, M.A. and Nagaty, H.F. 1970. A Survey of Parasitic Infections Including Malaria and Nutritional Diseases in Nubia Before the Construction of the High Aswan Dam. Ain Shams Medical Journal. 21(2):155.
- Rifaat, M.A.; Salem, S.A.; and Morsy, T.A. 1963. Parasitological Survey in El Waady El Gadeed, UAR. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 38:199-202.
- Rifaat, M.A.; Salem, S.A.; and Nagaty, H.F. 1964. Parasitological and Serological Surveys in Wadi El Natrum, UAR. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 39(1):17.
- Ruffer, M.A. 1910. Note on the Presence of <u>Bilharzia haematobia</u> in Egyptian Mummies of the Twenthieth Dynasty (1250-1000 B.C.). British Medical Journal. 1:16-25.
- Russell, C.S. and Landsberg, H.H. 1971. Internal Environmental Problems--a Taxonomy. Science. 172(25 June):1307-1314.
- Satti, M.H. 1969-1970. Lake Nasser Development Center (Health Aspects). November, 1969 September, 1970.
- Shawarby, A.A.; et al. 1965. Incidence of Filariasis in Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 40(4):267.
- Sherif, A.F. 1968. A New Trend for Controlling Schistosomiasis in Hyperendemic Area at Iflaka, UAR by Elimination of the Parasite from Man and Vector. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 43(1):30.

- Scott, D. and Chu, K.Y. 1974. Project IR 0658 (RAF/71/217). Research in the Epidemiology and Methodology of Control of Schistosomiasis in Man-made Lakes; Report on a Visit Made From the Project Based in Ghana to Lake Kosson in Ivory Coast MPD/24.7. WHO unpublished.
- Scott, J.A. 1937. The Incidence and Distribution of the Human Schistosomiasis in Egypt. American Journal of Hygiene. 25:566-614.
- Scott, J.A. 1937a. The Prevalence and Distribution of Hookworm Infection in Egypt. American Journal of Hygiene. 26:455-505.
- Scott, J.A. 1937b. Observations on Infection With the Common Roundworm, Ascaris lumbricoides, in Egypt. American Journal of Hygiene. 30:83.
- Scott, J.A. 1969. Schistosomiasis Control in Water Supply Sources. Journal of the American Water Works Association. 61:352-354.
- Shindy, Baligh. 1977. Personal Communication, Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture, Cairo.
- Sterling, C. 1972. Superdams: The Perils of Progress. Boston: The Atlantic Monthly Company. April. pp. 35-41.
- Tuli, R.L. 1966. Report on a WHO Mission for Public Health Aspects of Lake Nasser Development. CPD/67.3.
- van der Schalie, H. 1960. Egypt's New Dam--Asset or Liability? The Biologist. 42:63-70.
- . 1963. People and Their Snail Borne Diseases. Michigan Quarterly Review. 2:2,106-114.
- . 1972. World Health Organization Project Egypt-10: A Case History of a Schistosomiasis Control Project in The Careless Technology, ed. Faruar, M.T. and Milton, J.P. Garden City, New York: The Natural History Press.
- . 1974. Aswan Dam Revisited. Environment. 16(9):18-20, 25-26.
- Waddy, B.B. 1975. Research into the Health Problems of Man-made Lakes with Special Reference to Africa. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 69:39-50.
- Warren, K.S. 1975. Schistosomiasis: Selected Abstracts 1852-1972. Cambridge, Massachusetts and London, England: M.I.T. Press.
- Warren, K.S. and Mahmoud, A.A. 1975. Algorithms in the Diagnosis and Management of Exotic Diseases. I. Schistosomiasis. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 131(35):614-620.
- Waterbury, J. 1971. Manpower and Population Planning in the Arab Republic of Egypt. Part I.: Population Review 1971. American University Field Staff, Inc. XVII: 2.

- Waterbury, J. 1974. The Balance of People, Land and Water in Modern Egypt. American University Field Staff, Inc. XIX: 1.
- Webbe, G. 1972. Control of Schistosomiasis in Ethiopia, Sudan, and East and West African Countries in Proceedings of a Symposium on the Future of Schistosomiasis Control, ed. Miller, M.J. New Orleans, Louisiana: Tulane University.
- Webster, M.H. 1975. Medical Aspects of the Kariby Hydroelectric Scheme in Man-made Lakes and Human Health, ed. Stanley, N.F. and Alpers, M.P. London: Academic Press. pp. 69-88.
- Weir, J.M. 1969. The Unconquered Plague. Rockefeller Foundation Quarterly. 2:4-21.
- Weir, H.M.; Wasif, I.M.; Hassan, F.R.; Attia, S.D.M.; and Kader, M.A. 1952. An Evaluation of Health and Sanitation in Egyptian Villages. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 29(3):55.
- White, H.M.; Dobrovolny, C.G.; and Berry, E.G. 1958. Field Trials of Various Molluscicides (chiefly Sodium pentachlorophenate) for the Control of Aquatic Intermediate Hosts of Human Bilharziasis. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 18:963-974.
- WHO. 1967. Measurement of the Public Health. Importance of Bilharziasis. Technical Reprint Serial Number 349.
- Wright, W.H. 1972. A Consideration of the Economic Impact of Schistosomiasis. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 47:559-566.
- . 1973. Geographical Distribution of Schistosomiasis and Their Intermediate Host in Epidemiology and Control of Schistosomiasis

  (Bilharziasis), ed. Ansari, N. Baltimore: University Park Press.

  pp. 42-48.
- Zaghloul, A.Z. 1963. Rural Health Services in U.A.R. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Assocation. 38:217-242.
- Zawahry, M.M. 1962. Bilharzia in Children 0-12. Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on Bilharziasis, Part I. pp. 211-228.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1964. A Health Survey in Egyptian Nubia. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 39(5):313-340.

APPENDIX I.1

Environmental Factors

COMPOSITE TABLE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Lake Nasser -Kafr El Sheikh X Kom Ombo Aswan Luxor Beni Suef Assyut Cairo OLD NUBIA Alexandri UPPER EGYPT NILE DELTA UPPER-MIDDLE EGYPT  $^396\%$  in Bimban, which was not included in this figure,  $^{
m l}$ 60% obtained drinking water from outside handpumps 1952 1966 1976 31 52 90  $27^{2}$ 35 58 latrines % with <sup>2</sup>Data from Hassouma (1975) had access to handpumps. standpipe  $\overline{X}$  persons/ 1976 323 928 243 522 823 1952 1966 1976  $31^{1}$ Piped water % 79 88 10

Irrigation 1935 1955	1976	Population 1976	Alexandria	Kafr El Sheikh
+9	6+1	11,035,949 59.4%	NILE DELTA	
+	+		Sheni Suef	4.,
+ +	+ +	4,772,647 24.48	UPPER-	
+	+		MIDDLE EGYPT	
			Assyut	
+	+		5	
1 +	+ +	3,224,260 16.2%	UPPER EGYPT	Joxna A
				Kom Ombo
2				Aswan
+			OLD NUBIA	\\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \fr
+				J Lake Nasser
		•		

Leach plus represents the presences of perrennial irrigation schemes in a governorate. There are 6 governorates in the Nile Delta, etc. This is from 1964 data

55

APPENDIX II.1

Tabulations of Housing Variables by Study Sites, Percent of Houses with Attributes (not including the Nubian study sites)

						Ϋ́	Village Study	Study (	Site						
		Ą	Aswan				Ben	Beni Suef				Kafr El Sheikh	1 Sheil	Ą	
	-	2	8	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Construction Material															
Stone or Red Brick	10.4 8.5	8.5	62.6	62.6 0.0	2.6	7.5	10.9	2.4	4.1	4.0	25.6	4.5	10.5	23.4	11.7
Mud Brick	78.1	12.1	12.1 1.6	87.6	87.6 94.8	86.9	61.2	56.6	91.9	58.8	8.69	91.5	84.3	71.6	70.6
Wood or Reed	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
Mud and Red Brick	6.8	79.5	79.5 32.5 1.0	1.0	0.0	1.9	27.4	38.2	4.1	32.7	3.5	1.0	2.6	5.1	16.4
Red Brick and Wood or Reed	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Red Brick and Mud Brick and Wood or Reed	0.5	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mud Brick and Wood or Reed	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	1.9	0.0	3.5	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No Information	1.6	0.0	0.0	11.4	11.4 1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	2.6	0.0	0.0

II.1.2 (continued)

		Vi	Village Study Site	Study	Site			,			
	Aswan		Ben	Beni Suef				Kafr E	El Sheikh	43	
	1 2 3 4 10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Structure Attachment											
Detached	9.0 5.4 4.1 9.9 3.5	2.7	0.7	1.0	3.2	1.7	9.3	0.0	8.3	20.5	9.5
One Side Only	43.7 24.6 13.0 39.6 11.3	6.4	5.6	21.0	5.5	4.0	21.0	4.5	17.6	37.3	13.1
Two Sides	41.3 39.7 34.1 44.0 57.9	48.4	24.9	51.5	29.3	23.0	64.1	30.3	54.9	41.0	77.4
Three Sides	6.0 30.4 48.8 6.6 27.4	42.5	8.89	26.5	62.0	71.4	9.6	65.2	19.3	1.2	0.0
Staircase											
Fixed	15.7 6.7 11.5 0.0 6.7	72.0	77.0	9.89	58.2	8.65	71.7	92.4	44.2	7.8	58.3
Mobile	2.3 2.7 1.6 1.1 35.0	9.7	7.9	5.1	12.0	27.2	26.6	4.1	36.0	76.8	32.8
None	82.0 90.6 86.9 98.9 58.3	18.3	15.1	26.3	29.8	13.0	1.7	3.5	19.8	15.4	6.8
Television											
Present	5.5 16.3 0.8 3.6 1.4	4.2	3.0	3.5	0.3	3.0	5.6	8.2	4.3	4.1	0.7
Not Present	94.5 83.7 99.2 96.4 98.6	95.8	97.0	96.5	7.66	97.0	97.4	97.2	95.7	95.9	99.3

II.1.3 (continued)

15.5 26.9 73.1 79.6 73.8 63.7 57.3 73.1 7.3 89.1 93.4 4.7 10.4 0.9 20 38.1 96.8 98.2 1.8 0.5 4.4 0.0 19 Kafr El Sheikh 27.1 3.2 98.0 99.0 94.2 7.3 1.3 0.0 18 16.0 7.76 3.3 0.0 2.3 2.0 1.0 15.6 7.0 17 8.86 3.5 0.0 1.3 1.2 0.0 16 89.7 89.9 98.1 76.0 93.4 6.1 21.9 0.5 15 0.9 2.0 14 Data Not Available Beni Suef 6.0 13 2.5 7.5 12 1.9 8.4 Π 59.2 87.5 86.2 95.8 94.1 40.3 12.1 5.7 4.2 4.8 0.5 0.4 8.1 0.0 1.1 10 59.4 79.5 65.9 71.0 10.4 2.7 22.0 10.0 8.8 2.2 0.0 11.9 89.8 11.2 97.6 60.9 10.2 88.8 2.4 39.1 20.8 15.2 11.4 7.1 0.5 0.4 0.8 0.0 Aswan Inside and Outside No Information No Information Waste Container Outside Inside Ownership None Rent Stable 0wn Yes S

II.1.4 (continued)

11.7 82.2 99.1 4.2 0.5 0.0 6.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 20 18.1 10.6 16.8 28.9 76.4 81.9 62.8 55.3 13.1 11.2 97.5 3.0 1.5 30.4 2.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 19 Kafr El Sheikh 68.1 2.8 1.6 0.0 1.6 0.0 0.0 18 3.5 88.4 3.0 50.3 7.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 4.5 0.0 17 1.5 47.7 0.0 4.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 16 62.3 27.1 36.7 61.8 7.0 2.0 1.0 2.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 15 85.3 74.1 67.0 99.0 3.0 9.1 0.5 Village Study Site 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.5 28.0 22.4 31.6 0.0 14 Beni Suef 12.1 11.4 78.3 1.9 9.0 13.4 7.5 3.4 0.5 0.0 0.0 6.0 13 0.5 73.6 1.0 1.0 0.0 2.5 0.0 12 9.92 0.0 66.4 3.7 7.5 6.0 0.0 0.0 4.7  $\Box$ 5.7 6.3 52.0 26.2 15.7 14.1 23.2 3.3 47.1 77.5 46.9 19.6 42.3 47.1 85.9 5.7 2.2 26.0 14.3 6.8 0.0 46.9 79.9 44.7 40.5 14.1 3.6 0.8 0.0 12.4 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 11.9 0.0 10 0.0 0.0 7.3 0.0 0.0 70.8 67.4 18.7 0.0 0.5 Aswan 0.0 0.4 0.0 5.7 0.0 0.0 6.3 Kerosene and Other Electricity and Kerosene No Information No Information Exterior and Interior Electricity Painted Walls Exterior Interior Kerosene Lighting Other None

11.1.5 (continued)

19.4 73.7 0.5 0.5 20 92.0 98.5 0.0 0.0 1.0 2.7 1.0 0.0 19 Kafr El Sheikh 0.0 49.2 0.0 1.1 3.7 18 0.0 43.2 0.0 17 5.0 2.5 16 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 15 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 93.6 98.4 92.3 7.6 14 0.0 Beni Suef 0.0 2.9 1.0 0.5 13 0.0 0.0 0.5 12 0.0 1.8 1.8 1.8 П 20.3 96.3 71.2 95.6 100.0 5.1 2.8 0.0 1.1 0.0 3.4 0.0 1.7 0.5 0.0 1.7 0.0 0.8 1.1 0.0 1.7 0.0 0.8 0.5 0.0 10 Aswan 3 Wastewater Drainage Concrete Brick Earth Pipe Tile

4.1

0.0

99.0 0.5

0.0

91.4 99.0

0.9 1.0 3.3

67.8 0.9 25.4 1.1 0.0

Other (

II.1.6 (continued)

	Aswan		Beni	Beni Suef				Kafr El	l Sheikh	Ş	
	1 2 3 4 10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Storage Place for Fuel Materials											
Roof	3.6 10.7 7.3 47.1 8.4	82.2	88.6	79.2	73.6	95.5	100.0	100.0 98.0	95.4	94.9	94.4
Stable	3.1 10.3 15.4 25.2 27.7	3.7	1.5	4.2	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.4
Storage Room	1.6 3.1 61.8 14.3 33.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.5	0.5
Yard	59.9 75.0 15.4 1.9 29.8	7.5	8.5	14.6	25.9	2.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	2.0	3.7
None Present	0.0 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0	6.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Roof and Storage Room	0.0 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Roof and Yard	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	6.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Stable and Storage Room	0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No Information	31.3 0.0 0.0 11.4 1.0	3.7	0.5	1.4	0.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0

II.1.7 (continued)

80.4 1.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.9 2.3 0.5 6.0 0.5 2.9 4.7 20 75.6 13.7 4.6 2.0 0.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 19 Kafr El Sheikh 8.67 13.6 19.9 23.6 8.9 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.5 2.1 18 87.4 5.0 3.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.0 0.5 17 12.1 79.4 2.0 1.5 0.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 0.0 0.0 16 26.6 54.8 0.5 4.5 0.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 3.0 7.5 15 72.6 15.7 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 9.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 14 10.4 20.3 Beni Suef 11.4 49.5 5.7 0.5 0.0 2.8 0.0 9.4 0.0 0.013 11.4 40.3 29.9 0.5 3.0 0.0 0.0 3.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 12 37.4 6.5 31.8 2.8 9.3 8.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.0 2.8 Π 1.0 6.3 2.4 2.4 95.8 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00.0 0.0 0.0 19.3 4.5 0.8 11.0 0.0 7.8 3.1 0.8 1.0 1.0 66.7 73.2 40.7 81.4 2.1 10 2.1 4.0 0.8 3.8 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.2 0.0 0.0 24.4 0.0 30.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 4 0.0 0.0 0.0 Aswan 8 5.8 0.0 0.0 2 0.5 1.0 0.0 0.5 П Concrete and Wood and Reed Concrete and Reed Wood and Reed and Mud Concrete and Wood Concrete and Mud No Information Wood and Reed Reed and Mud Roof Material Concrete Wood Reed Mud

II.1.8 (continued)

Kafr El Sheikh Village Study Site Beni Suef Aswan

	-	2	м	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Floor Construction															Ì
Earth	56.3	26.8	91.1	83.8	95.3	85.0	90.08	87.3	90.9	78.9	86.4	97.5	89.0	81.7	94.9
Concrete	21.9	6.0	0.0	1.9	3.7	3.7	0.5	0.0	1,0	0.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.4
Tile	7.3	5.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	4.7	5.5	2.8	4.1	0.9	6.5	0.5	4.7	9.1	1.4
Wood	0.0	0.4	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Earth and Concrete	3.1	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	2.4	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.0	6.0
Earth and Tile	1.0	18.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.9	6.5	9.9	3.0	14.1	0.5	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0
Earth and Wood	0.0	0.0	8.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Earth and Concrete and Tile	0.0	20.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Concrete and Tile	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.0
Tile and Wood	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.5	4.1	0.0
No Information	10.4	0.0	8.0	12.9	0.0	2.8	0.9	6.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.5	0.0

II.1.9 (continued)

12.0 16.0 15.4 11.8 12.4 7.3 8.6 9.7 0.7 4.8 1.3 20 10.7 15.1 18.5 13.1 7.4 2.0 8.3 8.9 1.5 6.1 19 Kafr El Sheikh 30.0 11.9 12.8 14.2 7.4 5.4 9.9 0.1 8.9 3.4 18 13.4 12.2 20.5 15.8 11.3 14.7 15.4 8.6 9.0 9.0 2.2 1.8 5.1 17 16.3 20.3 11.9 8.0 6.9 6.1 3.9 0.0 2.2 16 15.6 14.4 12.5 16.1 14.5 16.5 14.8 6.5 7.5 1.8 7.5 1.3 3.6 15 15.5 13.0 8.5 9.5 7.6 0.0 4.9 6.1 2.3 14 10.9 26.3 10.8 11.8 17.4 Beni Suef 8.6 13.4 17.3 8.0 4.8 9.6 1.1 3.4 7.1 13 15.9 15.0 7.9 9.5 8.6 4.0 4.3 9.4 1.2 12 13.0 16.2 4.6 9.4 5.6 8.3 1.7 6.5 7.2  $\exists$ 4.7 4.0 2.6 15.5 12.2 9.4 4.9 3.5 15.0 13.8 14.1 6.7 7.0 15.5 18.0 18.8 14.3 7.8 19.3 11.6 22.9 20.6 11.3 14.4 10.1 6.9 6.3 11.2 8.5 13.9 10.2 7.9 4.2 1.1 1.2 7.5 15.5 0.0 7.8 10 10.6 9.0 8.7 5.9 4.7 13.5 9.6 2.7 7.6 7.0 1.1 3.1 6.1 0.5 4 Aswan 3 ~ 1.2 1.2 Number of Persons Living in House More than 10 <del>--</del> 7 M S 9 7 œ

II.1.10 (continued)

19.6 14.0 22.4 9.3 5.5 20 23.9 12.2 33.0 9.1 2.0 19 Kafr El Sheikh 23.4 26.6 10.6 18.1 7.4 5.3 29.9 2.1 3.7 18 35.6 22.2 8.8 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.5 17 30.2 47.2 17.1 1.5 2.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 16 16.2 24.4 18.3 13.7 4.0 8.1 11.9 24.6 12.4 32.7 0.5 7.1 15 23.5 26.0 8.2 4.6 2.0 2.0 0.0 1.0 14 Beni Suef 29.5 26.3 13.9 7.7 4.3 3.3 5.9 0.0 13 10.8 24.1 15.8 21.0 7.2 4.1 3.6 2.0 12 28.7 20.8 3.0 6.9 6.6 0.0 13 29.8 29.3 18.9 3.3 11.2 21.5 11.0 17.6 14.6 28.3 11.5 9.4 5.0 0.0 0.0 25.1 4.4 11.3 29.3 10.7 1.6 1.7 6.3 7.3 10.7 1.6 3.4 4.3 11.3 2.6 2.5 6.1 13.1 13.0 24.1 3.7 10 34.3 19.4 4.9 7.0 0.6 4.5 16.3 5.3 Aswan 3 7 Number of Rooms in House More than 8

(continued) 11.1.11

Village Study Site

		¥	Aswan				Benj	Beni Suef			_	Kafr El Sheikh	Shei	5	
	7	2	23	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Storage of Water															
Metal	1.6	6.0	0.8	8.8	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	1.6	1.0	6.0
Ceramic	85.7	67.(	85.7 67.0 97.6	5 81.2	2 100.0	96.4	100.0	100.0 98.6	100.0	100.0 98.0	93.0	95.0	97.9	98.0	98.6
Earthenware	0.5	20.1	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
Other	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0
Metal and Ceramic	4.2	4.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Metal and Earthenware	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Metal and Ceramic and Earthenware	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ceramic and Earthenware	0.0	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No Information	6.9	0.4	8.0		11.1 0.0	1.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0

II.1.12 (continued)

0.5 0.0 0.9 0.5 0.0 0.0 20 64.0 28.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 19 Kafr El Sheikh 19.9 20.9 17.8 0.0 0.0 0: 0.0 0. 1.0 18 26.6 13.6 11.1 37.2 2.5 2.5 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 17 13.6 13.6 54.8 0. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 16 36.2 12.1 43.7 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.5 15 14.7 37.1 42.1 1.0 0.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 14 Beni Suef 67.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 1.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 13 34.8 20.4 0.0 0.5 1.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 8.0 7.0 4.0 12 69.2 9.3 3.7 0.0 5.6 6.0 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0 Ξ 74.0 17.4 15.4 72.9 75.9 2.1 0.9 3.3 12.9 22.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.6 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 10 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.4 21.1 0.0 2.1 0.0 0.0 3.3 0.0 24.1 2.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.6 1.3 16.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 24.4 0.5 0.0 0.5 2.2 0.8 Aswan ~ 6.0 2 0.5 Oil, Wood and Dung Gas, Oil and Wood Wood and Dung Oil and Wood Gas and Dung Gas and Wood Gas and Oil Cooking Fuel Mood Dung 0i1 Gas

79.4

3.6

35.1

5.5

10.6

2.0

.5

27.8

23.4

9.9

16.1 45.5 16.3 10.5 1.6

No Information

II.1.13 (continued)

78.9 87.9 80.2 2.4 91.0 98.5 87.0 80.3 90.2 91.2 8. 21.1 12.1 19.8 97.6 9.0 20 1.5 13.0 19.7 9.8 19 Kafr El Sheikh 18 17 16 17.4 32.9 23.2 14.1 95.9 25.0 32.5 24.3 17.9 90.8 75.0 67.5 75.7 82.1 9.2 82.6 67.1 76.8 85.9 4.1 15 Village Study Site 14 Beni Suef 13 12 Π 13.3 96.6 12.4 39.1 50.0 65.3 96.7 14.4 40.8 50.5 86.7 3.4 87.6 60.9 50.0 34.7 3.3 85.6 59.2 49.5 10 Aswan 3 7 Is there a cover for the latrine? Not Present Present Latrine Yes ટ્ર

II.1.14 (continued)

100.0 99.0 100.0 99.5 99.4 100.0 9.9/ 16.6 0.0 0.5 0.0 20 52.1 100.0 100.0 99.4 98.9 8.9 9.0 9.0 0.0 19 Kafr El Sheikh 12.5 76.3 27.5 11.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 18 65.5 0.7 0.0 0.0 6.3 17 9.62 18.8 1.7 0. 0.0 0.0 16 100.0 96.1 89.5 100.0 100.0 100.0 99.4 98.2 100.0 100.0 98.3 0.0 0.0 11.8 0.9 70.6 0.9 0.0 0.0 10.5 0.0 0.0 15 17.6 0.0 0.0 14 71.7 28.3 Beni Suef 0.0 0.6 1.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 13 94.7 0.0 3.9 0.0 0.0 12 81.0 9.5 0.0 0.0 9.5 0.0 Π 98.9 0.0 0.0 15.4 5.5 0.0 7.8 1.1 69.2 84.0 43.8 90.2 0.0 4.0 0.5 0.0 2.3 0.0 96.0 99.5 100 97.7 100 2 7.7 10.5 56.3 2.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 100 99.5 100 100 4 Aswan Water Carriage Present in Latrine? Septic Tank Present? Borehole and Masonry Walls Pit and Masonry Walls Masonry Walls Type of Latrine No Information Borehole Pit Yes Yes £ 운

II.1.15 (continued)

97.9 99.4 98.4 97.8 100.0 97.6 83.5 91.7 26.6 93.2 99.1 0.0 20 98.4 94.0 99.3 92.9 2.2 16.5 8.3 73.4 6.8 7.1 19 Kafr El Sheikh 0.7 1.6 0.0 18 9.0 0.9 0.0 17 2.1 0.5 0.1 16 90.0 96.7 98.0 71.1 100.0 98.3 100.0 86.6 93.1 92.1 99.1 28.9 0.0 6.0 1.7 0.0 15 88.9 95.5 92.6 91.4 8.6 0.0 14 Beni Suef 2.0 0.0 13.4 6.9 7.4 0.0 13 11.1 4.5 10.0 3.3 0.0 12 0.0 Ξ 82.1 88.1 77.8 46.3 20.5 3.6 0.5 0.0 0.0 78.3 14.3 11.4 22.2 53.7 1.2 15.4 0.5 0.0 2.5 0.0 84.6 99.5 100 97.5 100 10 9.8 1.0 1.5 73.4 90.2 99.0 98.5 26.6 Aswan 2 Location of Latrine Cesspool Present? Superstructure? Outside Inside Stable Yes Yes ક 운

II.1.16 (continued)

42.5 13.0 73.9 20.3 35.7 42.5 41.4 1.0 5.6 0.0 20 0.7 45.5 97.3 93.4 69.0 59.8 32.4 9.7 19.0 38.9 0.5 19 Kafr El Sheikh 24.8 12.0 0.0 4.7 2.4 0.0 0.0 18 88.4 1.0 0.0 0.0 3.6 0.0 17 0.0 92.4 1.5 9.0 0.0 6.0 0.0 1.2 0.0 16 15 14 Data Not Available Beni Suef 13 12 Ξ 10 72.5 85.6 7.1 29.9 24.2 13.8 60.2 36.9 1.3 0.0 31.0 32.6 69.1 14.8 53.7 89.4 2.9 25.1 20.3 7.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 21.3 57.0 4.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.6 3.1 22.0 3.2 2.0 0.5 0.9 Aswan M Weekly and Monthly Animal Waste Material Stable Cleaning Monthly Weekly Stable Street Never Daily Cana 1 Yard None Roof

II.1.17 (continued)

83.6 0.2 86.0 16.7 17.3 93.4 3.0 83.3 80.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 20 5.7 10.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 19 Kafr El Sheikh 0.0 0.0 0.0 18 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.0 17 5.4 5.3 5.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 16 15 14 Data Not Available Beni Suef 13 12 Ξ 10 5.9 99.1 9.1 25.4 2.2 0.0 0.0 22.2 91.4 0.0 90.9 51.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 Aswan 3 House Approach Littered Non-Earth: Littered Clean Clean Earth: Dry Wet

(continued) II.1.18

15.0 82.2 16.3 14.4 8.5 3.8 8.9 1.1 20 27.1 86.4 15.9 10.8 12.5 12.1 38.4 18.7 13.1 1.3 1.3 13 Kafr El Sheikh 85.2 19.7 23.5 5.1 7.0 18 28.5 97.3 16.9 0.0 8.9 3.5 0.0 0.0 1.1 17 88.7 31.9 27.5 13.9 5.6 5.0 9.5 6.2 1.3 0.1 0.7 16 25.6 10.5 25.3 34.4 18.0 46.4 32.7 1.2 0.0 0.0 4.7 43.1 82.6 5.2 15 24.6 24.0 10.9 5.8 5.4 1.7 1.7 2.5 8.0 0.2 0.4 14 22.6 Beni Suef 18.0 5.8 0.9 0.0 2.1 0.7 13 23.5 19.4 12.6 5.8 5.0 0.0 0.3 0.5 0.0 0.3 12 29.3 29.3 39.0 14.8 11.0 8.4 3.1 0.0 0.0 1.0 Ξ 17.8 21.7 13.8 35.0 31.9 17.8 13.3 6.0 33.3 24.3 37.5 40.8 73.6 96.8 90.3 22.2 17.5 2.8 11.7 21.3 18.9 15.1 30.3 11.7 15.9 0.3 2.5 0.0 10 0.0 0.0 8.9 9.6 16.5 1.7 12.7 26.6 5.0 0.9 0.0 1.7 62.5 59.2 26.4 3.2 4 0.0 0.0 8.3 Aswan m 0.0 1.8 1.2 1.8 ~ 3.3 1.1 3.3 Number of Windows Non-permeable More than 10 Roof Condition Permeable

17.8

13.6

14.8

2.7

11.3

56.9 17.4 94.8

94.2

61.0

9.7

APPENDIX II.2

## Distribution of Environmental and Housing Factors in Egyptian New Nubia, 1977

Percent of Houses with Attributes A11 Ballana Tushka El Malki Kurta Kalabsha Nubia ş ŧ f HOUSE CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL 1 stone or red brick 94.9 95.5 100.0 97.0 95.0 99.5 2 mud brick 4.6 0.0 2.5 4.0 3.5 0.5 3 wood or reed 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 4 combinations 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.4 1.5 0.0 Number 197 201 200 200 199 997 FLOOR CONSTRUCTION 1 earth 41.9 4.5 4.5 10.2 0.0 0.5 0.5 2 concrete 56.1 6.0 39.8 92.5 38.8 3 tile 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.0 5.5 1.2 4 162 0.0 85.1 87.5 57.2 0.0 46.2 5 other combinations 1.5 4.5 7.0 3.0 3.5 1.5 Number 198 201 200 201 199 999 LIGHTING 39.7 40.1 39.3 53.7 10.6 57.4 1 electricity 2 kerosene 60.7 60.3 65.8 46.3 42.1 55.0 4.3 3 162 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 21.6 4 other 0.0 0.4 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.5 992 Number 196 199 199 201 197 TELEVISION 20.1 6.2 6.6 present 3.1 1.6 0.6 196 190 179 199 194 958 Number NUMBER OF ROOMS 0.0 5.5 7.1 2.0 one 5.6 13.0 27.6 22.7 13.1 30.0 38.3 33.8 two three 26.8 46.5 18.5 13.9 64.1 33.9 24.6 25.9 1.5 four 32.3 32.8 30.5 6.9 9.1 1.5 7.0 16.9 0.0 five more than five 0.5 1.4 2.0 0.5 1.0 3.0 995 198 198 200 201 198 Number NUMBER OF PERSONS LIVING IN HOUSE 17.9 8.3 43.3 one 8.0 12.4 16.8 two 7.8 13.5 15.5 25.9 20.8 16.8 10.0 9.1 12.4 11.4 11.7 three 15.5 four 13.5 15.0 11.3 7.5 13.2 12.1 17.1 12.9 10.2 five 16.0 6.0 10.8 9.3 15.0 11.0 2.5 7.6 six seven 6.9 9.3 8.0 9.8 1.0 6.6 6.7 9.5 4.1 0.5 4.1 5.0 eight 8.0 more than eight 7.7 11.6 9.7 9.0 1.5 193 200 201 197 985 Number 194

II.2.2 (continued)

						A11
	Ballana	Tushka	El Malki	Kurta	Kalabsha	Nubia
PERSONS PER ROOM	\$	*	4	*	*	\$
less than one one two three four more than four	15.6 50.0 21.4 8.9 3.1 1.0	17.6 46.6 29.5 3.1 0.0 3.2	19.2 46.6 20.7 9.3 2.6 1.5	69.7 24.7 5.1 0.5 0.0 0.0	28.5 34.7 21.8 8.3 6.2 0.5	30.5 40.5 19.6 6.0 2.4 1.2
Number	192	193	193	198	193	<b>96</b> 9
COOKING FUEL						
1 gas 2 oil 3 wood 4 dung 5 263 6 combinations of dung with any other fuel 7 other	2.1 94.9 2.6 0.0 0.5	0.5 1.0 0.0 0.5 0.5	0.0 18.5 0.5 0.0 75.5	55.2 5.0 0.0 3.5 0.0 34.4 2.0	5.5 94.5 0.0 0.0 0.0	12.8 42.4 0.6 0.8 15.3 27.0
Number	195	201	200	201	199	996
SCREENS	130	•01	200	201	133	330
present	4.1	7.9	1.5	4.5	5.5	4.7
Number	194	191	196	200	199	980
MOSQUITO NETS						
present	1.0	10.4	1.0	0.0	3.6	3.7
Number	192	193	197	71	193	846
OWNERSHIP						
1 own 2 rent 3 no information Number	15.9 48.7 35.4 195	1.0 8.0 91.0 201	0.5 4.0 95.5 200	0.0 99.5 0.5 201	1.5 68.3 30.2 199	3.7 45.6 50.6 996
LOCATION OF STABLE						
1 inside 2 outside 3 1&2 4 none Number	94.4 1.0 0.0 4.6 195	74.9 10.8 11.8 3.6	96.0 1.5 0.0 2.5 199	92.0 2.0 0.0 6.0 201	99.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 199	91.3 3.0 2.3 3.5 989
STABLE CLEANING						
1 daily 2 weekly 3 monthly 4 never	99.0 1.0 0.0 0.0	3.1 3.6 86.2 7.2 195	98.0 1.0 1.0 0.0	99.0 1.0 0.0 0.0	97.5 2.5 0.0 0.0	79.4 1.8 17.4 1.4 979

II.2.3 (continued)

	Ballana	Tushka	El Malki	Kurta	Kalabsha	All Nubia
	*	*	*	*	*	•
ANIMAL WASTE MATERIAL DISP	OSAL					•
1 stable 2 yard 3 street 4 canal 5 roof 6 none Number	1.5 1.5 96.9 0.0 0.0 0.0	2.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 97.5	2.5 0.5 95.0 0.0 0.5 1.5	0.5 0.0 10.0 88.0 0.0 1.5	4.6 1.0 0.0 0.5 3.6 90.4	2.3 0.6 40.1 17.9 0.8 38.2 989
WATER SOURCE						
1 public 2 private ground well 3 surface Number	97.3 2.1 0.5 188	74.4 25.1 0.5 199	98.0 0.0 2.0 199	98.9 1.1 0.0 184	95.9 4.1 0.0 197	92.8 6.6 0.5 967
		WATER S	SUPPLY			
DDTNICTNC	N=199	N=199	N=200	<b>№</b> 199	N=200	N=997
DRINKING  1 piped inside 2 piped outside 3 hand pump inside 4 canal 5 drain	0.5 93.0 0.0 0.0	4.9 97.5 0.0 97.5 0.0	1.0 98.0 0.0 0.0	0.5 88.6 0.5 8.0 0.5	1.0 99.5 0.0 0.5 0.0	0.7 95.3 0.1 21.4 0.1
BATHING						
l piped inside 2 piped outside 3 hand pump inside 4 canal 5 drain 6 lake or pond	0.0 92.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 97.5 0.0 97.5 0.0 0.0	1.0 97.5 0.0 0.0 0.0	1.0 88.6 0.5 8.0 0.5 0.5	0.0 99.5 0.0 0.5 0.0	0.4 95.0 0.1 21.4 0.1 0.1
LAUNDRY						
1 piped inside 2 piped outside 3 hand pump inside 4 canal 5 drain 6 lake or pond	0.0 92.5 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 97.5 0.0 97.5 0.0 0.0	0.5 97.5 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.5 88.6 0.5 8.0 0.5 0.5	0.0 99.5 0.0 0.5 0.0	0.2 95.1 0.1 21.4 0.1
UTENSILS						
1 piped inside 2 piped outside 3 hand pump inside 4 canal 5 drain 6 lake or pond	0.0 92.5 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 96.5 0.0 97.5 0.0 0.0	0.5 97.5 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.5 88.6 0.5 8.0 0.5	99.0 0.0 0.5 0.0	0.2 94.8 0.1 21.4 0.1 0.1
ANIMALS						
1 piped inside 2 piped outside 3 hand pump inside 4 canal 5 drain 6 lake or pond	0.0 57.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 6.4 0.0 96.1 0.0 0.0	1.0 97.5 0.0 0.0 0.0	1.0 87.6 0.5 8.0 0.5	0.0 0.0 1.5 99.5	0.5 49.8 0.1 21.3 19.9 0.1

II.2.4 (continued)

	Ballana \$	Tushka \$	El Malki	Kurta \$	Kalabsha %	Åll Nubia §
STORAGE OF WATER						
1 metal 2 ceramic 3 combinations and others	0.0 100.0 0.0	0.0 100.0 0.0	0.0 98.0 2.0	0.5 97.5 2.0	1.5 98.0 0.5	0.4 98.7
Number	192	196	200	197	199	984
WASTEWATER DRAINAGE						
1 concrete 2 pipe 3 tile 4 earth 5 other Number	57.6 0.0 37.7 4.9 0.0	4.1 0.0 0.0 95.9 0.0	12.0 0.5 0.5 86.9 0.0	2.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 97.4	2.5 0.5 2.0 0.5 94.4	15.5 0.3 7.9 40.0 38.4
LATRINE	-				20,	J.,
present Number	97.9 190	98.0 196	98.5 199	99.5 196	100.0 199	98.8 980
LOCATION OF LATRINE						
l inside 2 outside 3 stable Number	100.0 0.0 0.0 191	99.0 0.5 0.5	98.5 1.5 0.0 194	98.0 2.0 0.0 200	100.0 0.0 0.0 198	99.1 0.8 0.1 975
	131	132	137	200	130	273
TYPE OF LATRINE  1 borehole 2 pit 3 masonary walls Number	2.1 3.7 94.1 187	0.0 2.6 97.4 192	0.5 0.5 99.0 193	1.7 97.8 0.6 178	1.0 99.0 0.0 199	1.1 40.5 58.5 949
COVER FOR LATRINE						
present Number	60.2 191	98.0 196	98.0 198	12.5 192	100.0 199	74.2 976
PRESENCE OF WATER CARRIAGE	IN LATRINE					
present	0.0	94.8	2.1	0.0	1.0	19.3
Number	189	192	194	200	199	974

APPENDIX II.3, Table I

Prevalence of Parasitosis in Egyptian Nubia, 1977

	Ba11		Tush N=66		El Ma		Kur N=4		Kala N=	bsha 478	A11 N N=2	
Parasite	No. Pos	Pos	No. Pos	Pos	No. Pos	Pos	No. Pos	Pos	No. Pos	Pos	No. Pos	Pos
Ascaris lumbricoides	9	1.8	15	2.2	10	1.9	13	2.8	13	2.7	60	2.3
Trichuris trichiura	-	0.0	1	0.1	1	0.2		0.0	-	0.0	2	0.1
Enterobius vermicularis	7	1.4	17	2.5	9	1.7	2	0.4	3	0.6	38	1.4
Ancylostoma duodenale		0.0	3	0.4	1	0.2	2	0.4	1	0.2	7	0.3
Strongyloides stercoralis	1	0.2	1	0.1	6	1.2	6	1.3	41	8.6	55	2.1
Taenia sp.	•	0.0	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	0.0
Trichostrongylus sp.	1	0.2	-	0.0	1	0.2	1	0.2	3	0.6	6	0.2
Hymenolepis nana	25	5.0	57	8.5	34	6.6	18	3.9	11	2.3	145	5.5
H. heterophyes	-	0.0	-	0.0	2	0.4	1	0.2	-	0.0	3	0.1
H. hepatica	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	0.0	2	0.4	-	0.0	2	0.1
F. gigantica	1	0.2	1	0.1	-	0.0	-	0.0	•	0.0	2	0.1
Giardia lamblia	<b>5</b> 7	11.5	1	0.1	46	8.9	26	5.6	36	7.5	256	9.7
Entamoeba histolytica	31	6.3	46	6.9	41	7.9	39	8.4	41	8.6	198	7.5
Entamoeba coli	262	52.8	311	46.5	223	43.0	196	42.1	284	59.4	1278	48.6
Entamoeba hartmanni	6	1.2	12	1.8	5	1.0	1	0.2	5	1.0	29	1.1
Iodamoeba butschlii	6	1.2	9	1.3	7	1.3	7	1.5	13	2.7	42	1.6
Endolimax nana	1	0.2	2	0.3	-	0.0	-	0.0	1	0.2	4	0.2
Chilomastix mesnili	1	0.2	4	0.6		0.0	2	0.4	•	0.0	7	0.3
Trichomonas hominis	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	0.0	•	0.0	-	0.0
Dientamoeba fragilis	-	0.0	-	0.0	•	0.0	•	0.0	-	0.0	-	0.0

APPENDIX II.3, Table II

Age Distribution of Important Parasitic Infections in Egyptian Nubia, 1977

						101										
							Age	Distri	Distribution	_,,						A11
Parasite	1 №31		1-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65+ N=241 N=283 N=271 N=270 N=142 N=130 N=112 N=119 N=112 N=112 N=112 N=96 N=105 N=69 N=163	10-14 №271	15-19 N=270	20-24 N=142	25-29 N=130	30-34 N=112	35-39 №119	40-44 N=112	45-49 N=112	50-54 N=96	55-59 №105	60-64 N=69	65+ N=163	Ages N=2256
	-	The Day		-	۽ هن	مد ا	م مد	ر ا ا	٠- و	ي مد	<b>**</b> 20	<b>90</b>	Dog.	<b>Po e</b>	Po or	Po 🕶
Accorie	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	200	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	3
lumbricoides	0.0	1.2	2.5	3.7	2.2	0.7	8.0	3.6	8.0	0.0	2.7	7.3	1.0	1.4	2.8	1.9
Trichuris trichiura	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Enterobius vermicularis	6.5	6.5 0.4 1.4 3.7 2.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.0 3.6 2.1 1.9 0.0 0.6	1.4	3.7	2.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	8.0	0.0	3.6	2.1	1.9	0.0	9.0	1.6
Ancylostoma duodenale	0.0	0.0 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.8 0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Hymenolepis nana	3.2	3.2 12.5 9.5 8.5 4.4 4.2 1.5 3.6 3.4 4.5 3.6 3.1 1.9 4.3 1.8	9.5	8.5	4.4	4.2	1.5	3.6	3.4	4.5	3.6	3.1	1.9	4.3	1.8	5.7
Giardia lamblia	12.9	12.9 20.8 17.0 10,0 9.6 9.2 4.6 8.0 7.6 8.9 8.0 5.2 3.8 8.7 5.5	17.0	10,0	9.6	9.2	4.6	8.0	7.6	8.9	8.0	5.2	3.8	8.7	5.5	10.4
Entamoeba histolytica	0.0	0.0 4.2 5.7 7.7 10.0 3.5 9.2 7.1 16.8 4.5 8.0 5.2 5.7 5.8 7.4	5.7	7.7	10.0	3.5	9.5	7.1	16.8	4.5	8.0	5.2	5.7	5.8	7.4	7.1
Escherichia coli	12.9	12.9 42.3 50.9 55.7 49.6 49.3 48.5 54.5 47.1 38.4 50.0 44.8 56.2 47.8 50.3	50.9	55.7	49.6	49.3	48.5	54.5	47.1	38.4	50.0	44.8	56.2	47.8	50.3	48.8

Note: No cases of Taenia sp. infection were observed in the sampled population.

APPENDIX II.3, Table III

Sex Distribution of Important Parasitic Infections in Egyptian Nubia, 1977

a1 268	% Pos	2.2	0.1	1.6	0.2	5.7	10.4	7.1	48.8
Total N=2268	No. Pos	20	2	37	4	129	235	161	1106
les 328	% Pos	2.0	0.1	1.4	0.2	5.0	9.2	7.6	50.8
Females N=1328	No. Pos	26	1	18	ы	99	122	101	674
Males N=940	% Pos	2.6	0.1	2.0	0.1	6.7	12.0	6.4	46.0
ž Ż	No. Pos	24	7	19		63	113	09	432
	Parasite	Ascaris lumbricoides	Trichuris trichiura	Enterobius vermicularis	Ancylostoma duodenale	Hymenolepis nana	Giardia lamblia	Entamoeba histolytica	Entamoeba coli

Note: No cases of Taenia sp. infection were observed in the sampled population.

APPENDIX II.3, Table IV

A Comparison of the Prevalence of Intestinal Helminthic and Protozoal Infestations (Per 100 Persons) by Age and Sex: Nubia, Egypt, 1963 and 1977.

:	
//KT pu	
TAP? WIND	
. Egypt,	
NGD T &	
by Age and Sex;	
2	
٥.	

AGE	Нуше	Hymenolepis	Asc	Ascaris	Enter	Enterobius ermicularia	DZ	1100	Entar	Entamoeba	Gia 1am	Giardia
	1963	1977	1963	1977	1963	1963 1977	1963 19	1977	1963 197	1977	1963	1977
Less than 5												:
Males	9.4	13.73	9.4	0.84	3.1	0.0	9.6	30.62	0.0	4.67	0.0	14.26
Females	17.4	5.56	0.0	4.08	0.0	9.0	21.7	30.67	0.0	4.54	17.4	11.57
Total	12.7	10.69	5.4	2.03	1.8	0.36	14.6	31.02	0.0	2.35	7.3	12.23
5 - 14												
Males	22.5	9.32	12.6	4.29	0.0	3.43	31.5	49.12	6.0	3.85	2.7	13.83
Females	16.8	8.95	10.6	2.09	1.8	0.52	38.9	58.31	6.0	8.86	3.5	15.35
Total	19.6	9.12	11.6	3.35	6.0	2.38	35,3	53.79	6.0	6.16	3.1	14.52
15 - 44												
Males	1.6	3.15	7.9	1.35	6.4	1.73	31.7	42.62	1.6	9.28	1.6	7.95
Females	2.7	2.96	5.8	1.34	9.0	0.64	43.6	44.59	3.1	6.93	1.8	8.53
Total	2.4	3.28	6.2	1.52	1.7	1.18	41.0	43.55	2.8	7.79	1.7	8.10
45 +												
Males	0.0	1.67	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.19	35.8	59.28	11.3	4.71	3.8	5.88
Females	1.2	2.72	1.3	0.63	0.0	99.0	27.0	45.12	5.7	4.44	1.9	3.69
Total	6.0	1.76	1.9	0.63	0.0	0.70	29.5	47.53	7.1	5.39	2.4	4.75
All ages												
Males	11.2	6.50	9.3	1.94	1.9	1.74	29.7	76.40	3.1	5.82	2.3	10.41
Females	0.9	4.59	5.2	1.63	9.0	0.62	36.5	46.35	3.3	5.92	2.9	9.14
Total	7.7	5.46	9.9	1.86	1.0	1.28	34.3	45.66	3.2	90.9	2.7	9.55

Appendix III.1 Table I

Ka			evalence	of Selected	Parasites by Latrine
			Latr	ine	
Parasite		P	resent	Absent	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides				
Positive Number Percent			245 8.0	42 12.0	287 8.5
Negative Number Percent		2	800 92.0	309 88.0	3109 91.5
N = 3396	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Signifi	cance = 0.01	24, Statistic = 6.2502
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			43 1.4	4 1.1	47 1.4
Number Percent		3	98.6	347 98.9	3349 98.6
1 = 3396	DF = 1_	Chi-Square	Signifi	cance = 0.67	90, Statistic = 0.17129
Hymenolepis	nana				
Positive Number Percent			74 2.4	4 1.1	78 2.3
Negative Number Percent		2	971 97.6	347 98.9	3318 97.9
N = 3396	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Signifi	cance = 0.12	64, Statistic = 2.3361
Giardia lamb	<u>lia</u>				
Positive Number Percent			197 6.5	18 5.1	215 6.3
Negative Number Percent		2	2848 93.5	333 94.9	3181 93.7
N = 3396	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Signifi	cance = 0.32	85, Statistic= <b>0.9549</b> 7

III.1 Table I (continued)

	Latr	ine	
Parasite	Present	Absent	Total
Entameoba histolytic	a <u>a</u>		
Positive Number Percent	136 4.5	13 3.7	149 4.4
Negative Number Percent	2909 95.5	338 96.3	3247 95.6
N = 3396 DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	cance = 0.5089.	, Statistic = 0.4363
Entameoba coli			
Positive Number Percent	1232 40.5	116 33.0	1348 39.7
Negative Number Percent	1813 59.5	235 67.0	2048 <b>6</b> 0.3
N = 3396 DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	cance = 0.0072,	. Statistic = 7.2216

Appendix III.1 Table II

Parasite			Male	Female	Total
Ascaris lumb	oricoides				
Positive Number Percent			145 7.9	162 8.9	307 8.4
Negative Number Percent			1684 92.1	1649 91.1	3333 91.6
N = 3640	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significa	nce = 0.2694, S	tatistic = 1.2199
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			26 1.4	30 1.7	56 1.5
Negative Number Percent			1803 98.6	1781 98.3	<b>35</b> 84 <b>98.</b> 5

Kafr El Sheikh: Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Sex

Sex

Hymenolepis	nana				
Positive Number Percent		•	121 6.6	105 5.8	226 6.2
Negative Number Percent			1708 93.4	1706 94.2	3414 93.8
N = 3640	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.3067,	Statistic = 1.0449

N = 3640 DF = 1 Chi-Square Significance = 0.5646, Statistic = 0.3316

Entameoba his	tolytica				
Positive Number Percent			91 5.0	72 4.0	163 4.5
Negative Number Percent			1738 95.0	1739 96.0	3477 95.5
N = 3640	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significan	ce = 0.1448,	Statistic = 2.1261

Entameoba coli			
Positive Number Percent	718 39.3	708 39.1	1426 39.2
Negative Number Percent	1111 60.7	1103 60.9	2214 60.8

N = 3640 DF = 1 Chi-Square Significance = 0.9203, Statistic = 0.10022-1

Appendix III.l Table III

Kafr El Sheikh: Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Age Groups

Parasite		0 to 1	0 to 1 1 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40 to 44	45 to 49	50 to 54	55 to 59	60 to 64	65 ar >
Ascaris lumbricoides Positive Number Percent	1co ides	2 6.1	2 33 6.1 9.0	43 8.3	55 10.3	30	15	24 8 6			21 10.7	18	7, 5.7	8 7.0	11.3	3 4 3
wegative Number Percent		31 93.9	31 332 93.9 91.0	476 91.7	479 89.7	385 92.8	212 93.4	255 91.4	170 94.4	225 90.4	176 89.3	215 92.3	115 94.3	106 93.0	86 88.7	67 95.7
N = 3634	0F = 14	-t43	Square	Signific	ance = 0	Chi-Square Significance = 0.5616, Statistic	atistic ≍	= 12.558				:				!
Ancylostoma duodenale Positive Number Percent	uodenale	0	0.3	8  5.5	15 2.8	6 1.4	3 1.3	5.1.8	2 1.1	2 0.8	3 1.5	2 0.9	3.3	0	2 2.1	რ 4 ნ.
Negative Number Percent		33 100	364 99.7	511 98.5	519 97.2	409 98.6	33 364 511 519 409 224 100 99.7 98.5 97.2 98.6 98.7	274 98.2	24 <i>7</i> 98.9	247 99.2	194 98.5	231 99.1	118 96.7	114	95 97.9	67 95.7
iv = 3634	DF = 14	Chi-	Square	Signific	ance = 0	.1321, St	atistic =	= 19.940								
Hymenolepis nana	ana															
Positive Number Percent		2 6.1	3.0	20 3.9	11 2.1	5.1.2	4 1.8	8 2.9					2 1.6			2.9
Negative Number Percent		31 93.9	31 354 93.9 97.0	499 96.1	523 97.9	410 98.8	223 98.2	271 97.1	175 97.2	245 98.4	195 99.0	227 97.4	120 98.1	112 98.2	97 100	68 97.1
И = 3634	DF = 14	Ch1-	Square	Signific	ance = 0	.2867, St	Chi-Square Significance = 0.2867, Statistic = 16.450	16.450	i	- 1		:	!			!

III.1 Table III (continued)

Parasite	0 to i	0 to 1 1 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39		40 to 44 45 to 49	50 to 54	55 to 59	60 to 64 t5 ur >	us or >
Glardia lamblia															
Positive Number Percent	3.0	1 32 3.0 8.8	43 8.3	33	24 5.8	12 5.3	12.4.3	12 6.7	13 5.2	115 7.6	13 5.6	5 4.1	5 4.	4 <b>4</b> 	1.4
Negative Number Percent	32 97.0	32 333 <b>97.</b> 0 91.2	476 91.7	501 93.8	391 215 8 94.2 94.7	215 94 7	267	168 93.3	236 94.8	182 8 92.4	220 94.4	117 95.9	95.6	93 6 95.9	69 98.6
N = 3634 DF = 14		Chi-Square	Signifi	cance = (	Significance = 0.2494, Statistic = 17.129	alistic =	17.129								
Entameoba histolytica Positive Number Percent	3.0	6 1.6	81 3.5	34 6.4	23 5.5	11	12.			9 4.6		11 9.0		88.2	2 2.9
Negative Number Percent	32 3 97.0	359 0 98.4	501 96.5	500 93.6	392 94.5	216 267 95.2 95.7	267 95.7	177 98.3	240 96.4	188 95.4	224 96.1	111 91.0	107 93.9	89 68 91.8 97.1	68 97.1
N = 3634 DF = 14		Ch1-Square		cance = (	Significance * 0.0139, Statistic = 28.087	atistic =	28.087								
Entameoba coli Positive Number Percent	10 30.3	107	224 43.2	219 41.0	155 37.3	81 35.7	110 39.4	76 42.2		74 37.6					28 <b>4</b> 0.0
Negative Number Percent	23 69.7	258	295 56.8	315 59.0	260 62.7	146 64.3	169 60.6	104 57.8	136 54.6	123 62.4	125 53.6	84 68 9	70 61.4	60 61.9	42 60.0
N = 3634 DF = 14		-Square	Signifi	cance * (	Chi-Square Significance = 0.0013, Statistic = 35.299	atistic =	35.299		+				1		

Appendix III.l Table IV

Kafr El Sheikh	: Percent Prev	alence of all I	Sheikh: Percent Prevalence of all Indentified Parasites by Study Site	ites by Study	Site	
Parasite	16	17	Study 18	Study Site Code 19	20	Total
Ascaris lumbricoides Number positive	40	34	122	37.	74	307
Chi-Square signif.	0.0	<del>-</del>	- 6 	7.3	6. 6.	8.4
Trichuris trichiura						
Number positive Percent positive	0	2		5	4	6
Chi-Square signif.	0.3322	y.	7.0	ð. 7.	0.5	0.2
Enterobius vermicularis						
Number positive	40	13	9	4	21	48
rercent positive Chi-Square signif.	0.5 0.0029	9.	0.0	8.0	2.5	1.3
Ancylostoma duodenale						
Number positive	9	12	S	6	24	56
chi-Square signif.	0.0026 0.0026	. <u>.</u>	0.8	1.8	2.9	1.5
Strongyloides stercoralis						
Number positive	2	, (	5	2	_	ω
Chi-Square signif.	0.7882	- 0	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.2
Taenia sp.						
Number positive	0	- 0	100	0	- 0	ۍ <del>د</del> -
Chi-Square signif.	0.7663	•			;	;
Trichostrongylus sp.						
Number positive	S	19	2	2	7	41
Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0.0	2.3	0.8	1.0	0.8	7.7

III.1 Table IV (continued)

Parasite	91	17	Study S	Study Site Code 19	20	Total
Hymenolepis nana Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	15 1.8 0.0721	21 2.6	 . 3	18 3.6	23	85 2.3
Heterophyes heterophyes Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	1 0.1 0.0648	4 0.5	9	0	3 0.4	14 0.4
Fasciola hepatica Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0	3 0.4	3 6.5	1 0.2	2.00.2	9 0.2
Fasciola gigantica Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	2 0.2 0.6550	3 0.4	3 0.5	0	3 0.4	11 0.3
Giardia lamblia Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	101 12.0 0.0000	38 4.6	16 2.5	32 6.3	39.4.7	226 6.2
Entameoba histolytica Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	47 5.6 0.0069	50 6.1	24 3.8	18 3.6	24 2.9	163 4.5
Entameoba coli Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	389 46.2 0.0000	316 38.4	178 27.8	165 32.6	379 45.5	1427 39.2

III.l Table IV (continued)

			*** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	The second secon		
Parasite	16	17	Study S 18	Study Site Code 8	20	Total
Entameoba hartmanni Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	10 1.2 0.0004	19 2.3	6.0	3.00.6	1.0	39
Iodamoeba butschlii Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	7 0.8 0.2838	1.3	2 0.3	3.0.6	8 1.0	31 0.9
Endolimax nana Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	ا 0.1 0.6396	2 0.2	2 0.3	0	9.	8
Chilomastix mesnili  Number positive Percent positve Chi-Square signif.	1 0.1 0.2310	. 4 . 0.5	1 0.2	1 0.2	0	7 0.2

Appendix III.l Table V

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent:Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Drinking Water

Parasite		Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lumbricoio	es			
Positive Number Percent		1 7.1	42 12.2	43 12.0
Negative Number Percent		301 87.8	13 92.9	314 88.0
N = 357 DF =	1 Chi-Square S	ignificance	= 0.5654, Stat	istic = 0.33051
Ancylostoma duoder	ale			
Positive Number Percent		6 1.7	0	6 1.7
Negative Number Percent		337 98.3	14 100	351 98.3
N = 357 DF =	1 Chi-Square S	ignificance	= 0.6177, Stat	istic = 0.24908
Giardia <u>lamblia</u>				
Positive Number Percent		18 5.2	0	18 5.0
Negative Number Percent		325 94.8	14 100	339 <b>9</b> 5.0
N = 357 DF =	1 Chi-Square S	ignificance	= 0.3791, Stat	istic = 0.77370
Entameoba histolyt	ica			
Positive Number Percent		9 2.6	1 7.1	10 2.8
Negative Number Percent		334 97.4	13 92.9	347 97.2
N = 357 DF =	1 Chi-Square S	ignificance	= 0.3152, Stat	istic = 1.0089
Entameoba coli				
Positive Number Percent		110 32.1	6 42.9	116 32.5
Negative Number Percent		233 67.9	8 57.1	241 67.5
N = 357 DF =	1 Chi-Square S	ignificance	= 0.3983, Stat	istic = 0.71356

Appendix III.l Table VI

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of water Supply: riped-in Bathing water

Parasite			Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides				
Positive Number Percent			<b>4</b> 2 12.2	1 7.1	43 12.0
Negative Number Percent			301 87.8	13 <b>9</b> 2.9	314 88.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.5654, Star	tistic = 0.33051
Ancylostoma Positive	duodenale				
Number Percent			6 1.7	0	6 1.7
Negative Number Percent			337 98.3	14 100	351 98.3
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.6177, Stat	tistic = 0.24908
Giardia lamb Positive Number Percent Negative Number Percent	<u>llia</u>		18 5.2 325 94.8	0 14 100	18 5.0 339 95.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.3791, Stat	tistic = 0.77370
Entameoba hi Positive Number Percent	stolytica		9 2.6	1 7.1	10 2.8
Negative Number Percent			334 97.4	13 92.9	347 97.2
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.3152, Stat	istic = 1.0089
Entameoba co Positive Number Percent	oli		110 32.1	6 <b>42</b> .9	116 32.5
Negative Number Percent			233 67.9	8 57.1	241 67.5
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.3983, Sta	tistic_= 0.71356

Appendix III.l Table VII

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Laundry Water

Parasite	Yes	No	Total
. 4. 43. 46	163	110	10001
Ascaris <u>lumbricoides</u>			
Positive Number Percent	42 12.0	1 7.1	43 12.0
Negative Number Percent	301 87.8	13 92.9	314 88.0
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.5654, Stat	istic = 0.33051
Ancylostoma duodenale			
Positive Number Percent	6 1.7	0	6 1.7
Negative Number Percent	337 98.3	14 100	351 98.3
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.6177, Stat	istic = 0.24908
Giardia <u>lamblia</u> Positive Number Percent	18 5.2	0	18 5.0
Negative Number Percent	325 94.8	14 100	339 95.0
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.3791, Stat	istic = 0.77370
Entameoba <u>histolytica</u> Positive Number	9	1	10
Percent	2.6	7.1	2.3
Negative Number Percent	334 97.4	13 92.9	347 97.2
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.3152, Stat	istic = 1.0089
Entameoba coli			
Positive Number Percent	110 32.1	6 42.9	116 32.5
Negative Number Percent	233 67.9	8 57.1	241 67.5
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	e = 0. <b>3</b> 983, Sta	tistic = 0.71356

Appendix III.l Table VIII

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalance of Selected Parasites by Type of of Water Supply: Piped-in Utensil Water

Parasite	Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lumbricoides			
Positive Number Percent	42 12.2	1 7.1	43 12.0
Negative Number Percent	301 87.8	13 92.9	314 88.0
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.5654, Sta	tistic = 0.33051
Ancylostoma duodenale Positive Number Percent	6 1.7	0	6 1.7
Negative Number Percent	337 98.3	14 100	351 98.3
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance =	= 0.6177, Sta	tistic = 0.24908
Giardia lamblia Positive Number Percent Negative Number Percent	18 5.2 325 94.8	0 14 100	18 5.0 339 95.0
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance =	= 0.3791, Sta	tistic = 0.77370
Entameoba histolytica Positive Number Percent Negative	9 2.6	1 7.1	10 2.8
Number Percent	334 97.4	13 92.9	347 97.2
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance =	0.3152, Stat	tistic = 0.0089
ntameoba <u>coli</u> Positive Number Percent	110 32.1	6 42.9	116 32.5
Negative Number Percent	233 67.9	8 57.1	241 67.5
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance =	= 0.3983, Sta	tistic = 0.71356

Appendix III.l Table IX

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Drinking Water Piped Outside

Parasite	Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lumbricoides			
Positive Number Percent	13 13.7	30 11.5	43 12.0
Negative Number Percent	82 86.3	232 88.5	314 88.0
<u>N = 357</u> DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.5666, Stat	tistic = 0.32839
Ancylostoma duodenale Positive Number	4	2	6
Percent	4.2	0.8	1.7
Negative Number Percent	91 95.8	260 99.2	351 98.3
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0251, Stat	tistic = 5.0137
Giardia lamblia Positive Number Percent Negative Number	4 4.2 91	14 5.3 248	18 5.0 339
Percent	<b>9</b> 5.8	94.7	95.0
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.6655, Sta	tistic = 0.18693
Entameoba histolytica Positive Number Percent	4 <b>4</b> .2	6 2.3	10 2.8
Negative Number Percent	91 95.8	256 97.7	347 97.2
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.3311, Sta	atistic = 0.94443
Entameoba coli Positive			
Number Percent	32 33.7	84 32.1	116 32.5
Negative Number Percent	63 66.3	178 67.9	241 67.5
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.7723, Sta	tistic = 0.83740 -

Appendix III.l Table X

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Bathing Water Piped Outside

	<del></del>			<del></del>	
Parasite			Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lumb	oricoides				
Positive Number Percent			15 14.0	28 11.2	43 12.0
Negative Number Percent			92 86.0	222 88.8	314 88.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4535, Stat	<u> </u>
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			5 4.7	1 0.4	6 1.7
Negative Number Percent			102 95.3	249 <b>9</b> 9.6	351 98.3
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance =	0.0040, Stati	stic = 8.2790
Giardia lamb	olia				
Positive Number Percent			4 3.7	14 5.6	18 5.0
Negative Number Percent			103 96.3	236 94.4	339 95.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4614, Stat	istic = 0.54241
Entameoba hi	stolytica				
Positive Number Percent			4 3.7	6 2.4	10 2.8
Negative Number Percent			103 96.3	244 97.6	347 97.2
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4826, Stat	istic = 0.49293
Entameoba co	oli				
Positive Number Percent			36 33.6	80 32.0	116 32.5
Negative Number Percent			71 66.4	170 <b>6</b> 8.0	241 67.5
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.7611, Stat	istic = 0. <b>924</b> 22 -

Appendix III.1 Table XI

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Laundry Water Piped Outside

Parasite			Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides				
Positive Number Percent			15 12.3	28 11.9	43 12.0
Negative Number Percent			107 87.7	207 <b>8</b> 8.1	314 88.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.9166,	Statistic = 0.10957 -
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			5 4.1	1 0.4	6 1.7
Negative Number Percent			117 95.9	234 99.6	351 98.3
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0105,	Statistic = 6.5560
Giardia lamb	lia				
Positive Number Percent			7 5.7	11 4.7	18 5.0
Negative Number Percent			115 94.3	224 95.3	339 <b>9</b> 5.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.6651,	Statistic = 0.18735
Entameoba hi	stolytica				
Positive Number Percent			4 3.3	6 2.6	10 2.8
Negative Number Percent			118 96.7	229 97.4	347 97.2
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.6936,	Statistic = 0.15525
Entameoba co	<u>li</u>				
Positive Number Percent			43 35.2	73 31.1	116 32.5
Negative Number Percent			79 <b>64.</b> 8	162 68.9	241 67.5
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4236,	Statistic = 0.64033

Appendix III.1 Table XII

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Utensil Water Piped Outside

The supply of th				
Parasite		Yes	No	Total
Ascaris <u>lumbricoides</u>				
Positive Number Percent		16 12.9	27 11.6	43 12.0
Negative Number Percent		108 87.1	206 88.4	314 88.0
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.7162, Stat	tistic = 0.13215
Ancylostoma duodenale				
Positive Number Percent		5 4.0	1 0.4	6 1.7
Negative Number Percent		119 96.0	232 99.6	351 <b>9</b> 8.3
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0117, Stat	tistic = 6.3582
Giardia lamblia Positive Number Percent		7 5.6	11 4.7	18 5.0
Negative Number Percent		117 94.4	222 95.3	339 <b>9</b> 5.0
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.7040, Stat	istic = 0.14436
Entameoba histolytica Positive				10
Number Percent		4 3.2	6 2.6	10 2.8
Negative Number Percent		120 96.8	227 97.4	347 97.2
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.7228, Stat	istic = 0.12586
Entameoba coli				
Positive Number Percent		44 35.5	72 30.9	116 32.5
Negative Number Percent		80 64.5	161 69.1	241 67.5
N = 357 DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.3252, Stat	istic = <b>0.9680</b> 8

Appendix III.2 Table I

Beni Suef: Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Latrine

		Percent Prevalence C	rine	- Latitude by Latitude
Parasite		Present	Absent	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides			
Positive Number Percent		917 99.3	1501 96.8	2418 97.8
Negative Number Percent		6 0.7	<b>4</b> 9 <b>3</b> .2	55 2.2
N = 2473	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signi	ficance = 0.00	), Statistic = 16.777
Ancylostoma	duodena le			
Positive Number Percent		886 96.0	1509 97.4	2395 96.8
Negative Number Percent		37 <b>4.</b> 0	41 2.6	78 3.2
N = 2473	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signi	Ficance = 0.06	506, Statistic = 3.521
Hymenolepis	nana			
Positive Number Percent		914 99.0	1531 98.8	2445 98.9
Negative Number Percent		9 1.0	19 1.2	28 1.1
N = 2473	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signif	icance = 0.568	37, Statistic = 0.3248
Giardia lamb	lia			
Positive Number Percent		891 <b>9</b> 6.5	1498 96.6	2389 96.6
Negative Number Percent		32 3.5	52 3.4	84 3.4
N = 2473	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signif	icance = 0.881	7, Statistic = 0.22162
Entamoeba hi	stolvtica			
Positive Number Percent		868 94.0	1467 94.6	2335 94.4
Negative Number Percent		55 6.0	83 5.4	138 5.6
N = 2473	DF = 1		icance = 0.526	58, Statistic = 0.4005

III.2 Table I (continued)

		Latr		
Parasite	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Present	Absent	Total
Entamoeba col	<u>i</u>			
Positive Number		559	784	1343
Percent		60.6	50.6	54.3
Negative				
Number		364	766	1130
Percent		39.4	49.4	45.7
N = 2473	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	icance = 0.00. S	tatistic = 23.23

Appendix III.2 Table II

Beni Suef: Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Sex

Parasite		Male	Female	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides			
Positive Number Percent		24 1.6	35 2.5	59 2.1
Negative Number Percent		1435 98.4	1360 97.5	2795 97.9
N = 2854	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significa	ance = 0.1049,	Statistic = 2.6295
Ancylostoma Positive Number	duodenale	58	35	93
Percent		4.0	2.5	3.3
Negative Number Percent		1401 96.0	1360 97.5	2761 96.7
N = 2854	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significa	ance = 0.0274,	Statistic = 4.8643
Hymenolepis Positive	nana			
Number Percent		18 1.2	11 0.8	29 1.0
Negative Number Percent		1441 98.8	1384 99.2	2825 99.0
N = 2854	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significa	ance = $0.2358$ ,	Statistic = 1.4053

III.2 Table II (continued)

Parasite			Male	Female	Total
Giardia lambl	ia				
Positive Number Percent			53 3.6	47 3.4	100 3.5
Negative Number Percent			1406 96.4	1348 96.6	2754 96.5
N = 2854	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significa	nce = 0.7020, St	atistic = 0.14639
Entameoba his	tolytica				
Number Percent			87 6.0	78 5.6	165 5.8
Negative Number Percent			1372 94.2	1317 94.0	2689 94.4
N = 2854	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Signific	ance = 0.6707, S	<u>tatistic = 0.18077</u>
Entameoba col	<u>i</u>				
Positive Number Percent			641 43.9	637 45.7	1278 44.8
Negative Number Percent			818 56.1	<b>75</b> 8 54.3	1576 55.2
N = 2854	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Signific	ance = 0.3532, S	tatistic = 0.86204

Appendix III.2 Table III Beni Suef: Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Age Groups

Parasite		0 to 1	1 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40 to 44	45 to 49	50 to 34	55 to 60	61 to 64	65 or >
Ascaris lumbricoides Positive Number Percent	1001des	0	2 0.9	10	13	9.2	2	3 1.6	3	3 1.6	3	2 1.6	2 1.3			
Negative Number Percent		160 100		450 97.8	450 395 97.8 96.8	269 96. <sub>8</sub>	154 98.7	180 98.4	176 98.3	179 98.4	172 98.3	126 98.4	148 98.7	70 95.9	93	94 93.9
N = 2624	DF = 14	Chi-	Square	Signific	ance = 0	.7336, St	Chi-Square Significance = 0.7336, Statistic = 10.384	10.384	; !					, , ,		
Ancylostoma duodenale Positive Number Percent	luodenale	0	1.7	22 4.8	16 3.9	3.2	3.2	5 2.7	6 3.4			2 1.6	1		3 3.2	3.2
Negative Number Percent		31 100	227 98.3		438 392 95.2 96.1	269 96.8	438 392 269 151 178 95.2 96.1 96.8 96.3 97.3	178 97.3	173 96.6	179 98.4	167 95.4	126 98.4	146 97.3	70 95.9	92 96.8	92 96.8
N = 2824	DF = 14	Ch1-	Chi-Square Si	Signific	ance = 0	.7079, St	atistic =	10.720								
Hymenolepis nana Positive Number	เลกล	0	4	15	,	0			-	_	-		_	1		
Percent		ı	1.7	3.3		<b>)</b>	,	<b>,</b>	9.0	0.5	9.0		0.7			<b>)</b>
Negative Number Percent		31 100	227 98.3	445 96.7	404 99.0		156 100	183 100	178 99.4	181 99.5	174 99.4	12 <i>7</i> 99.2	149 99.3	73	94 93.9	95 100
N = 2824	DF = 14	Ch1.	Chi-Square S	Signific	ance = 0	.0024, St	ignificance = 0.0024, Statistic = 33 611	33 611					1		ļ	

III.2 Table III (continued)

Parasite		0 to 1	0 to 1 1 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	to 9 10 to 14 15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40 to 44	40 to 44 45 to 49	50 to 54	55 to 59	60 to 64	65 or >
Entameoba histolytica	stolytica								,					;   	1	
Positive Number Percent		2 6.5	13 5.6	20.4.3	27 6.6	18 6.5	13 8.3	12 6.6	3.9	6 3.3	16 9.1	11 8.6	7.4	3.1	4.2	5 .3
Negative Number Percent		29 93.5	29 218 93.5 94.4		381 93.4	260 93.5	143 91.7	171	172 96.1	176 96.7	159 90.9	91.4	143 95.3		91 95.8	90 94.7
N = 2824	DF = 14	Chi	Square	Signifi	cance = 6	Chi-Square Significance = 0.4176, Statistic = 14.439	atistic =	14.439					}			
Giardia lamblia Positive Number	lia	0	12		15	თ	9	જ	2	9	9	2		-	2	m
Percent			5.5	6.9	3.7	3.2	3.8	2.7	-	3.3	3.4	1.6	0.7	7.4	2.1	3.2
Negative Number Percent		اد 100	219 94.8	430 93.5	393 96.3	269 96.8	150 96.2	178 97.3	177 98.9	176 96.7	169 96.6	126 98.4	149 99.3	72 98.6	93 97.9	92 96.8
N = 2824	DF = 14	Chi.	Chi-Square S1	Signifi	cance = 0	ignificance ≈ 0.0319, Statistic =	atistic =	25.276								
Entameoba coli	iji															
Number Percent		7 22.6	7 87 22.6 37.7	185 40.2	205 50.2	112 40.3	69 44.2	90 49.2	76 42.5	83 45.6	89 50.9	63 49.2	77 51.3	36 49.3	34 35.8	48 50.3
Negative Number Percent		24 77.4	24 144 77.4 62.3		203 49.8	166 59.7	87 55.8	93 50.8	103 57.5	99 54.4	86 49.1	65 50.8	73 48.7	31 50.7	61 64.2	47 49.5
N = 2824	DF = 14	Ch)	Ch1-Square S1	Signifi	cance ≈ 0	gnificance ≈ 0.0014, Statistic = 35.093	atistic =	35.093								

Appendix III.2 Table IV

Beni Suef: Percent Prevalence of all Identified Parasites by Study Site

Parasite	וו	12	STUDY SITE CODE	E CODE 14	15	Total
Ascaris lumbricoides  Number positive  Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	4 1.3 0.0000	1.0	25 3.6	3.7	2 0.3	59 2.1
Trichuris trichiura Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0.0014	0 8	0	0	0	5.00.2
Enterobius vermicularis Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	9 3.9 0.0000	31 4.9	9 	8.1.3	3 0.5	60 2.1
Ancylostoma duodenale Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	1 0.3 0.0000	26 4.}	2 0.3	30 5.0	34 5.3	93 3.3
Stronglyoides stercoralis Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0	9.0	0	2 0.3	1 0.2	7 0.2
Taenia sp. Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0	0	0	0	1 0.2	0.0
Trichostrongylus sp. Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0	0.6	3 0.4	3. 0.5	4 0.6	14 0.5

III.2 Table IV (continued)

III.Z (able 17 (continued)	( n )					
			STUDY SITE CODE	CODE		
Parasite	1	12	13	14	15	Total
Hymenolepis nana Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	3 1.0 0.3029	9.1.4	9 - 1.3	1.0	2 0.3	29
Heterophyes heterophyes Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	12 4.0 0.0000	2 0.3	3 0.4	ა. ი.ი	4 0.6	24 0.8
Fasciola hepatica Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0.6161	1 0.2	0	0.2	0	0.1
Giardia lamblia Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	18 6.0 0.1162	20 3.2	26 3.8	16 2.7	20 3.1	100 3.5
Entameoba histolytica Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	17 5.7 0.4534	44 7.0	31 4.5	35 5.9	38 5.9	165 5.8
Entameoba coli Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	156 52.0 0.0000	335 53.1	342 49.9	294 49.3	153 23.8	1280 44.8
Entameoba hartmanni Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	2 0.7 0.0633	8 <del>.</del> 8.	3 0.4	11.8	13 2.0	37

III.2 Table IV (continued)

			STUDY SITE CODE	E C00E		
Parasite	11	12	13	14	15	Total
Iodamoeba butschlii						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	2 0.7 0.0487	13 2.1	5.0	8 1.3	3 0.5	31
Endolimax nana						
Number positive Percent positive	2 0.7	7	- 0	3	-0	90
Chi-Square signif.	0.4333		<del>.</del>	? •	;	;
Chilomastix mesnili						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	1 0.3 0.6977	4 0.6	0.1	2 0.3	3 0.5	0.4

Trichomonas hominis

Dientamoeba fragilis

Appendix III.2 Table V

Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Drinking Water

Parasite			No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbri	coides				
Positive Number Percent			17 1.5	0	17 1.5
Negative Number Percent			1094 98.5	14 100	1108 98.5
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.6409,	Statistic = 0.21751
Ancylostoma du Positive Number	<u>odenale</u>		40	0	40
Percent			3.6	O	3.6
Negative Number Percent			1071 96.4	14 100	1085 96. <b>4</b>
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4697,	Statistic = 0.52263
Giardia lambli Positive Number Percent	<u>a</u>		46 4.1	0	46 4.1
Negative Number Percent			1065 95.9	14 100	1079 95.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4369,	Statistic = 0.60437
Entameoba hist Positive Number Percent	olytica		54 4.9	1 7.1	55 4.9
Negative Number Percent			1057 95.1	13 92.9	1070 95.1
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	e Significance	e = 0.6939	, Statistic = 0.1543
<u>Entameoba coli</u> Positive	-				
Number Percent			443 39.9	8 57.1	451 40.1
Negative Number Percent			668 60.1	6 42.9	674 59.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.1901,	Statistic = 1.7167

Appendix III.2 Table VI

Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Bathing Water

Parasite			No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides				
Positive Number Percent			17 1.5	0	17 1.5
Negative Number Percent			1094 98.5	14 100	1108 98.5
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.6409,	Statistic = 0.21751
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			40 3.6	0	40 3.6
Negative Number Percent			1071 96.4	14 100	1085 96.4
11 = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4697,	Statistic = 0.52263
Giardia lamb Positive Number Percent	lia		<b>4</b> 6 <b>4.</b> 1	0	<b>4</b> 6 <b>4</b> .1
Hegative Humber Percent			1065 95.9	14 100	1079 95.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4369,	Statistic = 0.60437
Entameoba hi Positive Number Percent	stolytica		54 4.9	1 7.1	55 4.9
Negative Number Percent			1057 95.1	13 92.9	1070 95.1
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.6939,	Statistic = 0.15489
Entameoba co Positive Number Percent	<u>1i</u>		443 39.9	8 57.1	451 40.1
Negative Number Percent			668 60.1	6 42.9	674 59.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.1901,	Statistic = 1.7167

Appendix III.2 Table VII

Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Laundry Water

Parasite			Но	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbri	coides				
Positive Number Percent	Cordes		17 1.5	0	17 1.5
Negative Number Percent			1094 98.5	14 100	1108 98.5
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.6409,	Statistic = 0.21751
Ancylostoma du	odenale				
Positive Number Percent			40 3.6	0	40 3.6
Negative Number Percent			1071 96.4	14 100	1085 96.4
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4697,	Statistic = 0.52263
Giardia lambli Positive Number Percent	a <u>a</u>		46 4.1	0	46 4.1
Negative Number Percent			1065 95.9	14 100	1079 95.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4369,	Statistic = 0.60437
Entameoba hist	tolytica				
Positive Number Percent			54 4.9	1 7.1	55 4.9
Negative Number Percent			1057 95.1	13 92.9	1070 95.1
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.6939,	Statistic = 0.15489
Entameoba col	<u>i</u>				
Positive Number Percent			443 39.9	8 57.1	<b>451</b> <b>40</b> .1
Negative Number Percent			668 60.1	6 42.9	674 59.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.1901,	Statistic = 1.7167

Appendix III.2 Table VIII

Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Utensil Water

Parasite			No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbrio	oides				
Positive Number Percent			17 1.5	0	17 1.5
Number Number Percent			1094 98.5	14 100	1108 98.5
N = 1125 [	)F = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.6409, St	atistic = 0.21751
Ancylostoma duc Positive	odenale				
Number Percent			40 3.6	0	<b>4</b> 0 <b>3</b> .6
Negative Number Percent			1071 96.4	14 100	1085 96.4
N = 1125 [	)F = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	e = 0.4697, S	tatistic = 0.52263
Giardia lamblia Positive Number Percent	1		46 4.1	0	46 4.1
Negative Number Percent			1065 95.9	14 100	1079 95.9
N = 1125 E	)F = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4369, St	atistic = 0.60437
Entameoba histo	lytica				
Positive Number Percent			54 4.9	1 7.1	55 4.9
Negative Number Percent			1057 95.1	13 92.9	1070 95.1
N = 1125 D	)F = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	e = 0.6939, S	tatistic = 0.15489
Entameoba coli					
Positive Number Percent			443 39.9	8 57.1	451 40.1
Negative Number Percent			668 60.1	6 42.9	674 59.9
N = 1125 D	F = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.1901, S	tatistic = 1.7167

Appendix III.2 Table IX

Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Drinking Water Piped Outside

Parasite			No	Ye s	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides				
Positive Number Percent			11 1.3	6 2.0	17 1.5
Negative Number Percent			814 98.7	294 98.0	1108 98.5
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4176,	Statistic = 0.65699
Ancylostoma Positive	duodena le				
Number Percent			27 3.3	13 4.3	40 3.6
Negative Number Percent			798 96.7	287 95.7	1085 96.4
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.3956,	Statistic = 0.72168
Giardia lamb Positive Number Percent	<u>lia</u>		40 4.8	6 2.0	46 4.1
Negative Number Percent			785 95.2	294 98.0	1079 95.9
<u>N</u> = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0329,	Statistic = 4.5517
Entameoba hi Positive Number	stolytica		36	19	55
Percent Negative Number Percent			4.4 789 95.6	6.3 281 93.7	4.9 1070 95.1
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.1755,	Statistic = 1.8356
Entameoba co Positive Number Percent	<u> 11                                  </u>		312 37.8	139 46.3	451 40.1
Negative Number Percent			513 62.2	161 53.7	674 59.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0100,	Statistic = 6.6417

Appendix III.2 Table X

Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Bathing Water Piped Outside

Parasite	No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbricoide:	_		
Positive Number Percent	13 1.6	4	17 1.5
Negative Number Percent	819 98.4	289 98.6	1108 98.5
N = 1125 DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.8118,	Statistic = 0.56684 -
Ancylostoma duodena Positive Number Percent	<u>e</u> 27 3.2	13 4.4	40 3.6
Negative Number Percent	805 96.8	280 95.6	1085 96.4
N = 1125 DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.3435.	Statistic = 0.89735
Giardia lamblia  Positive Number Percent  Negative Number Percent	41 4.9 791 95.1	288	46 4.1 1079 95.9
N = 1125 DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.0166,	Statistic = 5.7339
Entameoba histolytic Postive Number Percent Negative	37 4.4	18 6.1	55 4.9
Number Percent	795 95.6	275 93.9	1070 95.1
N = 1125 DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	ance = 3.2469.	Statistic = 1.3408
Entameoba coli Positive Number Percent	318 33.2	133 45.4	451 40.1
Negative Number Percent	514 61.8	160 54.6	674 59.9
N = 1125 DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.312,	Statistic = 4.6399

Appendix III.2 Table XI

Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Laundry Water Piped Outside

Parasite		No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides			
Positive Number Percent		13 1.5	4 1.5	17 1.5
Negative Number Percent		847 98.5	261 98.5	1108 98.5
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significan	nce = 0.9980,	Statistic = 0.65518 -5
Ancylostoma	duodonalo			
Ancylostoma Positive	duodena re			
Number Percent		27 3.1	13 4.9	40 3.6
Negative Number Percent		833 96.9	252 95.1	1085 96.4
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significan	nce = 0.1746,	Statistic = 1.8427
Giardia lamb Positive Number	<u>lia</u>	41	, 5 1.9	46
Percent Negative Number Percent		4.8 819 95.2	260 98.1	4.1 1079 95.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significan	nce = 0.0384,	Statistic = 4.2865
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Positive Number Percent		39 4.5	16 6.0	55 4.9
Negative Number Percent		821 95.5	249 94.0	1070 95.1
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significar	nce = 0.3212,	Statistic = 0.98397
Entameoba co	oli			
Positive Number Percent		324 37.7	127 47.9	451 40.1
Negative Number Percent		536 62.3	138 52.1	674 59.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significa	nce = 0.0029,	Statistic = 8.8617

Appendix III.2 Table XII

Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Utensil Water Piped Outside

Parasite		No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumb	oricoides			
Positive Number Percent		13 1.5	4 1.6	17 1.5
Negative Number Percent		863 98.5	245 98.4	1108 98.5
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.8889,	Statistic = 0.19520 -1
Ancylostoma	duodenale			
Positive Number Percent		27 3.1	13 5.2	40 3.6
Negative Number Percent		849 96.9	236 94.8	1085 96.4
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.1078,	Statistic = 2.5862
Giardia lamb Positive Number Percent	lia	41 4.7	5 2.0	46 4.1
Negative Number Percent		835 95.3	244 98.0	1079 95.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0602,	Statistic = 3.5307
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Positive Number Percent		39 <b>4.</b> 5	16 6.4	55 4.9
Negative Number Percent		837 <b>9</b> 5.5	233 93.6	1070 95.1
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	=0.2025, 5	statistic = 1.6242
Entameoba co	<u>1i</u>			
Positive Number Percent		331 37.8	120 48.2	451 40.1
Negative Number Percent		545 62.2	129 51.8	674 59.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0031,	Statistic = 8.7438

Appendix III.3 Table I

A	swan: Percen	t Prevalence of Sele		es by Latrine
Parasite		Latr Present	ine Absent	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides			
Positive Number Percent		43 3.9	13 2.1	56 3.3
Negative Number Percent		1061 96.1	598 97.9	1659 96.7
N = 1715	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	cance = 0.04	86, Statistic = 3.8891
Ancylostoma	duodenale			
Positive Number Percent		3 0.3	2 0.3	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent		1101 99.7	609 99.7	1710 99.7
N = 1715	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	cance = 0.83	389, Statistic = 0.41817-1
Hymenolepis	nana			
Positive Number Percent		63 5.7	29 4.7	92 5.4
Negative Number Percent		1041 94.3	582 95.3	1623 94.6
N = 1715	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	cance = 0.39	980, Statistic = 0.71432
Giardia lamb	lia			
Positive Number Percent		120 10.9	39 6.4	159 9.3
Negative Number Percent		<b>9</b> 84 89.1	572 93.6	1556 90.7
N = 1715	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	cance = 0.00	022, Statistic = 9.4124

III.3 Table I (continued)

	Latr	Latrine			
Parasite	Present	Absent	Total		
Entameoba histolytica					
Positive Number Percent	80 7.2	32 5.2	112 6.5		
Negative Number Percent	1024 92.8	579 94.8	1603 93.5		
N = 1715 DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	cance = 0.106	8, Statistic = 2.6008		
Entameoba coli					
Positive					
Number Percent	411 37.2	192 31.4	603 35.2		
Negative			•••		
Number Percent	693 62.8	419 68.6	1112 <b>64</b> .8		
N = 1715 DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	cance = 0.015	9, Statistic = 5.8125		

Appendix III.3 Table II

Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Drinking Water

Parasite	·	No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbi	ricoides			
Positive Number Percent		33 2.2	16 9.3	<b>4</b> 9 2.9
Negative Number Percent		1493 97.8	156 90.7	1649 •97.1
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	e = 0.0000,	Statistic = 28.118
Ancylostoma (	duodenale			
Positive Number Percent		5 0.3	0	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent		1521 99.7	172 100	1693 99.7
N = 1693	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	e = 0.4522,	Statistic = 0.56523
Giardia lamb	<u>lia</u>			
Positive Number Percent		124 8.1	24 14.0	148 8.7
Negative Number Percent		1402 91.9	148 86.0	1550 91.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significanc	e = 0.0102,	Statistic = 6.5981
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Positive Number Percent		80 5.2	16 9.3	96 5.7
Negative Number Percent		1446 94.8	156 90.7	1602 94.3
N = 1698_	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significanc	e = 0.289,	Statistic = 4.7765
Entameoba co	li			
Positive Number Percent		504 33.0	81 47.1	585 34.5
Negative Number Percent		1022 67.0	91 52.9	1113 65.5
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significanc	e = 0.0002,	Statistic = 13.542

Appendix III.3 Table III

Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Bathing Water

Parasite			No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides				
Positive Number Percent			33 2.9	16 2.2	49 9.4
Negative Number Percent			1495 97.8	154 90.6	1649 97.1
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0000,	Statistic = 28.709
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			5 0.3	0	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent			1523 99.7	170 100	1693 99.7
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4551,	Statistic = 0.55793
Giardia lamb	lia				
Positive Number Percent			124 8.1	24 14.1	148 8.7
Negative Number Percent			1404 91.9	146 85.9	1550 91.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0085,	Statistic = 6.9273
Entameoba his	stolytica				
Number Percent			80 5.2	16 9.4	96 5.7
Negative Number Percent			1448 94.8	154 90.6	1602 94.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0253,	Statistic = 5.0019
Entameoba col	i <u>i</u>				
Positive Number Percent			505 33.0	80 47.1	585 34.5
Negative Number Percent			1023 67.0	90 52.9	1113 65.5
11 = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0003,	Statistic = 13.295

Appendix III.3 Table IV

Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Laundry Water

Parasite			No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbi	ricoides				
Positive Number Percent			33 2.2	16 9.4	49 2.9
Negative Number Percent			1495 97.8	154 90.6	1649 97.1
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0000,	Statistic = 28.709
Ancylostoma (	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			5 0.3	0	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent			1523 99.7	170 100	1693 99.7
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4551,	Statistic = 0.55793
Giardia lamb	lía				
Positive Number Percent			124 8.1	24 14.1	148 8.7
Negative Number Percent			1404 91.9	146 85.9	1550 91.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0085,	Statistic = 6.9275
Entameoba his	stolytica				
Number Percent			80 5.2	16 9.4	96 5.7
Negative Number Percent			1448 94.8	154 90.6	1602 94.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0253,	Statistic = 5.0019
Entameoba col	li				
Positive Number Percent			505 33.0	80 47.1	585 34.5
Negative Number Percent			1023 65.5	90 67.0	1113 52.9
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0085,	Statistic = 13.295

Appendix III.3 Table V

Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Water Piped in for Utensils

Parasite			No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides				
Positive Number Percent			33 2.2	16 9.4	<b>49</b> 2.9
Negative Number Percent			1495 97.8	154 90.6	1649 97. l
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significa	nce = 0.0000,	Statistic = 28.709
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			5 0.3	0	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent			1523 99.7	170 100	1693 99.7
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significa	nce = 0.4551,	Statistic = 0.55793
Giardia lamb Positive Number Percent	lia		124 8.1	24 14.1	148 8.7
Negative Number Percent			1404 91.9	146 85.9	1550 91.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significa	nce = 0.0085,	Statistic = 6.9275
Entameoba hi Positive	stolytica				
Number Percent			80 5.2	16 9.4	96 5.7
Negative Number Percent			1448 94.8	154 <b>9</b> 0.6	1602 94.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significa	nce = 0.253, S	Statistic = 5.0019
<u>Entameoba co</u> Positive	<u>li</u>				
Number Percent			505 33.0	80 47.1	585 34.5
Negative Number Percent			1023 67.0	90 52.9	1113 65.5
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significa	nce = 0.0003,	Statistic = 13.295

Appendix III.3 Table VI

Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Drinking Water Piped Outside

Parasite			No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbri	coides				
Positive Number Percent			25 3.1	24 2.7	49 2.9
Negative Number Percent			789 96.9	860 97.3	1649 97.1
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.6613,	Statistic = 0.19199
Ancylostoma du	odenale				
Positive Number Percent			2 0.2	3 0.3	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent			812 99.8	881 99.7	1693 99.7
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.7219,	Statistic = 0.12664
Giardia lambli	<u>a</u>				
Positive Number Percent			79 9.7	69 7.8	148 8.7
Negative Number Percent			735 90.3	815 92.2	1550 91.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.1656,	Statistic = 1.9222
Entameoba hist Positive	olytica				
Number Percent			59 7.2	37 4.2	96 5.7
Negative Number Percent			755 92.8	847 95.8	1602 94.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0063,	Statistic = 7.4520
Entameoba coli					
Positive Number Percent			308 37.8	277 31.3	585 34.5
Negative Number Percent			506 62.2	607 68.7	1113 65.5
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0048,	Statistic = 7.9358

Appendix III.3 Table VII
Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of
Water Supply: Bathing Water Piped Outside

	la cer supo iv.	Bathing Wat	er ripea i	nits ine	
Parasite			No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides				
Positive Number Percent			25 3.0	24 2.7	49 2.9
Negative Number Percent			796 97.0	853 97.3	1649 97.1
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significa	nce = 0.7044,	Statistic = 0.14397
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			2 0.2	3 0.3	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent			819	874	1693
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significa	nce = 0.7082,	Statistic = 0.14004
Giardia lamb Positive Number Percent	lia		79 9.6	69 7.9	148 8.7
Negative Number Percent			742 90.4	808 92.1	1550 91.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significa	nce = 0.2002,	Statistic = 1.6408
Entameoba his Positive Number Percent	stolytica		59 5.7	37 7.2	96 4.2
Negative Number Percent			762 92.8	840 95.8	1602 94.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significa	nce = 0.0082,	Statistic = 7.0002
Entameoba co Positive Number Percent	<u>li</u>		309 37.6	276 31.5	585 34.5
Negative Number Percent			512 62.4	601 68.5	1113 65.5
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significa	nce = 0.0075.	Statistic = 7.1392

Appendix III.3 Table VIII

Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Laundry Water Piped Outside

- Ma	ter Supply:	Launury wat	er Piped Out	Side	
Parasite			No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbr	ricoides				
Positive Number Percent			25 3.0	24 2.7	<b>4</b> 9 <b>2.</b> 9
Negative Number Percent			796 97.0	853 97.3	1649 97.1
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.7044,	Statistic = 0.14397
Ancylostoma d	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			2 0.2	3 0.3	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent			819 99.8	874 99.7	1693 99.7
11 = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.7082,	Statistic = 0.14004
Giardia lambi Positive Number Percent	lia_		79 9.6	69 7.9	148 8.7
Negative Number Percent			742 90.4	808 92.1	1550 91.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.2002,	Statistic = 1.6409
Entameoba his Positive Number Percent	stolytica		59 7.2	37 4.2	96 5.7
Negative Number Percent			762 92.8	840 95.8	1602 94.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0082,	Statistic = 7.0002
Entameoba co Positive Number Percent	<u>li</u>		309 37.6	276 31.5	585 34.5
Negative Number Percent			512 62.4	601 68.5	1113 65.5
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0075,	Statistic = 7.1392

## Appendix III.3 Table IX

Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Utensil Water Piped Outside

Parasite			No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides				
Positive Number Percent			25 3.0	24 2.7	<b>4</b> 9 2.9
Negative Number Percent			796 97.0	853 97.3	1649 97.1
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.7044,	Statistic = 0.14397
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			2 0.2	3 0.3	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent			819 99.8	874 99.7	1693 99.7
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.7082,	Statistic = 0.14004
Giardia lamb	lia				
Positive Number Percent			79 9.6	69 7.9	148 8.7
Negative Number Percent			742 90.4	808 <b>9</b> 2.1	1550 91.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.2002,	Statistic = 1.6409
Entameoba hi	stolytica				
Positive Number Percent			59 7.2	<b>37</b> <b>4</b> .2	96 5.7
Negative Number Percent			762 92.8	840 95.8	1602 94.3
N = 1698	0F = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0082,	Statistic = 7.0002
Entameoba co	li				
Positive Number Percent	<del></del>		309 31.6	276 31.5	585 34.5
Negative Number Percent			512 <b>62.</b> 4	601 68.5	1113 65.5
V = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square S	Significance	<b>± 0.0</b> 075.	Statistic = 7.1392

APPENDIX IV. 1

Construction Material of House, Raw
Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

		•			udy s	ite code	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Material	11	12	ni Su 13	14	15	16	17	18	Sheik 19	20
Stone or red Brick	8	22	5	8	8	51	9	20	46	25
Mud Brick	93	123	120	181	117	139	182	161	141	152
Wood or Reed	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Mud and red Brick	2	55	81	8	65	7	2	5	10	35
Mud Brick and Wood or Reed	4	0	4	0	7	2	4	0	0	0
No information	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	5	0	0
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

TABLE II

Structure Attachment of House, Raw
Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

IV.1

		_			udy si	ite code			<b>~</b>	
Attachment	11	12	ni Su 13		15	16	17	18	Sheik 19	n 20
Detached	2	1	3	4	3	17	0	17	33	17
One Side only	10	10	41	10	8	42	8	29	70	26
Two Sides	50	51	117	61	43	124	57	99	91	168
Three Sides	42	139	50	122	144	15	125	43	3	0
No information	3	0	1	0	1	1	9	3	0	4
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

APPENDIX IV.1 TABLE III

.Number of houses with Painted walls,
Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

		Ве	ni Su		udy si	te code	Kafr	El	Sheikl	h
Painted	11	12	13		15	16	17	18		20
Exterior	4	1	4	4	2	3	7	11	6	9
Interior	8	27	16	6	14	36	21	32	57	25
None	82	148	19	168	124	152	163	120	109	177
Exterior and Interior	13	23	<b>16</b> 6	18	54	8	6	25	22	3
No information	0	2	7	1	5	0	2	3	3	1
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

TABLE IV

Number of Houses with Staircase, Raw
Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

IV.1

		Ве	ni Su		tudy	site code		E1	Sheikh	
Staircase	11	12	_		15	16	17	18	19	20
Fixed	72	152	138	102	119	132	180	87	16	119
Mobile	12	15	12	20	48	57	8	59	151	68
None	18	31	55	69	28	3	10	35	28	20
No information	5	3	7	6	4	7	1	10	2	8
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

APPENDIX IV. ] TABLE V

Number of Houses by Type of Roof Material,
Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Roof		Be	ni Su	St	udy s	ite code	Kafr	El	Sheikh	
Material	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Reed and Mud	34	60	20	0	15	0	0	38	0	1
Wood, Reed and Mud	1	6	0	0	109	158	6	45	0	1
Concrete	3	1	3	2	1	24	10	17	27	17
Wood	10	23	43	143	1	3	7	4	9	5
Reed	9	81	22	31	9	0	1	1	4	4
Mud	40	6	1	0	3	2	0	0	0	173
Concrete and Wood	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	1	6	0
Concrete and Reed	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
Concrete and Mud	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	10
Concrete, Wood and Reed	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Wood and Reed	7	23	105	19	6	4	174	57	149	0
No information	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Total 1	07	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

IV.1 TABLE VI

Number of Houses by Roof condition, Raw
Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Roof		Be	ni Su		udy si	ite code		r El	Sheik	h
Condition	11	12		14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Permeable	41	13	90	161	10	170	195	156	165	170
Non-permeable	56	187	119	29	162	55	3	32	22	25
No information	10	1	3	7	27	7	1	3	10	50
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

APPENDIX IV.7 TABLE VII

Number of Houses by Storage location of Cooking and Heating Feul, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Storage of Fuel Materials	11	Ве 12	ni Su 13		udy s:	ite code 16	Kaf	r El 18	Sheik 19	h 20	
None present	4	1	2	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	
Roof	88	178	168	145	190	199	195	183	187	203	
Stable	4	3	9	0	1	0	1	2	1	3	
Storage Room	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	1	
Yard	8	17	31	51	4	0	3	0	4	8	
Roof and Stor- age Room	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Roof and Yard	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No information	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215	

IV.1 TABLE VIII

Number of Houses by Type of Floor Construction, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Floor		Ρ.	ni Su		udy s	ite code	V o F	~ =~	Sheikh	
Construction	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		, 50
Tile and Wood	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	8	0
Earth	91	162	185	179	157	172	194	170	161	204
Concrete	4	1	0	2	0	4	2	2	1	3
Tile	5	17	6	8	12	13	1	9	18	3
Wood	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
Earth and Concrete	0	1	5	0	1	0	1	2	2	2
Earth and Tile	2	13	14	6	28	1	0	4	0	0
Earth and Wood	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Earth, Concret	е,	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1
No information	3	12	2	1	1	2	1	3	4	0
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

APPENDIX IV. ? TABLE IX

Number of Houses by the number of windows, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Number of		R.	ni Su		tudy	site co	le Kafi	r El	Sheikh	
Windows	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		20
1	29	54	43	63	50	2	59	12	12	34
2	27	31	47	33	47	7	63	36	29	35
3	9	26	44	14	40	14	26	35	26	36
4	13	19	28	8	16	<b>7</b> 0	13	41	56	29
5	4	9	9	6	9	48	7	13	30	20
6	10	4	10	1	4	18	5	19	17	18
7	0	0	3	1	0	23	0	14	18	9
8	0	2	3	2	0	13	1	6	3	12
9	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	5	2	2
10 or more	2	2	3	1	0	2	1	5	4	15
No information	on 12	53	22	67	33	0	24	5	0	5
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

Number of Houses by Type of Lighting, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

		_			udy si	te code			<b>~</b>	
Lighting	11	12	ni Su 13	eI 14	15	16	Kaf 17	r El 18	Sheik 19	h 20
Electricity	30	45	67	0	73	100	14	58	4	0
Kerosene	71	149	142	195	123	<b>9</b> 5	176	130	192	213
Other	1	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	2
Electricity and Kerosene	1	0	0	0	0	4	9	0	0	0
No information	4	5	2	1	1	0	0	3	0	0
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

APPENDIX IV.1 TABLE XI

Number of Houses with Television, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Television       11       12       13       14       15       16       17       18       19       20         Present       4       5       5       1       7       6       4       9       5         Not Present       93       172       201       195       190       193       192       177       192       214         No information       10       24       6       1       2       0       3       5       0       0			Be	ni Su		tudy	site co		fr El	Sheik	·h
Not Present 93 172 201 195 190 193 192 177 192 214 No information 10 24 6 1 2 0 3 5 0	Television	11				15	16				20
No information 10 24 6 1 2 0 3 5 0 0	Present	4	5	5	1	7	•	5 4	9	5	1
	Not Present	93	172	201	195	190	19	<b>1</b> 92	177	192	214
Total 107 201 212 197 199 199 199 191 197 215	No informatio	n 10	24	6	1	2	(	3	5	0	0
	Total	107	201	<b>21</b> 2	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

IV.1 TABLE XII

Number of Houses by Number of Rooms in House, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Number of Roo	ms 11	Be 12	ni Su 13		tudy 15	site	code 16	Kafr	El 18	Sheikh 19	20
1	12	48	26	64	1		3	58	4	3	8
2	29	47	55	51	15		60	69	34	5	38
3	16	41	61	46	32		94	43	44	15	43
4	21	21	29	16	48		34	17	50	18	48
5	10	14	16	9	36		5	2	20	24	20
6	7	8	9	4	27		2	2	14	47	30
7	0	7	7	4	16		1	1	10	65	9
8 or more	6	9	6	2	22		0	2	12	20	19
No information	n 6	6	3	1	2		0	5	3	0	0
Total	107	201	212	197	199	1	199 -	199	191	197	215

APPENDIX IV.1 TABLE XIII

Number of Houses by Number of Occupants in House,
Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Number		Da	md C.		tudy	site	code		727	Ch - 41-1		
Number of Occupants	11	12	ni Su 13	14	15		16	Kafr 17	E1 18	Sheikh 19	20	
1	5	10	10	30	10		6	6	1	17	8	
2	5	18	16	36	14		28	15	6	33	38	
3	7	<b>2</b> 2	24	24	18		35	29	9	23	26	
4	15	23	18	31	34		<b>2</b> 6	35	17	30	31	
5	25	23	27	26	25		38	31	11	21	34	
6	13	24	<b>3</b> 3	20	24		25	23	25	27	26	
7	10	24	19	14	21		27	17	22	8	18	
8	7	11	26	7	12		8	12	20	14	15	
9	7	13	12	5	16		4	17	13	9	11	
10 or more	12	31	25	2	19		2	4	65	7	7	
No information	on 1	2	2	2	6		0	0	2	8	1	
Total	107	201	212	197	199	1	199	<b>19</b> 9	191	197	215	

IV.1 TABLE XIV

Number of Houses by Presence and Location of Stable, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

		₽.	- 4 C		Study	site co		fm 53	Chai	1-1-
Stable	11	12	<b>ni</b> Su 13	14	15	16	17	fr El 18	Shei 19	20
Inside	69	148	130	88	184	150	140	120	105	147
Outside	3	3	10	5	3	6	5	15	8	9
None	31	46	69	102	10	39	18	52	82	42
No informatio	n 4	4	3	2	2	4	36	4	2	7
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

APPENDIX IV.1 TABLE XV

Number of Houses with Waste Container, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Weste		Be	ni Su		tudy	site (	code		r El	Sheik	h
Conteiner	11				15		16			19	20
Yes	2	29	2	1	0		4	3	6	3	60
No	95	170	209	191	198	19	94	193	179	191	139
No information	10	2	1	5	1		1	3	6	3	16
Total	107	201	212	197	199	19	99	199	191	197	215

IV.1 TABLE XVI

Number of Houses by method of disposal of animal waste, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Animal Waste Material	31	Be 12	ni Su		udy s:	ite code	Kafr 17	El 18	Sheikh 19	20
Stable	10	1	98	1	49	3	1	0	3	89
Yard	12	2	1	2	,	0	1	9	2	2
Street	7	9	9	1	28	171	144	62	17	26
Canal	3	0	2	0	c	1	0	42	86	1
Roof	58	60	Э	83	74	0	0	5	2	1
None	14	115	98	107	72	13	19	67	85	80
No information	a 3	14	4	3	5	11	34	6	2	16
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

APPENDIX IV.1 TABLE XVII

Number of Houses by Type of Cooking Fuel, Raw Counts, for Ben Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Cooking Fuel	11	Be 12	ni Su 13		tudy 15	site code	Kafr 17	El 18	Sheikh 19	20
Wood and Dung	5	14	0	83	72	27	22	40	57	1
Oil, Wood and Dung	0	8	0	0	24	109	53	34	0	0
Gas	6	3	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	2
Oil	10	41	1	29	6	8	5	4	1	21
Wood	4	0	0	73	3	5	5	2	0	1
Dung	74	70	143	1	87	0	27	2	4	16
Gas and Oil	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Gas and Wood	1	1	0	3	1	0	0	5	1	0
Gas and Dung	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Gas, Oil, and Wood	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Oil and Wood	1	16	0	3	1	27	74	38	126	0
No information	6	47	59	3	4	21	11	67	8	171
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

IV.1 TABLE XVIII

Number of Houses by Cleaning of Stable,
Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Stable		Re	ni Su		udy s	ite code	Raf	r El	Sheik	h
Cleaning	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Daily	82	101	86	41	63	174	140	118	110	147
Weekly	4	32	34	46	80	2	2	19	0	29
Monthly	0	18	25	1	36	0	2	0	1	0
Never	18	30	2	6	11	2	9	35	82	17
No information	n 3	20	65	102	9	20	46	19	2	21
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

APPENDIX IV. 1

Number of Houses by Type of Approach, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

House		12.0	ni Su		udy s	ite code	Kaf	r El	Sheik	·h
Approach	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Non-earth:										
Clean	46	84	207	178	<b>6</b> 8	166	1	163	1	26
Littered	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	0	0	6
Dry	60	1	2	12	96	12	175	5	2	179
Wet	0	2	0	1	34	10	7	20	0	0
Earth:										
Clean	0	15	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Littered	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Wet	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
No information	n 1	99	1	5	1	1	15	2	194	3
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

TABLE XX

Number of Houses Owned and Rented, Raw Counts,
for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

17.1

		Вe	ni Su	.ef	•	site cod	Kaf	r El	Sheik	h
Ownership	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Own	2	15	2	4	12	4	2	9	19	2
Rent	96	179	207	149	185	195	192	179	163	199
No informatio	n 9	7	3	4	2	0	5	3	15	4
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

APPENDIX IV.1 TABLE XXI

Storage of Water by Number of Houses,
Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

		В	eni S		udy s	ite code	Kaf	r El	Sheik	h
Material	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Metal	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	2	5
Earthenware	107	193	209	197	192	186	189	186	195	215
Ceramic	0	0	1	0	1	0	8	0	0	1
Metal and Ceramic	0	0	1	0	0	11	0	0	1	0
Metal and Earthenware	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
No information	2	0	1	0	3	0	1	1	1	0
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218

TABLE XXII

Wastewater Drainage by Number of Houses,
Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Material	11	12	eni S	uef 14	Study 15	site co	de Kafi 17	r El 18	Sheik 19	h 20
Concrete	0	0	6	0	1	O	2	5	2	4
Pipe	2	1	1	2	0	10	0	0	1	42
Brick	2	0	0	0	0	98	0	2	0	1
Tile	1	0	2	0	0	5	0	7	0	1
Earth	102	188	193	15	0	86	0	173	195	160
Other	1	2	7	180	190	0	193	1	0	9
No information	2	2	3	0	6	0	4	2	1	1
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218

APPENDIX IV.1

TABLE XXIII

Number of Houses with Latrin, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

		B.	ni Su		udy si	te code	<b>V</b> of	n Fi	Sheik	·h
Latrine	11				15	16			19	
Present	22	54	51	35	119	196	154	151	147	196
Not Present	69	112	159	160	12	3	23	37	16	19
No information	19	27	2	2	66	0	<b>2</b> 2	2	36	3
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218

IV.1

## TABLE XXIV

Number of Houses Using Latrine, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

		B.	ni Su		udy si	te code	Vor	n E1	Sheik	<b>.</b>
Latrine Use	11				15	16				50
Yes	24	52	49	33	119	195	153	149	122	199
No	31	100	159	54	7	4	13	38	52	16
No information	55	41	4	110	71	0	33	3	25	3
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	<b>19</b> 0	199	218

APPENDIX IV.1

TABLE XXV

Number of Houses with Covered Latrine, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

	Study site code Beni Suef Kafr El Sheikh									
Latrine Cover	11				15	16				20
Yes	7	49	48	10	117	157	145	150	4	193
No	38	100	159	61	6	41	20	37	162	19
No information	65	44	5	126	74	1	34	3	33	6
Total	110	193	212	197	197	<b>19</b> 9	199	190	199	218

IV.1 TABLE XXVI

Latrine Type by Number of Houses,
Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and
Kafr El Sheikh

Latrine Type	11	Ве 12	ni Su 13	ef	•	ite code 16	Kaf 17	r El 18	Sheik 19	h 20	
Borehole	2	0	0	4	1	3	1	19	10	13	
Pit	17	54	38	6	114	144	93	116	60	157	
Masonry Walls	2	3	15	24	1	34	39	17	76	34	
Pit and Masonry Walls	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	1	
No information	89	136	159	163	81	18	57	38	53	13	
Total	110	193	<b>2</b> 12	197	197	199	199	190	199	218	

APPENDIX IV.1

TABLE XXVII

Presence of Water Carriage in Latrine by Number of Houses, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Water Carriage in		₽.	ni Su		udy si	te code	Kaf	r El	Sheik	h
Latrine	11	12		14	15	16	17	18		20
Yes	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
No	52	155	56	<b>7</b> 9	118	194	158	179	174	217
No information	58	37	155	118	79	5	41	10	23	1
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218

IV.1

TABLE XXVIII

Number of Houses with Septic Tank, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Septic		R.	ni Su		udy s	ite code	V o C	- F1	Sheik	<b>.</b>
Tank	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		20
Yes	0	6	6	0	0	2	0	1	1	0
No	52	149	51	78	118	192	158	183	177	217
No information	58	38	155	119	79	5	41	6	21	1
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218

APPENDIX IV.1 TABLE XXIX

Number of Houses with Cesspool, Raw
Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

					udy si	ite code			a. 11	
Cesspool	11		ni Su 13		15	16	17		Sheik 19	
Yes	0	21	4	6	1	32	13	<b>1</b> 35	13	2
No	52	136	54	<b>7</b> 0	116	162	143	49	163	216
No information	58	36	154	121	80	5	43	6	23	0
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218

IV.1 TABLE XXX

Latrine Location by Number of Houses, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Latrine		В <b>е</b>	ni Su		udy s	ite code	<b>V</b> ≘f	r El	Sheik	h
Location	11	12		14	15	16	17		19	20
Inside	16	42	50	32	116	188	142	150	143	5
Outside	2	2	4	3	2	1	9	1	12	206
Stable	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
No information	92	149	158	162	79	8	48	39	44	7
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218

APPENDIX IV.1

TABLE XXXI

Number of Houses with Latrine Superstructure, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Latrine		_			udy si	te code				
Super- Structure	11	12	ni Su 13	14 14	15	16	Kaf 17	r El 18	Sheik 19	h 20
Yes	2	5	1	22	0	4	1	3	4	0
No	18	146	50	54	117	190	154	179	174	218
No information	90	42	161	121	80	5	44	8	21	0
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE I

Number of Houses by Construction Material,
Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

O			swan	s	tudy	site code		ew Nu	hio	
Construction Material	1	2	3 	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Stone or Redbrick	20	19	77	0	5	187	192	190	200	198
Mud Brick	150	27	2	185	<b>1</b> 81	9	7	7	0	1
Wood or Reed	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Mud and Red Brick	17	178	40	2	O	0	1	2	0	0
Red Brick, Wood or Reed	0	O	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Red Brick, Mud Brick, Wood or Reed	1	0	1	0	0	0	С	0	0	0
Mud Brick, Wood or Reed	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No informati	on 3	O	0	23	2	2	2	0	1	1
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE II

Number of Houses by Structure Attachment,
Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

				St	udy s	ite code				
Structure Attachment	1	2	swan 3	4	10	5	6 N	ew Nu	bis 8	9
Detached	15	12	5	18	6	2	1	7	2	0
One Side only	7 73	55	16	72	27	8	2	10	14	3
Two Sides	69	89	42	80	105	62	28	52	62	49
Three Sides	10	68	60	12	53	123	168	131	122	106
No Informatio	25ac	0	0	28	0	3	3	0	1	2
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE III

Number of Houses with Painted Walls,
Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

				St	udy s	ite code				
Fainted Walls	1	5 ¥	swan 3	4	10	5	Ne 6	w Nub	18 8	9
Exterior	11	5	<b>3</b> 2	30	13	68	2	1	4	3
Interior	11	14	64	55	30	30	39	2	15	145
None	27	52	4	99	148	98	158	7	173	1
Exterior and Interior	136	151	23	0	0	0	0	185	7	50
No informatio	n 7	2	0	<b>2</b> 6	0	2	3	5	2	1
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE IV

Number of Houses with Staircase, Raw
Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

				St	udy s	ite code				
Staircase	1	5	swan 3	4	10	5	6 8	ew Nu	bia 8	9
Fixed	27	15	14	0	14	3	0	5	2	0
Mobile	4	6	2	2	68	0	1	1	0	11
None	141	203	106	178	109	194	197	193	198	187
No informati	on 20	0	1	30	0	1	4	4	1	2
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	<b>20</b> 0

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE V

Number of Houses by Type of Roof Material,
Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Roof		A	swan	St	udy s	ite code	N	ew Nu	hia	
Material	1	5.	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Reed and Mud	2	13	37	1	0	0	0	3	0	0
Wood, Reed and Mud	0	0	<b>3</b> 0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Concrete	15	7	1	2	2	188	190	173	191	192
Wood	4	9	1	8	2	5	1	1	3	2
Reed	2	14	3	5	183	3	3	0	2	0
Mud	128	164	50	171	4	1	4	0	0	0
Concrete and Wood	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	1
Concrete and Mud	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Concrete, Wood and Reed	· o	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Wood and Reed	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
No information	38	10	1	23	0	1	3	7	5	5
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE VI Number of Houses by Condition of Roof, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Roof		AR	wan	St	idy s	ite code	Ne	w Nub	ia	
Condition	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Permeable	33	91	89	180	174	12	3	10	58	5
Non-permeable	55	132	32	6	15	185	192	184	4	192
No information	104	1	2	24	2	1	7	6	139	3
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

APPENDIX IV.? TABLE VII

Number of Houses by Storage location of Cooking and Heating Fuel, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Storage of Fuel Material	1	. <b>A</b> 2	swan		_	ite code		ew Nu		•
ruel Material			3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Roof	7	24	9	99	16	137	129	5	4	68
Stable	6	23	19	53	53	4	36	1	59	3
Storage Room	3	7	76	30	63	16	1	2	0	0
Yard	115	168	19	4	57	39	27	192	133	125
Roof and Storage Room	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
No information	a 61	1	0	24	2	2	8	0	5	4
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE VIII

Number of Houses by Type of Floor Construction,
Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

				St	udy s:	ite code	••			
Floor Construction	1	5	swan 3	4	10	5	6 0	ew Nu	.b1a 8	9
Earth	108	60	112	176	182	82	9	9	0	1
Concrete	42	2	0	4	7	111	11	1	80	184
Tile	14	12	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	11
Wood	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Earth and Concrete	6	56	0	0	0	0	171	175	115	0
Earth and Til	e 2	42	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0
Earth and Woo	d O	0	10	0	0	0	2	3	4	0
Earth, Concre	te,	45	0	0	0	0	4	10	0	0
No Informatio	n 20	6	1	27	0	4	2	1	2	4
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE IX

Number of Houses by Number of Windows,
Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

				St	udy s	ite code				
Number of Windows	1	2	swan 3	4	10	5	6 6	ew Nu	bis 8	9
1	16	22	0	20	55	15	11	25	7	0
5	16	36	15	21	56	43	26	58	73	68
3	20	29	3	7	26	52	66	<b>3</b> 7	24	126
4	17	25	33	7	24	32	36	38	24	3
5	8	16	18	1	1	12	3	7	3	0
6	4	21	29	3	2	19	17	17	15	0
7	1	3	1	0	1	12	31	11	25	0
8	1	9	9	0	2	6	2	4	55	0
9	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	0
10 or more	4	5	1	1	2	3	0	1	4	0
No information	0 <b>1</b> 02	<b>5</b> 8	14	150	22	3	9	2	0	3
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE X

Number of Houses by Type of Lighting, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Lighting	1	A 2	swan 3	St 4	ud <b>y</b> s:	ite code 5	6 N	ew Nu 7	bia 8	9
Electricity	90	179	55	85	27	77	79	21	108	113
Kerosene	90	44	52	99	164	118	120	131	93	83
Other	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	1
Electricity and Kerosene	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	43	0	0
Kerosene and Other	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
No information	n 12	0	0	25	0	3	3	1	0	3
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

APPENDIY IV.? TABLE XI

Number of Houses with Televisions, Raw
Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

			swan	St	udy s	ite code	N	ew Nu	hio.	<u>-</u> - · · · <del>· ·</del>
Television	1	2		4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Present	9	36	1	6	5	6	3	2	40	12
Not Present	154	185	121	159	186	189	186	178	159	182
No information	n 29	3	1	45	0	3	13	20	2	6
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE XII

Number of Houses by Number of Rooms in
House, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

				Str	udy si	te code				
Number of Rooms	1	2 2	swan 3	4	10	5	6 6	ew Nut	8 	9
1	17	11	0	0	48	14	11	26	4	0
2	62	43	6	13	57	44	26	60	77	67
3	53	42	4	21	41	53	92	37	28	127
4	<b>2</b> 0	<b>3</b> 9	18	53	22	64	65	61	52	3
5	11	29	16	45	7	18	3	14	34	0
6	8	25	36	20	3	1	0	0	2	0
7	3	14	9	20	3	1	0	1	2	0
8 or more	7	19	34	15	10	2	0	1	2	1
No information	n 11	2	0	23	0	1	5	0	0	2

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE XIII

Number of Houses by Number of Occupants,
Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

N				Бt	udy s	ite code				
Number of Occupants	1	2	swan 3	4	10	5	6	ew Nu	b1a 8	9
1	8	9	3	29	23	16	16	24	87	33
2	16	11	4	28	26	15	27	30	52	41
3	24	15	8	29	34	23	19	30	23	18
4	32	32	9	36	22	26	30	22	15	26
5	39	46	13	27	19	33	32	25	12	20
6	19	19	16	19	15	29	22	21	5	15
7	18	20	10	11	13	18	16	19	2	13
8	8	30	11	5	12	13	19	8	1	8
9	2	17	8	2	8	8	8	6	2	11
10 or more	4	24	33	1	17	11	10	9	2	12
No information	on 22	1	8	23	2	6	3	6	0	3
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	505	200	201	200

TABLE XIV

Number of Houses by presence of Stable, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

		Study site code Aswan New Nubia									
Stable	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9	
Inside	114	178	81	149	64	183	146	191	185	197	
Outside	50	6	27	21	52	2	21	3	4	0	
None	40	34	14	15	73	9	6	5	12	2	
No informati	.on 18	6	1	25	2	4	29	-1	o	1	
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200	

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE XV

Number of Houses by Presence of Waste Container in House, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Waste		Δ	swan	St	udy s	ite code		ew Nu	hio	
Container	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Present	9	199	3	72	49	7	184	161	0	34
None	79	25	120	112	140	182	13	37	199	165
No information	n104	0	0	26	2	9	5	2	2	1
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE XVI

Number of Houses by Location of Animal Waste
Disposal, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Animal				St	udy s	ite code	**	our Nu	hi o	
Waste Material	1	2	swan 3	4	10	5	6	ew Nu	8	9
Stable	4	56	25	14	16	3	5	5	1	9
Yard	9	7	27	6	67	3	0	1	0	2
Street	94	33	66	169	23	187	0	189	20	0
Canal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	176	1
Roof	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	7
None	29	127	5	0	82	0	193	3	3	178
No information	n 56	1	0	21	2	5	4	1	1	3
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE XVII

Number of Houses by Type of cook fuel employed, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Coolsina				St	udy s	ite code				
Cooking Fuel	1	2	swan 3	4	10	5	6 N	ew Nu	1018 8	9
Wood and Dung	1	1	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oil, Wood, and Dung	d O	0	30	1	0	0	0	5	0	0
Gas	3	16	0	0	0	4	1	0	111	11
Oil	142	39	19	153	145	184	2	37	10	188
Wood	4	2	4	27	42	5	0	1	0	0
Dung	4	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	0
Gas and Oil	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	0
Gas and Wood	1	5	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Gas and Dung	0	54	3	0	0	0	10	0	57	0
Gas, Oil, Wood	5	3	20	0	0	1	1	151	0	0
No Information	a 31	102	20	22	3	4	185	2	0	1
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE XVIII

Number of Houses by Frequency of Stable Cleaning,
Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

a				St	udy si	te code	**	New Nubia				
Stable Cleaning	1	2	swan 3	4	10	5	6,	7	8	9		
Daily	108	167	8	56	2	189	6	192	196	193		
Weekly	36	27	68	69	100	2	7	2	2	5		
Monthly	2	0	35	61	20	0	168	2	0	0		
Never	3	1	1	1	12	0	14	0	0	0		
No informati	ion 43	29	11	23	57	7	7	4	3	2		
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200		

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE XIX

Number of Houses by Type of Approach to
Houses, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

House			SWAN	St	udy si	te code	w	ew Nu	hio	
Approach	1	5	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Non-Earth:										
Clean	11	218	1	48	90	187	1	Ú	200	2
Littered	4	0	0	42	33	3	3	0	0	0
Dry	170	0	10	98	64	2	0	0	0	0
Wet	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Earth:										
Clean	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Littered	0	1	0	0	0	0	93	99	0	0
Dry	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Wet	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	- 0
No informati	on 6	4	112	21	3	6	102	101	1	<b>1</b> 97
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	<b>2</b> 02	200	201	200

TABLE XX
Number of Houses by Ownership, Raw
Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

		Δ	.swan	St	udy si	te code		ew Nu	hia	
Ownership	1		3	4	10	5	6"	7	8	9
Own	77	27	7	8	9	31	1	1	0	3
Rent	113	196	106	181	177	95	16	8	200	136
No informati	on 2	1	10	21	5	72	185	191	1	61
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE XXI

Number of Houses by Type of Water Storage
Container, Raw Counts, For Aswan and New Nubia

Water Storage	1	2	Aswa 3	n 4	Study 10	site co		iew Mu 7	ıbia 8	9
Metal	0	2	1	10	0	0	0	0	1	3
Earthenware	20	149	120	170	190	192	196	196	192	195
Ceramic	0	45	0	6	0	О	0	0	2	0
Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Metal and Ceramic	0	11	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
Metal and Earthenware	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Ceramic and Earthenware	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No information	1	1	1	24	1	7	3	0	4	2
Total	22	223	122	210	191	199	199	200	200	200

TABLE XXII

Number of Houses by Type of Wastewater Drainage,
Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Wastewater			Aswa	n	•	site code	N	ew Nu		
Drainage	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Concrete	1	6	0	2	0	110	8	24	4	5
Pipe	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Brick	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Title	0	0	1	1	0	72	0	1	0	4
Earth	0	209	84	176	190	9	188	173	0	1
Other	6	2	30	3	0	0	0	0	189	186
No information	15	6	5	25	0	8	3	1	6	3
Total	22	223	123	210	190	199	199	200	200	200

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE XXIII

Number of Houses by Presence of Latrine, Raw
Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

			Aswar		tudy	site cod		ew Nu	hie	
Latrine	1	2		4	10	5	<u> </u>	7	8	9
Present	5	201	15	48	96	186	192	196	195	199
Not Present	2	7	89	73	94	4	4	3	1	0
No information	15	15	19	89	0	9	3	1	4	1
Total	22	223	123	210	190	199	199	200	200	200

TABLE XXIV

Number of Houses by Latrine Use, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

			Aswa		tudy si	te cod		ew Nu	hio	
Latrine Use	1	2		4	10	5	6"	7	8	9
Yes	2	200	15	49	95	187	191	196	187	199
No	1	5	106	68	95	3	5	2	9	0
No information	19	18	2	93	0	9	3	2	4	1
Total	22	223	123	210	190	199	199	200	200	200

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE XXV

Number of Houses by Presence of a Cover for the Latrine, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Latrine			Aswan	s	tudy	site cod		ew Nu	hio	
Cover	1	2	3	4	10	5	€"	7	8	9
Present	0	196	15	43	95	115	192	194	24	199
Not Present	1	7	106	69	95	76	4	4	168	0
No information	21	20	2	98	0	8	3	2	8	1
Total	22	223	123	210	190	199	199	200	200	200

TABLE XXVI

Number of Houses by Type of Latrine, Raw
Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Type of			Aswan	S	tudy	site cod		ew Nu	hio	
Latrine	1	2	3	4	10	5	6"	7	8	9
Bore hole	0	11	0	4	1	4	0	1	3	2
Pit	1	168	7	46	0	7	5	1	174	197
Pit with Masonry Lining	0	21	9	1	94	176	187	191	1	0
No information	21	23	107	159	95	12	7	7	22	1
Total	22	223	123	210	190	199	199	200	200	200

TABLE XXVII

Number of Houses by Location of Latrine,
Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Latrine			Aswan	S	tudy	site cod		ew Nu	hia	
Location	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Inside	3	177	7	19	17	191	190	191	196	198
Outside	0	23	2	22	1	0	1	3	4	0
Stable	0	1	0	0	65	0	1	0	0	0
Nc information	19	22	114	169	107	8	7	6	0	2
Total	22	223	123	210	190	199	199	200	200	200

(P	TECHNICAL REPORT DATA (Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)									
1 REPORT NO.	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.								
EPA-600/1-80-024										
4 TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5. REPORT DATE								
Human Intestinal Parasitio	Infections and Environmen-	July 1980								
tal Health Factors in Rura		6. PERFORMING ORGANIZĂTION CODE								
7 AUTHOR(S)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.								
F.D. Miller, M. Hussein, I	K.H. Mancy, and M.S. Hilbert									
9 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AN	ND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. A28BLA								
University of Michigan		AZOBIA								
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109	9	11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.								
University of Alexandria		Special Foreign Currency								
Alexandria, A.R. Egypt		Project No. 03-542-1								
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADE Environmental Research Lab	press poratoryAthens GA	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final								
Office of Research and Dev	relopment	14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE								
U.S. Environmental Protect	tion Agency	EPA/600/01								
Athens, Gerogia 30605										

## 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

First report in series: Schistosomiasis in Rural Egypt (EPA-600/1-78-070)

## 16 ABSTRACT

A survey of common intestinal parasites was completed in three areas of the Egyptian Nile Valley: The Nile Delta, Upper Middle Egypt and Upper Egypt. The relocated Nubian population was also included. The total sampling included 15,664 persons in 41 villages. More than 95% attended and approximately 90% provided a stool specimen. Environmental health observations and measures were made in each of the households from which a family was selected and in the village environs. Sampling within a study site (which included one or more villages) was designed to provide a probability of selection. Stool specimens were preserved and examined for parasites and ova at a central laboratory using the MIFC technique.

The findings indicated a very low prevalence for all helminthic infections. A low prevalence of  $\underline{\text{Ancylostoma}}$  and the  $\underline{\text{Ascaris}}$  infections was found.

Important features such as the household stable, the zir (a water storage container), and cooking fuel were evaluated. Considerable information on water and wastewater use was developed. Also, a review of the available information on the parasitic infections of interest and environmental health conditions for rural Egypt was compiled for the first time.

17.	KEY W	ORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS	
a.	DESCRIPTORS	b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
			06F 13B
	TO PUBLIC	19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) UNCLASSIFIED  20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) UNCLASSIFIED	21. NO. OF PAGES 161 22. PRICE